

THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES
LITTEA
SCRIPTA
MANET
OF THE UNITED STATES

FEDERAL REGISTER

VOLUME 20 1934 NUMBER 68

Washington, Thursday, April 7, 1955

TITLE 5—ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL

Chapter I—Civil Service Commission

PART 6—EXCEPTIONS FROM THE COMPETITIVE SERVICE

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

Effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER, paragraph (c) of § 6.145 is revoked.

(R. S. 1753, sec. 2, 22 Stat. 403; 5 U. S. C. 631, 633; E. O. 10440, 18 F. R. 1823, 3 CFR, 1953 Supp.)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,

[SEAL] Wm. C. HULL,
Executive Assistant.

[F. R. Doc. 55-2852; Filed, Apr. 6, 1955; 8:50 a. m.]

Chapter III—Foreign and Territorial Compensation

[Dept. Reg. 108.253]

PART 325—ADDITIONAL COMPENSATION IN FOREIGN AREAS

DESIGNATION OF DIFFERENTIAL POSTS

Section 325.11 *Designation of differential posts* is amended as follows, effective on the dates indicated:

1. Effective as of the beginning of the first pay period following April 9, 1955, paragraph (a) is amended by the deletion of the following posts:

Bois Dehors, Haiti.
Dakar, French West Africa.
Rum Jungle, Australia.

2. Effective as of the beginning of the first pay period following April 9, 1955, paragraph (b) is amended by the deletion of the following post:

Aden, Aden.

3. Effective as of the beginning of the first pay period following April 9, 1955, paragraph (c) is amended by the deletion of the following post:

Los Mochis, Mexico.

4. Effective as of the beginning of the first pay period following April 9, 1955, paragraph (d) is amended by the deletion of the following post:

Guaymas, Mexico.

5. Effective as of the beginning of the first pay period following April 9, 1955, paragraph (a) is amended by the addition of the following post:

Artibonite Valley (including Bois Dehors), Haiti.

6. Effective as of the beginning of the first pay period following December 4, 1954, paragraph (b) is amended by the addition of the following posts:

Boudenib, Morocco.
Guercif, Morocco.
Tiznit, Morocco.

7. Effective as of the beginning of the first pay period following March 12, 1955, paragraph (b) is amended by the addition of the following posts:

Irbid, Jordan.
Karak, Jordan.

8. Effective as of the beginning of the first pay period following April 9, 1955, paragraph (c) is amended by the addition of the following posts:

Aden, Aden.
Dakar, French West Africa.
Santiago, Panama.

9. Effective as of the beginning of the first pay period following December 4, 1954, paragraph (d) is amended by the addition of the following post:

Croix Chapeau, France.

(Sec. 102, Part I, E. O. 10,000, 13 F. R. 5453, 3 CFR, 1948 Supp.)

For the Secretary of State.

LOY W. HENDERSON,
*Deputy Under Secretary
For Administration.*

MARCH 31, 1955.

[F. R. Doc. 55-2853; Filed, Apr. 6, 1955; 8:51 a. m.]

TITLE 7—AGRICULTURE

Chapter II—Agricultural Marketing Service (School Lunch Program), Department of Agriculture

PART 210—REGULATIONS AND PROCEDURE

APPENDIX—SECOND APPOINTMENT OF FOOD ASSISTANCE FUNDS PURSUANT TO NATIONAL SCHOOL LUNCH ACT; FISCAL YEAR 1955

The funds available for purposes of the National School Lunch Act (60 Stat. 230, 66 Stat. 591) for food assistance for

(Continued on next page)

CONTENTS

Agricultural Marketing Service	Page
Rules and regulations:	
School lunch program, 1955....	2185
Agriculture Department	
See Agricultural Marketing Service.	
Atomic Energy Commission	
Proposed rule making:	
Procedure on applications for determination of reasonable royalty fee, just compensation, or grant of award for patents, inventions or discoveries.....	2193
Civil Aeronautics Administration	
Notices:	
Organization and functions; miscellaneous amendments...	2202
Rules and regulations:	
Standard instrument approach procedure alterations.....	2187
Civil Service Commission	
Rules and regulations:	
Competitive service, exceptions from; Civil Service Commission.....	2185
Commerce Department	
See Civil Aeronautics Administration; Foreign Commerce Bureau.	
Federal Communications Commission	
Notices:	
Hearings, etc.:	
Chamberlin, Howard A., and Fred P. Muller.....	2213
Didriksen, Roald W., et al....	2212
Granite State Broadcasting Co., Inc. (WDNH).....	2213
Ohio Bell Telephone Co....	2211
Spartan Radiocasting Co. (WSPA-TV).....	2211
Wisconsin Telephone Co....	2211
Proposed rule making:	
Charges for U. S. Government telegraph communications...	2202
Revised Tentative Allocation Plan for Class B FM Broadcast Stations (2 documents).....	2194, 2195
Television auxiliary broadcast stations.....	2201
Television broadcast stations:	
Operation of co-channel amplifying transmitters in conjunction with main transmitter.....	2195



Published daily, except Sundays, Mondays, and days following official Federal holidays, by the Federal Register Division, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, pursuant to the authority contained in the Federal Register Act, approved July 26, 1935 (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U. S. C., ch. 8B), under regulations prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the President. Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for \$1.50 per month or \$15.00 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies (minimum 15 cents) varies in proportion to the size of the issue. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, directly to the Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended August 5, 1953. The CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of books and pocket supplements vary.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER, or the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS.

CFR SUPPLEMENTS
(For use during 1955)

The following Supplements are now available:

- Title 9 (\$0.65)
- Title 20 (\$0.75)
- Title 24 (\$0.75)
- Titles 40-42 (\$0.50)

Previously announced: Title 3, 1954 Supp. (\$1.75); Title 7: Parts 1-209 (\$0.60); Title 18 (\$0.50); Title 19 (\$0.40); Title 25 (\$0.50); Titles 30-31 (\$1.25); Title 49: Parts 1-70 (\$0.60); Parts 91-164 (\$0.50); Part 165 to end (\$0.60)

Order from Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

CONTENTS—Continued

Federal Communications Commission—Continued	Page
Proposed rule making—Con.	
Television broadcast stations—Continued	
Table of assignments (4 documents).....	2196-2199
Rules and regulations:	
Application for renewal of station license in Radio Amateur Civil Emergency Service.....	2193
Foreign Commerce Bureau	
Rules and regulations:	
Licensing policies and related special provisions; amendments, extensions, transfers; miscellaneous amendments...	2192

CONTENTS—Continued

Interior Department	Page
See Land Management Bureau.	
Interstate Commerce Commission	
Notices:	
Applications for relief:	
Rice and products from Arkansas, Louisiana, and Texas to North Carolina.....	2216
Rubber, synthetic, from Louisiana and Texas to Waukegan, Ill.....	2216
Soybean oil from Springfield and Taylorsville, Ill., to Canada.....	2216
Labor Department	
See Wage and Hour Division.	
Land Management Bureau	
Notices:	
Alaska; shorespace restoration order.....	2210
Washington; proposed withdrawal and reservation of lands.....	2210
Post Office Department	
Notices:	
Establishment of regional headquarters at Atlanta, Ga.....	2205
Regional real estate managers; redelegation of authority with respect to leases.....	2206
Small Business Administration	
Notices:	
Florida Wood Co-operative; withdrawal of request to operate as small business enterprise production pool, and withdrawal of requests to certain companies to participate in operation of such pool.....	2216
State Department	
Rules and regulations:	
Additional compensation in foreign areas; designation of differential posts.....	2185
Treasury Department	
Rules and regulations:	
Values of foreign moneys, 1955.....	2192
Wage and Hour Division	
Notices:	
Learner employment certificates; issuance to various industries.....	2203

CODIFICATION GUIDE

A numerical list of the parts of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in this issue. Proposed rules, as opposed to final actions, are identified as such.

Title 5	Page
Chapter I:	
Part 6.....	2185
Chapter III:	
Part 325.....	2185
Title 7	
Chapter II:	
Part 210.....	2185
Title 10	
Chapter I:	
Part 80 (proposed).....	2193

CODIFICATION GUIDE—Con.

Title 14	Page
Chapter II:	
Part 609.....	2187
Title 15	
Chapter III:	
Part 373.....	2192
Part 380.....	2192
Title 31	
Chapter I:	
Part 129.....	2192
Title 47	
Chapter I:	
Part 1.....	2193
Part 2 (proposed) (2 documents).....	2194, 2195
Part 3 (proposed) (7 documents).....	2194-2199
Part 4 (proposed).....	2201
Part 12.....	2193
Part 64 (proposed).....	2202

the fiscal year ending June 30, 1955, are reapportioned as follows in order to effect a further apportionment of supplemental funds pursuant to section 4 of the act:

State	Total	State Agency	Withheld for private schools
Alabama.....	\$2,431,762	\$2,371,810	\$59,952
Alaska.....	32,386	32,386	
Arizona.....	427,858	407,064	20,794
Arkansas.....	1,529,116	1,499,382	29,734
California.....	3,188,392	3,188,392	
Colorado.....	562,657	516,139	46,518
Connecticut.....	584,301	584,301	
Delaware.....	84,121	78,308	5,813
District of Columbia.....	181,763	181,763	
Florida.....	1,450,895	1,385,913	64,982
Georgia.....	2,347,873	2,347,873	
Hawaii.....	227,438	182,190	45,248
Idaho.....	311,157	301,864	9,293
Illinois.....	2,616,209	2,616,209	
Indiana.....	1,568,151	1,568,151	
Iowa.....	1,099,724	981,057	118,667
Kansas.....	729,998	729,998	
Kentucky.....	1,947,138	1,947,138	
Louisiana.....	1,697,143	1,697,143	
Maine.....	441,488	362,454	79,034
Maryland.....	854,199	740,208	113,991
Massachusetts.....	1,664,357	1,564,357	
Michigan.....	2,361,367	2,028,192	333,175
Minnesota.....	1,332,176	1,130,194	201,982
Mississippi.....	2,184,293	2,184,293	
Missouri.....	1,533,597	1,533,597	
Montana.....	242,288	217,763	24,525
Nebraska.....	546,349	483,723	62,626
Nevada.....	48,371	46,227	2,144
New Hampshire.....	215,379	215,379	
New Jersey.....	1,446,039	1,156,140	289,899
New Mexico.....	442,926	442,926	
New York.....	4,063,157	4,063,157	
North Carolina.....	3,118,370	3,118,370	
North Dakota.....	370,802	336,388	34,414
Ohio.....	2,698,613	2,317,162	381,451
Oklahoma.....	1,228,129	1,228,129	
Oregon.....	582,670	582,670	
Pennsylvania.....	3,308,251	3,095,421	212,830
Rhode Island.....	277,666	277,666	
South Carolina.....	1,658,819	1,642,754	16,065
South Dakota.....	360,486	328,053	31,333
Tennessee.....	2,134,548	2,084,630	49,919
Texas.....	3,864,455	3,864,455	
Utah.....	398,238	393,073	5,165
Vermont.....	190,087	190,087	
Virginia.....	1,813,505	1,756,845	56,660
Washington.....	843,271	789,282	53,989
West Virginia.....	1,253,968	1,224,570	29,398
Wisconsin.....	1,375,046	1,064,536	310,510
Wyoming.....	129,812	129,812	
Total.....	66,400,795	62,310,494	3,190,301

(60 Stat. 230, 66 Stat. 591; 42 U. S. C. 1751-1760)

Dated: April 4, 1955.

ORIS V. WELLS,
Administrator.

[F. R. Doc. 55-2857; Filed, Apr. 6, 1955; 8:52 a. m.]

TITLE 14—CIVIL AVIATION
Chapter II—Civil Aeronautics Administration, Department of Commerce

[Amdt. 137]

PART 609—STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES

PROCEDURE ALTERATIONS

The standard instrument approach procedure alterations appearing hereinafter are adopted to become effective when indicated in order to promote safety. Compliance with the notice, procedures, and effective date provisions of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act would be impracticable and contrary to the public interest, and therefore is not required.

Part 609 is amended as follows:

NOTE: Where the general classification (LFR, VAR, ADF, ILS, GCA, or VOR), location, and procedure number (if any) of any procedure in the amendments which follow, are identical with an existing procedure, that procedure is to be substituted for the existing one, as of the effective date given, to the extent that it differs from the existing procedure; where a procedure is canceled, the existing procedure is revoked; new procedures are to be placed in appropriate alphabetical sequence within the section amended.

1. The low frequency range procedures prescribed in § 609.6 are amended to read in part:

LFR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, and courses are magnetic. Distances are in statute miles unless otherwise indicated. Elevations and altitudes are in feet, MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. If an LFR instrument approach is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure authorized by the Administrator for Civil Aeronautics for such airport. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitude(s) shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

City and State; airport name, elevation; facility, class and identification; procedure No.; effective date	Initial approach to facility from—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (ft.)	Procedure turn (—) side of final approach course (outbound and inbound); altitudes; limiting distances	Minimum altitude over facility on final approach course (ft.)	Course and distance to facility to airport	Ceiling and visibility minimums			If visual contact not established at authorized landing minimums after passing facility within distance specified, or if landing not accomplished
							Condition	Type aircraft	75 m. p. h. or less	
1 MIRAMAR, CALIF. Miramar NAAS, 475'. Procedure No. 1. Amendment No. 3 dated July 11, 1952.	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11

CANCELED EFFECTIVE MARCH 8, 1955, LOW FREQUENCY RANGE DECOMMISSIONED.

STOCKTON, CALIF. Stockton Airport, 25'. BMRZ-DYV, SOK. Procedure No. 1. Amendment No. 4. Effective: May 7, 1955. Supersedes Amendment No. 3 dated December 31, 1953. Major changes: New format. Delete transition from Altitude intersection previously authorized by published MSA, add transition from MOD VOR, revise alternate minimums in line with current policy.	Modesto VOR.....	253—20.0	2,000	E side S course: 345° outbound. 345° inbound. 1,500' within 10 miles.	800	285—3.3	T-dn C-dn A-dn	2 engines or less 300-1 600-1 800-2	300-1 600-1 800-2	Within 3.2 miles, climb to 2,000' on N course within 25 miles. CAUTION: 340' mean sea level radio mast 1.5 miles N of airport. NOTE: ADF procedure not authorized.
---	------------------	----------	-------	--	-----	---------	----------------------	--	-------------------------	--

2. The automatic direction finding procedures prescribed in § 609.8 are amended to read in part:

ADF STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, and courses are magnetic. Distances are in statute miles unless otherwise indicated. Elevations and altitudes are in feet, MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. If an ADF instrument approach is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure authorized by the Administrator for Civil Aeronautics for such airport. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitude(s) shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

City and State; airport name; elevation; facility; class and identification; procedure No.; effective date	Initial approach to facility from—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (ft.)	Procedure turn (-) side of final approach course (outbound and inbound); altitudes; limiting distances	Minimum altitude over facility on final approach course (ft.)	Course and distance, facility to airport	Ceiling and visibility minimums		If visual contact not established at authorized landing minimums after passing facility within distance specified, or if landing not accomplished
							Condition	Type aircraft 75 m. p. h. or less More than 75 m. p. h.	
1 DENVER, COLO. Stapleton Airfield, 5,331'. MHW-AUR. Procedure No. 2. Amendment No. 5. Effective: May 7, 1955. Supersedes amendment No. 4 dated May 15, 1954. Major changes: New format; minor changes to missed approach for consistency; deletes Watkins FM; minor changes to distances and bearings; deletes shuttle.	2 DEN VOR..... DEN LFR..... Franktown FM* (northbound only). Aurora FM (final).....	3 198-12.6 164-7.3 332-22.0 323-2.7	4 7,000 7,000 7,000 6,200	5 E side S course; 183° outbound. 54° inbound. 7,000' within 10 miles. Not authorized beyond 10 miles.†	6 %6,200	7 344-3.6	8 T-dn C-dn S-dn 35 A-dn 80-2 More than 2 engines T-dn C-dn S-dn 35 A-dn	9 2 engines or less 300-1 400-1 400-1 80-2 200-½ 500-1½ 400-1 800-2	11 Within 3.6 miles, climb to 6,300' on course of 348° from DEN LFR within 20 miles. Alternate missed approach; when directed by ATC climb to 6,800' on E course DEN LFR within 25 miles. *Descent below 8,900' not authorized until 3 miles N of Franktown FM due to 6,710' terrain 6 miles NW of Franktown. †Procedure turn must be accomplished within 10 miles on account of high terrain to S. % Do not descend below 5,825' mean sea level until 1.8 miles N Aurora Radiobeacon on account of 5,023' mean sea level tower at Lowry Field. CAUTION: 5,915' mean sea level tower 4.7 miles ESE of airport. Deviation from standard criteria authorized in items 2 and 4.

3. The very high frequency omnirange procedures prescribed in § 609.9 (a) are amended to read in part:

VOR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, and courses are magnetic. Distances are in statute miles unless otherwise indicated. Elevations and altitudes are in feet, MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. If a VOR instrument approach is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure authorized by the Administrator for Civil Aeronautics for such airport. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitude(s) shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

City and State; airport name; elevation; facility; class and identification; procedure No.; effective date	Initial approach to facility from—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (ft.)	Procedure turn (-) side of final approach course (outbound and inbound); altitudes; limiting distances	Minimum altitude over facility on final approach course (ft.)	Course and distance, facility to airport	Ceiling and visibility minimums		If visual contact not established at authorized landing minimums after passing facility within distance specified, or if landing not accomplished
							Condition	Type aircraft 75 m. p. h. or less More than 75 m. p. h.	
1 BUTTE, MONT. Butte 5,550'. BYOR-BTM. Procedure No. 1. Amendment No. 1. Effective: May 7, 1955. Supersedes original dated April 15, 1954. Major changes: New format; add transition from Whitehall LFR; delete transition from BTM LFR; minor changes to distance corrections; remove deviation note.	2 Whitehall LFR.....	3 276-30.0	4 10,000	5 E side of course; 343° outbound. 163° inbound. 9,000' within 10 miles. Not authorized beyond 10 miles.	6 7,900	7 095-13.2	8 T-d T-n C-d C-n A-d A-n More than 2 engines T-d T-n C-d C-n A-d A-n	9 2 engines or less 1,500-1 1,500-2 2,300-1½ 2,300-2 2,300-1½ 2,300-2 2,300-2	11 Within 1.5 miles climb to 10,000' on course 53° within 12 miles. *Procedure turn E side for more favorable terrain. CAUTION: High terrain 8,300' mean sea level 2 miles E of Butte Airport. NOTE: Slicking scale not authorized for landing.

VOR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE—Continued

City and State; airport name; elevation; facility; class and identification; procedure No.; effective date	Initial approach to facility from—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (ft.)	Procedure turn (—) side of final approach course (outbound and inbound); altitudes; limiting distances	Minimum altitude over facility on final approach course (ft.)	Course and distance to facility to airport	Ceiling and visibility minimums		If visual contact not established at authorized landing minimums after passing facility within distance specified, or if landing not accomplished	
							Condition	Type aircraft 75 m. p. h. or less More than 75 m. p. h.		
1 BUTTE MONT. Butte, 5,547' Whitehall VOR. HAI and Homestake FM. Procedure No. 2. Effective: May 7, 1955. Sideswipes original dated July 12, 1954. Major changes: Place procedure on new format; add sliding scale note; remove inappropriate deviation note.	Whitehall VOR.....	273—12.0	9,500	No. procedure turn final approach course 273°.	Homestake FM 9,500	273—4.0	8	10	11	
ELKO, NEV. Municipal, 5,139'. VOR-EKO. Procedure No. 1. Original. Effective: May 7, 1955.	Carlin FM..... Deeth FM..... Elko LFR.....	038—19.0 219—31.0 153—5.0	9,000 10,000 8,500	W side of course: 149° outbound. 329° inbound. 8,500' within 10 miles. Not authorized beyond 10 miles.	7,500	329—4.4	T-d T-n C-d C-n A-dn	All aircraft 1,800-2 1,800-2 BCOB 1,800-2	1,800-1 1,500-1 1,500-2 3,000-1 3,000-2 3,000-2 3,000-2 More than 2 engines 1,500-1 1,500-2 3,000-1 1/2 3,000-2 3,000-2	Within 4.4 miles, turn left and climb to 8,500' on course of 149° within 15 miles of EKO VOR. *Procedure turn right for more favorable terrain. Elko VOR monitored category 3.

4. The instrument landing system procedures prescribed in § 609.11 are amended to read in part:

ILS STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, and courses are magnetic. Distances are in statute miles unless otherwise indicated. Elevations and altitudes are in feet, MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. If an ILS instrument approach is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure authorized by the Administrator for Civil Aeronautics for such airport. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitude(s) shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below:

City and State; airport name; elevation; facility; class and identification; procedure No.; effective date	Transition to ILS		Procedure turn (—) side of final approach course (outbound and inbound); altitudes; limiting distances	Minimum altitude at glide slope interception in bound (ft.)	Altitude of glide slope and distance to approach end of runway at—	Ceiling and visibility minimums				
	From—	To—				Condition	Type aircraft			
1 DETROIT, MICH. Detroit-Wayne Major, 689'. ILS Back Course. Procedure No. 2. Amendment No.; Original. Effective date: May 7, 1955. Supersedes No.: None. Major changes: None.	Detroit LFR..... RML LOM..... SVM VOR..... FRD Radiobeacon..... Intersection NE course ILS and ILS and NW course QG LFR.	3 (*) or (**) (*) or (**) (*) or (**) (*) or (**) (*) or (**)	6 W side of NE course; 603' outbound. 212' inbound. 2,700' within 10 miles.	No glide path 2,300' over intersection (*) or intersection (**)	8 No outer marker Intersection (*) or Intersection (**)	9 No middle marker	10 2 engines or less T-dn 300-1 C-dn 500-1 S-dn 21-R 500-1	11 More than 75 m. p. h.	12 300-1 500-1 500-1	13 Within 6 miles, climb to 2,000' on SW course ILS to LOM, or when directed by ATIS: (1) Make right turn, climb to 2,000' proceed to FRD radiobeacon. (2) Make left turn, climb to 2,300', proceed to Flat Rock Intersection. * Intersection NE course ILS and SVM VOR. ** SVM VOR NE R. Intersection NE course ILS and 270° bearing to FRD radiobeacon. Procedure not authorized unless aircraft equipped to receive ILS bearing simultaneously; unless radar positions are obtainable at the above fixes.

ILS STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE—Continued

City and State; airport name, elevation, facility, class and identification; procedure No., effective date	Transition to ILS				Procedure turn (—) side of final approach course (outbound and inbound); altitudes; limiting distances	Minimum altitude at glide slope intersection (ft.)	Altitude of glide slope and distance to approach end of runway at—		Ceiling and visibility minimums		If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished	
	From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitudes (ft.)			Outer marker	Middle marker	Condition	Type aircraft		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
LOUISVILLE, KY., Standiford Field, 487', ILS SDF, LOM SD, Combination ILS-ADF, Procedure No. 1, Amendment No. 12, Effective date: May 7, 1955, Supersedes Amendment 11, dated April 30, 1954, Major changes: Transitions added.	Louisville LFR..... Louisville VOR..... Eastwood FM..... Shepherdsville FM..... Vally Intersection: Intersection W course Louisville LFR and 147° course to LOM. Bardstown Intersection..... Bourbon Intersection.....	LOM..... LOM..... LOM..... LOM..... LOM..... LOM..... LOM.....	214-12 262-10 237-19 311-11 147-11	2,100 2,100 2,100 2,100 2,100	W side of S course: 180° outbound, 2,100' within 7 miles. Not authorized beyond 7 miles to avoid God-man danger area.	ILS 2,100 ADF 1,600 over LOM	2,080-0.2	600-0.7	T-dn 300-1 C-dn 500-1 S-dn 01 *ILS 200-½ ADF 500-1 A-dn ILS 600-2 ADF 800-2 More than 2 engines T-dn 300-½ C-dn 500-1½ S-dn 01 *ILS 200-½ ADF 500-1 A-dn ILS 600-2 ADF 800-2	More than 75 m.p.h. or less 11	6.2 miles after passing LOM (ADF) make a left climbing turn, to 2,100' as soon as practical. Proceed out W course Louisville LFR, or (when directed by ATC), make climbing right turn as soon as practical and proceed to Louisville LFR. CAUTION: Obstruction 1,060' 4 miles N of Standiford Field. *40-½ required with glide slope inoperative.	
PHILADELPHIA, PA. International, 10', ILS PHIL, No. 2, using back course ILS and surveillance radar, Effective date: May 7, 1955, Amendment No.: Original.	Mt. Holly Intersection.....	NE course ILS	237°-12	1,800	Not authorized. Inbound course 235°.	No glide path or markers. Descend to 1,300' after intercepting localizer course. Descend to landing minimums after passing 5-mile fix as determined by surveillance radar.			T-dn 300-1 C-dn 500-1 S-dn 500-1 A-dn 800-2 More than 2 engines T-dn 200-½ C-dn 500-1½ S-dn 27 A-dn 500-1 800-2		Climb to 1,300' on SW course ILS within 10 miles of LOM. Alternate missed approach (when directed by ATC), make a climbing left turn and proceed to Elmer Intersection at 1,300'. CAUTION: 233' crane on localizer course 2.5 miles E of airport.	
TETERBORO, N. J., Teterboro, 7', ILS-TEB, Procedure No. 1, Amendment No. 5, Effective date: May 7, 1955, Supersedes Amendment No. 4 dated April 8, 1953, Major changes: Revises transitions and straight-in landing minimums.	Chatham MHW..... Newark LOM..... Paterson MHW..... Caldwell VOR.....	ILS SW course. ILS SW course. ILS OM..... ILS OM.....	085-12 348-7 185-10 114-13	2,000 1,800 2,000 2,000	W side SW course: 225° inbound, 085° within 10 miles of OM.	1,400	1,350-4, 7	230-0.6	T-dn 300-1 C-dn 1,000-1 *S-6 dn 500-1 A-dn 1,000-2 More than 2 engines T-dn 300-1 C-dn 1,000-1½ *S-6 dn 500-1 A-dn 1,000-2		Climb to 1,000' on a heading of 039° turn left climb to 2,000' on ADF course to Paterson MHW. *700-1½ required with any component of the ILS inoperative.	

