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# Rules and Regulations 

## Title 7-AGRICUITURE

Chapter III-Agricultural Research Service, Department of Agriculture [PP.D: 640, Amended]

## PART 301-DOMESTIC QUARANTINE NOTICES

## Subpart-Gypsy Moth and Brown-Tail Moth

Revision of List of Establishments Correction
In F.R. Doc. 70-375, appearing at page 382 in the issue for Saturday, January 10, 1970, make the following changes:

Under the State of Vermont:
a. In the entry for "Chioldi Granite Co., Inc.", the reference to "Ganate" should read "Granite".
b. In the entry for "Cook Watkins \& Patch, Ins.", the reference to "Ganite" should read "Granite".
c. In the second entry for "Rock of Ages Corp.", the reference to "Graniteville" should read "Barre".

Chapter VII-Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service (Agricultural Adjustment); Department of Agriculture
SUBCHAPTER B-FARM MARKETING QUOTAS AND ACREAGE ALLOTMENTS

PART 730-RICE
Subpart-1970-71 Marketing Year
State Reserve Acreages, County Acreage Allotments and Reserve Acreages, 1970 Crop Rice
The provisions of $\S \S 730.1504$ and 730.1505 are issued pursuant to the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938, as amended ( 7 U.S.C. 1281 et seq.) (referred to as the "act"), with respect to the 1970 crop of rice. The purpose of these provisions is to establish (1) State reserve acreages, (2) county acreage allotments and reserve acreages in farm States, and (3) State productivity pool acreages in farm States. The regulations for determination of acreage allotments for 1969 and subsequent crops of rice ( $\$ 8730.61$ to $730.87,33$ F.R. 14520, 17764,34 F.R. 3733 and 5629) (referred to as the "allotment regulations") contain the designation of farm States and producer States and govern allocations of allotments and reserves established by these provisions.
Notice that the Secretary was preparing to make determinations with respect to these provisions was published in the FERERAL REGISTER on October 4, 1969 (34 P.R. 15485), in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553. Data, views, and recommendations were submitted
pursuant to such notice and consideration given thereto to the extent permitted by law.
The act requires that, insofar as practicable, notices of farm acreage allotment be mailed to the farm operator in sufficient time to be received prior to the holding of the referendum respecting the national marketing quota. Since such referendum will be held during the period January 19 to 23, 1970, it is essential that $\$ \$ 730.1504$ and 730.1505 be made effective as soon as possible so that the local committees may issue the notices of farm acreage allotment. Accordingly, it is hereby found and determined that compliance with the 30 -day effective date requirement of 5 U.S.C. 553 is impracticable and contrary to the public interest and $\$ \$ 730.1504$ and 730.1505 shall be effective upon flling this document with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

## § 730.1504 State reserve acreages.

The State reserve acreage set forth in the table in this section were established on the basis of recommendations by the State committees. The State reserve for new farms or new producers, if any, and the State reserve in producer States for appeals and corrections, missed producers and adjustments in factored allotments were established in accordance with section 353 of the act.

| -State | State reserve screages for new farms or new producers | State reserve screates for sppeals, etc. in producer States 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


${ }^{1}$ For appeals and corrections, missed producers, and adjustments in factored allotments in producer states and the "Producer administrative area" in Loulsiana.
$\S 730.1505$ County acreage allotments and reserve acreages and State productivity pool in farm States.
The farm acreage allotments for the 1970 crop of rice in the producer States will be established primarily on the basis of past production of rice by the producer on the farm in lieu of past production of rice on the farm. Therefore, the 1970 State acreage allotments of rice for producer States will be apportioned directly to farms and county acreage allotments and reserve acreages will
not be determined for producer States The county reserve acreages were established on the basis of recommendations by the State and county committees in the farm States. Such county reserves are available for appeals and corrections, missed farms and adjustments in factored allotments. The State productivity pool is the allotment attributable to history pooled as a result of productivity adjustments in the exchange of rice farm acreages allotments and upland cotton farm acreage allotments under $\$ 730.79$ (d) of the allotment regulations. Such State productivity pool shall not be allocated to producers, counties and farms. The county acreage allotments in farm States were established by apportioning the State acreage allotment less any State reserve for new farms and less any State productivity pool among the counties in the State in the same proportion that they shared in the total acreage allotted in 1956, as provided by section 353 (c) (1) and (6) of the act, except that in the farm administrative area of Louisiana, prior to apportionment among counties, 19 acres were reserved from the State allotment to adjust the county allotment for Rapides Parish for an upward trend in acreage pursuant to section 353 (c) (1) of the act. The following table sets forth the county acreage allotments and reserve acreages and State productivity pool in the farm States for the 1970 crop of rice.


