

MT. ANDREA LAWRENCE DESIGNATION ACT OF 2011

—————
MAY 30, 2012.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of
the Union and ordered to be printed

—————
Mr. HASTINGS of Washington, from the Committee on Natural
Resources, submitted the following

R E P O R T

[To accompany S. 925]

[Including cost estimate of the Congressional Budget Office]

The Committee on Natural Resources, to whom was referred the bill (S. 925) to designate Mt. Andrea Lawrence, having considered the same, report favorably thereon without amendment and recommend that the bill do pass.

PURPOSE OF THE BILL

The purpose of S. 925 is to designate Mt. Andrea Lawrence.

BACKGROUND AND NEED FOR LEGISLATION

S. 925 would designate a currently unnamed mountain, located 0.6 miles northeast of Donahue Peak on the northern border of the Ansel Adams Wilderness and Yosemite National Park in California, as Mt. Andrea Lawrence. Andrea Lawrence was a successful Olympic skier, 16-year member of the Mono County Board of Supervisors and founder of the Andrea Lawrence Institute for Mountains and Rivers. She was a supporter of the work of the Inyo National Forest and Yosemite National Park. Ms. Lawrence passed away in 2009 at the age of 76. The management of the proposed Mt. Andrea Lawrence is shared between the Inyo National Forest and Yosemite National Park.

COMMITTEE ACTION

S. 925 was introduced on May 9, 2011, by Senator Barbara Boxer (D-CA). On October 18, 2011, the bill passed the Senate by unanimous consent. The bill was then referred to the House Committee on Natural Resources, and within the Committee to the Sub-

committee on National Parks, Forests and Public Lands. On March 29, 2012, the Subcommittee held a hearing on H.R. 1818, sponsored by Howard “Buck” McKeon (R–CA), which is identical to S. 925. On April 25, 2012, the Full Natural Resources Committee met to consider S. 925. The Subcommittee on National Parks, Forests and Public Lands was discharged by unanimous consent. No amendments were offered to the bill and the bill was ordered favorably reported to the House of Representatives by unanimous consent.

COMMITTEE OVERSIGHT FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Regarding clause 2(b)(1) of rule X and clause 3(c)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the Committee on Natural Resources’ oversight findings and recommendations are reflected in the body of this report.

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XIII

1. Cost of Legislation. Clause 3(d)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives requires an estimate and a comparison by the Committee of the costs which would be incurred in carrying out this bill. However, clause 3(d)(2)(B) of that rule provides that this requirement does not apply when the Committee has included in its report a timely submitted cost estimate of the bill prepared by the Director of the Congressional Budget Office under section 402 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974. Under clause 3(c)(3) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives and section 403 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, the Committee has received the following cost estimate for this bill from the Director of the Congressional Budget Office:

U.S. CONGRESS,
CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE,
Washington, DC, April 30, 2012.

Hon. DOC HASTINGS,
*Chairman, Committee on Natural Resources,
House of Representatives, Washington, DC.*

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: The Congressional Budget Office has reviewed S. 925, the Mt. Andrea Lawrence Designation Act of 2011, as ordered reported by the House Committee on Natural Resources on April 25, 2012.

CBO estimates that enacting this legislation to name a peak in Mono County, California, would have no significant impact on the federal budget and would not affect direct spending or revenues; therefore, pay-as-you-go procedures do not apply. S. 925 contains no intergovernmental or private-sector mandates as defined in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act and would not affect the budgets of state, local, or tribal governments.

If you wish further details on this estimate, we will be pleased to provide them. The CBO staff contact is Jeff LaFave.

Sincerely,

DOUGLAS W. ELMENDORF.

2. Section 308(a) of Congressional Budget Act. As required by clause 3(c)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives and section 308(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, this bill does not contain any new budget authority, spending au-

thority, credit authority, or an increase or decrease in revenues or tax expenditures. CBO estimates that enacting this legislation would have no significant impact on the federal budget and would not affect direct spending or revenues; therefore, pay-as-you-go procedures do not apply.

3. General Performance Goals and Objectives. As required by clause 3(c)(4) of rule XIII, the general performance goal or objective of this bill is to designate Mt. Andrea Lawrence.

EARMARK STATEMENT

This bill does not contain any Congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits as defined under clause 9(e), 9(f), and 9(g) of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives.

COMPLIANCE WITH PUBLIC LAW 104-4

This bill contains no unfunded mandates.

PREEMPTION OF STATE, LOCAL OR TRIBAL LAW

This bill is not intended to preempt any State, local or tribal law.

CHANGES IN EXISTING LAW

If enacted, this bill would make no changes in existing law.