5. The ground controlled approach procedures prescribed in § 609.13 are amended to read in part:

GCA STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, and courses are magnetic. Distances are in statute miles unless otherwise indicated. Elevations and altitudes are in feet, MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. If a GCA instrument approach is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument procedure, unless an alternate procedure is authorized by the Administrator for Civil Aeronautics for such airport. Initial approaches shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below. Positive identification must be established with the ground controller. From initial contact with GCA to final approach, the instructions of the GCA controller are mandatory except when (A) visual reference with ground is established on final approach at or before descent to the authorized landing minimums, or (B) at pilot's discretion if it appears desirable to discontinue the approach.

City and State; airport name, elevation; effective date	Radar terminal area; maneuvering altitudes by sectors and limiting distances	Ceiling and visibility minimums						Except when the ground controller may direct otherwise prior to final approach, a missed approach procedure shall be executed as provided below when (a) communication on final approach is lost for more than 3 seconds; (b) directed by ground controller; (c) visual reference is not established upon descent to the authorized landing minimums; or (d) landing is not accomplished
		Runway No.	Condition	Precision approach (PAR) 75 m. p. h. or less	More than 75 m. p. h.	Surveillance approach (ASR) 75 m. p. h. or less	More than 75 m. p. h.	
1 PHILADELPHIA, PA. International, 10'. Procedure No. 1. Amendment No.: Original. Effective date: May 7, 1955.	2 2,300' N quadrant of Philadelphia LFR within 20 miles, 1,800' within 10 miles. 2,000' NW quadrant within 20 miles, 1,500' within 10 miles. 1,500' SW and SE quadrants within 20 miles.	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		17, 35, 9, 27	T-dn C-dn S-dn A-dn	2 engines or less	More than 2 engines	300-1 500-1 500-1 800-3	300-1 500-1 500-1 800-2	Climb to 2,500' or higher altitude when requested by ATC. Proceed to Mt. Holly Intersection. Alternate missed approach procedures (when requested by ATC): 1. Climb to 1,500' and proceed to LOM or 2. Climb to 1,500' and proceed to Elmer Intersection.
		4-22	T-dn C-dn S-dn A-dn	2 engines or less	More than 2 engines	300-1 600-1 600-1 800-2	300-1 600-1 600-1 800-2	
			T-dn C-dn S-dn A-dn	More than 2 engines	More than 2 engines	200-1/2 500-1 1/2 500-1 500-2	200-1/2 600-1 1/2 600-1 800-2	
WASHINGTON, D. C. National, 15'. Procedure No. 1. Amendment No. 6. Effective date: May 7, 1955. Supersedes amendment No. 5 dated June 20, 1954. Major change: New format.	1,900' in E, W, and S quadrants and 1,800' in N quadrant of Washington LFR within 25 miles. 2,500' in all quadrants within 40 miles exclusive of danger and prohibited areas.	All All 35 All	T-dn C-dn S-dn A-dn	2 engines or less	More than 2 engines	300-1 600-1 600-1 200-1/2 600-2	300-1 600-1 600-1 200-1/2 600-2	Make a climbing left turn as soon as practical and climb to 1,800' or 3 higher altitude when requested by ATC on NW course of Washington LFR to Herndon Intersection. CAUTION: Circling minimums do not provide standard clearance over monument 1.8 miles N of airport. *300-1 required on Runways 9 and 27. AIE CARRIER NOTES: Sections 26B and 28 of Operations Specifications not applicable to Runways 9 and 27.

These procedures shall become effective on the dates indicated in Column 1 of the procedures.
(Sec. 205, 52 Stat. 964, as amended; 49 U. S. C. 425. Interpret or apply sec. 601, 52 Stat. 1007, as amended; 49 U. S. C. 551)

[SEAL]

F. B. LEE,
Administrator of Civil Aeronautics.

[F. R. Doc. 55-2702; Filed, Apr. 6, 1955; 8:55 a. m.]

TITLE 15—COMMERCE AND FOREIGN TRADE

Chapter III—Bureau of Foreign Commerce, Department of Commerce

Subchapter B—Export Regulations

[7th Gen. Rev. of Export Regs., Amdt. 24]

PART 373—LICENSING POLICIES AND RELATED SPECIAL PROVISIONS

PART 380—AMENDMENTS, EXTENSIONS, TRANSFERS

1. Section 373.41 *Nonferrous commodities, including ores, concentrates, or unrefined products* is amended in the following particulars:

a. Paragraphs (b) *Containing radium*, (c) *Nonferrous metal alloys*, (e) *Nickel alloy and nickel-bearing cobalt scrap*, (g) *Refined copper, copper scrap and copper-base alloy scrap* are redesignated respectively as paragraphs (a), (b), (c), and (d).

b. Paragraph (d), as redesignated, is amended in the following particulars:

The title of the paragraph is amended to read: "*Refined copper, copper scrap, copper-base alloy scrap and copper-base alloy ingots and other crude forms.*"

Subparagraph (1) *General* is amended to read as follows:

(1) *General.* License applications to export refined copper in cathodes, billets, ingots, wire bars and other crude forms (including anodes but excluding copperweld rods), Schedule B No. 641200 (hereinafter referred to as refined copper); copper scrap (new and old), Schedule B No. 641300; copper-base alloy scrap (new and old), Schedule B No. 644000; and copper-base alloy ingots and other crude forms, Schedule B No. 644100, will be considered for approval in accordance with the procedures described below.

Subparagraph (2) *Refined copper, Schedule B No. 641200* remains unchanged.

Subparagraph (3) *Copper scrap and copper-base alloy scrap* is amended to read as follows:

(3) *Copper scrap, copper-base alloy scrap and copper-base alloy ingots and other crude forms.* (i) License applications to export copper scrap (new and old), Schedule B No. 641300, copper-base alloy scrap (new and old), Schedule B No. 644000 and copper-base alloy ingots and other crude forms, Schedule B No. 644100, shall identify the foreign consumer by use of one of the applicable statements shown in subparagraph (d) (2) (iii) above and shall include the applicable certification of availability for export shown in subparagraph (d) (2) (ii) above. In addition, in order that the Bureau of Foreign Commerce may provide an equitable basis for distributing available export quotas for these materials applicants are required to submit to the Bureau of Foreign Commerce a Statement of Past Participation in Exports of these commodities on Form IT- or FC-821 in accordance with the procedure set forth in § 373.4. A separate report on Form IT- or FC-821 shall be

filed for each Schedule B number and broken down by countries of destination and shall cover the quantity in Schedule B units of exports from the United States made during the fourth calendar quarter of 1953 and the calendar year 1954, where the total for such exports to all countries for each Schedule B number was \$5,000 or over for the five quarters. In preparing Form IT- or FC-821 the heading above items (c) and (d) shall be changed to read "4th quarter 1953" and the heading above items (e) and (f) shall read "calendar year 1954."

(ii) In order that license applications for the exportation of copper-base alloy ingots and other crude forms, Schedule B No. 644100 may be considered against the available export quotas established for the period ending June 30, 1955, Form IT- or FC-821 must be submitted to the Bureau of Foreign Commerce not later than April 22, 1955.

(iii) License applications covering copper-base alloy scrap (new and old), Schedule B No. 644000, shall include the copper content of the scrap.

Subparagraphs (4) *Validity period* and (5) *Amendments to export licenses* remain unchanged.

A new subparagraph (6) is added to read as follows:

(6) *Time for submission of applications.* Applications for licenses to export copper scrap (new and old), Schedule B No. 641300, copper-base alloy scrap (new and old), Schedule B No. 644000 and copper-base alloy ingots and other crude forms, Schedule B No. 644100, shall be submitted in accordance with the time schedules set forth in § 373.71.

2. Section 373.71 *Supplement 1; Time schedules for submission of applications for licenses to export certain Positive List commodities* is amended to read as follows:

SECOND QUARTER OF 1955¹

Dept. of Commerce Schedule B No.	Commodity	Submission dates, second quarter, 1955
641300	Copper scrap (new and old)	Before June 1, 1955
644000	Copper-base alloy scrap (new and old)	
644100	Copper-base alloy ingots and other crude forms	
619159	Selenium powder	Mar. 1-15, 1955
622098	Ferroselenium	
664998	Selenium metal, except selenium-bearing scrap materials	
829810	Selenium-containing rubber compounding agents not of coal tar origin: accelerators.	
839750	Selenium salts of organic compounds	
839900	Selenium salts and compounds, including selenium dioxide	
842900	Selenium-containing pigments	

¹ Applications for licenses to export commodities for which no specified filing dates are announced may be submitted at any time (see § 372.5 (e)). Export applications for commodities requiring a validated license when moving in transit through the United States may be submitted at any time and are not subject to specified filing dates (see Note following § 372.6 (d)).

3. Section 380.2 *Amendments or alterations of licenses, paragraph (f) Where to file* is amended in the following particulars:

Subdivision (iv) of subparagraph (3) *Amendment requests on which field offices may not take action* is amended to read as follows:

(iv) Requests for amendments or extensions of licenses for refined copper in cathodes, billets, ingots, wire bars and other crude forms (including anodes) (Schedule B No. 641200), copper scrap (new and old) (Schedule B No. 641300), copper-base alloy scrap (new and old) (Schedule B No. 644000), and copper-base alloy ingots and other crude forms (Schedule B No. 644100).

4. The title of § 380.5 *Amendments to licenses issued for the exportation of refined copper, copper scrap and copper-base alloy scrap* is amended to read as follows: "*Amendments to licenses issued for the exportation of refined copper, copper scrap, copper-base alloy scrap and copper-base alloy ingots and other crude forms.*"

This amendment shall become effective as of April 6, 1955.

(Sec. 3, 63 Stat. 7, as amended; 50 U. S. C. App. 2023. E. O. 9630, 10 F. R. 12245, 3 CFR,

1945 Supp., E. O. 9919, 13 F. R. 59, 3 CFR, 1948 Supp.)

LORING K. MACY,
Director,

Bureau of Foreign Commerce.

[F. R. Doc. 55-2888; Filed, Apr. 6, 1955; 8:54 a. m.]

TITLE 31—MONEY AND FINANCE: TREASURY

Chapter I—Monetary Offices, Department of the Treasury

[1955 Dept. Circular 1]

PART 129—VALUES OF FOREIGN MONEYS

QUARTER BEGINNING APRIL 1, 1955

APRIL 1, 1955.

§ 129.18 *Calendar year, 1955.* * * *

(b) *Quarter beginning April 1, 1955.* Pursuant to section 522, title IV, of the Tariff Act of 1930, reenacting section 25 of the act of August 27, 1894, as amended, the following estimates by the Director of the Mint of the values of foreign monetary units, are hereby proclaimed to be the values of such units in terms of the money of account of the United States that are to be followed in estimating the value of all foreign merchandise exported to the United States during the quarter beginning April 1,

1955, expressed in any such foreign monetary units: *Provided, however,* That if no such value has been proclaimed, or if the value so proclaimed varies by 5 per centum or more from a value measured by the buying rate in the New York market at noon on the day of exporta-

tion, conversion shall be made at a value measured by such buying rate as determined and certified by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York and published by the Secretary of the Treasury pursuant to the provisions of section 522, title IV, of the Tariff Act of 1930.

The value of foreign monetary units, as shown below in terms of United States money, is the ratio between the legal gold content of the foreign unit and the legal gold content of the United States dollar. It should be noted that this value, with respect to most countries, varies widely from the present exchange rates. Countries not having a legally defined gold monetary unit, or those for which current information is not available, are omitted.

Country	Monetary unit	Value in terms of U. S. money	Remarks
Colombia	Peso	\$0.5128	Monetary Law No. 90 of Dec. 16, 1948, effective Dec. 18, 1948, content of peso 0.50637 gram of gold 9/10 fine. Obligation to sell gold suspended Sept. 29, 1931.
Costa Rica	Colon	.1781	Parity of 0.158267 fine gram gold established by decree law effective Mar. 22, 1947.
Denmark	Krone	.4537	Conversion of notes into gold suspended Sept. 29, 1931.
Dominican Republic	Peso	1.0000	By monetary law No. 1528 effective Oct. 9, 1947, gold content of peso equal to 0.888671 gram fine.
Ethiopia	Dollar	.4025	New unit established by Proclamation of the Emperor on May 25, 1945, effective July 23, 1945.
Finland	Markka	.0426	Conversion of notes into gold suspended Oct. 12, 1931.
Guatemala	Quetzal	1.0000	Decree No. 203 of Dec. 10, 1945, defined the monetary unit as 15 5/21 grains gold 9/10 fine. Conversion of notes into gold suspended Mar. 6, 1933.
Haiti	Gourde	.2000	National bank notes redeemable on demand in U. S. dollars.
Peru	Sol	.4740	Conversion of notes into gold suspended May 18, 1932; exchange control established Jan. 23, 1945.
Philippines	Peso	.5000	International value according to the Central Bank Act approved June 15, 1948. Exchange control established.
Sweden	Krona	.4537	Conversion of notes into gold suspended Sept. 29, 1931.
Uruguay	Peso	.6583	Present gold content of 0.585018 gram fine established by law of Jan. 18, 1938. Conversion of notes into gold suspended Aug. 2, 1914; exchange control established Sept. 7, 1931.
Venezuela	Bolivar	.3267	Exchange control established Dec. 12, 1936.

(Sec. 522, 46 Stat. 739; 31 U. S. C. 372)

[SEAL]

H. CHAPMAN ROSE,
Acting Secretary of the Treasury.

[F. R. Doc. 55-2854; Filed, Apr. 6, 1955; 8:51 a. m.]

TITLE 47—TELECOMMUNICATION

Chapter I—Federal Communications Commission

[FCC 55-371]

[Rules Amdts. 1-71, 12-9]

PART 1—PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

PART 12—AMATEUR RADIO SERVICE

In the matter of amendments of Part 1, rules relating to applications and proceedings, and Part 12, rules governing amateur radio service, to require application for renewal of station license in the Radio Amateur Civil Emergency Service on FCC Form 481-1; Rules Amdts. 1-71 and 12-9.

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in

Washington, D. C., on the 30th day of March 1955;

The Commission having under consideration the application form for renewal of station license in the Radio Amateur Civil Emergency Service (RACES); and

It appearing, that it is desirable that each renewal of station license in the RACES should receive the approval of the Radio Officer in charge of the civil defense communications network of which the station is a part; and

It further appearing, that the proper filing of an application for such renewal on FCC Form 481-1 will provide such approval by the Radio Officer; and

It further appearing, because the proposed change concerns a matter of agency procedure, no notice of proposed rule making is required by section 4 (a) of the Administrative Procedure Act;

It is ordered, Under the authority contained in sections 4 (i) and 308 (b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, that effective May 9, 1955, § 1.320 of Part 1 of the Commission's rules relating to applications and proceedings and § 12.223 of Part 12 of the Commission's rules governing amateur radio service are amended as set forth below.

(Sec. 4, 48 Stat. 1066 as amended; 47 U. S. C. 154. Interprets or applies sec. 308, 48 Stat. 1085; 47 U. S. C. 308)

Released: April 1, 1955.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,
Secretary.

A. Section 1.320 (c) of Part 1, Practice and Procedure, is amended by adding the following subparagraph:

(7) FCC Form 481-1, "Application for Authority to Operate a Station in the Radio Amateur Civil Emergency Service." To be used for all applications for renewal of authorizations of radio amateur civil emergency stations.

B. Section 12.223 of Part 12, Amateur Radio Service, is amended to read as follows:

§ 12.223 *Filing of application.* Each application for a station authorization or for renewal thereof shall be submitted on FCC Form 481-1, signed under oath or affirmation by the applicant and countersigned by the appropriate civil defense radio officer, who shall certify to the following:

(a) That the applicant has satisfied all requirements (both local and federal) for participation in the civil defense organization and is actually enrolled as a member of the local organization which serves the area where the station will operate.

(b) That the amateur station licensed in the name of the applicant has been approved for and, when authorized by the Commission, will actually constitute a unit of a civil defense communications network in accordance with an approved civil defense communications plan or amendment thereof.

NOTE: For information purposes only, not a part of the rules. Until such time as a revised Form 481-1 becomes available, applicants shall use the present form by entering the word "Renewal" at line 3 of Form 481-1.

[F. R. Doc. 55-2836; Filed, Apr. 6, 1955; 8:48 a. m.]

PROPOSED RULE MAKING

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

[10 CFR Part 80]

GENERAL RULES OF PROCEDURE ON APPLICATIONS FOR DETERMINATION OF REASONABLE ROYALTY FEE, JUST COMPENSATION, OR GRANT OF AWARD FOR PATENTS, INVENTIONS OR DISCOVERIES

NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULE MAKING

Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (P. L. 703, 83d Congress; 68 Stat.

947 ff) and to section 4 (a) of the Administrative Procedures Act of 1946 (P. L. 404, 79th Congress) and in accordance with § 80.5 of Title 10, Chapter I, Part 80, Code of Federal Regulations, entitled "General Rules of Procedure on Applications for Determination of Reasonable Royalty Fee, Just Compensation or Grant of Award for Patents, Inventions or Discoveries", promulgated on June 18, 1948 and published in Volume 13, No. 91, Pages 2487 et seq. of the FEDERAL REGISTER for

May 8, 1948, as amended February 1, 1953 (18 F. R. 619) proposed changes in the general rules are set forth hereunder.

A. Section 80.1 is to be revised to read as follows:

§ 80.1 *Scope of part.* The regulations in this part provide the rules of procedure to be followed by any person making application to the Atomic Energy Commission for the determination of a reasonable royalty fee, just compensation, or the grant of an award,

and for the consideration of such applications pursuant to section 157 of chapter 13 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (68 Stat. 947; 42 U. S. C. 2187) and section 173 of chapter 15 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (68 Stat. 953; 42 U. S. C. 2223).

B. Paragraph (b) of § 80.2 is to be revised to read as follows:

(b) "Board" shall mean the Patent Compensation Board designated by the Commission pursuant to subsection (a) of section 157 of chapter 13 of the act.

C. Section 80.4 is to be revised to read as follows:

§ 80.4 *Security*. In any proceeding under the regulations in this part, the Commission may issue any general or specific order, directive, or further regulation which it determines to be appropriate pursuant to chapter 12 of the act to assure the common defense and security.

D. Section 80.10 (a), (b) and (c) is to be revised to read as follows:

§ 80.10 *Applicants*. (a) Any person claiming just compensation for any patent revoked in whole or in part by paragraphs (a) and (b) of section 151 of the act may file an application for just compensation.

(b) Any owner of a patent licensed under section 158 or subsections 153 (b) or 153 (e) or any patent licensee thereunder may file an application for the determination of a reasonable royalty fee.

(c) Any person making any invention or discovery useful in the production or utilization of special nuclear material or atomic energy, who is not entitled to compensation or royalty therefor under this act, and who has complied with the provisions of section 151 (c) thereof, may file an application for an award.

E. There is to be added to § 80.10 a new paragraph designated as (d) reading as follows:

(d) Any owner of a patent application that contains restricted data not belonging to the United States which the Commission has communicated to any foreign nation may make application for just compensation pursuant to section 173.

F. Section 80.11 (c) (3) is to be revised to read as follows:

(3) *Form and content*. In the case of an invention or discovery as to which a report has been filed with the Commission pursuant to subsection (c) of section 151 of chapter 13 of the act, a copy of such report.

G. There is to be added to § 80.11 (c) a new subparagraph designated as (9) reading as follows:

(9) In the case of an application for just compensation pursuant to section 173 the ownership of the invention that is the subject matter of the patent application at the time of the communication shall be set forth as well as the restricted data contained in said application specifically identified.

H. Section 80.21 is to be revised to read as follows:

§ 80.21 *Recommendation for acquisition by purchase*. At any time following the filing of an application and prior to final determination, the applicant may be requested in writing to meet with one or more members of the Commission staff to discuss the possibility of acquisition by purchase of the invention or discovery or patent or patent application, as the case may be. The time prescribed in § 80.22 for the filing of the response shall be extended by a time equivalent to any period in which negotiations are being conducted (beginning with the initial communication to the applicant and ending either with acceptance or rejection of a proposal or with a written communication by the applicant stating that negotiations are to be terminated).

I. There is to be added a new section designated as § 80.32 reading as follows:

§ 80.32 *Interrogatories by the Board*. The Board in its discretion may submit to either party interrogatories for the purpose of eliciting and placing upon the record any facts which the Board considers relevant to the consideration and disposition of the application, and may require answers to these interrogatories to be made under oath. The interrogatories and answers thereto shall become part of the record.

J. There is to be added to § 80.50 a new paragraph designated as (c) reading as follows:

(c) In the event that the application and any response filed by the Office of the General Counsel, and any answers to interrogatories which may be submitted to the applicant by the Board under § 80.32 disclose that the application does not present a basis for the payment of just compensation, the determination of a reasonable royalty fee, or the grant of an award, the Board may prepare and serve upon the parties its proposed findings and proposed determination with a statement of the reasons or basis therefor, with a notice that the proposed findings and proposed determination will be entered unless the applicant or Office of the General Counsel, within thirty (30) days after receipt of the notice, requests a hearing upon the application. If a hearing is requested under this section, the hearing prescribed in § 80.40 shall be ordered by the Board. If no hearing is requested in response to the notice of the proposal to enter the proposed findings and proposed determination, the order of the Board shall be entered.

Interested persons are hereby given an opportunity to submit their views or other relevant information with respect to the proposed changes in the Rules in writing to the Atomic Energy Commission, Washington 25, D. C., Attention: Chief, Patent Branch, Office of the General Counsel, within thirty

(30) days from the date of publication of this Notice of Intention in the daily issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Dated at Washington, D. C., this 30th day of March 1955.

K. D. NICHOLS,
General Manager.

[F. R. Doc. 55-2823; Filed, Apr. 6, 1955; 8:45 a. m.]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[47 CFR Parts 2, 3]

[Docket No. 11329; FCC 55-402]

CLASS B FM BROADCAST STATIONS

REVISED TENTATIVE ALLOCATION PLAN

In the matter of amendment of the Revised Tentative Allocation Plan for Class B FM Broadcast Stations; Docket No. 11329.

1. Notice is hereby given of further proposed rule making in the above-entitled matter.

2. It is proposed to amend the Revised Tentative Allocation Plan for Class B FM Broadcast Stations in the following manner:

General area	Channels	
	Delete	Add
Jackson, Tenn.....	284	281
Memphis, Tenn.....	282

3. The purpose of the proposed amendment is to provide a Class B channel in Jackson, Tennessee, thereby facilitating consideration of a pending application from station WTJS-FM to change its channel assignment to eliminate television interference in the Jackson area from its present operations.

4. Authority for the adoption of the proposed amendment is contained in sections 4 (i), 301, 303 (c), (d), (f), and (r), and 307 (b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

5. Any interested party who is of the opinion that the proposed amendment should not be adopted or should not be adopted in the form set forth herein, may file with the Commission on or before April 29, 1955, a written statement or brief setting forth his comments. Comments in support of the proposed amendment also may be filed on or before the same date. Comments or briefs in reply to the original comments may be filed within 10 days from the last day for filing said original comments or briefs. The Commission will consider all such comments that are submitted before taking action in this matter, and if any comments appear to warrant the holding of a hearing or oral argument, notice of the time and place of such hearing or oral argument will be given.