| County | County acreage allotment | County reserve acreages ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adams. | 22 | 0 |
| State total. | 22 | 0 |
| Loumbiana, Farm Admmistrative ArEa |  |  |
| Parlsh | Parish screage allotment | Parish reserve acrages $:$ |
| Acadia | 93, 935 |  |
| Allen. | 24,621 | 10.0 144.2 |
| Avoyelles | 2,884 4,715 | ${ }^{144} 0$ |
| Bossier.... | , 67 | 0 |
| Calcasieu. | 67, 869 | 8.0 |
| Cameron. | 12,550 | 0 |
| Evangeline | 45, 579 | 30.0 |
| Grant..... |  |  |
| Tberia........ | 6,346 98,408 | 2,0 25,0 |
| Lafayette..... | 10, 115 | 15.0 |
| Rapides. | 766 | 0 |
| St. Landry. | 17. 449 | 5. 0 |
| St. Martin. | 4,184 | 12.0 |
| St. Mary - | 3,516 | 175.7 |
| Vermillion | 115, 857 | 50.0 |
| Productivity pool. | 43 |  |
| State reserve... | 19 | .....- |
| State total, farm administrative area | 508, 923 | 551.9 |


| Misstssippt |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County | County Acreage allotment | County reserve acreages ${ }^{1}$ |
| Bollyar. | 22,008 | 0 |
| Coahoms. | 2,075 | 0 |
| De Soto. | 1,291 | 0 |
| Hancock. | 186 | 0 |
| Humplireys. | 2,135 | 0 |
| Issaquena- | 108 | 0 |
| Leflore... | 3,761 | 0 |
| Panols. | 80 | 0 |
| Quitman. | 864 | 0 |
| Sharkey. | 1,064 | 0 |
| Bunflower | 4,509 | 0 |
| Tallahatehie | 515 | 0 |
| Tate..- | 121 | 0 |
| Tunica. | 3,456 | 0 |
| Washington | 0,608 | 0 |
| Productivity pool | 17 |  |
| State total. | 51,858 | 0 |

Missouri

| Butler <br> Holt. <br> Lew's <br> Lincoln <br> Marlon. <br> Mississippl <br> New Madrid. <br> Pemiscat. <br> Riploy. <br> 8t. Charles <br> Scott <br> Stoddard | $\begin{array}{r} 1,742 \\ 2 \\ 9 \\ 38 \\ 342 \\ 98 \\ 123 \\ 659 \\ 510 \\ 40 \\ 1163 \\ 1,600 \end{array}$ | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State total....... | 5,286 | 0 |



1 County reserve acreage for appeals and corrections, missed farms, and adjustments.
(Secs. 344a(h), 353, 375, 79 Stat. 1197, as amended, 52 Stat. 61, as amended, 52 Stat. 66, as amended; 7 U.S.C. $1344 \mathrm{~b}(\mathrm{~h}), 1353$, 1375)

Effective date: Date of filing with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on January $15,1970$.

Kenneth E. Frick,
Administrator, Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service.
[F.R. Doc. 70-729; Flled, Jan. 16, 1970; 10:00 a.m.]

## Titte 12-BANKS ANO BANKING <br> Chapter V-Federal Home Loan Bank Board <br> SUBCHAPTER C-FEDERAL SAVINGS AND LOAN SYSTEM <br> [No. 23,677]

## PART 545-OPERATIONS

## Reserve for Uncollected Interest; Revocation

December 30, 1969.
Resolved that, because the requirement for a reserve for uncollected interest contained in $\$ 545.6-13$ of the rules and regulations for the Federal Savings and Loan System ( 12 CFR 545.6-13) is inconsistent with a portion of $\$ 572.2$ of the rules and regulations for Insurance of Accounts (12 CFR 572.2), adopted on the date of this resolution, effective February 20,1970, which section is applicable to Federal savings and loan associations as insured institutions, the Federal Home Loan Bank Board hereby revokes sald $\S 546.6-13$, effective February 20, 1970. (Sec. 5,48 Stat. 132, as amended; 12 U.S.C. 1464. Reorg. Plan No. 3 of 1947, 12 F.R. 4981, 3 CFR, 1943-1948 Comp., p. 1071)

Resolved further that, since the revocation of $\$ 545.6-13$ is a technical change to eliminate inconsistency, the Board finds that notice and public procedure are unnecessary under the provisions of $\$ 508.11$ of the general regulations of the Federal Home Loan Bank Board (12 CFR 508.11 ) and 5 U.S.C. 553 (b).

