

who perform security functions under the contract in accordance with criteria established by the Secretary of the department concerned.

(d)(1) Under Section 332 of Public Law 107-314, as amended by Section 333 of Public Law 109-364 and Section 343 of Public Law 110-181, this prohibition does not apply to any contract that is entered into for any increased performance of security-guard functions at a military installation or facility undertaken in response to the terrorist attacks on the United States on September 11, 2001, if—

(i) Without the contract, members of the Armed Forces are or would be used to perform the increased security-guard functions;

(ii) The agency has determined that—

(A) Recruiting and training standards for the personnel who are to perform the security-guard functions are comparable to the recruiting and training standards for DoD personnel who perform the same security-guard functions;

(B) Contractor personnel performing such functions will be effectively supervised, reviewed, and evaluated; and

(C) Performance of such functions will not result in a reduction in the security of the installation or facility;

(iii) Contract performance will not extend beyond September 30, 2012; and

(iv) The total number of personnel employed to perform security-guard functions under all contracts entered into pursuant to this authority does not exceed the following limitations:

(A) For fiscal year 2007, the total number of such personnel employed under such contracts on October 1, 2006.

(B) For fiscal year 2008, the number equal to 90 percent of the total number of such personnel employed under such contracts on October 1, 2006.

(C) For fiscal year 2009, the number equal to 80 percent of the total number of such personnel employed under such contracts on October 1, 2006.

(D) For fiscal year 2010, the number equal to 70 percent of the total number of such personnel employed under such contracts on October 1, 2006.

(E) For fiscal year 2011, the number equal to 60 percent of the total number of such personnel employed under such contracts on October 1, 2006.

(F) For fiscal year 2012, the number equal to 50 percent of the total number of such personnel employed under such contracts on October 1, 2006.

[60 FR 61599, Nov. 30, 1995, as amended at 67 FR 11439, Mar. 14, 2002; 68 FR 7443, Feb. 14, 2003; 69 FR 35533, June 25, 2004; 70 FR 14577, Mar. 23, 2005; 70 FR 35545, June 21, 2005; 71 FR 34834, June 16, 2006; 72 FR 51192, Sept. 6, 2007; 73 FR 53157, Sept. 15, 2008]

**237.102-71 Limitation on service contracts for military flight simulators.**

(a) *Definitions.* As used in this subsection—

(1) *Military flight simulator* means any system to simulate the form, fit, and function of a military aircraft that has no commonly available commercial variant.

(2) *Service contract* means any contract entered into by DoD, the principal purpose of which is to furnish services in the United States through the use of service employees as defined in 41 U.S.C. 6701.

(b) Under Section 832 of Public Law 109-364, as amended by Section 883(b) of Public Law 110-181, DoD is prohibited from entering into a service contract to acquire a military flight simulator. However, the Secretary of Defense may waive this prohibition with respect to a contract, if the Secretary—

(1) Determines that a waiver is in the national interest; and

(2) Provides an economic analysis to the congressional defense committees at least 30 days before the waiver takes effect. This economic analysis shall include, at a minimum—

(i) A clear explanation of the need for the contract; and

(ii) An examination of at least two alternatives for fulfilling the requirements that the contract is meant to fulfill, including the following with respect to each alternative:

(A) A rationale for including the alternative.

(B) A cost estimate of the alternative and an analysis of the quality of each cost estimate.

(C) A discussion of the benefits to be realized from the alternative.

(D) A best value determination of each alternative and a detailed explanation of the life-cycle cost calculations used in the determination.

(c) When reviewing requirements or participating in acquisition planning that would result in a military department or defense agency acquiring a military flight simulator, the contracting officer shall notify the program officials of the prohibition in paragraph (b) of this subsection. If the program officials decide to request a waiver from the Secretary of Defense under paragraph (b) of this subsection, the contracting officer shall follow the procedures at PGI 237.102-71.

[72 FR 51193, Sept. 6, 2007, as amended at 73 FR 53156, Sept. 15, 2008; 76 FR 58137, Sept. 20, 2011]

**237.102-72 Contracts for management services.**

In accordance with Section 802 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Pub. L. 110-181), DoD may award a contract for the acquisition of services the primary purpose of which is to perform acquisition support functions with respect to the development or production of a major system, only if—

(a) The contract prohibits the contractor from performing inherently governmental functions;

(b) The DoD organization responsible for the development or production of the major system ensures that Federal employees are responsible for determining—

(1) Courses of action to be taken in the best interest of the Government; and

(2) Best technical performance for the warfighter; and

(c) The contract requires that the prime contractor for the contract may not advise or recommend the award of a contract or subcontract for the development or production of the major system to an entity owned in whole or in part by the prime contractor.

[74 FR 34269, July 15, 2009]

**237.102-73 Prohibition on contracts for services of senior mentors.**

DoD is prohibited from entering into contracts for the services of senior mentors. See PGI 237.102-73 for references to DoD policy and implementation guidance.

[75 FR 71564, Nov. 24, 2010]

**237.102-74 Taxonomy for the acquisition of services, and supplies and equipment.**

See PGI 237.102-74 for further guidance on the taxonomy for the acquisition of services and the acquisition of supplies and equipment.

[79 FR 51264, Aug. 28, 2014]

**237.102-75 Guidebook for the acquisition of services.**

See PGI 237.102-75 for the “Guidebook for the Acquisition of Services.”

[76 FR 76320, Dec. 7, 2011]

**237.102-76 Review criteria for the acquisition of services.**

See PGI 237.102-76 for tenets and review criteria to be used when conducting preaward and postaward reviews for the acquisition of services.

[76 FR 76320, Dec. 7, 2011]

**237.102-77 Automated requirements roadmap tool.**

See PGI 237.102-77 for guidance on using the Automated Requirements Roadmap Tool to develop and organize performance requirements into draft versions of the performance work statement, the quality assurance surveillance plan, and the performance requirements summary.

[77 FR 52254, Aug. 29, 2012]

**237.102-78 Market research report guide for improving the tradecraft in services acquisition.**

See PGI 210.070 for guidance on use of the market research report guide to conduct and document market research for service acquisitions.

[77 FR 52254, Aug. 29, 2012]

**237.102-79 Private sector notification requirements in support of in-sourcing actions.**

In accordance with 10 U.S.C. 2463, contracting officers shall provide written notification to affected incumbent contractors of Government in-sourcing determinations. Notification shall be provided within 20 business days of the contracting officer’s receipt of a decision from the cognizant component in-