6. In accordance with the provisions of § 1.764 of the Commission's rules and regulations, an original and 14 copies of

all statements, briefs, or comments shall be furnished the Commission.

Adopted: March 30, 1955.

Released: April 1, 1955.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 55-2844; Filed, Apr. 6, 1955;
8:49 a. m.]

[47 CFR Parts 2, 3]

[Docket No. 11236; FCC 55-401]

CLASS B FM BROADCAST STATIONS

REVISED TENTATIVE ALLOCATION PLAN

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D. C., on the 30th day of March 1955;

The Commission having under consideration a proposal to amend the Revised Tentative Allocation Plan for Class B FM Broadcast Stations; and

It appearing, that notice of proposed rule making (FCC 55-225) setting forth the above amendment was issued by the Commission on February 17, 1955 and was duly published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (20 F. R. 1156), which notice provided that interested parties might file statements or briefs with respect to the said amendment on or before March 18, 1955; and

It further appearing, that no comments were received either favoring or opposing the adoption of the proposed reallocation;

It further appearing, that the immediate adoption of the proposed reallocation would facilitate consideration of a pending application requesting a Class B assignment in Norton, Virginia;

It further appearing, that authority for the adoption of the proposed amendment is contained in sections 4 (i), 301, 303 (c), (d), (f), and (r), and 307 (b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended;

It is ordered, That effective immediately, the Revised Tentative Allocation Plan for Class B FM Broadcast Stations is amended as follows in respect to the two following cities:

General area	Channels	
	Delete	Add
Norton, Va.		299
Bluefield, W. Va.	298	

Released: April 1, 1955.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 55-2843; Filed, Apr. 6, 1955;
8:49 a. m.]

[47 CFR Part 3]

[Docket No. 11331; FCC 55-404]

TELEVISION BROADCAST STATIONS

NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULE MAKING

In the matter of amendment of the Commission's rules and regulations governing television broadcast stations to permit the operation of co-channel amplifying transmitters in conjunction with the main transmitter; Docket No. 11331.

1. Notice is hereby given of proposed rule making in the above-entitled matter.

2. The Commission has been concerned with how it can best insure the fullest development of the television industry's potentialities in line with the needs and desires of the American public and the abilities and ingenuity of the American broadcasters. The Commission has noted in this connection that there are substantial obstacles presently hindering the bringing of a first television service to many small communities as well as the expanding of multiple, competing services in larger economic and population centers. One of the major obstacles is the failure of UHF stations, thus far, to become fully integrated with established VHF stations into an economically sound, nation-wide television service. The Commission noted in its recent Preliminary Report on the UHF matter to the Senate Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce that the Commission has taken, and is contemplating, a number of specific actions calculated to enhance the potentialities for television's growth within the existing allocation system. The Commission expressed its view that the only practicable course of action lies in doing what is possible to promote the present allocation plan utilizing both VHF and UHF channels.

3. It has become apparent that, as compared with VHF, the signals from UHF transmitters have less tendency to fill in areas which are not in direct line of sight with the transmitting antenna. Consequently, there are areas which, although lying within the area that would normally be served by a UHF station, are effectively "shadowed" by intervening terrain and are thereby deprived of service. One means of providing UHF television coverage in such shadow areas may be the use of amplifying transmitters operating on the same channel as the main transmitter and dependent upon the main transmitter for the generation of carrier frequencies and modulation.¹ The Commission's rules and regulations do not presently authorize the operation of amplifying transmitters. The purpose of this proceeding is to determine whether such operation would present a feasible means for increasing the effective coverage of UHF stations by filling in shadow areas within the stations' service area; and whether the Commission should amend its Rules to authorize such operation.

4. For the purpose of this Notice, the term "amplifying transmitter" will de-

¹The term "booster station" has sometimes been employed to designate such operation.

note a small transmitter operating on the same channel as the main transmitter and dependent on the main transmitter for the generation of carrier frequencies and modulation. The amplifying transmitters would have different operating requirements from the main transmitter and would be tailored to serve a particular restricted area. The term "station" encompasses both the main transmitter and one or more amplifying transmitters.

5. During recent years, a number of experimental operations have been conducted for the purpose of obtaining data with respect to the technical operation of amplifying transmitters operating in conjunction with the main UHF transmitter of a television station, and the results of these tests have been made available to the Commission. Radio Corporation of America has conducted such experiments utilizing UHF Station WJTV on Channel 25 at Jackson, Mississippi, as the main station. This station was purported to be having trouble covering the entire city of Vicksburg, Mississippi, located some 35 miles from Jackson and shielded by a ridge of hills. RCA concludes on the basis of its experimentation that there are no major difficulties involved in the operation of amplifying transmitters as a means of providing better coverage in weak signal areas. Adler Communications Laboratories, Inc. has experimented successfully with a UHF amplifying transmitter in the city of Waterbury, Connecticut, and Sylvania Electric Products, Inc. has conducted successful tests with UHF amplifying transmitters in Emporium, Pennsylvania. WSM, Inc. has contributed extensively to the general knowledge on the subject as a result of similar experimentation on the VHF frequencies.²

6. The Radio - Electronics - Television Manufacturers Association (RETMA) has established a Committee to study this general problem and has submitted an Interim Report to the Commission. The RETMA Report states that it is the Committee's opinion that improved and extended coverage can be achieved by means of amplifying transmitters without causing objectionable interference to either the normal service rendered by the main station, or to the service area of other co-channel or adjacent-channel stations authorized in accordance with the Table of Assignments set out in § 3.606 of the rules.

7. The Commission is of the view that the institution of rule-making proceedings looking toward the authorization of amplifying transmitters operating in conjunction with the main transmitter of a UHF television station is now warranted. The Commission desires that all interested parties submit comments to the Commission with respect to this problem in order that it may have the

²WSM, Inc., filed a petition on November 5, 1953, requesting that rule-making proceedings be instituted looking toward the amendment of the Commission's rules to provide for the operation of amplifying transmitters.

benefit of such views prior to taking further action in this matter.

8. The Commission desires that the comments submitted in the proceeding present information and data with respect to the following aspects of amplifying transmitter operation:

(a) Complete technical data with respect to amplifying transmitters and associated equipment and operation, including full information as to the complexity and dependability of amplifiers, antennas, etc.

(b) Data with respect to the extent, if any, of the degradation caused by operation of amplifying transmitters on color or monochrome signals and what changes, additions or deletions would be required in the Commission's rules to establish minimum separations (1) between the amplifying transmitters and the main transmitters; (2) between amplifying transmitters of the same main station; (3) between amplifying transmitters of different main stations, both co-channel and adjacent channel; and (4) between amplifying transmitters of one station and the transmitters of a station not having amplifying transmitters.

(c) Data relating to the cost of equipment for such operation, including installation and maintenance.

(d) Information with respect to the technical specifications required to assure that only the authorized television channel would be amplified by the amplifying transmitters.

(e) Information as to the technical specifications required to assure linear rebroadcast of the signal and to protect against the radiation of spurious signals resulting from internal cross modulation or self oscillation.

(f) Information with respect to plans and proposals of interested persons who intend to engage in such operation.

(g) What hours of operation should be required of amplifying transmitters?

(h) Whether amplifying transmitters should be permitted to operate unattended; and if so, under what conditions?

(i) What is the maximum distance from the main transmitter that amplifying transmitters should be permitted?

(j) What minimum power and antenna height requirements should be established for amplifying transmitter operation?

(k) What requirements should be provided for station identification of amplifying transmitters?

(l) Whether amplifying transmitters should be required to maintain a minimum field strength over a specific area?

(m) Whether amplifying transmitters should be required, or permitted, to employ vertical polarization?

(n) Whether (1) the number of amplifying transmitters should be limited in any particular area; (2) the number of amplifying transmitters operating in conjunction with a particular main station should be limited; and (3) whether and by what manner the rules governing multiple ownership of television broadcast stations should apply to the operation of such amplifying transmitters?

(o) Whether any technical standards prescribed by the rules should be

amended for such operation, and how the standards should be so amended?

8. Authority for the issuance of the instant Notice is contained in sections 4 (i), 301, 303 (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), (p) and (r) and 307 (b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

9. Any interested person who is of the view that the proposal herein should not be adopted may file with the Commission on or before May 20, 1955, written data, views, or arguments setting forth his comments. Comments in support of the proposal may also be filed on or before the same date. Comments or briefs in reply to such original comments as may be submitted should be filed within 20 days from the last day for filing said original comments or briefs. No additional comments may be filed unless (1) specifically requested by the Commission or (2) good cause for filing such additional comments is established. The Commission will consider all such additional comments submitted before taking further action in this matter, and if any comments appear to warrant the holding of a hearing, oral argument, or demonstration, notice of the time and place of such hearing, oral argument or demonstration will be given.

10. In accordance with the provisions of § 1.764 of the Commission's rules and regulations, an original and 14 copies of all statements, briefs or comments shall be furnished the Commission.

Adopted: March 30, 1955.

Released: March 31, 1955.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 55-2848; Filed, Apr. 6, 1955;
8:50 a. m.]

[47 CFR Part 3]

[Docket No. 11333; FCC 55-406]

TELEVISION BROADCAST STATIONS

TABLE OF ASSIGNMENTS

1. Notice is hereby given that the Commission has received a proposal for rule making in the above-entitled matter.

2. The Commission has before it for consideration the request of two UHF broadcasters in Peoria, Illinois, for rule making to remove the intermixture of commercial VHF and UHF assignments from Peoria. Four television channels are assigned to Peoria—VHF Channel 8 and UHF Channels 19, 37 and 43—with Channel 37 reserved for noncommercial educational use. West Central Broadcasting Company operates UHF Station WEEK-TV on Channel 43 and Hilltop Broadcasting Company operates UHF Station WTVH-TV on Channel 19. No applications have been filed for Channel 37, the educational frequency. Two applications have been filed for the sole VHF channel in Peoria, Channel 8, by WIRL Television Company and WMBD, Inc.; and these mutually exclusive applications are involved in a compara-

tive hearing. The record in the Channel 8 proceeding has been closed, and an Initial Decision was issued on November 15, 1954.

3. On September 21, 1954, West Central Broadcasting Company (WEEK-TV) and Hilltop Broadcasting Company (WTVH-TV) filed a joint petition requesting rule making to amend the Table of Assignments by reserving VHF Channel 8 in Peoria for noncommercial educational use in place of UHF Channel 37; or, in the alternative, by deleting Channel 8 from Peoria and substituting Channel 31, 78 or 82, Channel 8 being shifted to some other community. Oppositions to the above petition were filed by WIRL Television Company and WMBD, Inc., the two applicants for Channel 8. On November 4, 1954, the Commission issued a Memorandum Opinion and Order (FCC 54-1386) denying the petitioner's request for rule making.

4. On December 3, 1954, West Central Broadcasting Company and Hilltop Broadcasting Company filed a Petition for Reconsideration requesting that the Commission set aside its action denying their request and urging that rule making proceedings be instituted to achieve deintermixture in Peoria. Oppositions to the Petition for Reconsideration have been filed by WMBD, Inc., and WIRL Television Company.¹

5. Upon reconsideration of our prior action, we have concluded that the public interest, convenience and necessity would be served by the institution of a rule making proceeding in this matter in order that we may afford all interested parties the opportunity of presenting their views to the Commission and that the Commission may have the benefit of such views prior to taking further action. We have therefore decided to institute a rule making proceeding in this matter inviting all interested parties to submit their comments on petitioners' proposal.

6. The Commission desires that the comments filed in this proceeding direct their attention and submit data, among other things, to the following matters:

(a) The Grade A and Grade B contours of the stations presently operating in Peoria, as well as the proposed Grade A and Grade B contours of the VHF applicants for Channel 8.

(b) The estimated number of families in Peoria and the surrounding area residing within the service ranges of both operating and potential television stations in Peoria. The information should specify the estimated number of families residing within the Grade A and Grade B contours of the stations and the estimated number of families beyond the Grade B contours capable of receiving a satisfactory signal. (The basis for indicating service beyond the Grade B contour should be specified.)

(c) The estimated total number of television receivers in Peoria and the surrounding area, including the percentage of sets capable of receiving UHF

¹ Various additional pleadings have been filed by the parties to this proceeding. In light of our action herein instituting rule making proceedings we do not think it is necessary to discuss these pleadings.

transmissions. This information should specify the number of sets within the Grade A and Grade B contours of the stations, and the number of sets beyond the Grade B contour that receive satisfactory transmissions from Peoria.

(d) Insofar as data may be available, the estimated percentage of time that set owners within the Grade B and Grade A service area of Peoria stations view VHF and UHF stations located outside Peoria, and the quality of the signal received. Information should also be submitted indicating the number and signal quality of any outside VHF or UHF services that may be expected to be received in Peoria and the surrounding area in accordance with the present assignment table.

(e) Data indicating whether, and to what extent, any areas and populations surrounding Peoria would lose potential commercial television service in the event Channel 8 is reserved for education in Peoria or is deleted from that community. Information should also be submitted indicating what other services are received in such areas, and to what extent other services may be expected to be received under the present assignment table.

(f) Information indicating whether Channel 8 can be used in another community in accordance with the Commission's rules and regulations if it is deleted from Peoria.

(g) Information with respect to television network relations in Peoria, including complete information as to present and proposed network affiliations; the extent of network programs currently received in Peoria on a regular basis; the terms of existing network contracts, including cancellation provisions; the prospects of UHF stations for continued network affiliation after authorization of a VHF station; prospective network affiliations in the event three commercial UHF and no commercial VHF stations are authorized in Peoria; the availability of program material and advertiser support for UHF stations in Peoria in the absence of network programs; and information indicating the impact on future sales of UHF-equipped receivers and UHF conversions in the event the UHF stations curtail transmission of network programs.

7. Authority for the issuance of this Notice is contained in sections 4 (d), 301, 303 (c), (d), (f) and (r), and 307 (b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

8. All interested parties desiring to submit their comments with respect to petitioners' proposal, both in support and in opposition, may file with the Commission on or before May 2, 1955, written statements or briefs setting forth their comments. Comments or briefs in reply to such original comments that are filed should be filed with the Commission within 10 days from the last day for filing original comments. No additional comments may be filed unless (1) specifically requested by the Commission, or (2) good cause for the filing of such additional comments is established. In accordance with § 1.764 of the rules, an original and 14 copies of all statements, briefs or comments should be submitted.

9. The Commission believes that subsequent to the filing of written comments in this proceeding, an oral argument before the Commission en banc would assist the Commission in reaching its final determination. Accordingly, the Commission will specify in a subsequent notice the time and place for such oral argument and the particular matters to which the oral argument will be directed.

Adopted: March 30, 1955.

Released: March 31, 1955.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION¹

[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 55-2846; Filed, Apr. 6, 1955;
8:49 a. m.]

[47 CFR Part 3]

[Docket No. 11334; FCC 55-407]

TELEVISION BROADCAST STATIONS

TABLE OF ASSIGNMENTS

1. Notice is hereby given that the Commission has received a proposal for rule making in the above-entitled matter.

2. The Commission has before it for consideration the request of two UHF broadcasters in the Evansville, Indiana area for rule making to remove intermixture of VHF and UHF assignments. Four television channels are assigned to Evansville—VHF Channel 7 and UHF Channels 50, 56 and 62—with Channel 56 reserved for non-commercial educational use. Premier Television, Inc., operates Station WFIE on Channel 62 in Evansville, and Ohio Valley Television Co. operates UHF Station WEHT on Channel 50 in Henderson, Kentucky, a community within 15 miles of Evansville. No applications have been filed for Channel 56, the educational assignment. Three applications have been filed for the sole VHF channel in Evansville, Channel 7, by Evansville Television, Inc., On The Air, Inc., and WFBM, Inc.; and these mutually exclusive applications are involved in a comparative hearing. The record in the Channel 7 proceeding was closed on March 23, 1954 and an Initial Decision was issued on October 6, 1954.

3. On October 20, 1954, Premier Television, Inc. (WFIE) and Ohio Valley Television Co. (WEHT) filed a joint petition requesting rule making to amend the table of assignments by shifting the educational reservation in Evansville from UHF Channel 56 to VHF Channel 7. Oppositions to the above petition were filed by the three applicants for VHF Channel 7—Evansville Television, Inc., On The Air, Inc., and WFBM, Inc. On January 11, 1955, the Commission issued a Memorandum Opinion and Order (FCC 55-7) denying the petitioners' request for rule making.

4. On February 4, 1955, Premier Television, Inc., and Ohio Valley Television Co. filed a Petition for Rehearing and Further Request for Rule Making requesting the Commission to rescind its

¹ Dissenting statement of Commissioner Henneck filed as part of original document.

prior action denying their request and urging that rule-making proceedings be instituted. In their Petition for Rehearing petitioners, in addition to requesting that the educational reservation in Evansville be shifted from UHF Channel 56 to VHF Channel 7, also request that VHF Channel 9 be deleted from Hatfield, Indiana. Two applicants, Owensboro On The Air, Inc., and Owensboro Publishing Company have filed applications for Channel 9 in Hatfield; and these mutually exclusive applications are involved in a comparative hearing. The record in the Channel 9 proceeding in Hatfield was closed on January 7, 1955. Petitioners suggest that Channel 14, presently assigned to Owensboro, Kentucky, is available for assignment to the successful applicant in the Channel 9 proceeding in Hatfield. Petitioners state that they seek this additional modification in the table of assignments in order to de-intermix the Evansville-Hatfield-Henderson-Owensboro area. Oppositions to petitioners' Petition for Rehearing and Further Request for Rule Making have been filed by the VHF applicants in the Channel 7 proceeding in Hatfield—Evansville Television, Inc., Consolidated Television and Radio Broadcasters, Inc. (formerly WFBM, Inc.), On The Air, Inc., Owensboro Publishing Company and Owensboro On The Air, Inc.

5. Upon reconsideration of our prior action, we have concluded that the public interest, convenience and necessity would be served by the institution of a rule-making proceeding in order that we may afford all interested parties the opportunity of presenting their views to the Commission and that the Commission may have the benefit of such views prior to taking further action. We have therefore decided to institute a rule-making proceeding in this matter inviting all interested parties to submit their comments on petitioners' proposal.

6. The Commission desires that the comments filed in this proceeding direct their attention and submit data, among other things, to the following matters:

(a) The Grade A and Grade B contours of the stations presently operating in Evansville,² as well as the proposed Grade A and Grade B contours of the VHF applicants for Channel 7 in Evansville and Channel 9 in Hatfield.

(b) The estimated number of families in Evansville and the surrounding area residing within the service ranges of both operating and potential stations in Evansville and Hatfield. The information should specify the estimated number of families residing within the Grade A and Grade B contours of the stations and the estimated number of families beyond the Grade B contours but capable of receiving a satisfactory signal. (The basis for indicating service beyond the Grade B contour should be specified.)

(c) The estimated total number of television receivers in Evansville and Hatfield and their surrounding areas, in-

² Information with respect to Henderson, Kentucky, where Station WEHT is operating on Channel 50, should also be included in connection with all of these matters.

cluding the percentage of sets capable of receiving UHF transmissions. This information should specify the number of sets within the Grade A and Grade B contours of the stations, and the number of sets beyond the Grade B contour that receive satisfactory transmissions from Evansville.

(d) In so far as data may be available, the estimated percentage of time that set owners within the Grade A and Grade B service areas of Evansville stations view VHF or UHF stations located outside Evansville, and the quality of the signal received. This data should also indicate the same information with respect to set owners in Hatfield. Information should also be submitted indicating the number and signal quality of any outside VHF or UHF services that may be expected to be received in Evansville and Hatfield and the surrounding areas in accordance with the present assignment table.

(e) Data indicating whether, and to what extent, any areas and populations surrounding Evansville and Hatfield would lose potential commercial television service in the event Channel 7 in Evansville is reserved for education and Channel 9 in Hatfield is deleted from that community. Information should also be submitted indicating what other services are received in such areas, and to what extent other services may be expected to be received under the present assignment table.

(f) Information indicating whether Channel 9 in Hatfield and Channel 7 in Evansville can be used in other communities in accordance with the Commission's rules and regulations if they are deleted from these communities.

(g) Information with respect to television network relations in Evansville and Hatfield, including complete information as to present and proposed network affiliations; the extent of network programs currently received in these communities on a regular basis; the terms of existing network contracts, including cancellation provisions; the prospects of UHF stations for continued network affiliation after authorization of a VHF station; prospective network affiliations in the event no commercial VHF stations are authorized in Evansville and Hatfield; the availability of program material and advertiser support for UHF stations in these communities in the absence of network programs; and information indicating the impact on future sales of UHF-equipped receivers and UHF conversions in the event the UHF stations curtail transmission of network programs.

7. Authority for the issuance of this notice is contained in sections 4 (i), 301, 303 (c), (d), (f) and (r), and 307 (b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

8. All interested parties desiring to submit their comments with respect to petitioners' proposal, both in support and in opposition, may file with the Commission on or before May 2, 1955, written statements or briefs setting forth their comments. Comments or briefs in reply to such original comments as may be filed should be submitted to the Commission within 10 days from the last day

for filing original comments or briefs. No additional comments may be filed unless (1) specifically requested by the Commission, or (2) good cause for the filing of such additional comments is established. In accordance with § 1.764 of the rules, an original and 14 copies of all statements, briefs or comments should be submitted.

9. The Commission believes that subsequent to the filing of written comments in this proceeding, an oral argument before the Commission en banc would assist the Commission in reaching its final determination. Accordingly, the Commission will specify in a subsequent Notice the time and place for such oral argument and the particular matters to which the oral argument will be directed.

Adopted: March 30, 1955.

Released: March 31, 1955.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,¹

[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 55-2845; Filed, Apr. 6, 1955;
8:49 a. m.]

[47 CFR Part 3]

[Docket No. 11335; FCC 55-408]

TELEVISION BROADCAST STATIONS

TABLE OF ASSIGNMENTS

1. Notice is hereby given that the Commission has received proposals for rule making in the above-entitled matter.

2. The Commission has before it for consideration the request of a UHF broadcaster in Madison, Wisconsin, for rule making to remove the intermixture of commercial VHF and UHF assignments from Madison. Four television channels are assigned to Madison—VHF Channel 3 and UHF Channels 21, 27 and 33—with Channel 21 reserved for non-commercial educational use. Monona Broadcasting Company operates UHF television station WKOW-TV on Channel 27; Station WMTV operates on Channel 33; and non-commercial educational station WHA-TV operates on Channel 21. Two applications have been filed for the sole VHF channel in Madison, Channel 3, by Radio Wisconsin Incorporated and Badger Television Company; and these mutually exclusive applications are involved in a comparative hearing. The record in the Channel 3 proceeding was closed on December 22, 1953, and an Initial Decision was issued on August 3, 1954.

3. On August 30, 1954, Monona Broadcasting Company filed a petition requesting rule making to amend the Table of Assignments by shifting the educational reservation in Madison from UHF Channel 21 to VHF Channel 3 in order to achieve de-intermixture. Monona requested that educational station WHA-TV be directed to show cause why it should not shift its operation from Channel 21 to Channel 3. Oppositions to the above petition were filed by the

¹ Dissenting statement of Commissioner Henneck filed as part of original document.

two applicants for VHF Channel 3, Radio Wisconsin Incorporated and Badger Television Company, Inc. On November 1, 1954, the Commission issued a memorandum Opinion and Order (FCC 54-1355) denying petitioner's request for rule making.

4. On February 16, 1955, Monona Broadcasting Company filed a petition resubmitting its request for rule making to achieve de-intermixture in Madison. Oppositions to Monona's new petition have been filed by both Radio Wisconsin and Badger.

5. On March 30, 1955, Winnebago Television Corporation, permittee of UHF Station WTVO operating on Channel 39 in Rockford, Illinois, filed a petition requesting rule making to amend the Table of Assignments so as to assign Channel 3 to Beloit, Wisconsin, by deleting this assignment from Madison, Wisconsin, in order to achieve de-intermixture of VHF and UHF assignments in the Rockford-Beloit and Madison areas as follows:

City	Channel No.	
	Delete	Add
Madison, Wis.....	3	39
Beloit, Wis.....		3
Rockford, Ill.....	39	
Fond du Lac, Wis.....	54	68

Petitioner further requests that the Commission order it to show cause why its outstanding authorization for Station WTVO should not be modified to specify operation on Channel 3 at Beloit instead of on Channel 39 at Rockford. Petitioner further suggests that the Rockford area may be de-intermixed by making it an all-UHF area by removing Channel 13 from Rockford, as follows:

City	Channel No.	
	Delete	Add
Rockford, Ill.....	13	51
Aurora or Elgin, Ill.....		13

6. Since the Winnebago petition would delete Channel 3 from Madison, it conflicts with the foregoing request of Monona Broadcasting Company. It should therefore be considered in this proceeding.