By the Federal Home Loan Bank Board.

## [seal]

Jack Carter, Secretary.
[F.R. Doc. 70-751; Flled, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:46 a.m.]

## SUBCHAPTER D-FEDERAL SAVINGS AND LOAN

 INSURANCE CORPORATION[No. 23,675]

## PART 563-OPERATIONS

## Accounting Principles and Procedures <br> December 30, 1969.

Resolved that, notice and public procedure having been duly afforded (34 F.R. 19186) and all relevant material presented or available having been consldered by it, the Federal Home Loan

Bank Board, upon the basis of such consideration, determines that it is advisable to amend Part 563 of the rules and regulations for Insurance of Accounts ( 12 CFR Part 563) for the purpose of providing that insured institutions (1) shall prepare their financial statements and reports to the Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation on the basis of generally accepted accounting principles, (2) shall prepare and maintain such books and records as will support the financial statements and reports and readily permit reconciliation of such statements and reports with such books and records, and (3) shall employ such specific principles or procedures on particular accounting matters as the Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation may require by regulation or otherwise. Accordingly, said Part 563 is amended by adding, immediately after § 563.23-2 thereof, a new $\$ 563.23-3$, to read as follows, effective February 20, 1970:
§563.23-3 Accounting principles and procedures.
For purposes of examination by and reports to the Corporation and of compliance with this subchapter, each insured institution shall:
(a) Prepare its financial statements and reports to the Corporation on the basis of generally accepted accounting principles;
(b) Prebare and maintain such books and records as will support its financial statements and reports to the Corporation and readily permit reconciliation of such statements and reports with its books and records; and
(c) Employ such specific principles or procedures on particular accounting or reporting matters as the Corporation may require by regulation or otherwise. (Secs. 402, 403, 48 Stat. 1256, 1257, as amended; i2 U.S.C. 1725, 1726. Reorg. Plan No. 3 of 1947, 12 F.R. 4981, 3 CFR, 1943-1948 Comp., p. 1071)
By the Federal Home Loan Bank Board.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [SEAL] JACK CARTER, } \\
& \text { Secretary. }
\end{aligned}
$$

[F.R. Doc. 70-752; Filed, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:46 a.m. 1

## [No. 23,676] <br> PART 572-ACCOUNTING STATEMENTS OF POLICY

December 30, 1969.

Resolved that, notice and public procedure having been duly afforded ( 34 F.R. 19186) and all relevant material presented or available having been considered by it, the Federal Home Loan Bank Board, upon the basis of such consideration, determines that it is advisable to publish a statement of policy concerning use of the accrual basis of accounting by insured institutions; and on the basis of further consideration of such material, it determines that it is also advisable to publish a statement of policy concerning accounting by insured institutions for uncollectible income; and it
further determines that it is advisable to codify such accounting statements of policy in a new Part 572 of the rules and regulations for Insurance of Accounts ( 12 CFR, Chapter V, Subchapter D). Accordingly, such regulations are hereby amended by adding at the end of said subchapter D a new Part 572, to read as follows, effective February 20, 1970:
sec.
572.1 Use of accrual basis of accounting.
572.2 Accounting for uncollectible income.