7. Upon reconsideration of our prior action on the basis of the new petition submitted by Monona Broadcasting Company and the Oppositions thereto and the petition of Winnebago Television Corporation, we have concluded that the public interest, convenience and necessity would be served by the institution of rule making in order that we may afford all interested parties the opportunity of presenting their views to the Commission and that the Commission may have the benefit of such views prior to taking further action. We have therefore decided to institute a rule making proceeding in this matter inviting all interested parties to submit their comments on petitioners' proposals.

8. The Commission desires that the comments filed in this proceeding direct

their attention and submit data, among other things, to the following matters:

(a) The Grade A and Grade B contours of the stations presently operating in Madison as well as the proposed Grade A and Grade B contours of the VHF applicants for Channel 3.

(b) The estimated number of families in Madison and the surrounding area residing within the service ranges of both operating and potential television stations in Madison. The information should specify the estimated number of families residing within the Grade A and Grade B contours of the stations and the estimated number of families beyond the Grade B contours but capable of receiving a satisfactory signal. (The basis for indicating service beyond the Grade B contour should be specified.)

(c) The estimated total number of television receivers in Madison and the surrounding area, including the percentage of sets capable of receiving UHF transmissions. This information should specify the number of sets within the Grade A and Grade B contours of the stations, and the number of sets beyond the Grade B contour that receive satisfactory transmissions from Madison.

(d) Insofar as data may be available, the estimated percentage of time that set owners within the Grade A and Grade B service area of Madison stations view VHF or UHF stations located outside Madison, and the quality of the signal received. Information should also be submitted indicating the number and signal quality of any outside VHF or UHF services that may be expected to be received in Madison and the surrounding area in accordance with the present Assignment Table.

(e) Data indicating whether, and to what extent, any areas and populations surrounding Madison would lose potential commercial television service in the event Channel 3 is reserved for education in Madison or is deleted from that community. Information should also be submitted indicating what other services are received in such areas, and to what extent other services may be expected to be received under the present assignment table.

(f) Information indicating whether Channel 3 can be used in another community in accordance with the Commission's rules and regulations if it is deleted from Madison.

(g) Information with respect to television network relations in Madison including complete information as to present and proposed network affiliations; the extent of network programs currently received in Madison on a regular basis; the terms of existing network contracts, including cancellation provisions; the prospects of UHF stations for continued network affiliations after authorization of a VHF station; prospective network affiliations in the event three commercial UHF and no commercial VHF stations are authorized in Madison; the availability of program material and advertiser support for UHF stations in Madison in the absence of network programs; and information in-

dicating the impact on future sales of UHF-equipped receivers and UHF conversions in the event the UHF stations curtail transmission of network programs.

(h) Similar data and information with respect to the foregoing matters should also be submitted in light of the proposal of Winnebago Television Corporation to shift Channel 3 from Madison to Beloit or to shift Channel 13 from Rockford to Aurora or Elgin.

8. Authority for the issuance of this Notice is contained in sections 4 (i), 301, 303 (c), (d), (f), and (r), 307 (b) and 316 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

9. As noted above, the request of Monona Broadcasting Company would shift the existing authorization of educational Station WHA-TV now operating on Channel 21 in Madison to VHF Channel 3. Accordingly, Station WHA-TV is ordered to show cause in this proceeding why its outstanding authorization should not be modified to specify operation on Channel 3 in place of Channel 21. The Reply to the Order to Show Cause should be filed in this proceeding by the same date for the submission of written comments herein. Winnebago Television Corporation is also ordered to show cause in this proceeding why its outstanding authorization for Station WTVO should not be modified to specify operation on Channel 3 in Beloit, Wisconsin, in place of Channel 39 at Rockford, Illinois.

10. All interested parties desiring to submit their comments with respect to petitioners' proposals, both in support and in opposition, may file with the Commission on or before May 2, 1955, written statements or briefs setting forth their comments. Comments or briefs in reply to such original comments as may be filed should be submitted to the Commission within 10 days from the last day for filing original comments. No additional comments may be filed unless (1) specifically requested by the Commission, or (2) good cause for the filing of such additional comments is established. In accordance with Section 1.764 of the Rules, an original and 14 copies of all statements, briefs or comments should be submitted.

11. The Commission believes that subsequent to the filing of written comments in this proceeding, an oral argument before the Commission en banc would assist the Commission in reaching its final determination. Accordingly, the Commission will specify in a subsequent Notice the time and place for such oral argument and the particular matters to which the oral argument will be directed.

Adopted: March 30, 1955.

Released: March 31, 1955.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,¹

[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 55-2849; Filed, Apr. 6, 1955;
8:50 a. m.]

¹ Dissenting statement of Commissioner Hennock filed as part of original document.

[47 CFR Part 3]

[Docket No. 11336; FCC 55-409]

TELEVISION BROADCAST STATIONS

TABLE OF ASSIGNMENTS

1. Notice is hereby given that the Commission has received proposals for rule making in the above-entitled matter.

2. The Commission has before it for consideration the request of four UHF broadcasters in the Connecticut River Valley for rule making to remove the intermixture of commercial VHF and UHF assignments from Hartford, Connecticut. Three television channels are assigned to Hartford—VHF Channel 3 and UHF Channels 18 and 24, with Channel 24 reserved for noncommercial educational use. General-Times Television Corporation operates UHF Station WGTH-TV on Channel 18, and a construction permit for an educational station (WEDH) on Channel 24 has been issued to the Connecticut State Board of Education. Two applications have been filed for the sole VHF channel in Hartford, Connecticut, Channel 3, by the Travelers Broadcasting Service Corporation and Hartford Telecasting Company, Inc.; and these mutually exclusive applications are involved in a comparative hearing. The record in this proceeding was closed on June 4, 1954, and an Initial Decision is being awaited.

3. On October 7, 1954, permittees of four UHF television stations situated in the Connecticut River Valley—General-Times Television Corporation (WGTH-TV), Hartford; New Britain Broadcasting Company (WHNB-TV), New Britain, Connecticut; Hampden-Hampshire Corporation (WHYN-TV), Springfield, Massachusetts; and Springfield Television Broadcasting Corporation (WWLP), Springfield, Massachusetts—filed a joint petition requesting rule making to amend the Table of Assignments by shifting the educational reservation in Hartford from UHF Channel 24 to VHF Channel 3, in order to achieve a deintermixture of commercial VHF and UHF channels in that area. Petitioners requested that the Connecticut State Board of Education, which holds a permit to construct an educational station on Channel 24 in Hartford, be directed to show cause why its authorization for Station WEDH should not be modified to specify operation on VHF Channel 3. Oppositions to the above petition were filed by the two competing applicants for VHF Channel 3 in Hartford, Hartford Telecasting Company, Inc., and the Travelers Broadcasting Service Corporation. On December 7, 1954, the Commission issued a Memorandum Opinion and Order (FCC 54-1506) denying petitioners' request for rule making.

4. On January 5, 1955, the petitioners filed a Petition for Reconsideration requesting that the Commission set aside its action denying their request and urging that a rule making proceeding be instituted to achieve deintermixture in the Connecticut River Valley. Oppositions to the above Petition for Reconsideration have been filed by Hartford Telecasting Company, Inc., and the Travelers Broadcasting Service Corporation.

5. On March 18, 1955, Channel 16 of Rhode Island, Inc., permittee of UHF Station WNET on Channel 16 in Providence, Rhode Island, filed a conflicting petition requesting the Commission to amend the Table of Assignments by shifting VHF Channel 3 from Hartford to Westerly, Rhode Island; and requesting, further, that it be directed to show cause why its authorization should not be modified to specify operation on Channel 3 in Westerly in place of Channel 16 in Providence. Petitioner urges that its proposal would affect a deintermixture of VHF and UHF assignments in the Connecticut River Valley area. On March 28, 1955, the Travelers Broadcasting Service Corporation filed an Opposition to this petition. Since petitioner's proposal conflicts with the request to shift the educational reservation in Hartford from UHF Channel 24 to VHF Channel 3, it should be considered in this proceeding.

6. Four channels are presently assigned to Providence—VHF Channels 10 and 12 and UHF Channels 16 and 22—with Channel 22 reserved for non-commercial educational use. Station WJAR-TV (The Outlet Company) is operating on VHF Channel 10 and petitioner's station, WNET, operates on Channel 16. Cherry & Webb Broadcasting Company holds an STA to operate on Channel 12. An application for Channel 22, the educational frequency, is pending. There are no assignments presently made to Westerly, a community of 12,380 persons located in the Southwest portion of Rhode Island.

7. Channel 16 of Rhode Island suggests four alternative methods for accomplishing its proposal, as follows:

PLAN I¹

City	Add	Delete
Westerly, R. I.	3	-----
Hartford, Conn.	47	3
New Brunswick, N. J.	41	47
Trenton, N. J.	29	41
Philadelphia, Pa.	70	29
Hammondton, N. J.	64	70
Bridgeton, N. J.	78	64

PLAN II

City	Add	Delete
Westerly, R. I.	3	-----
Hartford, Conn.	76	3
Northampton, Mass.	82	36
Amherst, Mass.	42	82
Norwich, Conn.	47	57
New Brunswick, N. J.	41	47
Trenton, N. J.	47	41

PLAN III

City	Add	Delete
Westerly, R. I.	3	-----
Hartford, Conn.	76	3
Northampton, Mass.	82	36
Amherst, Mass.	64	82
Pittsfield, Mass.	74	64
Bennington, Vt.	61	74

PLAN IV

City	Add	Delete
Westerly, R. I.	3	-----
Hartford, Conn.	59	3
New Haven, Conn.	75	59
Patchogue, N. Y.	54	75

¹ Plan I is defective, in part, in that Channel 64 cannot be assigned to Hammondton, N. J., with Channel 78 assigned to Bridgeton, N. J., in view of the requirements that assignments which are separated by 14 channels must have a minimum spacing of 60 miles (sound image).

8. It is noted that pursuant to Plan IV advanced by petitioner, Channel 75 would be assigned to New Haven, Connecticut, in place of Channel 59. Connecticut Radio Foundation, Inc., presently holds an authorization for Station WELI-TV on this frequency. The station has not yet been constructed. Petitioner requests that the Commission direct WELI-TV to Show Cause why its authorization should not be modified to specify operation on Channel 75 in place of Channel 59.

9. Upon reconsideration of our prior action, we have concluded that the public interest, convenience and necessity would be served by the institution of a rule making proceeding in order that all interested parties may be afforded the opportunity of presenting their views to the Commission and that the Commission may have the benefit of such views prior to taking further action. We have, therefore, decided to institute a rule making proceeding in this matter inviting all interested parties to submit their comments on the proposals advanced by the four UHF broadcasters in the Connecticut River Valley and by Channel 16 of Rhode Island, Inc. with respect to Channel 3 in Hartford.

10. The Commission desires that the comments filed in this proceeding direct their attention to and submit data on, among other things, the following matters:

(a) The Grade A and Grade B contours of the stations presently operating in the Connecticut River Valley, as well as the proposed Grade A and Grade B contours of the VHF applicants for Channel 3 in Hartford. The Grade A and Grade B contours of a VHF station operating at Westerly, Rhode Island, should also be indicated.

(b) The estimated number of families in Hartford and the surrounding area residing within the service ranges of both operating and potential television stations in Hartford. This information should specify the estimated number of families residing within the Grade A and Grade B contours of the station and the estimated number of families beyond the Grade B contour capable of receiving a satisfactory signal. (The basis for indicating service beyond the Grade B contour should be specified.)

(c) The estimated total number of television receivers in Hartford and the Connecticut River Valley; including the percentage of sets capable of receiving UHF transmissions. This information should specify the number of sets within the Grade A and Grade B contours of the stations, and the number of sets beyond the Grade B contours that receive satisfactory transmissions from Hartford.

(d) Insofar as data may be available, the estimated percentage of time that set owners within the Grade A and Grade B service areas of stations in the Connecticut River Valley view VHF and UHF stations located in other areas, and the quality of the signal received. Information should also be submitted indicating the number and signal quality of any outside VHF or UHF services that may be expected to be received in the Con-

necticut River Valley in accordance with the present assignment table.

(e) Data indicating whether, and to what extent, any areas and populations in the Connecticut River Valley would lose potential commercial television service in the event that Channel 3 is reserved for education in Hartford, is shifted to Westerly, Rhode Island, or is otherwise deleted from Hartford. Information should also be submitted indicating what other services are received in such areas, and to what extent other services may be expected to be received under the present assignment table.

(f) Information indicating whether Channel 3 can be used in Westerly, Rhode Island, or any other community in accordance with the Commission's rules and regulations if deleted from Hartford.

(g) Information with respect to television network relations in the Connecticut River Valley, including complete information as to present and proposed network affiliations; the extent of network programs currently received in the Connecticut River Valley on a regular basis; the terms of existing network contracts, including cancellation provisions; the prospects of UHF stations for continued network affiliation after authorization of a VHF station in Hartford; prospective network affiliations in the event no commercial VHF stations are authorized in Hartford; the availability of program material and advertiser support for UHF stations in the Connecticut River Valley in the absence of network programs; and information indicating the impact on future sales of UHF-equipped receivers and UHF conversions in the event the UHF stations curtail transmission of network programs.

11. Authority for the issuance of this Notice is contained in sections 4 (i), 301, 303 (c), (d), (f), and (r), 307 (b) and 316 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

12. The Connecticut State Board of Education presently holds an authorization to operate educational station WEDH on Channel 24 in Hartford. One of the proposals herein would shift the operation of this station to VHF Channel 3. Accordingly, the Connecticut State Board of Education is directed to show cause in this proceeding why its outstanding authorization for Station WEDH should not be modified to specify operation on Channel 3 in place of Channel 24. The proposal of Channel 16 of Rhode Island, Inc., contemplates Station WNET on Channel 16 in Providence shifting its operation to VHF Channel 3 in Westerly, Rhode Island. Accordingly, Channel 16 of Rhode Island is directed to show cause why its outstanding authorization for WNET should not be modified to specify operation on Channel 3 in Westerly in place of Channel 16 in Providence. Finally, one of the alternative methods for achieving the assignment of Channel 3 in Westerly advanced by Channel 16 of Rhode Island is the substitution of Channel 75 for Channel 59 in New Haven, Connecticut. Since Connecticut Radio Foundation, Inc. holds a permit for Station WELI-TV on Channel 59 in New Haven, it is also directed to show cause in this proceeding why its

outstanding authorization should not be modified to specify operation on Channel 75 in lieu of Channel 59. Replies to the aforesaid orders to show cause should be filed by the same date for the submission of written comments in this proceeding.

13. All interested parties desiring to submit their comments with respect to petitioners' proposals, both in support or in opposition, may file with the Commission on or before May 2, 1955, written statements or briefs setting forth their comments. Comments or briefs in reply to such original comments as may be filed should be submitted to the Commission within 10 days from the last day for filing original comments. No additional comments may be filed unless (1) specifically requested by the Commission, or (2) good cause for the filing of such additional comments is established. In accordance with § 1.764 of the rules, an original and 14 copies of all statements, briefs or comments should be submitted.

14. The Commission believes that subsequent to the filing of written comments in this proceeding, an oral argument before the Commission en banc would assist the Commission in reaching its final determination. Accordingly, the Commission will specify in a subsequent notice the time and place for such oral argument, and will specify the particular matters to which the oral argument will be directed.

Adopted: March 30, 1955.

Released: March 31, 1955.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,¹

[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 55-2847; Filed, Apr. 6, 1955;
8:50 a. m.]

[47 CFR Part 4]

[Docket No. 11164; FCC 55-389]

TELEVISION AUXILIARY BROADCAST STATIONS

FURTHER NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULE MAKING

In the matter of amendment of Part 4 of the Commission's rules and regulations governing Television Auxiliary Broadcast Stations; Docket No. 11164.

1. On September 16, 1954, the Commission issued its Notice of Proposed Rule Making in the above-entitled proceeding. The Notice of September 16 recited that the Commission had received a petition filed by North Dakota Broadcasting Company, Inc., requesting amendment of §§ 4.631 and 4.632 of the Commission's rules so as to give the Commission discretion to grant applications for private television intercity relay stations notwithstanding the fact that common carrier facilities may be available. The petition stated that the cost of common carrier facilities was in many cases prohibitive to broadcasters, and that because of this fact large areas may be deprived of network television serv-

ice. The Commission's Notice cited its awareness of the problems of certain television stations located in relatively small communities at a distance from existing program service points where, under existing tariffs, the common carrier mileage charges for connection of such stations with the networks may not be commensurate with the economic prospects of the stations for profitable operation.

2. In response to the Commission's Notice, a number of comments were filed. In general the comments of broadcasters cited the economic hardships of the stations and the high cost to them resulting from the tariff rates of the telephone companies for television program transmission services. The comments indicated a desire on the part of many stations to accomplish an interconnection with other stations by receiving their signals off the air at a favorable point and relaying such signals the remaining distance for broadcasting by a second station. This off-the-air pickup is, according to the comments, in use by a majority of the broadcasters who are today authorized to operate private relay systems under the present rules.

3. American Telephone and Telegraph Company, on December 15, 1954, filed a reply to the comments of the radio broadcasters. In its reply, A. T. & T., among other things suggested that, if the off-the-air pickup method of service was acceptable to the broadcasters and the public viewers, this type of service could be provided by common carriers at charges substantially less than the present charges for direct network connection. In further development of this suggestion, A. T. & T. addressed a letter to the Commission, dated February 24, 1955, which reads, in part, as follows:

Where the stations in the smaller and more remote areas desire a lower cost means of obtaining network programs, the Telephone Company will furnish an arrangement, whereby programs of a network station are picked up off-the-air and relayed over a common carrier channel to the remote station using lower grade facilities than employed in the nationwide network. Considerable savings can be realized by this means through the elimination of the facilities spanning the distance between the television broadcast station and pickup point, coupled with the elimination of the monitoring, supervision and some equipment features which are necessary to protect the quality and continuity of service on the regular network facilities on which the programs of many television stations depend.

The cases for which these channels may be found advantageous will vary widely as regards distances, terrain and other characteristics which affect the physical facilities required. Pending experience with actual cases, it is desirable therefore to determine the charge for each channel reflecting the particular conditions involved. The specific charge for each channel will be published as a tariff rate and will be filed with the Commission as service is required.

Taking into account the savings due to the off-the-air feature and the further economies mentioned above, it is estimated that the charges in cases involving distances of about 100 to 125 miles between the two television stations probably will average about half of those which would apply for direct connections to the network. In individual cases the differences may vary substantially from this average—in general they tend to

be larger for shorter distances. Such charges should go a long way toward making it economically practicable for the stations in the more remote areas to obtain network programs.

Discussions are being undertaken with a number of the broadcasting stations who have previously indicated an interest in this service, which is described in more detail in the attached memorandum.

The detailed description of the proposed service referred to in the quoted portion of A. T. & T.'s letter is set forth below.

4. In the light of the new proposal by A. T. & T., the Commission feels it desirable to obtain further comment from interested parties, in order to determine to what extent the proposed new service will alleviate the problems of the broadcasters referred to in previous comments. Any interested party may file with the Commission on or before April 29, 1955, a written statement or brief setting forth his views on the matters at issue herein. Comments or briefs in reply to the original comments may be filed within 10 days from the last day for filing said original comments and briefs. No additional comments may be filed unless (1) specifically requested by the Commission or (2) good cause for the filing of such additional comments is established. The Commission will consider all such comments that are submitted before taking action in this matter, and if any comments appear to warrant the holding of a hearing or oral argument, notice of the time and place of such hearing or oral argument will be given.

5. In accordance with the provisions of § 1.764 of the Commission's rules, an original and 14 copies of all statements, briefs, or comments shall be furnished the Commission.

Adopted: March 30, 1955.

Released: April 1, 1955.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,
Secretary.

Off-the-air channels. This memorandum describes the off-the-air channels to be furnished by the Telephone Company with the objective of extending network television service at lower cost to those more remote areas which, under present conditions, cannot justify the cost of direct network connections.

The off-the-air arrangement involves the pickup of broadcast signals (video and audio) at a receiver and the transmission of these signals to the customer at a distant location. The more important features of this arrangement are outlined below:

It is contemplated that the types of construction will be selected with a view to permitting lower charges and it is not intended to provide channels of the quality or reliability of directly connected facilities.

The channels are expected to be satisfactory for "receiving only" side legs or end links. They will not be provided for use as an intermediate link between interexchange channels of the Telephone Company.

The provision of all facilities, including the receiving equipment at the pickup point, will be the responsibility of the Telephone Company; except that the provision of all station equipment and station wiring, other than that necessary for the suitable termination of the channel facilities on the customer's premises, will be the responsibility of the customer.

¹ Dissenting statement of Commissioner Hennock filed as part of original document.

No central office operation, monitoring or supervision will be provided.

Emergency power arrangements will be more limited than those furnished with regular interconnected facilities.

The design of the physical facilities, e. g., the type of equipment, the spacing between relay towers, and the location of the pickup point, will be determined by the Telephone Company, reflecting to the fullest extent practicable the customer's views in each case.

Channels for both monochrome and color services will be available.

The channels will be furnished for the full time use of the customer, subject to release for maintenance.

The channels will be provided for a minimum initial period of three years. A termination charge will apply when the use of the channels is discontinued before the end of the initial period.

Reasonable diligence will be exercised by the Company in restoring service in the event of interruptions of failures, with the customer recognizing the probability of greater delays than experienced with the normal directly connected facilities. No credit will be allowed for interruptions of less than two hours.

The customer will be responsible for making all arrangements with stations, networks or other parties for the necessary authorizations for the off-the-air pickup and use for rebroadcast of television program material, and the Telephone Company shall be indemnified and saved harmless by the customer from any liability arising out of failure to make such arrangements.

A review of the television stations now on the air but not connected with the nationwide network, of the construction permits already granted for stations not yet on the air, and of applications for new stations pending before the Commission, indicates a wide variation in the conditions under which the channels might be provided. Among these are the distance of the customer's location from the television station whose signals are desired to be picked up, the distance from such station to the point at which the receiver may be located for the pickup of satisfactory signals, the type of terrain, access to sites, reliability of local power supply, etc.

Engineering design considerations which are affected by these conditions are the spacing between radio relay stations, the height of the radio relay towers, the size and type of antenna system, the type of radio relay equipment (e. g., power output, modulation and demodulation arrangements, etc.), construction of access roads, type of emergency power plant, etc. Sufficient experience with this type of construction in the more remote areas is not available to permit the evaluation of these varied factors. The practical

procedure pending such experience is to determine the charge for each channel, reflecting the particular conditions involved. The specific charge for each channel will be published as a tariff rate and filed with the Commission as service is required.

The channels will provide opportunities for the television stations located in the more remote areas to obtain network service at a lower cost than would be involved with direct connections to the networks. A large portion of this difference arises from the use of the off-the-air pickup feature, that is, the elimination of line facilities for a considerable portion of the distance between existing network facilities and the customer's location, and the balance from the omission of the central office operation, monitoring and supervision required for network facilities and of some of the equipment and features which are necessary to protect the continuity of service on the regular network facilities on which service to a large number of television stations depends. These lower charges, therefore, will be at the cost of less stable and less reliable pictures and the limitations on programming inherent to the off-the-air feature.

[F. R. Doc. 55-2850; Filed, Apr. 6, 1955; 8:50 a. m.]

[47 CFR Part 64]

[Docket No. 11315; FCC 55-370]

CHARGES FOR U. S. GOVERNMENT TELEGRAPH COMMUNICATIONS

TERM

In the matter of Charges for United States Government Telegraph Communications, amendment of Part 64 of the Commission's rules and regulations (Miscellaneous Rules Relating to Common Carriers); Docket No. 11315.

1. Notice is hereby given of proposed rule making in the above-entitled matter.

2. It is proposed to amend § 64.310 Term of Subpart C (United States Government Foreign and Overseas Telegraph Communications), of Part 64 of the Commission's rules and regulations, to read as follows:

§ 64.310 Term. The provisions of this subpart shall continue in effect through June 30, 1956, unless changed by order of the Commission.

Reason for change: It is necessary under the applicable cable landing licenses that the Commission act annu-

ally, and the Section in its present form provides that the provisions of Subpart C shall continue in effect through June 30, 1955.

3. No changes are now being proposed in the other provisions of Subpart C.

4. The proposed amendment is issued under authority of sections 4 (i) and 601 (b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and pursuant to the provisions of the permits or licenses granted by the President of the United States, giving the Postmaster General authority to fix rates and charges for United States Government telegraph communications transmitted by any carrier or carriers subject to the terms of such permits or licenses, which authority was transferred to the Commission by section 601 (b) of the Communications Act.

5. Any interested person who is of the opinion that the proposed amendment should not be adopted, or should not be adopted in the form set forth herein, may file with the Commission on or before April 29, 1955, written data, views or arguments setting forth his comments. Comments in support of the proposed amendment may also be filed on or before the same date. Comments in reply to the original comments may be filed within 10 days from the last day for filing said original data, views or arguments. No additional comments may be filed unless (1) specifically requested by the Commission or (2) good cause for the filing of such additional comments is established. The Commission will consider all such comments prior to taking final action in this matter, and if comments are submitted warranting oral argument, notice of the time and place of such oral argument will be given.

6. In accordance with the provisions of § 1.764 of the Commission's rules, an original and 14 copies of all statements, briefs or comments shall be furnished the Commission.

Adopted: March 30, 1955.

Released: April 1, 1955.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 55-2851; Filed, Apr. 6, 1955; 8:50 a. m.]

NOTICES

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Civil Aeronautics Administration

[Amdt. 6]

ORGANIZATION AND FUNCTIONS

MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS

In accordance with the public information requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act, the description of the Organization and Functions of the Civil Aeronautics Administration (published on April 10, 1954, in 19 F. R. 2098, as amended on August 17, 1954, in 19

F. R. 5633) is further amended by redefining the duties and responsibilities of the principal officers of the Office of the Administrator of Civil Aeronautics; establishing a Budget and Finance Office; abolishing the Budget Office and the Organization and Methods Office; reassigning security functions from the Office of General Services to the Office of the Assistant Administrator for Administration; reassigning accounting functions from the Office of General Services to the Budget and Finance Office; changing the Office of General Services to the General Services Office; and abolishing the

Planning Staff Division, Office of Federal Airways.

1. Section 11 (b) is amended to read:

(b) The Civil Aeronautics Administration shall consist of the following organizational units:

(1) Office of the Administrator, which includes the:

Immediate Office of the Administrator.
Office of the Assistant Administrator for Administration.
Office of the Assistant Administrator for Operations.
Office of the Assistant Administrator for

Planning, Research and Development.
Office of the Executive Assistant.

(2) Staff and Program Offices, including:

General Counsel's Office.
Aviation Information Office.
Budget and Finance Office.
Personnel Office.
General Services Office.
Office of Airports.
Office of Aviation Safety.
Office of Federal Airways.

(3) Major Field Organizations, including:

Regional Offices.
Washington National Airport.
Aeronautical Center.
Technical Development and Evaluation Center.

2. Section 14 is amended to read:

SEC. 14. *Functions of the Office of the Administrator.* (a) The functions of the Office of the Administrator are as follows:

(1) Plans or approves, and promulgates the basic programs, policies, and public rules to accomplish the functions and objectives of the Civil Aeronautics Administration;

(2) Prescribes the organization structure and the assignment of responsibilities within the Administration;

(3) Directs, coordinates, and controls the execution of the Administration's programs in accordance with the directives of the Congress, the President, and the Secretary of Commerce; and

(4) Maintains liaison with other agencies of the Government, the Congress, State aviation officials, the aviation industry, and the flying public.

(b) The duties and responsibilities of the principal officers of the Office of the Administrator are as follows:

(1) The Administrator in conformity with the policies and directives of the Secretary of Commerce and other legal requirements determines the policies of the Civil Aeronautics Administration; directs the development of and controls the execution of its programs; promulgates General Orders, policy statements, rules, and such other instructions as he deems necessary for the effective administration of the CAA.

(2) The Assistant Administrator for Administration discharges the functions of the Office of the Administrator in the area of general administration with particular reference to the managerial processes involved in personnel administration, fiscal management, organization planning, and the administration of general services throughout the organization; exercises direction and control over the administration of the Personnel Office, the Budget and Finance Office, the General Services Office, and the Aeronautical Center; directs and controls the activities of the Executive Controls Officer, the Security Officer, and the Administrative Coordination Officer.

(3) The Assistant Administrator for Operations discharges the functions of the Office of the Administrator in the area of technical operations with particular reference to the agency's federal airways, airports, and aviation safety programs, and their administration

within and outside of the continental United States; exercises direction and control over the administration of the Office of Federal Airways, Office of Aviation Safety, Office of Airports, and the Washington National Airport.

(4) The Assistant Administrator for Planning, Research, and Development discharges the functions of the Office of the Administrator in the area of research and development and technical program planning, domestic and international; exercises direction and control over the administration of the Technical Development and Evaluation Center; monitors the agency's long-range planning activities, conducts top-level liaison with external planning organizations such as the Air Coordinating Committee, Air Navigation Development Board, Radio Technical Commission for Aeronautics, and other technical organizations, conducts planning activities not exclusively or clearly the responsibility of any one program office, and renders special staff assistance to the Administrator upon request; directs, coordinates, and controls the activities of a staff of specialists assigned to assist him in discharging the above responsibilities.

(5) The Executive Assistant assists the Administrator in matters that require his personal attention; and represents the Administrator in negotiations and contacts with members of Congress, other government agencies, and the aviation industry on problems in which the Administrator is personally concerned.

3. Section 15 (c) is amended to read:

(c) The Budget and Finance Office provides staff assistance to the Office of the Administrator in the development, application, and execution of fiscal and budgetary policies and procedures; preparation of regular and supplemental budget estimates, and presentation and justification thereof; allocation of funds for authorized activities controlled by definitive fiscal work programs; development, establishment, and maintenance of a system of accounts throughout the agency; the execution of CAA's financial responsibility under the Federal-aid Airport Act; and performs related fiscal and budgetary activities, including the preparation of financial reports, and the provision to Washington offices of accounting, payroll, individual earnings, leave, retirement, and bond purchase services. The Budget and Finance Office has a Budget Estimates Division, Accounting Division, and Fiscal Management Division.

4. Section 15 (d), which relates to the Organization and Methods Office, is rescinded.

5. Sections 15 (e) through 15 (i) are renumbered 15 (d) through 15 (h) respectively.

6. Section 15 (f), renumbered 15 (e), is amended to read:

(e) The General Services Office provides staff assistance to the Office of the Administrator in the discharge of its responsibilities for procurement, property management, defense production and mobilization, the control and utilization of Civil Aeronautics Administra-

tion aircraft, procurement and distribution of printed and reproduced material, and mail and telegraphic activities, machine tabulation and similar activities; in connection therewith, formulates policies and programs, establishes standards, and prepares instructions for both Washington and field offices, and performs general service functions for the Washington office. The General Services Office has a Supply Division, an Aircraft Control Division, and a Special Services Division.

7. Section 15 (i), renumbered as 15 (h), is amended by striking out "Planning Staff Division".

This amendment shall become effective March 27, 1955.

[SEAL]

S. A. KEMP,
Acting Administrator
of Civil Aeronautics.

Approved:

SINCLAIR WEEKS,
Secretary of Commerce.

[F. R. Doc. 55-2835; Filed, Apr. 6, 1955;
8:47 a. m.]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Wage and Hour Division

LEARNER EMPLOYMENT CERTIFICATES

ISSUANCE TO VARIOUS INDUSTRIES

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to section 14 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended (52 Stat. 1068, as amended; 29 U. S. C. and Supp. 214) and Part 522 of the regulations issued thereunder (29 CFR Part 522), special certificates authorizing the employment of learners at hourly wage rates lower than the minimum wage rates applicable under section 6 of the act have been issued to the firms listed below. The employment of learners under these certificates is limited to the terms and conditions therein contained and is subject to the provisions of Part 522. The effective and expiration dates, occupations, wage rates, number or proportion of learners, and learning period for certificates issued under the general learner regulations (§§ 522.1 to 522.12) are as indicated below; conditions provided in certificates issued under special industry regulations are as established in these regulations.

Single Pants, Shirts and Allied Garments, Women's Apparel, Sportswear and Other Odd Outerwear, Rainwear, Robes, and Leather and Sheep-lined Garments Divisions of the Apparel Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.160 to 522.168, as amended July 5, 1954, 19 F. R. 3326).

Alabama Textile Products Corp., Panama City, Fla., effective 4-1-55 to 3-31-56; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (men's dress shirts).

Alabama Textile Products Corp., Brantley, Ala., effective 4-1-55 to 3-31-56; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (men's work shirts).

The Andala Co., Andalusia, Ala., effective 4-1-55 to 3-31-56; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for

normal labor turnover purposes (work shirts and pants).

Andalusia Textile Products Corp., Andalusia, Ala., effective 4-1-55 to 3-31-56; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers in the production of men's shirts and pants, for normal labor turnover purposes (dress and sport shirts and work pants).

Andalusia Textile Products Corp., Andalusia, Ala., effective 4-1-55 to 3-31-56; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes, in the production of ladies' dresses and blouses (ladies' dresses and blouses).

Barblizon of Utah, Inc., 150 West 12th North, Provo, Utah, effective 4-10-55 to 4-9-56; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (ladies' lingerie).

Blue Bell, Inc., Tishomingo County, Tishomingo, Miss., effective 3-30-55 to 3-29-56; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (work pants).

Burlington Manufacturing Co., Concordia, Mo., effective 4-1-55 to 3-31-56; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (pants, shirts, and jackets).

Carolina Underwear Co., Inc., Forsyth Division, Forsyth Street, Thomasville, N. C., effective 4-1-55 to 9-30-55; 30 learners for plant expansion purposes (ladies', misses' and children's panties).

Carthage Corp., Carthage, Miss., effective 3-29-55 to 3-28-56; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (ladies' dungarees).

The Chaffee Manufacturing Co., Inc., Chaffee, Mo., effective 4-3-55 to 4-2-56; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (men's trousers).

Danville Manufacturing Co., Inc., 328 Ferry Street, Danville, Pa., effective 3-24-55 to 3-23-56; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (ladies' pajamas and gowns).

El-Jay Dress Manufacturing, Inc., Main Street, Childs, Pa., effective 3-25-55 to 3-24-56; 6 learners for normal labor turnover purposes (ladies' dresses).

Enterprise Manufacturing Co., Enterprise, Ala., effective 4-1-55 to 3-31-56; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (men's dress shirts).

Fayette Manufacturing Co., Fayette, Ala., effective 3-27-55 to 9-26-55; 25 learners for plant expansion purposes (crawlers and pedal pushers).

Glendale Manufacturing Corp., Biltmore Station, Asheville, N. C., effective 3-21-55 to 9-20-55; 25 learners for plant expansion purposes (ladies' rayon, nylon and cotton slips, night gowns and pajamas).

Greenway Manufacturing Co., Waynesburg, Pa., effective 4-4-55 to 4-3-56; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (boys' and infants' cotton polo shirts).

Greenwood Underwear Co., Inc., Greenwood, S. C., effective 3-28-55 to 7-25-55; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes with learner occupations, learning periods and learner wage rates as follows: sewing machine operating, final press, hand sewing, and finishing operations involving hand sewing each 320 hours; final inspection of assembled garments, machine operating (except cutting) other than sewing machine operating, and pressing other than final pressing, each 160 hours; all at 70 cents per hour (woven undershorts, sport shirts and ladies' blouses) (replacement certificate).

The Hercules Trouser Co., Hillsboro, Ohio, effective 4-1-55 to 3-31-56; 10 percent of the

total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (men's and boys' single pants).

The Hercules Trouser Co., Manchester, Ohio, effective 4-1-55 to 3-31-56; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (men's and boys' single pants).

The Hercules Trouser Co., Jackson, Ohio, effective 4-10-55 to 4-9-56; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (men's and boys' single pants).

The Hercules Trouser Co., Wellston, Ohio, effective 4-1-55 to 3-31-56; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (men's and boys' single pants).

Kahn Manufacturing Co., Inc., 150 West Royal Street, Mobile, Ala., effective 4-1-55 to 3-31-56; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (men's and boys' trousers).

Katz Underwear Co., Honesdale, Pa., effective 4-1-55 to 3-31-56; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (women's and misses' nightgowns, pajamas and slips).

Logan Manufacturing Co., North Main Street, Russellville, Ky., effective 4-4-55 to 4-3-56; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (work pants).

McNear Dillon Co., Statesville, N. C., effective 3-23-55 to 3-22-56, 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (shirts).

Mode O'Day Corp., Plant No. 3, 59 South First West, Logan, Utah, effective 4-7-55 to 4-6-56; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (women's cotton house and street dresses).

Mode O'Day Corp., 401 West 23d Street, Fremont, Neb., effective 4-7-55 to 4-6-56; 10 learners for normal labor turnover purposes (ladies' cotton and rayon wash dresses).

Mode O'Day Corp., 403 South Main Street, Ottawa, Kans., effective 4-1-55 to 3-31-56; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (ladies' dresses).

Pleasant Hill Garment Co., Pleasant Hill, Ill., effective 3-25-55 to 3-24-56; 10 learners for normal labor turnover purposes (boys' and girls' sportwear).

Primo Pants Co., Versailles, Mo., effective 4-5-55 to 4-4-56; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (men's and boys' pants).

Regal Shirt Corp., 208 South Third Street, Catawissa, Pa., effective 3-23-55 to 3-22-56; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (sport shirts).

Regal Shirt Corp., Second and Pine Street, Catawissa, Pa., effective 3-23-55 to 3-22-56; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (dress and sport shirts).

Regal Shirt Corp., 125 West Centre Street, Millersburg, Pa., effective 3-23-55 to 3-22-56; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (dress and sport shirts).

Reliance Manufacturing Co., "Keystone" Factory, Tyrone, Pa., effective 3-27-55 to 3-26-56; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (sport and flannel shirts; cotton work shirts).

Saf-T-Pak, Inc., 1715 11th Avenue, Altoona, Pa., effective 3-25-55 to 3-24-56; 10 learners for normal labor turnover purposes (hunting and fishing coats, pants and vests).

Sancar Corp., 28 West Rock Street, Harrisonburg, Va., effective 3-28-55 to 3-27-56; 10 percent of the total number of factory

production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (ladies' underwear).

The Solomon Co., Leeds, Ala., effective 4-1-55 to 3-31-56; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (men's and boys' dress trousers and jackets).

H. B. Spoot Co., 12-18 East Coal Street, Shenandoah, Pa., effective 3-24-55 to 3-23-55; 10 learners for plant expansion purposes (ladies' blouses, shorts, pedal pushers).

Tempest Shirt Manufacturing Co., Inc., 481 Cherry Street, Jesup, Ga., effective 3-23-55 to 3-22-56; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (men's and boys' shirts).

Troy Textiles, Inc., Troy, Ala., effective 4-1-55 to 3-31-56; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes, in the production of ladies' shorts (ladies' walking shorts).

Troy Textiles, Inc., Troy, Ala., effective 4-1-55 to 3-31-56; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes, in the production of men's shirts (men's sport shirts).

United Pants Co., Inc., 222-228 Beade Street, Plymouth, Pa., effective 4-1-55 to 3-31-56; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (pants).

United Pants Co., Inc., Shoemaker Street, Swoyerville, Pa., effective 4-1-55 to 3-31-56; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (pants and jackets).

Vidalia Garment Co., Ltd., Vidalia, Ga., effective 4-11-55 to 4-10-56; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (sport shirts).

The Warner Bros. Co., Thomasville, Ga., effective 4-1-55 to 3-31-56; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (corsets and brassieres).

Knitted Wear Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.63 to 522.79, as amended January 21, 1952, 16 F. R. 12866).

Burlington Manufacturing Co., Inc., 130 South Street, Waymart, Pa., effective 3-24-55 to 3-23-56; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (children's knitted polo shirts).

Louis Gallet Knitting Mills, Inc., 120 Delaware Avenue, Uniontown, Pa., effective 5-1-55 to 4-30-56; 5 percent of the total number of production workers, for normal labor turnover purposes (sweaters and cardigans).

Holeproof Hosiery Co., Luxite Division, Cullman, Ala., effective 4-4-55 to 4-3-56; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (women's underwear and sleepwear).

Shoe Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.250 to 522.260, as amended March 17, 1952, 17 F. R. 1500).

Mercer Shoe Co., Ballinger, Tex., effective 3-21-55 to 9-20-55; 25 learners for plant expansion purposes.

Regulations Applicable to the Employment of Learners (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.12, as amended January 29, 1955, 20 F. R. 645).

The following special learner certificates were issued in Puerto Rico to the companies hereinafter named. The effective and expiration dates, the number of learners, the learner occupations, the length of the learning periods and

the learner wage rates are indicated, respectively.

Dee Originals, Ltd., Ponce-Penculas Road, Ponce, P. R., effective 3-14-55 to 9-13-55; 10 learners. Learner occupations, learning periods and learner wage rates are as follows: sewing machine operators, slip stitchers, hand rollers, cutters, and pressers each 160 hours at 35 cents an hour; 160 hours at 42 cents an hour; and 160 hours at 48 cents an hour (men's ties).

Neckwear Corporation of Puerto Rico, Trujillo Alto Road, Rio Piedras, P. R., effective 3-14-55 to 9-13-55; 10 learners. Learner occupations, learning periods and learner wage rates are as follows: sewing machine operators, cutters, pressers, finishers, and hand painters each 160 hours at 35 cents an hour; 160 hours at 42 cents an hour; and 160 hours at 48 cents an hour; turners, 160 hours at 42 cents an hour (neckwear).

Stadium Manufacturing Co., of Puerto Rico, Inc., Villalba, P. R., effective 3-23-55 to 9-22-55; 20 learners in the occupation of sewing machine operating; 240 hours at 35 cents an hour and 240 hours at 40 cents an hour (men's pajamas).

Each certificate has been issued upon the employer's representation that employment of learners at subminimum rates is necessary in order to prevent curtailment of opportunities for employment, and that experienced workers for the learner occupations are not available. The certificates may be cancelled in the manner provided in the regulations and as indicated in the certificates. Any person aggrieved by the issuance of any of these certificates may seek a review or reconsideration thereof within fifteen days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER pursuant to the provisions of Part 522.

Signed at Washington, D. C., this 30th day of March 1955.

MILTON BROOKE,
Authorized Representative
of the Administrator.

[F. R. Doc. 55-2825; Filed, Apr. 6, 1955;
8:46 a. m.]

POST OFFICE DEPARTMENT

ESTABLISHMENT OF REGIONAL HEADQUARTERS AT ATLANTA, GA., FOR GEORGIA, FLORIDA, SOUTH CAROLINA, NORTH CAROLINA, PUERTO RICO, AND THE VIRGIN ISLANDS

The following supersedes the notice relating to this subject published in the March 26, 1955, issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER, at pages 1853, 1854:

The following is the text of Order No. 55840 of the Postmaster General, dated March 2, 1955:

Pursuant to the authority of section 1 (b) of Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1949, the following changes will become effective on March 7, 1955:

1. On the effective date there will be established a regional headquarters at Atlanta, Georgia under a Regional Director who will exercise the powers, duties, functions and jurisdiction delegated by Order No. 55809 dated January 3, 1955, and Order No. 55810 dated January 3, 1955 (20 F. R. 276). Pending appointment of a Regional Director the postal affairs affecting the Bureau of Opera-

tions and Bureau of Personnel in the region shall be under the direction of the Regional Operations Manager who will be responsible to the Assistant Postmaster General, Bureau of Post Office Operations. The Regional Operations Manager will be subject to all policy affecting regional operations prescribed by the Department in Washington. There will also be a Regional Controller in the regional office who will be responsible to the Assistant Postmaster General and Controller, Bureau of Finance. The Regional Personnel Manager will be administratively responsible to the Regional Operations Manager so far as Bureau of Operations activities are concerned, and functionally to the Assistant Postmaster General, Personnel. Functions such as those listed below which were formerly discharged by various headquarters, bureaus and offices in Washington will now be discharged by the regional staff.

A. Personnel functions, including such items as recruitment, selection and placement of personnel; training activities; labor relations; safety and health programs; classification of positions; awards and efficiency rating systems; review and disposition of disciplinary actions; and liaison with the Civil Service Commission in the region.

B. Service functions, including recommendations to the Department for the establishment or discontinuance of post offices, classified stations and branches; approval of requests for allowances of funds; maintenance of high standards of service in all post offices; and effective control of costs.

C. Industrial engineering functions, including administration of cost reduction programs; improvement in work methods; endorsement of requests for capital expenditures; maintenance of work standards; layout of facilities; provision of work simplification methods and training; and development of systems and procedures, other than accounting and fiscal procedures.

D. Controller functions, including the direction of accounting, budget and cost analysis activities.

E. Public information functions, including encouragement of public cooperation and participation in improving postal methods; and maintaining good relations with Federal, State and municipal officials.

2. Pending the appointment of a Regional Director, this order does not affect the bureaus and offices of the Department other than:

- A. Bureau of Operations;
- B. Bureau of Personnel;
- C. Bureau of Finance (and Controller).

All other bureaus and offices, however, are expected to coordinate and cooperate with this new regional organization.

3. The region will be divided into eight districts. All postmasters in each district will report directly to their district manager.

4. Previous orders or instructions concerning the routing of communications from postmasters to the above-mentioned bureaus in Washington are hereby superseded. All communica-

tions, with respect to the functions set forth in this order will be directed to the appropriate district manager, with the exceptions of monthly and quarterly accounts, which will continue to be routed as at present.

5. District headquarters cities, and the jurisdiction of each district, are as follows:

DISTRICT No. 1—ATLANTA, GA.

Georgia counties: Banks, Barrow, Bartow, Butts, Carroll, Catoosa, Chattooga, Cherokee, Clarke, Clayton, Cobb, Columbia, Coweta, Dade, Dawson, De Kalb, Douglas, Elbert, Fannin, Fayette, Floyd, Forsyth, Franklin, Fulton, Gilmer, Gordon, Greene, Gwinnett, Habersham, Hall, Hancock, Haralson, Hart, Heard, Henry, Jackson, Jasper, Lincoln, Lumpkin, McDuffie, Madison, Morgan, Murray, Newton, Oconee, Oglethorpe, Paulding, Pickens, Polk, Putnam, Rabun, Richmond, Rockdale, Spalding, Stephens, Tallahassee, Towns, Union, Walker, Walton, Warren, White, Whitfield, and Wilkes.

DISTRICT No. 2—CHARLOTTE, N. C.

North Carolina counties: Alexander, Alleghany, Anson, Ashe, Avery, Buncombe, Burke, Cabarrus, Caldwell, Catawba, Cherokee, Clay, Cleveland, Davidson, Davie, Forsyth, Gaston, Graham, Haywood, Henderson, Iredell, Jackson, Lincoln, McDowell, Macon, Madison, Mecklenburg, Mitchell, Montgomery, Polk, Richmond, Rowan, Rutherford, Stanly, Stokes, Surry, Swain, Transylvania, Union, Watauga, Wilkes, Yadkin, and Yancey.

DISTRICT No. 3—RALEIGH, N. C.

North Carolina counties: Alamance, Beaufort, Bertie, Bladen, Brunswick, Camden, Carteret, Caswell, Chatham, Chowan, Columbus, Craven, Cumberland, Currituck, Dare, Duplin, Durham, Edgecombe, Franklin, Gates, Granville, Greene, Guilford, Halifax, Harnett, Hertford, Hoke, Hyde, Johnston, Jones, Lee, Lenoir, Martin, Moore, Nash, New Hanover, Northampton, Onslow, Orange, Pamlico, Pasquotank, Pender, Perquimans, Person, Pitt, Randolph, Robeson, Rockingham, Sampson, Scotland, Tyrrell, Vance, Wake, Warren, Washington, Wayne, and Wilson.

DISTRICT No. 4—COLUMBIA, S. C.

All counties in South Carolina.

DISTRICT No. 5—COLUMBUS, GA.

Georgia counties: Appling, Atkinson, Bacon, Baker, Baldwin, Ben Hill, Berrien, Bibb, Bleckley, Brantley, Brooks, Bryan, Bulloch, Burke, Calhoun, Camden, Candler, Charlton, Chatham, Chattahoochee, Clay, Clinch, Coffee, Colquitt, Cook, Crawford, Crisp, Decatur, Dodge, Dooly, Dougherty, Early, Echols, Effingham, Emanuel, Evans, Glascock, Glynn, Grady, Harris, Houston, Irwin, Jeff Davis, Jefferson, Jenkins, Johnson, Jones, Lamar, Lanier, Laurens, Lee, Liberty, Long, Lowndes, McIntosh, Macon, Marion, Meriwether, Miller, Mitchell, Monroe, Montgomery, Muscogee, Peach, Pierce, Pike, Pulaski, Quitman, Randolph, Schley, Screven, Seminole, Stewart, Sumter, Talbot, Tattnall, Taylor, Telfair, Terrell, Thomas, Tift, Toombs, Treutlen, Troup, Turner, Twiggs, Upson, Ware, Washington, Wayne, Webster, Wheeler, Wilcox, Wilkinson, and Worth.

DISTRICT No. 6—JACKSONVILLE, FLA.

Florida counties: Alachua, Baker, Bay, Bradford, Calhoun, Citrus, Clay, Columbia, Dixie, Duval, Escambia, Flagler, Franklin, Gadsden, Gilchrist, Gulf, Hamilton, Hernando, Holmes, Jackson, Jefferson, Lafayette, Lake, Leon, Levy, Liberty, Madison, Marion, Nassau, Okaloosa, Orange, Pasco, Putnam, Saint Johns, Santa Rosa, Seminole, Sumter, Suwannee, Taylor, Union, Volusia, Wakulla, Walton, and Washington.