Authority: The provisions of this Part 572 issued under secs. 402, 403, 48 Stat. 1256, 1257, as amended; 12 U.S.O. 1725, 1726; Reorg. Plan No. 3 of 1947, 12 F.R. 4981, 3 CFR, 1943-1948 Comp., p. 1071.
§572.1 Use of accrual basis of accounting.
(a) General. Section 563,23-3 of this chapter provides that each insured institution shall prepare its financial statements and reports to the Corporation on the basis of generally accepted accounting principles and, further, that it shall employ such specific principles or procedures on particular accounting or reporting matters as the Corporation may require by regulation or otherwise. This statement sets forth the Corporation's general policy with respect to the use of the accrual basis of accounting by insured institutions and specific policy with respect to the use of such basis of accounting by a specifled class of institution in the preparation of financial statements and reports to the Corporation.
(b) Definition. As used herein, the term "accrual basis of accounting" refers to that accounting method in which expenses are recorded when incurred, whether paid or unpaid, and income is recorded when earned, whether or not received.
(c) General policy as to use of accrual basis of accounting. The Corporathon urges all institutions to use the accrual basis of accounting. Accordingly, any institution may use the accrual basis of accounting to prepare and maintain Its accounting records and/or to prepare its financial statements and reports to the Corporation. While generally accepted accounting principles prescribe that the accrual basis of accounting be used in the preparation of financial statements and $\$ 563.23-3$ of this chapter requires adherence to such principles in the preparation of financial statements and reports to the Corporation, the Corporation is of the opinion that to require all institutions to convert immediately to the use of the accrual basis of accounting for financial statement and report purposes will work a hardship on those institutions of below average size. Accordingly, at this time, the Corporation will require the use of the accrual basis of accounting only by the class of institution specified in paragraph (e) of this section. However, it will be the Corporation's policy to expand such requirement from time to time, with the objective of ultimately requiring the use of the accrual basis of accounting by all insured institutions.
(d) Preparation and maintenance of books and records. While any insured
institution may use the accrual basis of accounting in keeping its books, the Corporation does not so require. For the purpose of examinations by the Corporation an institution which elects or is required by this statement of policy to use the accrual basis of accounting for the preparation of financial statements and reports to the Corporation and which prepares and maintains its books and records on a basis other than the accrual basis of accounting shall also prepare and maintain such records and reconcillations as will properly support such statements and reports.
(e) Institutions required to use acerual basis of accounting. (1) An insured institution which, at December 31, 1969, had total assets in excess of $\$ 25$ million shall thereafter prepare all quarterly, semiannual, and annual reports to the Corporation on the accrual basis of accounting. Insured institutions reaching this asset size after December 31, 1969, shall prepare such reports on the accrual basis of accounting commencing with the next following annual accounting period.
(2) Insured institutions which, as of December 31, 1969, were preparing financial statements and reports to the Corporation on the accrual basis of accounting or which, subsequent to that date, elect or are required to use the accrual basis of accounting shall use that method consistently thereafter.
(f) Initial accrual basis adjustments. (1) An insured institution which elects or is required to use the accrual basis of accounting shall make initial adjustments to convert to such basis of accounting as of the beginning of the annual accounting period to which such election or requirement is applicable, and such initial adjustments shall be recorded no later than the close of business of the sixth month of such annual accounting period.
(2) The net amount of the initial adjustments may be recorded as a nonoperating income or expense item, as the case may be, or may be recorded as a direct charge or credit to appropriate net worth accounts.
§572.2 Accounting for uncollectible income.
(a) General. Section 563.23-3 of this chapter provides that each insured institution shall employ such spectfic principles or procedures on particular accounting or reporting matters as the Corporation may require by regulation or otherwise. This statement sets forth the Corporation's general pollcy with respect to accounting for uncollectible income by those insured institutions which use the accrual basis of accounting and its specific policy with respect to accounting for uncollectible interest on loans, contracts, and similar investments.
(b) Uncollectible income. An institution which uses the accrual basis of accounting to prepare its financial statements and reports to the Corporation shall, at least quarterly, review all earned but uncollected income and make a determination of the portion thereof that is considered to be uncollectible, In
making such a determination, institutions shall employ generally accepted accounting principles for determining estimated losses.
(c) Uncollectible interest on loans. In determining the uncollectibility of income on loans, contracts, and similar investments, an insured institution should employ generally accepted accounting principle. However, as a minimum, the following should be classtfied as uncollectible: (1) All earned but uncollected interest on any conventional loan if any portion thereof is due but uncollected for a period in excess of 90 days; (2) all earned but uncollected interest on any conventional loan on which an institution has commenced a legal action to acquire title to or secure possession of the underlying security or to enforce performance by the borrower; and (3) all earned but uncollected interest on any other conventional loan which, because of substantial or chronic delinquency or any other material reason, is of doubtful collectibility. Farned but uncollected interest on loans other than conventional loans should be classified as uncollectible on the same basis, after allowance for any interest which may be expected to be received in connection with any existing insurance or guaranty.
(d) Adjustment for uncollectible income. At least quarterly, appropriate income accounts shall be charged with the amount of uncollectible income and a corresponding amount shall be credited to an account or accounts descriptive of uncollectible income.
(e) Definition. As used hereln, the term "conventional loan" refers to any investment by an insured institution in any loan, contract, or similar investment which is not an insured loan, a guaranteed loan, or a guaranteed obligation, as defined in $\$ \S 561.20,561.21$, and 561.21 a , respectively, of this subchapter.
By the Federal Home Loan Bank Board.
[seal]
Jack Carter,
Secretary.
[F.R. Doc. 70-753; Fled, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:46 a.m.]