DISTRICT No. 7—MIAMI, FLA.

Florida counties: Brevard, Broward, Charlotte, Collier, Dade, DeSoto, Glades, Hardee, Hendry, Highlands, Hillsborough, Indian River, Lee, Manatee, Martin, Monroe, Okeechobee, Osceola, Palm Beach, Pinellas, Polk, Saint Lucie, and Sarasota.

DISTRICT No. 8—SAN JUAN, P. R.

All of Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands.

6. District Managers will be designated in a separate announcement. They will act for and be responsible to the Regional Operations Manager on post office matters within their Districts. Each District Manager will be responsible for functions delegated to him by the Regional Operations Manager, including such things as; making major operating decisions within his District; recommending action on all supervisory appointments; recommending action on requests for funds; advising Regional Operations Manager on District matters and conditions; carrying out regional policies in the District; interpreting departmental and regional policies and recommending changes; coordinating with other bureaus and government agencies in the District; taking necessary actions on complaints; directing the control of expenditures in the District; and maintaining essential records.

(R. S. 161, 396; secs. 304, 309, 42 Stat. 24, 25, sec. 1 (b), 63 Stat. 1066; 5 U. S. C. 22, 133z-15, 369)

[SEAL]

ABE MCGREGOR GOFF,
The Solicitor.

[F. R. Doc. 55-2826; Filed, Apr. 6, 1955;
8:46 a. m.]

REGIONAL REAL ESTATE MANAGERS
REDELEGATION OF AUTHORITY WITH RESPECT
TO LEASES

The following is the text of various orders of the Assistant Postmaster General, Bureau of Facilities, relative to the above subject:

[Order 53]

Dated: March 23, 1955.

Pursuant to authority of Order No. 55734, dated September 21, 1954 (19 F. R. 6169), authority is hereby delegated to Ben A. Brock, Regional Real Estate Manager, Bureau of Facilities, to take final action, in my name, with respect to the procurement of space for postal purposes, as follows:

1. To make agreements for space on a month to month basis; or
2. To make agreements for space for holiday or seasonal needs for fixed periods not in excess of two months where the rental is not in excess of \$10,000 a month, and to make agreements for space for fixed periods not in excess of six months to meet emergency conditions where the rental is not in excess of \$5,000 a month; or
3. To make lease extension agreements for periods of not in excess of one year where the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or
4. To accept proposals to lease quarters for postal purposes (including ga-

rages and related facilities) when the term of the lease covered by the proposal is for five years or less and where the annual rental specified in the lease covered by the proposal is \$3,000 or less; or

5. To exercise or reject options to renew leases where the renewal term of the lease under the option is for five years or less, and the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

6. To execute contracts or agreements for garage or parking space for periods of not in excess of one year where the rental is \$3,000 a year, or less; or

7. To cancel contracts or agreements for quarters for postal purposes (including garages and related facilities) entered into or extended under authority of paragraphs 3 and 6 of this order;

in the States of Arizona, Colorado, New Mexico, Utah, and Wyoming.

This order shall be effective April 1, 1955.

This supersedes and cancels Order No. 26 dated October 1, 1954 (19 F. R. 8042).

[Order 54]

Dated: March 23, 1955.

Pursuant to authority of Order No. 55734, dated September 21, 1954 (19 F. R. 6169), authority is hereby delegated to Arthur C. Chandler, Regional Real Estate Manager, Bureau of Facilities, to take final action in my name, with respect to the procurement of space for postal purposes as follows:

1. To make agreements for space on a month to month basis; or

2. To make agreements for space for holiday or seasonal needs for fixed periods not in excess of two months where the rental is not in excess of \$10,000 a month, and to make agreements for space for fixed periods not in excess of six months to meet emergency conditions where the rental is not in excess of \$5,000 a month; or

3. To make lease extension agreements for periods of not in excess of one year where the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

4. To accept proposals to lease quarters for postal purposes (including garages and related facilities) when the term of the lease covered by the proposal is for five years or less and where the annual rental specified in the lease covered by the proposal is \$3,000 or less; or

5. To exercise or reject options to renew leases where the renewal term of the lease under the option is for five years or less, and the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

6. To execute contracts or agreements for garage or parking space for periods of not in excess of one year where the annual rental is \$3,000, or less; or

7. To cancel contracts or agreements for quarters for postal purposes (including garages and related facilities) entered into or extended under authority of paragraphs 3 and 6 of this order;

in the States of Illinois (except Henry, Rock Island and Whiteside Counties), Michigan, Wisconsin, and Lake County in the State of Illinois.

This order shall be effective April 1, 1955.

This supersedes and cancels Order No. 27, dated October 1, 1954 (19 F. R. 8042).

[Order 55]

Dated: March 23, 1955.

Pursuant to authority of Order No. 55734, dated September 21, 1954 (19 F. R. 6169), authority is hereby delegated to Harold L. Duncan, Regional Real Estate Manager, Bureau of Facilities, to take final action, in my name, with respect to the procurement of space for postal purposes, as follows:

1. To make agreements for space on a month to month basis; or

2. To make agreements for space for holiday or seasonal needs for fixed periods not in excess of two months where the rental is not in excess of \$10,000 a month, and to make agreements for space for fixed periods not in excess of six months to meet emergency conditions where the rental is not in excess of \$5,000 a month; or

3. To make lease extension agreements for periods of not in excess of one year where the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

4. To accept proposals to lease quarters for postal purposes (including garages and related facilities) when the term of the lease covered by the proposal is for five years or less and where the annual rental specified in the lease covered by the proposal is \$3,000 or less; or

5. To exercise or reject options to renew leases where the renewal term of the lease under the option is for five years or less, and the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

6. To execute contracts or agreements for garage or parking space for periods of not in excess of one year where the annual rental is \$3,000, or less; or

7. To cancel contracts or agreements for quarters for postal purposes (including garages and related facilities) entered into or extended under authority of paragraphs 3 and 6 of this order;

in the States of Idaho, Montana, Oregon, Washington and the Territory of Alaska.

This order shall be effective April 1, 1955.

This supersedes and cancels Order No. 28, dated October 1, 1954 (19 F. R. 8042).

[Order 56]

Dated: March 23, 1955.

Pursuant to authority of Order No. 55734, dated September 21, 1954 (19 F. R. 6169), authority is hereby delegated to Frank A. Gilbert, Regional Real Estate Manager, Bureau of Facilities, to take final action, in my name, with respect to the procurement of space for postal purposes, as follows:

1. To make agreements for space on a month to month basis; or

2. To make agreements for space for holiday or seasonal needs for fixed periods not in excess of two months where the rental is not in excess of \$10,000 a month, and to make agreements for space for fixed periods not in excess of six months to meet emergency condi-

tions where the rental is not in excess of \$5,000 a month; or

3. To make lease extension agreements for periods of not in excess of one year where the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

4. To accept proposals to lease quarters for postal purposes (including garages and related facilities) when the term of the lease covered by the proposal is for five years or less and where the annual rental specified in the lease covered by the proposal is \$3,000 or less; or

5. To exercise or reject options to renew leases where the renewal term of the lease under the option is for five years or less, and the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

6. To execute contracts or agreements for garage or parking space for periods of not in excess of one year where the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

7. To cancel contracts or agreements for quarters for postal purposes (including garages and related facilities) entered into or extended under authority of paragraphs 3 and 6 of this order;

in the States of Arkansas, Iowa, Missouri (except the City of Kansas City) and Henry, Rock Island and Whiteside Counties in the State of Illinois.

This order shall be effective April 1, 1955.

This supersedes and cancels Order No. 30, dated October 1, 1954 (19 F. R. 8042).

[Order 57]

Dated: March 23, 1955.

Pursuant to authority of Order No. 55734, dated September 21, 1954 (19 F. R. 6169), authority is hereby delegated to Thomas G. Jay, Regional Real Estate Manager, Bureau of Facilities, to take final action, in my name, with respect to the procurement of space for postal purposes, as follows:

1. To make agreements for space on a month to month basis; or

2. To make agreements for space for holiday or seasonal needs for fixed periods not in excess of two months where the rental is not in excess of \$10,000 a month, and to make agreements for space for fixed periods not in excess of six months to meet emergency conditions where the rental is not in excess of \$5,000 a month; or

3. To make lease extension agreements for periods of not in excess of one year where the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

4. To accept proposals to lease quarters for postal purposes (including garages and related facilities) when the term of the lease covered by the proposal is for five years or less and where the annual rental specified in the lease covered by the proposal is \$3,000 or less; or

5. To exercise or reject options to renew leases where the renewal term of the lease under the option is for five years or less, and the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

6. To execute contracts or agreements for garage or parking space for periods of not in excess of one year where the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

7. To cancel contracts or agreements for quarters for postal purposes (including garages and related facilities) entered into or extended under authority of paragraphs 3 and 6 of this order;

in the States of Kansas, Nebraska, Oklahoma, and the City of Kansas City in the State of Missouri.

This order shall be effective April 1, 1955.

This supersedes and cancels Order No. 31, dated October 1, 1954 (19 F. R. 8042).

[Order 58]

Dated: March 23, 1955.

Pursuant to authority of Order No. 55734, dated September 21, 1954 (19 F. R. 6169), authority is hereby delegated to Robert W. Jones, Regional Real Estate Manager, Bureau of Facilities, to take final action, in my name, with respect to the procurement of space for postal purposes, as follows:

1. To make agreements for space on a month to month basis; or

2. To make agreements for space for holiday or seasonal needs for fixed periods not in excess of two months where the rental is not in excess of \$10,000 a month, and to make agreements for space for fixed periods not in excess of six months to meet emergency conditions where the rental is not in excess of \$5,000 a month; or

3. To make lease extension agreements for periods of not in excess of one year where the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

4. To accept proposals to lease quarters for postal purposes (including garages and related facilities) when the term of the lease covered by the proposal is for five years or less and where the annual rental specified in the lease covered by the proposal is \$3,000 or less; or

5. To exercise or reject options to renew leases where the renewal term of the lease under the option is for five years or less, and the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

6. To execute contracts or agreements for garage or parking space for periods of not in excess of one year where the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

7. To cancel contracts or agreements for quarters for postal purposes (including garages and related facilities) entered into or extended under authority of paragraphs 3 and 6 of this order;

in the States of Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island and Vermont.

This order shall be effective April 1, 1955.

This supersedes and cancels Order No. 32, dated October 1, 1954 (19 F. R. 8042).

[Order 59]

Dated: March 23, 1955.

Pursuant to authority of Order No. 55734, dated September 21, 1954 (19 F. R. 6169), authority is hereby delegated to John W. Meinhart, Regional Real Estate Manager, Bureau of Facilities, to take final action, in my name, with respect to

the procurement of space for postal purposes, as follows:

1. To make agreements for space on a month to month basis; or

2. To make agreements for space for holiday or seasonal needs for fixed periods not in excess of two months where the rental is not in excess of \$10,000 a month, and to make agreements for space for fixed periods not in excess of six months to meet emergency conditions where the rental is not in excess of \$5,000 a month; or

3. To make lease extension agreements for periods of not in excess of one year where the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

4. To accept proposals to lease quarters for postal purposes (including garages and related facilities) when the term of the lease covered by the proposal is for five years or less and where the annual rental specified in the lease covered by the proposal is \$3,000 or less; or

5. To exercise or reject options to renew leases where the renewal term of the lease under the option is for five years or less, and the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

6. To execute contracts or agreements for garage or parking space for periods of not in excess of one year where the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

7. To cancel contracts or agreements for quarters for postal purposes (including garages and related facilities) entered into or extended under authority of paragraphs 3 and 6 of this order;

in the States of Indiana (except Lake County), Kentucky and Ohio.

This order shall be effective April 1, 1955.

This supersedes and cancels Order No. 33, dated October 1, 1954 (19 F. R. 8042).

[Order 60]

Dated: March 23, 1955.

Pursuant to authority of Order No. 55734, dated September 21, 1954 (19 F. R. 6169), authority is hereby delegated to Arthur J. Parsons, Regional Real Estate Manager, Bureau of Facilities, to take final action, in my name, with respect to the procurement of space for postal purposes, as follows:

1. To make agreements for space on a month to month basis; or

2. To make agreements for space for holiday or seasonal needs for fixed periods not in excess of two months where the rental is not in excess of \$10,000 a month, and to make agreements for space for fixed periods not in excess of six months to meet emergency conditions where the rental is not in excess of \$5,000 a month; or

3. To make lease extension agreements for periods of not in excess of one year where the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

4. To accept proposals to lease quarters for postal purposes (including garages and related facilities) when the term of the lease covered by the proposal is for five years or less and where the annual rental specified in the lease covered by the proposal is \$3,000 or less; or

5. To exercise or reject options to renew leases where the renewal term of the

lease under the option is for five years or less, and the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

6. To execute contracts or agreements for garage or parking space for periods of not in excess of one year where the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

7. To cancel contracts or agreements for quarters for postal purposes (including garages and related facilities) entered into or extended under authority of paragraphs 3 and 6 of this order;

in the States of Pennsylvania, New Jersey (except Hudson and Bergen Counties) and Newcastle County in the State of Delaware.

This order shall be effective April 1, 1955.

This supersedes and cancels Order No. 34, dated October 1, 1954 (19 F. R. 8042).

[Order 61]

Dated: March 23, 1955.

Pursuant to authority of Order No. 55734, dated September 21, 1954 (19 F. R. 6169), authority is hereby delegated to Lloyd A. Sifford, Jr., Regional Real Estate Manager, Bureau of Facilities, to take final action in my name, with respect to the procurement of space for postal purposes, as follows:

1. To make agreements for space on a month to month basis; or

2. To make agreements for space for holiday or seasonal needs for fixed periods not in excess of two months where the rental is not in excess of \$10,000 a month, and to make agreements for space for fixed periods not in excess of six months to meet emergency conditions where the rental is not in excess of \$5,000 a month; or

3. To make lease extension agreements for periods of not in excess of one year where the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

4. To accept proposals to lease quarters for postal purposes (including garages and related facilities) when the term of the lease covered by the proposal is for five years or less and where the annual rental specified in the lease covered by the proposal is \$3,000 or less; or

5. To exercise or reject options to renew leases where the renewal term of the lease under the option is for five years or less, and the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

6. To execute contracts or agreements for garage or parking space for periods of not in excess of one year where the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

7. To cancel contracts or agreements for quarters for postal purposes (including garages and related facilities) entered into or extended under authority of paragraphs 3 and 6 of this order;

in the States of Florida, Georgia, North Carolina and South Carolina and Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands.

This order shall be effective April 1, 1955.

This supersedes and cancels Order No. 35, dated October 1, 1954 (19 F. R. 8042).

[Order 62]

Dated: March 23, 1955.

Pursuant to authority of Order No. 55734, dated September 21, 1954 (19 F. R. 6169), authority is hereby delegated to John K. Witherspoon, Regional Real Estate Manager, Bureau of Facilities, to take final action, in my name, with respect to the procurement of space for postal purposes, as follows:

1. To make agreements for space on a month to month basis; or

2. To make agreements for space for holiday or seasonal needs for fixed periods not in excess of two months where the rental is not in excess of \$10,000 a month, and to make agreements for space for fixed periods not in excess of six months to meet emergency conditions where the rental is not in excess of \$5,000 a month; or

3. To make lease extension agreements for periods of not in excess of one year where the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

4. To accept proposals to lease quarters for postal purposes (including garages and related facilities) when the term of the lease, covered by the proposal is for five years or less and where the annual rental specified in the lease covered by the proposal is \$3,000 or less; or

5. To exercise or reject options to renew leases where the renewal term of the lease under the option is for five years or less, and the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

6. To execute contracts or agreements for garage or parking space for periods of not in excess of one year where the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

7. To cancel contracts or agreements for quarters for postal purposes (including garages and related facilities) entered into or extended under authority of paragraphs 3 and 6 of this order;

in the States of Alabama, Mississippi, and Tennessee.

This order shall be effective April 1, 1955.

This supersedes and cancels Order No. 36, dated October 1, 1954 (19 F. R. 8042).

[Order 63]

Dated: March 23, 1955.

Pursuant to authority of Order No. 55734, dated September 21, 1954 (19 F. R. 6169), authority is hereby delegated to Harold J. Cooke, Regional Real Estate Manager, Bureau of Facilities, to take final action, in my name, with respect to the procurement of space for postal purposes, as follows:

1. To make agreements for space on a month to month basis; or

2. To make agreements for space for holiday or seasonal needs for fixed periods not in excess of two months where the rental is not in excess of \$10,000 a month, and to make agreements for space for fixed periods not in excess of six months to meet emergency conditions where the rental is not in excess of \$5,000 a month; or

3. To make lease extension agree-

ments for periods of not in excess of one year where the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

4. To accept proposals to lease quarters for postal purposes (including garages and related facilities) when the term of the lease covered by the proposal is for five years or less and where the annual rental specified in the lease covered by the proposal is \$3,000 or less; or

5. To exercise or reject options to renew leases where the renewal term of the lease under the option is for five years or less, and the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

6. To execute contracts or agreements for garage or parking space for periods of not in excess of one year where the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

7. To cancel contracts or agreements for quarters for postal purposes (including garages and related facilities) entered into or extended under authority of paragraphs 3 and 6 of this order;

in the States of California and Nevada, the Territory of Hawaii, Samoa, Guam, Canton Island, Caroline Islands, Mariana Islands, Marshall Islands and Wake Island.

This order shall be effective April 1, 1955.

This supersedes and cancels Order No. 37, dated October 1, 1954 (19 F. R. 8042).

[Order 64]

Dated: March 23, 1955.

Pursuant to authority of Order No. 55734, dated September 21, 1954 (19 F. R. 6169), authority is hereby delegated to Arba P. DeWitt, Regional Real Estate Manager, Bureau of Facilities, to take final action, in my name, with respect to the procurement of space for postal purposes, as follows:

1. To make agreements for space on a month to month basis; or

2. To make agreements for space for holiday or seasonal needs for fixed periods not in excess of two months where the rental is not in excess of \$10,000 a month, and to make agreements for space for fixed periods not in excess of six months to meet emergency conditions where the rental is not in excess of \$5,000 a month; or

3. To make lease extension agreements for periods of not in excess of one year where the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

4. To accept proposals to lease quarters for postal purposes (including garages and related facilities) when the term of the lease covered by the proposal is for five years or less and where the annual rental specified in the lease covered by the proposal is \$3,000 or less; or

5. To exercise or reject options to renew leases where the renewal term of the lease under the option is for five years or less, and the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

6. To execute contracts or agreements for garage or parking space for periods of not in excess of one year where the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

7. To cancel contracts or agreements for quarters for postal purposes (including garages and related facilities) en-

tered into or extended under authority of paragraphs 3 and 6 of this order; in the States of Louisiana and Texas.

This order shall be effective April 1, 1955.

This supersedes and cancels Order No. 38, dated October 1, 1954 (19 F. R. 8042).

[Order 65]

Dated: March 23, 1955.

Pursuant to authority of Order No. 55734, dated September 21, 1954 (19 F. R. 6169), authority is hereby delegated to James M. Murphy, Regional Real Estate Manager, Bureau of Facilities, to take final action, in my name, with respect to the procurement of space for postal purposes, as follows:

1. To make agreements for space on a month to month basis; or
2. To make agreements for space for holiday or seasonal needs for fixed periods not in excess of two months where the rental is not in excess of \$10,000 a month, and to make agreements for space for fixed periods not in excess of six months to meet emergency conditions where the rental is not in excess of \$5,000 a month; or
3. To make lease extension agreements for periods of not in excess of one year where the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or
4. To accept proposals to lease quarters for postal purposes (including garages and related facilities) when the term of the lease covered by the proposal is for five years or less and where the annual rental specified in the lease covered by the proposal is \$3,000 or less; or
5. To exercise or reject options to renew leases where the renewal term of the lease under the option is for five years or less, and the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or
6. To execute contracts or agreements for garage or parking space for periods of not in excess of one year where the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or
7. To cancel contracts or agreements for quarters for postal purposes (including garages and related facilities) entered into or extended under authority of paragraphs 3 and 6 of this order;

in the State of New Jersey, and Hudson and Bergen Counties in the State of New Jersey.

This order shall be effective April 1, 1955.

This supersedes and cancels Order No. 25, dated October 1, 1954 (19 F. R. 8042).

[Order 67]

Dated: March 23, 1955.

Pursuant to authority of Order No. 55734, dated September 21, 1954 (19 F. R. 6169), authority is hereby delegated to H. Brooks Perring, Acting Regional Real Estate Manager, Bureau of Facilities, to take final action, in my name, with respect to the procurement of space for postal purposes, as follows:

1. To make agreements for space on a month to month basis; or
2. To make agreements for space for holiday or seasonal needs for fixed periods not in excess of two months where the rental is not in excess of \$10,000 a month, and to make agreements for space for fixed periods not in excess of six months to meet emergency conditions where the rental is not in excess of \$5,000 a month; or
3. To make lease extension agreements for periods of not in excess of one year where the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or
4. To accept proposals to lease quarters for postal purposes (including garages and related facilities) when the term of the lease covered by the proposal is for five years or less and where the annual rental specified in the lease covered by the proposal is \$3,000 or less; or
5. To exercise or reject options to renew leases where the renewal term of the lease under the option is for five years or less, and the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or
6. To execute contracts or agreements for garage or parking space for periods of not in excess of one year where the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or
7. To cancel contracts or agreements for quarters for postal purposes (including garages and related facilities) entered into or extended under authority of paragraphs 3 and 6 of this order;

in the States of Minnesota, North Dakota and South Dakota.

This order shall be effective April 1, 1955.

This supersedes and cancels Order No. 39, dated October 1, 1954 (19 F. R. 8042).

[Order 66]

Dated: March 23, 1955.

Pursuant to authority of Order No. 55734, dated September 21, 1954 (19 F. R. 6169), authority is hereby delegated to Henry A. Kresse, Acting Regional Real Estate Manager, Bureau of Facilities, to take final action, in my name, with respect to the procurement of space for postal purposes, as follows:

1. To make agreements for space on a month to month basis; or
2. To make agreements for space for holiday or seasonal needs for fixed periods not in excess of two months where the rental is not in excess of

\$10,000 a month, and to make agreements for space for fixed periods not in excess of six months to meet emergency conditions where the rental is not in excess of \$5,000 a month; or

3. To make lease extension agreements for periods of not in excess of one year where the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

4. To accept proposals to lease quarters for postal purposes (including garages and related facilities) when the term of the lease covered by the proposal is for five years or less and where the annual rental specified in the lease covered by the proposal is \$3,000 or less; or

5. To exercise or reject options to renew leases where the renewal term of the lease under the option is for five years or less, and the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

6. To execute contracts or agreements for garage or parking space for periods of not in excess of one year where the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

7. To cancel contracts or agreements for quarters for postal purposes (including garages and related facilities) entered into or extended under authority of paragraphs 3 and 6 of this order;

in the State of New Jersey, and Hudson and Bergen Counties in the State of New Jersey.

This order shall be effective April 1, 1955.

This supersedes and cancels Order No. 25, dated October 1, 1954 (19 F. R. 8042).

[Order 67]

Dated: March 23, 1955.

Pursuant to authority of Order No. 55734, dated September 21, 1954 (19 F. R. 6169), authority is hereby delegated to H. Brooks Perring, Acting Regional Real Estate Manager, Bureau of Facilities, to take final action, in my name, with respect to the procurement of space for postal purposes, as follows:

1. To make agreements for space on a month to month basis; or
2. To make agreements for space for holiday or seasonal needs for fixed periods not in excess of two months where the rental is not in excess of \$10,000 a month, and to make agreements for space for fixed periods not in excess of six months to meet emergency conditions where the rental is not in excess of \$5,000 a month; or
3. To make lease extension agreements for periods of not in excess of one year where the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or
4. To accept proposals to lease quarters for postal purposes (including garages and related facilities) when the term of the lease covered by the proposal is for five years or less and where the annual rental specified in the lease covered by the proposal is \$3,000 or less; or
5. To exercise or reject options to renew leases where the renewal term of the lease under the option is for five years or less, and the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or
6. To execute contracts or agreements for garage or parking space for periods of not in excess of one year where the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or
7. To cancel contracts or agreements for quarters for postal purposes (including garages and related facilities) entered into or extended under authority of paragraphs 3 and 6 of this order;

throughout the United States and its Possessions, including Guam.

This order shall be effective April 1, 1955.

This supersedes and cancels Order No. 42, dated November 5, 1954 (19 F. R. 8042).

(R. S. 161, 396; secs. 304, 309, 42 Stat. 24, 25, sec. 1 (b), 63 Stat. 1066; 5 U. S. C. 22, 1332-15, 369).

[SEAL] ABE MCGREGOR GOFF,
The Solicitor.

[F. R. Doc. 55-2889; Filed, Apr. 6, 1955; 8:54 a. m.]

6. To execute contracts or agreements for garage or parking space for periods of not in excess of one year where the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

7. To cancel contracts or agreements for quarters for postal purposes (including garages and related facilities) entered into or extended under authority of paragraphs 3 and 6 of this order;

7. To cancel contracts or agreements for quarters for postal purposes (including garages and related facilities) entered into or extended under authority of paragraphs 3 and 6 of this order;

in the District of Columbia and the States of Maryland, Virginia and West Virginia and Kent and Sussex Counties in the State of Delaware.

This order shall be effective April 1, 1955.

This supersedes and cancels Order No. 29, dated October 1, 1954 (19 F. R. 8042).

[Order 68]

Dated: March 23, 1955.

Pursuant to authority of Order No. 55734, dated September 21, 1954, (19 F. R. 6169), authority is hereby delegated to Charles H. Carle, Chief, Post Office Quarters Section, Division of Real Estate, Bureau of Facilities, to take final action, in my name, with respect to the procurement of space for postal purposes, as follows:

1. To make agreements for space on a month to month basis; or
2. To make agreements for space for holiday or seasonal needs for fixed periods not in excess of two months where the rental is not in excess of \$10,000 a month, and to make agreements for space for fixed periods not in excess of six months to meet emergency conditions where the rental is not in excess of \$5,000 a month; or
3. To make lease extension agreements for periods of not in excess of one year where the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or
4. To accept proposals to lease quarters for postal purposes (including garages and related facilities) when the term of the lease covered by the proposal is for five years or less and where the annual rental specified in the lease covered by the proposal is \$3,000 or less; or
5. To exercise or reject options to renew leases where the renewal term of the lease under the option is for five years or less, and the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or
6. To execute contracts or agreements for garage or parking space for periods of not in excess of one year where the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or
7. To cancel contracts or agreements for quarters for postal purposes (including garages and related facilities) entered into or extended under authority of paragraphs 3 and 6 of this order;

throughout the United States and its Possessions, including Guam.

This order shall be effective April 1, 1955.

This supersedes and cancels Order No. 42, dated November 5, 1954 (19 F. R. 8042).

(R. S. 161, 396; secs. 304, 309, 42 Stat. 24, 25, sec. 1 (b), 63 Stat. 1066; 5 U. S. C. 22, 1332-15, 369).

[SEAL] ABE MCGREGOR GOFF,
The Solicitor.

[F. R. Doc. 55-2889; Filed, Apr. 6, 1955; 8:54 a. m.]

6. To execute contracts or agreements for garage or parking space for periods of not in excess of one year where the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

7. To cancel contracts or agreements for quarters for postal purposes (including garages and related facilities) entered into or extended under authority of paragraphs 3 and 6 of this order;

throughout the United States and its Possessions, including Guam.

This order shall be effective April 1, 1955.

This supersedes and cancels Order No. 42, dated November 5, 1954 (19 F. R. 8042).

(R. S. 161, 396; secs. 304, 309, 42 Stat. 24, 25, sec. 1 (b), 63 Stat. 1066; 5 U. S. C. 22, 1332-15, 369).

[SEAL] ABE MCGREGOR GOFF,
The Solicitor.

[F. R. Doc. 55-2889; Filed, Apr. 6, 1955; 8:54 a. m.]

6. To execute contracts or agreements for garage or parking space for periods of not in excess of one year where the annual rental is \$3,000 or less; or

7. To cancel contracts or agreements for quarters for postal purposes (including garages and related facilities) entered into or extended under authority of paragraphs 3 and 6 of this order;

throughout the United States and its Possessions, including Guam.

This order shall be effective April 1, 1955.

This supersedes and cancels Order No. 42, dated November 5, 1954 (19 F. R. 8042).

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

ALASKA

SHORESPACE RESTORATION ORDER NO. 524

MARCH 30, 1955.

By virtue of the authority contained in the Act of June 5, 1920 (41 Stat. 1059; 48 U. S. C. 372), and pursuant to Delegation of Authority contained in section 1.5 (c) Part 1 of Order No. 541 of April 21, 1954, it is ordered as follows:

Beginning at 10:00 a. m. on the 21st day after the date of this order the 80-rod shorespace reserves created under the act of May 14, 1890 (30 Stat. 409), as amended by the act of March 3, 1903 (32 Stat., 1028; 48 U. S. C. 371), as they exist now or as they may hereafter be created by the initiation of claims under the public land laws are hereby revoked insofar as applicable to the following described lands. Subject to valid existing rights, the provisions of existing withdrawals, the requirements of applicable law, and the 91-day preference right filing period for veterans, and other qualified persons entitled to preference under the act of September 27, 1944 (58 Stat. 747; 43 U. S. C. 279-284), the following lands are hereby restored to entry under the public land laws:

ANCHORAGE LAND DISTRICT

A tract of land located at Portage, Alaska, and situated on the Turnagain Arm of Cook Inlet more particularly described as being that parcel of land bounded on the east by a portion of the west boundary of Tract No. 2 as reserved by Public Land Order No. 835 dated May 23, 1952, bounded on the north and on the south by the north and south branches of Portage Creek, respectively, and on the west by Turnagain Arm. This parcel contains approximately 110 acres and is entirely included in the homestead application of Robert A. Moore, Anchorage 025857 and the headquarters site application of B. J. Goodland, Anchorage 027165.

A tract of land located in the Boulder Point area approximately 25 miles north of Kenai, Alaska, and situated on the shore of a lake locally known as "Daniels Lake", more particularly described as follows:

SEWARD MERIDIAN

T. 8 N., R. 11 W.,
Sec. 21: Lot 3;
Sec. 28: E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ (Unsurveyed);
Sec. 27: SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ (Unsurveyed).

Aggregating approximately 147.00 acres. (Application under the homestead act by Grant A. Ingham, Anchorage 028255.)

FAIRBANKS LAND DISTRICT

A tract of land located in the Fairbanks area and situated on the Tanana River more particularly described as follows:

FAIRBANKS MERIDIAN

T. 7 S., R. 5 E.,
Sec. 10: Lots 12, 15, 19, and 20.

Aggregating 88.27 acres. (Homestead settlement claim of Ralph E. Wilder, Fairbanks 09505.)

LOWELL M. PUCKETT,
Area Administrator.

[F. R. Doc. 55-2824; Filed, Apr. 6, 1955;
8:45 a. m.]

WASHINGTON

NOTICE OF PROPOSED WITHDRAWAL AND
RESERVATION OF LANDS

APRIL 1, 1955.

An application, serial number W-01619, for the withdrawal from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the mining laws of the United States, of the lands described below was filed on October 12, 1954, by The Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Missoula, Montana. The purposes of the proposed withdrawal: To provide for and protect administrative sites, public service sites, recreation sites.

For a period of 30 days from the date of the Interior, at Room 209, Federal having cause to object to the proposed withdrawal may present their objections in writing to the State Supervisor, Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, at Room 209, Federal Building, Spokane, Washington. In case any objection is filed and the nature of the opposition is such as to warrant it, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced, where opponents to the order may state their views and where proponents of the order can explain its purpose.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, either in the form of a public land order or in the form of a Notice of Determination if the application is rejected. In either case, a separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

The lands involved in the application are:

WASHINGTON—WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN

COLVILLE NATIONAL FOREST

Mill Creek Administrative Site

T. 36 N., R. 41 E.,
Sec. 20, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.
Total area, 160 acres.

Spirit Administrative Site

T. 38 N., R. 41 E.,
Sec. 9, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

Total area, 40 acres.

Orient Administrative Site

T. 39 N., R. 36 E.,
Sec. 10, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 15, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

Total area, 320 acres.

Quartz Mountain Lookout Administrative Site

T. 35 N., R. 33 E.,
Sec. 3, Lot 4.
T. 36 N., R. 33 E.,
Sec. 34, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.

Total area, 75.80 acres.

Bodie Lookout Administrative Site

T. 38 N., R. 32 E.,
Sec. 6, N $\frac{1}{2}$ Lot 3, Lot 4.

Total area, 62.17 acres.

Jack Knife Lookout Administrative Site

T. 37 N., R. 36 E.,
Sec. 8, NE $\frac{1}{4}$.

Total area, 160 acres.

First Thought Lookout Administrative Site

T. 39 N., R. 37 E.,
Sec. 7, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.

Total area, 40 acres.

Churchill Lookout Administrative Site

T. 40 N., R. 38 E.,
Sec. 18, Lots 2, 3, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.

Total area, 164.16 acres.

Dominion Lookout Administrative Site

T. 36 N., R. 40 E.,
Sec. 34, NE $\frac{1}{4}$.

Total area, 160 acres.

Roger Lookout Administrative Site

T. 37 N., R. 40 E.,
Sec. 3, Lots 9, 10, 15, 16.

Total area, 160 acres.

Huckleberry Lookout Administrative Site

T. 38 N., R. 42 E.,
Sec. 29, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 30, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

Total area, 80 acres.

Chewelah Lookout Administrative Site

T. 32 N., R. 41 E.,
Sec. 12, SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

Total area, 160 acres.

Marble Lookout Administrative Site

Unsurveyed T. 39 N., R. 35 E.,
What will be, when surveyed:
Sec. 4, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

Total area, 160 acres.

White Lookout Administrative Site

Unsurveyed T. 35 N., R. 35 E.,
What will be, when surveyed:
Sec. 20, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.

Total area, 40 acres.

Copper Butte Lookout Administrative Site

T. 37 N., R. 34 E.,
What will be when surveyed:
Sec. 14, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

Total area, 80 acres.

Swan Lake Recreation Area

T. 35 N., R. 32 E.,
Sec. 20, Lots 1, 2, 3, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 29, Lots 1, 2.

Total area, 219.60 acres.

Ferry Lake Recreation Area

T. 35 N., R. 32 E.,
Sec. 21, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4.

Total area, 125.75 acres.

Long Lake-Fish Lake Recreation Area

T. 35 N., R. 32 E.,
Sec. 28, Lots 1, 2, 3, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 33, Lots 1, 2.

Total area, 584.20 acres.

Lake Ellen Recreation Area

T. 35 N., R. 36 E.,
Sec. 26, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4;
Sec. 27, Lots 1, 2;
Sec. 34, Lot 1;
Sec. 35, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

Total area, 288.55 acres.

Pierre Lake Recreation Area

T. 39 N., R. 37 E.,
Sec. 5, Lots 5, 7, 8, 9, 10.

Total area, 134.90 acres.

Lake Thomas Lake Gillette Recreation Area

T. 36 N., R. 42 E.,
Sec. 17, Lot 5, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 20, Lot 1, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

Total area, 161.70 acres.

Little Twin Lakes Recreation Area

T. 35 N., R. 41 E.,
Sec. 4, Lot 3.
T. 36 N., R. 41 E.,
Sec. 33, Lots 3, 4, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.

Total area, 121.17 acres.

Summit Lake Recreation Area

T. 40 N., R. 37 E.,
Sec. 17, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 20, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 21, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

Total area, 120 acres.

Elbow Lake Recreation Area

T. 40 N., R. 38 E.,
Sec. 21, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

Total area, 160 acres.

Empire Lake Recreation Area

T. 38 N., R. 32 E.,
Sec. 12, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

Total area, 120 acres.

Renner Lake Recreation Area

T. 38 N., R. 36 E.,
Sec. 24, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

Total area, 160 acres.

Davis Lake Recreation Area

T. 37 N., R. 36 E.,
Sec. 3, Lot 3.
T. 38 N., R. 36 E.,
Sec. 34, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.

Total area, 81.76 acres.

Pepoon Lake Recreation Area

T. 39 N., R. 39 E.,
Sec. 6, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

Total area, 80 acres.

Trout Lake Recreation Area

T. 36 N., R. 36 E.,
What will be, when surveyed, the following:
Sec. 11, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 12, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 13, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 14, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$.

Total area, 200 acres.

Ten Mile Campground

T. 35 N., R. 32 E.,
Sec. 24, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 35 N., R. 33 E.,
Sec. 19, Lot 3.

Total area, 197.19 acres.

Deer Creek Summit Campground

Unsurveyed T. 39 N., R. 35 E.,
What will be when surveyed:
Sec. 20, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

Total area, 160 acres.

Chewelah Ski Area

T. 32 N., R. 41 E.,
Sec. 2, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 3, Lots 1, 2, 3, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$,
SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 10, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 11, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

Total area, 545.78 acres.

Sherman Creek (Federal Highway No. 20) Roadside Zone

A strip of land 500 feet on each side of the center line of Federal Highway No. 20 through the following legal subdivisions:

T. 36 N., R. 34 E.,
Sec. 15, SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 16, S $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 21, NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 22, All;
Sec. 23, All;
Sec. 24, All;
Sec. 26, N $\frac{1}{2}$ N $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 27, NE $\frac{1}{4}$.

Unsurveyed T. 36 N., R. 35 E.,
What will be when surveyed:

Sec. 8, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 9, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 13, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 14, All;
Sec. 15, All;
Sec. 16, N $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 17, All;
Sec. 18, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 19, All;
Sec. 20, N $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 23, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 24, All.
T. 36 N., R. 36 E.,
Sec. 19, S $\frac{1}{2}$ S $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 25, S $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 28, SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 29, All;
Sec. 30, N $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 33, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 34, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 35, N $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 36, NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

J. M. HONEYWELL,
State Supervisor.

[F. R. Doc. 55-2795; Filed, Apr. 6, 1955;
8:45 a. m.]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Docket No. 11268; FCC 55M-296]

WISCONSIN TELEPHONE CO.

ORDER CONTINUING HEARING

In the matter of Wisconsin Telephone Company, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, application for construction permit for new VHF Public Class III-B coast station; Docket No. 11268, File No. 5300-F1-P-H.

The Hearing Examiner having under consideration the motion filed March 22, 1955, by Lorain County Radio Corporation, respondent herein, requesting that the hearing in this proceeding now scheduled for April 4, 1955, be postponed until a date later to be fixed by the Hearing Examiner, for the reason that a request to enlarge the issues is pending before the Commission which request is unlikely to be acted on by the Commission before the date for the hearing presently scheduled and for the further reason that counsel for Lorain County Radio Corporation and the executive principals thereof have commitments conflicting with the hearing date now scheduled; and

It appearing that no opposition to the motion has been filed and that Commission counsel are agreeable to a grant of the motion;

It is ordered, This 29th day of March 1955, that the motion is granted; and that the date of the hearing is postponed

to a date later to be scheduled by the Hearing Examiner.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 55-2837; Filed, Apr. 6, 1955;
8:48 a. m.]

[Docket No. 11269; FCC 55M-297]

OHIO BELL TELEPHONE CO.

ORDER CONTINUING HEARING

In the matter of Ohio Bell Telephone Company, Cleveland, Ohio, application for construction permit for new VHF Public Class III-B coast station; Docket No. 11269, File No. 5301-F1-P-H.

The Hearing Examiner having under consideration the motion filed March 22, 1955, by Lorain County Radio Corporation, respondent herein, requesting that the hearing in this proceeding now scheduled for April 5, 1955, be postponed until a date later to be fixed by the Hearing Examiner, for the reason that a request to enlarge the issues is pending before the Commission which request is unlikely to be acted on by the Commission before the date for the hearing presently scheduled and for the further reason that counsel for Lorain County Radio Corporation and the executive principals thereof have commitments conflicting with the hearing date now scheduled; and

It appearing that no opposition to the motion has been filed and that Commission counsel are agreeable to a grant of the motion;

It is ordered, This 29th day of March 1955, that the motion is granted; and that the date of the hearing is postponed to a date later to be scheduled by the Hearing Examiner.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 55-2838; Filed, Apr. 6, 1955;
8:48 a. m.]

[Docket No. 11314; FCC 55-364]

SPARTAN RADIOCASTING CO. (WSPA-TV)

ORDERS DESIGNATING APPLICATION FOR HEARING ON STATED ISSUES

In re application of The Spartan Radiocasting Company (WSPA-TV), Spartanburg, South Carolina, for modification of construction permit; Docket No. 11314, File No. BMPCT-2042.

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D. C. on the 30th day of March 1955;

The Commission having under consideration (a) the above-entitled application filed on April 6, 1954 and granted without hearing on April 30, 1954; (b) a protest against said grant filed on May 6, 1954, pursuant to section 309 (c) of the

Communications Act of 1934, as amended, by Greenville Television Company (Greenville), permittee of television station WGVL, Channel 23, Greenville, South Carolina; (c) a protest against said grant filed on June 1, 1954, pursuant to said section 309 (c) by Wilton E. Hall (Hall), permittee of television station WAIM-TV, Channel 40, Anderson, South Carolina; (d) the Commission's Memorandum Opinions and Orders released June 3, 1954 (FCC 54-692) and July 2, 1954 (FCC 54-804) denying said protests on the ground that the protesting parties had not alleged facts showing them to be parties in interest; and (e) the decision issued on March 24, 1955, by the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit in Greenville Television Company v. Federal Communications Commission (No. 12284) and Wilton E. Hall v. Federal Communications Commission (No. 12356); and

It appearing that the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit reversed the Commission's decisions and remanded the cases to the Commission for hearing; and

It further appearing that Hall's proposed issues "(a)", "(c)" and "(d)" are similar in substance to Greenville's issues "7", "1" and "8", respectively, and that said issues have been merged in the issues set forth below:

It is ordered, That effective immediately, the effective date of the grant of the above-entitled application is postponed pending a final determination by the Commission after hearing; and the above-entitled application is designated for hearing at the offices of the Commission in Washington, D. C., on the following issues:

(1) To determine whether a grant of the application of Spartan Radiocasting Company would contravene the requirements of § 3.607 of the Commission's rules (47 CFR 3.607), the principles of a fair, efficient and equitable distribution of television broadcast facilities specified in section 307 (b) of the act, and the principles upon which the assignment of television broadcast channels has been made by the Commission.

(2) To determine whether a grant of such application would result in a monopoly of television service, or would impair the ability of authorized existing or prospective UHF stations in the Spartanburg, Greenville and Anderson areas to compete effectively with the station proposed by Spartan in said application or to deprive the public of the service of such stations.

(3) To determine whether Spartan misrepresented the temporary nature of, or concealed material facts with respect to, the operation proposed in its request for special temporary authorization granted by the Commission on January 27, 1954 and whether in the light of the facts adduced, Spartan possesses the requisite qualifications to be a permittee or licensee of a television broadcast station.

(4) To determine whether the changed proposal of transmitter and

antenna location had occurred as a result of Spartan's independent choice or was dictated in large measure by other organizations or institutions.

(5) To determine whether the changed transmitter and antenna location was proposed, in large part, for the purpose of obtaining a Columbia Broadcasting System affiliation.

(6) To determine whether the use of Channel 7 as proposed meets the needs of communities and areas within the Spartanburg trade area.

(7) To determine whether the proposed economic injury to Greenville UHF stations, if any, and the loss of reception service to portions of Spartanburg's trade area, if any, is outweighed by the need for the service proposed by Spartan.

(8) To determine whether the proposed operation will be located in practical effect at Greenville, South Carolina.

(9) To determine whether, in the light of the facts adduced upon the foregoing issues, public interest, convenience or necessity would be served by a grant of said application.¹

The burden of proof as to each of the above issues shall be on the protestants.

It is further ordered, That the protestants herein and the Chief of the Broadcast Bureau are hereby made parties to the proceedings herein and that

(1) The hearing on the above issues commence at 10:00 a. m. on April 25, 1955, before an Examiner to be specified by the Commission; and

(2) The parties to the proceedings herein shall have fifteen (15) days after the issuance of the Examiner's decision to file exceptions thereto and seven (7) days thereafter to file replies to any such exceptions; and

(3) The appearances by the parties intending to participate in the above hearing shall be filed not later than April 11, 1955.

It is further ordered, That this Order is subject to stay, withdrawal or other appropriate action in the event that the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit does not

¹ It should be noted that this order does not constitute a determination that all of the above issues are relevant to the question of whether the application should be granted. The issues relating to economic injury to the protesting parties have been included in the interests of expedition, inasmuch as evidence must in any event be taken on other relevant issues supported by facts, matters and things relied upon. However, the question of the relevance of economic injury is one which we have designated for preliminary oral argument in other proceedings (see *In re American Southern Broadcasters*, 11 Pike & Fischer, RR1054), and it remains open for decision in this case. So too, the opportunity to offer evidence with respect to areas to be served is not a determination that such evidence will be sufficiently reliable to form the basis for any findings of fact based upon a proper record. Inclusion of all of the above issues, therefore, is specifically not intended in this case (where expedition is of considerable importance) to foreclose a later determination with respect to their relevance.

issue its mandate in the above cases in the usual course.

Released: April 1, 1955.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 55-2839; Filed, Apr. 6, 1955;
8:48 a. m.]

[Docket Nos. 11317-11319; FCC 55-379]

ROALD W. DIDRIKSEN ET AL.

ORDER DESIGNATING MATTERS FOR CONSOLIDATED HEARING ON STATED ISSUES

In the matter of Roald W. Didriksen, 221 Stillings Avenue, San Francisco, California, Docket No. 11317; Carlton R. Schwarz, 127 DuBoise Street, San Rafael, California, Docket No. 11318; Anthony Severdia, 239 Longford Street, South San Francisco, California, Docket No. 11319; suspension of radiotelephone first class operator licenses.

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D. C., on the 30th day of March 1955;

The Commission having under consideration the suspension of the radiotelephone first class operator licenses issued to Roald W. Didriksen, Carlton R. Schwarz and Anthony Severdia; and

It appearing that acting in accordance with the provisions of section 303 (m) (2) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, each of the above-named parties filed with the Commission within the time provided therefor an application requesting a hearing on the Commission's orders released February 18, 1955, suspending for a period of 90 days their radiotelephone first class operator licenses; and

It further appearing that under the provisions of section 303 (m) (2) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the said licensees are entitled to a hearing in the matter, and that, upon the filing of a timely written application therefor, the Commission's orders of suspension are held in abeyance until the conclusion of the proceedings in the said matters.

It is ordered, That the matter of the suspensions of the radiotelephone first class operator licenses of Roald W. Didriksen, Carlton R. Schwarz and Anthony Severdia are hereby designated for a consolidated hearing in San Francisco, California at 10:00 a. m., on the 3d day of May 1955 before hearing examiner J. D. Bond upon the following issues:

1. To determine whether Roald W. Didriksen, Carlton R. Schwarz and Anthony Severdia, on December 14, 1954, willfully damaged or permitted radio apparatus or installations at KPIX to be damaged within the meaning of section 303 (m) (1) (C) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

2. To determine whether Roald W. Didriksen, Carlton R. Schwarz and Anthony Severdia, on December 14, 1954, willfully or maliciously interfered with

radio communications of station KPIX in violation of § 13.69 of the Commission's rules (47 CFR 13.69).

3. In the light of the evidence adduced in the preceding issues to determine whether the terms of the original orders of suspension should be made final, rescinded or modified.

It is further ordered, That a copy of this order be transmitted by Registered Mail, Return Receipt Requested, to Roald W. Didriksen, Carlton R. Schwarz and Anthony Severdia, and that each of said parties notify the Commission in writing within 15 days after receipt of this order that they will appear in person or by counsel at said hearing.

Released: April 1, 1955.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 55-2840; Filed, Apr. 6, 1955;
8:48 a. m.]

[Docket Nos. 11320, 11321; FCC 55-380]

HOWARD A. CHAMBERLIN AND FRED P.
MULLER

ORDER DESIGNATING MATTERS FOR CONSOLIDATED HEARING ON STATED ISSUES

In the matter of Howard A. Chamberlin, 182 Warren Road, Burlingame, California, Docket No. 11320; Fred P. Muller, 779 19th Avenue, San Francisco, California, Docket No. 11321; suspension of radiotelephone first class operator licenses.

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D. C., on the 30th day of March 1955:

The Commission having under consideration the suspension of the radiotelephone first class operator licenses issued to Howard A. Chamberlin and Fred P. Muller; and

It appearing that acting in accordance with the provisions of section 303 (m) (2) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, each of the above-named parties filed with the Commission within the time provided therefor an application requesting a hearing on the Commission's orders released February 18, 1955, suspending for a period of 60 days their radiotelephone first class operator licenses; and

It further appearing that under the provisions of section 303 (m) (2) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the said licensees are entitled to a hearing in the matter, and that, upon the filing of a timely written application therefor, the Commission's orders of suspension are held in abeyance until the conclusion of the proceedings in the said matters.

It is ordered, That the matter of the suspensions of the radiotelephone first class operator licenses of Howard A. Chamberlin and Fred P. Muller are hereby designated for a consolidated hearing in San Francisco, California at 10:00 a. m., on the 6th day of May 1955 before hearing examiner J. D. Bond upon the following issues:

1. To determine whether Howard A. Chamberlin and Fred P. Muller on October 5, 1954, willfully or maliciously interfered with radio communications of station KEAR in violation of § 13.69 of the Commission's rules (47 CFR 13.69).

2. In the light of the evidence adduced in the preceding issue to determine whether the terms of the original orders of suspension should be made final, rescinded or modified.

It is further ordered, That a copy of this order be transmitted by Registered Mail, Return Receipt Requested to Howard A. Chamberlin and Fred P. Muller, and that each of said parties notify the Commission in writing within 15 days after the receipt of this order that they will appear in person or by counsel at said hearing.

Released: April 1, 1955.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 55-2841; Filed, Apr. 6, 1955;
8:48 a. m.]

[Docket No. 11322; FCC 55-393]

GRANITE STATE BROADCASTING CO., INC.
(WDNH)

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER DESIGNATING APPLICATION FOR HEARING ON STATED ISSUES

In re application of Granite State Broadcasting Company, Inc. (WDNH), Dover, New Hampshire, for construction permit; Docket No. 11322, File No. BP-9417.

1. The Commission has before it separate protests filed on February 28, 1955, by the Strafford Broadcasting Corporation, licensee of Station WWNH, Rochester, New Hampshire (930 kc, 5 kw, Day); and Vic Diehm Associates, Inc., licensee of Station WVDA, Boston, Massachusetts (1260 kc, 5 kw, DA-N, Unl.), pursuant to section 309 (c) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, protesting the Commission's action of January 27, 1955 (released January 28, 1955), granting without hearing the above-entitled application of Granite State Broadcasting Company, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as Granite State) for a construction permit for a new standard broadcast station (WDNH) to operate on 1270 kilocycles with a power of 5 kilowatts, directional antenna, unlimited time, at Dover, New Hampshire; and oppositions to the said protests filed by WDNH on March 11, 1955, and a reply to the WDNH opposition filed by WVDA on March 18, 1955.

2. The protestant WVDA claims standing as a party in interest under section 309 (c) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, because of the interference that Station WVDA would receive from the operation in question. With its protest, WVDA submitted an engineering affidavit to show that WDNH, the station authorized in the Commission's action in question would cause objectionable interference to the present operation of WVDA. The engineer-

ing affidavit included field strength measurements on the WVDA signal along several radials to establish the fact that the 0.5 mv/m contours of Stations WVDA and WDNH would overlap in a small area along the seacoast at a distance of over 50 miles from the WVDA site. It is computed by WVDA that the overlap would cause an interference area to WVDA of 112 square miles within which 7,964 persons reside. This population loss, according to the protestant, represents 0.31 percent of the population served by protestant's station. Thus, WVDA has established itself as a party in interest within the meaning of section 309 (c).

3. WVDA has specified the following issues on which it asks for an opportunity to present evidence:

1. To determine the areas and populations which may be expected to gain or lose service from the operation of the proposed station and the availability of other services to such areas.

2. To determine whether the operation of the proposed station would involve objectionable interference with the operation of Station WVDA licensed to Vic Diehm Associates, Inc., Boston, Massachusetts, and, if so, the nature and extent thereof, and the areas and populations affected thereby.

3. To determine, on a comparative basis, whether or not the proposed operation would best serve the public interest, convenience and necessity of the areas involved in the light of the evidence adduced under the issues herein and the record made with respect to the significant differences between the proposed program service, background and experience and management proposals of Granite State and the existing service being rendered by Vic Diehm Associates, Inc. (Station WVDA, Boston, Massachusetts).

4. To determine the stock ownership and management interests of the officers, directors, and stockholders of Granite State Broadcasting Company, Inc. in other businesses as well as in other broadcasting stations, and whether such interests result in a concentration of control contrary to or inconsistent with the public interest and/or the Commission's Multiple Ownership Rules.

4. Issues 1 and 2 as specified by protestant WVDA pertain to the coverage and interference areas of the proposed WDNH operation in question. As set forth in paragraph 2 above, the engineering showing submitted by WVDA establishes the fact that the proposed WDNH operation will cause interference to WVDA. Consequently WVDA has specified facts, matters and things relied upon so as to warrant the designation of the Granite State application for hearing upon the issues of coverage and interference.

5. With respect to Issue 3, we believe it necessary, in view of Issues 1 and 2, that evidence also be taken on the program service of Station WVDA in the interference area. See *Democrat Printing Co. v. Federal Communications Commission*, 91 U. S. App. D. C. 72, 202 F. 2d 298. However, the other matters on which the protestant seeks to have evi-

dence taken, i. e., the comparative backgrounds, experience and management proposals of itself and Granite State, are not properly in issue and are unsupported by any facts, matters or things relating to them. They will be deleted from the issue.

6. With respect to Issue 4, WVDA states that "It is known that the officers, directors, and stockholders of Granite State Broadcasting Company, Inc. have other broadcast interests as well as other business interests (Granite State Network, Inc.; Grandview, Inc.; WTSL, Inc.; Mt. Washington TV, Inc.; Collins Radio Inc.; and Rust Industrial Company)." WVDA concludes that these interests should be considered in any determination as to whether a grant of the application in issue would serve the public interest. The matter of concentration of control of interests of stockholders of Granite State Broadcasting Company, Inc., treated more completely in the WWNH protest, will be considered in conjunction with the WWNH protest.

7. In support of its protest WWNH contends that it will suffer economic injury as a result of the Commission's action. WWNH states that although its licensed location is Rochester, New Hampshire, it has also identified itself with the Dover area by programming its station in the interest of Dover (Dover is approximately 10 miles south of Rochester) with such broadcasts as Thanksgiving Day Dover High School football games, grand openings of new businesses in Dover, a local Talent Folk Song Festival, programs from the University of New Hampshire at Durham (a part of the Dover area), remote broadcasts of the University's Christmas Concert, adult education courses, interviews, news broadcasts of items of interest to the Dover-Durham area, broadcasts of the Durham High School basketball games. WWNH further states that in January 1954 it serviced 18 accounts in Dover and 12 additional accounts in Somersworth, Somersworth Falls, Durham and Berwick, towns comprising the Dover area; that these accounts and their attendant revenues accounted for 47.82 per cent of the total WWNH revenue and 53 per cent of the total local revenue of WWNH; that in December 1954 WWNH serviced 28 accounts in Dover and 14 accounts in the neighboring towns in the Dover area with an attendant revenue accounting for 41.21 per cent of the total revenue of WWNH and approximately 46 per cent of the total local revenue of WWNH; and that for the twelve-month period from January to December 1954, comparable accounts numbers and revenue figures existed within the above-stated limits. WWNH concludes that the establishment of a station in Dover would seriously reduce WWNH's revenue therefrom.

8. Granite State contends that WWNH is not a party in interest since it is in Rochester and " * * * the Commission has not previously indulged in the assumption that economic injury will result to a protestant in a community other than that where the station being protested is to be constructed." Granite State asserts that WWNH's showing that

it receives revenue from Dover doesn't substantiate a claim that this would be lost because of the proposed station at Dover.

9. In light of WWNH's showing set forth in Paragraph 7 above and the fact that the protestant is licensee of Station WWNH, Rochester, New Hampshire; that the protestant derives operating revenue from the Dover area; that the grant herein protested establishes the first standard broadcast station in Dover in direct competition with WWNH; and that the protestant has alleged that it has been and will continue to be financially injured by the grant complained of, it is the Commission's opinion that WWNH is a "party in interest" within the meaning of section 309 (c) of the Communications Act.

10. WWNH has specified the following issues on which it asks for an opportunity to present evidence:

1. To determine whether, in the light of the multiplicity of service rendered New Hampshire by Granite State at the present time and the service proposed in the Dover area by the station applied for by Granite State, and in the light of the common ownership of such stations, a grant of said application would be consistent with section 3.35 (b) of the Commission's rules (47 CFR 3.35).

2. To determine whether, in the light of the ownership by Granite State Network, it would be in the public interest to further strengthen its hold on the New Hampshire market by adding an additional powerful radio station to the Granite State controlling group.

3. To determine whether the Granite State group, through its multiple ownership of radio stations, have engaged in any practices such as establishing exclusive programming policies for Associated Grocers in order that illegal rebates otherwise prohibited by the Robinson-Patman Act might be effectuated.

4. To determine whether Granite State's policy of multiple station joint rates with substantial discounts as more stations are added is in the public interest; whether this policy will be established in the proposed operation at Dover; and, whether such policy covering four broadcast stations in the small New Hampshire market unreasonably restrains competition by precluding the single station licensee from access to the regional and national market.

5. To determine, in the light of the evidence adduced upon the foregoing issues, whether the public interest, convenience or necessity would be served by a grant of the application of Granite State for a station at Dover, and whether the parties in interest in said company are qualified to receive a grant of the application.

11. The WWNH protest alleges that William J. Barkley is President, Director and 35.3 percent stockholder; William F. Rust is Vice-President, Treasurer, Director and 49.9 percent stockholder; and Ralph Gottlieb is Director, General Manager and 14.8 percent stockholder of Granite State. Granite State is also the licensee of Station WKBR (1240 kc, 250 w, Unl.) and WKBR-FM, Manchester, New Hampshire; and is 3 percent stockholder of Mt. Washington TV, Inc.,

licensee of television Station WNTW, Poland Spring, Maine, Channel 8. Also, William J. Barkley is President, Director and 32.94 percent stockholder; William F. Rust is Treasurer, Director and 46.53 percent stockholder of Grandview, Inc., licensee of Station WTSV (1230 kc, 250 w, Unl.) and WTSV-FM, Claremont, New Hampshire. William J. Barkley is President, Director and 35.3 percent stockholder; William F. Rust is Vice-President, Director and 49.4 percent stockholder; and Ralph Gottlieb is Director, General Manager and 15.3 percent stockholder of WTSL, Inc., licensee of WTSL, Hanover, New Hampshire (1400 kc, 250 w, Unl.). Granite State Network, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Granite State, is a regional network serving the aforementioned stations.

12. It is further alleged that Capitol Broadcasting Corporation, Inc., of which H. Scott Killgore is the 100 percent stockholder, was an applicant for the same facilities specified in the application in issue. Mr. Killgore's application, File No. BP-9333, was withdrawn on January 14, 1955, in accordance with an agreement whereby, after a grant to Granite State, a new corporation would be formed, to which the construction permit would be assigned. Under this agreement, H. Scott Killgore would receive an option to buy 25 percent of the stock of the corporation to be formed. H. Scott Killgore is applicant for a new standard broadcast station at Plymouth, Massachusetts (File No. BP-8940, 990 kc, 1 kw, Day) and 100 percent stockholder of Tele Broadcasters, Inc., licensee of Station WKXL (1450 kc, 250 w, Unl.), Concord, New Hampshire. Central Broadcasting Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of Tele Broadcasters, Inc., is licensee of Station WARE (1250 kc, 1 kw, Day), Ware, Massachusetts.¹

13. With respect to Issues 1, 2 and 4 as specified by WWNH and Issue 4 as specified by WVDA, it is contended that stockholders in Granite State have so concentrated their interests in standard broadcast stations within the state of New Hampshire that their grant of an additional station in New Hampshire is in contravention of the provisions of § 3.35 of the Commission's rules. WVDA also contends that said stockholders' interests in other businesses such as Collins Radio, Inc., manufacturers of radio broadcast equipment, Rust Industrial Company and Granite State Network, Inc., further tighten their alleged concentration of control of standard broadcast interests. WWNH alleges that "The debilitating and adverse economic effect upon WWNH which will be caused by the entry of Granite State into the Dover market will be substantially aggravated by virtue of the multiple ownership and cross-connections in various other radio broadcast stations in the State of New Hampshire, which will further preclude its access to the necessary advertising revenues for the operation of its station (WWNH)." WWNH states that New Hampshire consists of

¹ Some of the matters set forth in paragraphs 11 and 12 are taken from the Commission's records.

ten counties with a total population of 533,242 persons according to the 1950 Bureau of Census. WWNH alleges that Granite State dominates and intends to further dominate New Hampshire through control by ownership, cross-ownerships or net work ownership of the radio media in seven of the ten counties in New Hampshire, which seven counties reportedly contain over 80 percent of the total population of the entire state. WWNH further contends that these seven counties account for 85 percent of the estimated effective buying income in New Hampshire; 85 percent of the state's retail sales; 83 percent of the state's total payroll.

14. It is contended by WWNH that "the combine of stations by Granite State uses its joint leverage to produce revenue and deny access to the advertisers to other radio station competitors. For example, an advertiser can purchase time on WTSV, Claremont, and obtain WKBR, Manchester, as well, and the combination of two stations results in a substantial discount to the advertiser. If the advertiser wants WTSV, Claremont, and WKBR, Manchester, he can add Brattleboro and obtain a third station and further increase his discount privileges. Should the advertiser want WTSV, Claremont; WKBR, Manchester, and WTSL, Lebanon (Hanover), the advertiser's discount is further increased. In the event Granite State adds a fourth wholly owned station at Dover, the discount arrangements might well result in discounts that would give the advertiser one station free if he bought the remaining three, * * * such extreme economic leverage in such a small state area cannot be regarded in the public interest."

15. In its reply Granite State asserts that " * * * the State of New Hampshire has a total of twelve AM stations, of which seven (including that of the protestant) operate with power in excess of 1 kilowatt. The only stations licensed to Granite State or its principals are 250 watt stations whose coverage is, of course, materially restricted by this lower power. * * * that a 250 watt station at best covers the community to which it has been assigned. * * * that residents of New Hampshire receive a multiplicity of radio broadcast signals from the stations located in the nearby states of Massachusetts, Vermont, Maine, and, of course, the signals of the clear channel stations from New York and other large eastern cities." Granite State further states that buying of time on two stations owned by Granite State at a discount " * * * is hardly evidence that advertisers are denied access to other radio stations in New Hampshire." Granite State contends that common ownership of four standard broadcast stations is not uncommon and is not contrary to the provisions of § 3.35 or the public interest. We find, upon the basis of the foregoing, that the protestants have specified with particularity facts, matters and things which warrant a hearing on the issues raised. However, the Commission is not adopting, within the meaning of section 309 (c), Issues

1, 2 and 4 as specified by WWNH and Issue 4 as specified in WVDA, and therefore the burden of proof will rest with WWNH and WVDA.

16. With respect to Issue 3, WWNH alleges, upon information and belief that Granite State Network, Inc. engages in practices that constitute violations of the Robinson-Patman Act. WWNH states that Granite State Network is the only operating and effective regional network in New Hampshire; that this network is a wholly owned subsidiary of Granite State, which owns between 4 and 5 percent of Mt. Washington TV, Inc., licensee of WMTW, Poland Spring, Maine, on VHF Channel 8; that the predominant feature on the Granite State Network is the Connie Stackpole show; that all commercial time on this program is made available to Associated Grocers; that the "advertising allowance made to Associated Grocers was in effect not a true advertising allowance"; that the "exclusive availability of the program puts Associated Grocers in a preferred position"; that "comparable advertising allowances are not made to grocers in competition with Associated Grocers"; that "any other radio station in the State of New Hampshire not affiliated with the network cannot obtain any advertising business from the Associated Grocers combination". From this recitation it is not clear to the Commission what the alleged violations of the Robinson-Patman Act are or how the matter complained of is material to WWNH's request for reconsideration of the grant of the construction permit for WDNH. Therefore, this issue is one which we would ordinarily set for oral argument. Hyman Rosenblum et al, 11 Pike & Fischer, RR 826. However, in view of the fact that an evidentiary hearing is necessary on the other issues involved, this issue will also be set for evidentiary hearing.

17. Granite State, in its replies to the WVDA and WWNH protests, states that if its application is designated for hearing, the proceeding should be in the form of an oral argument before the Commission as on demurrer instead of an evidentiary hearing. While such a procedure is feasible in certain cases, and the Commission in the past has ordered oral arguments in cases involving the Commission's multiple ownership rules, the data and material submitted and the questions and issues raised here are such as to require an evidentiary hearing in this case. This is not a case where, as in Ohio Valley Broadcasting Corp., 10 Pike & Fischer, RR 500, the nature of the factual allegations permits us to treat them as on demurrer. We believe that an evidentiary hearing on the present allegations is appropriate.

18. In view of the foregoing: *It is ordered*, That the subject protests of WVDA and WWNH are granted, and that pursuant to section 309 (c) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, effective immediately, the effective date of the grant of the above-entitled application is postponed pending a final determination by the Commission with respect to the hearing described below,

and that the above-entitled application is designated for hearing at the offices of the Commission in Washington, D. C. on the following issues:¹

1. To determine the areas and populations which will be served by the proposed operation, and the availability of other primary service to such areas and populations.

2. To determine whether the operation of the proposed station would involve objectionable interference with the operation of Station WVDA, Boston, Massachusetts, and, if so, the nature and extent thereof, and the areas and populations affected thereby.

3. To determine the proposed program service of the proposed station and the program service being rendered to the interference area by Station WVDA.

4. To determine whether a grant of the above-entitled application would be in contravention of the provisions of § 3.35 of the Commission's rules in light of the ownership interests in and the operating practices employed at other broadcast stations and a regional network by parties to the above-entitled applicant corporation and H. Scott Killgore.

5. To determine whether in the operation of the Granite State Network, Inc., the above-entitled applicant corporation or parties thereto have engaged in any practices prohibited by the Robinson-Patman Act.

6. To determine whether in light of the evidence adduced pursuant to the foregoing issues the Granite State Broadcasting Company, Inc. is qualified to be the licensee of a broadcast station and whether a grant of the above-entitled application would serve the public interest, convenience and necessity.

The burden of proof as to Issues 1 and 2 is placed on Granite State Broadcasting Company, Inc. The burden of proof on Issue 3 is placed jointly on Granite State Broadcasting Company, Inc., and Vic Diehm Associates, Inc. The burden of proof on Issue 4 is placed jointly on Vic Diehm Associates, Inc. and the Strafford Broadcasting Corporation. The burden of proof on Issue 5 is placed on Strafford Broadcasting Corporation.

19. *It is further ordered*, That Vic Diehm Associates, Inc.; Strafford Broadcasting Corporation; and the Chief of the Broadcast Bureau are made parties to the proceeding herein and that:

(a) The hearing on the above issues shall commence at 10:00 a. m. on April 27, 1955, before an Examiner to be specified by the Commission; and

(b) The parties to the proceeding shall have fifteen (15) days after the issuance of the Examiner's decision to file exceptions thereto and seven (7) days thereafter to file replies to any such exceptions; and

(c) The appearances by the parties intending to participate in the above

¹ The issues specified by WVDA and WWNH have been consolidated and edited for the purposes of simplicity and clarity.

hearing shall be filed not later than April 18, 1955.

Adopted: March 30, 1955.

Released: April 1, 1955.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 55-2842; Filed, Apr. 6, 1955;
8:49 a. m.]

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[D. P. A. Request No. 37—DPAV-30]

WITHDRAWAL OF REQUEST TO FLORIDA WOOD CO-OPERATIVE TO OPERATE AS SMALL BUSINESS ENTERPRISE PRODUCTION POOL, AND WITHDRAWAL OF REQUESTS TO CERTAIN COMPANIES TO PARTICIPATE IN OPERATIONS OF SUCH POOL

Pursuant to section 708 of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, the request to Florida Wood Co-operative, published on April 16, 1952, in 17 F. R. 3391; the requests to the companies therein listed to participate in the operations of such pool; and the request, dated June 17, 1952, to Leifert Construction Company, Inc., also to participate in the operations of such pool, are hereby withdrawn.

The immunity from prosecution under the Federal antitrust laws and the Federal Trade Commission Act heretofore granted to Florida Wood Co-operative, and the participating companies, is likewise withdrawn, except as to those acts performed or omitted by reason of the request which occurred prior to this withdrawal.

(Sec. 708, 64 Stat. 818; 50 U. S. C. App. 2158; E. O. 10493, October 16, 1953, 18 F. R. 6583)

Dated: April 1, 1955.

WENDELL B. BARNES,
Administrator.

[F. R. Doc. 55-2827; Filed, Apr. 6, 1955;
8:46 a. m.]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[4th Sec. Application 30437]

SOYBEAN OIL FROM SPRINGFIELD AND TAYLORVILLE, ILL., TO CANADA

APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

APRIL 4, 1955.

The Commission is in receipt of the above-entitled and numbered application for relief from the long-and-short-haul provision of section 4 (1) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

Filed by: H. R. Hinsch, Agent, for carriers parties to tariff schedules listed below.

Commodities involved: Soybean oil, carloads.

From: Springfield and Taylorville, Ill.

To: Hamilton, Toronto, Ont., and Montreal, Que.

Grounds for relief: Circuitous routes, and rates constructed on the basis of the short line distance formula, and additional origins.

Schedules filed containing proposed rates: H. R. Hinsch, Agent, I. C. C. No. 4460, supp. No. 58; W. J. Prueter, Agent, I. C. C. No. A-3723, supp. No. 116; B & O RR, I. C. C. No. 24271, supp. No. 3; I. C. RR, I. C. C. No. A-11764, supp. No. 1; WAB. RR, I. C. C. No. 7673, supp. No. 39.

Any interested person desiring the Commission to hold a hearing upon such application shall request the Commission in writing so to do within 15 days from the date of this notice. As provided by the general rules of practice of the Commission, Rule 73, persons other than applicants should fairly disclose their interest, and the position they intend to take at the hearing with respect to the application. Otherwise the Commission, in its discretion, may proceed to investigate and determine the matters involved in such application without further or formal hearing. If because of an emergency a grant of temporary relief is found to be necessary before the expiration of the 15-day period, a hearing, upon a request filed within that period, may be held subsequently.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] HAROLD D. MCCOY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 55-2828; Filed, Apr. 6, 1955;
8:47 a. m.]

[4th Sec. Application 30438]

RICE AND PRODUCTS FROM ARKANSAS, LOUISIANA AND TEXAS TO POINTS IN NORTH CAROLINA

APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

APRIL 4, 1955.

The Commission is in receipt of the above-entitled and numbered application for relief from the long-and-short-haul provision of section 4 (1) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

Filed by: F. C. Kratzmeir, Agent, for carriers parties to his tariff schedule listed below.

Commodities involved: Clean rice, rice bran, meal and polish, carloads.

From: Specified points in Arkansas, Louisiana, and Texas.

To: Farmville, Greenville, Kinston, and Washington, N. C., and points in North Carolina intermediate thereto and grouped with the named points.

Grounds for relief: Circuitous routes. Schedules filed containing proposed rates: F. C. Kratzmeir, Agent, I. C. C. 4120, supplement 7.

Any interested person desiring the Commission to hold a hearing upon such application shall request the Commission in writing so to do within 15 days from the date of this notice. As provided by the general rules of practice of the Commission, Rule 73, persons other than

applicants should fairly disclose their interest, and the position they intend to take at the hearing with respect to the application. Otherwise the Commission, in its discretion, may proceed to investigate and determine the matters involved in such application without further or formal hearing. If because of an emergency a grant of temporary relief is found to be necessary before the expiration of the 15-day period, a hearing, upon a request filed within that period, may be held subsequently.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] HAROLD D. MCCOY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 55-2829; Filed, Apr. 6, 1955;
8:47 a. m.]

[4th Sec. Application 30439]

SYNTHETIC RUBBER FROM LOUISIANA AND TEXAS TO WAUKEGAN, ILL.

APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

APRIL 4, 1955.

The Commission is in receipt of the above-entitled and numbered application for relief from the long-and-short-haul provision of section 4 (1) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

Filed by: F. C. Kratzmeir, Agent, for carriers parties to his tariff schedules listed below.

Commodities involved: Rubber, artificial, synthetic or neoprene, crude, carloads.

From: Baytown, Borger, Houston, Port Neches, Tex., and Lake Charles and West Lake Charles, La.

To: Waukegan, Ill.

Grounds for relief: Circuitous routes, rates constructed on the basis of the short line distance formula, and additional destination.

Schedules filed containing proposed rates: F. C. Kratzmeir, Agent, I. C. C. 4087, supplement 65; F. C. Kratzmeir, Agent, I. C. C. 4139, supplement 18.

Any interested person desiring the Commission to hold a hearing upon such application shall request the Commission in writing so to do within 15 days from the date of this notice. As provided by the general rules of practice of the Commission, Rule 73, persons other than applicants should fairly disclose their interest, and the position they intend to take at the hearing with respect to the application. Otherwise the Commission, in its discretion, may proceed to investigate and determine the matters involved in such application without further or formal hearing. If because of an emergency a grant of temporary relief is found to be necessary before the expiration of the 15-day period, a hearing, upon a request filed within that period, may be held subsequently.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] HAROLD D. MCCOY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 55-2830; Filed, Apr. 6, 1955;
8:47 a. m.]