## Titte 14-AERONAUTICS AND SPACE

Chapter I-Federal Aviation Administration, Department of Transportation
[Docket No. 10066; Amdts. 47-9, 49-5]
PART 47-AIRCRAFT REGISTRATION PART 49-RECORDING OF AIRCRAFT TITLES AND SECURITY DOCUMENTS

## Clarification on Recordings and Deal-

 ers' Aircraft Registration CertificatesThe purpose of these amendments is to clarlfy certain provisions of Parts 47 and 49 of the Federal Aviation Regulations. Part 47 is amended to clarify the use of the Dealer's Aircraft Registration Certificates, and to provide that a person must
be a U.S. citizen to be eligible to hold a dealer's certificate. Part 49 is amended to accommodate all recordings required by the Act against certain aircraft engines, aircraft propellers and spare parts.

The present implication of $\$ 47.61$ (a) (1) is that a dealer's certificate may be used in aircraft which are under production by holders of type certificates in conjunction with a Special Flight Permit. Thus, any experimental or prototype aircraft of a manufacturer would be excluded by application of the section. However, under $\$ 47.61(\mathrm{~b})$ or $\$ 47.69(\mathrm{~d})$ (1), a dealer would not be precluded from using a dealer's certificate for such aircraft. This matter is clarified by amending $\$ 47.61$ (a) (1) so that manufacturers issued Dealers' Aircraft Registration Certificates are allowed to make any required flight tests of aircraft.

Section 505 of the Federal Aviation Act (49 U.S.C. 1405 ) provides for dealers' certificates and their use in connection with aircraft eligible for registration under the Act. The Act further requires in section 501 ( 49 U.S.C. 1401) that an aircraft shall be eligible for registration if, but only if, it is owned by a citizen of the United States. "Citizen of the United States" is defined in section $101(13)$ of the Act (49 U.S.C. $1301(13)$ ). Section 47.65 is amended to conform with section 505 of the Act to provide that a person must be a U.S. citizen to be eligible for a Dealer's Aircraft Registration Certificate.

Sections 49.41 (a) and 49.51 (a) as they presently read appear to Include as a requirement for the recordation of certain listed conveyances or liens that such instruments be executed for security purposes. These sections taken alone would appear to exclude the recordation of a lease not executed for security purposes. The Act in section 503 (2) and (3) (49 U.S.C. 1403 (2) and (3)) specifically provides for the recordation of "any lease," as well as mortgages, equipment trusts, etc., and other instruments, executed for security purposes and affecting the title to, or interest in, certain aircraft engines, aircraft propellers and spare parts. Although such leases are accepted for recordation by the aircraft registry as being within the purview of the Act, the regulations are not clear in this regard. As persons in the past may have relied upon the wording of $\$ \$ 49.41$ (a) and 49.51 (a) these sections are amended so that, except for the provision concerned with notice of tax lien or other lien, the wording of the sections more closely conforms with the language of the Act.

Section 49.53 (a) (2) as it presently reads, requires that one of the parties to the conveyance, submitted for recording under Part 49, Subpart E, must be an air carrier. However, the Act ( 49 U.S.C. 1403 (a) (3)) does not require an air carrier to be a party to the mortgage, lease or other instrument for it to be recordable, but only requires that the aircraft engines, propellers or appliances sought to be recorded against be maintained by or on behalf of an air carrier certificated under section 604 (b) ( 49 U.S.C. 1424 (b))
of the Act. The language of the regulation imposes restrictions not imposed by the statute and appears to preclude recordation of instruments filed for recordation under Subpart E when the air carrier is not a party to the instrument.

The amendment to $\$ 49.53$ (a) allows the recordation of conveyances affecting the title of engines and spare parts maintained by or on behalf of air carriers, although the air carrier for whom they are being maintained is not a party to the transaction. The amendment also requires that such conveyances shall be accompanied by a statement from the air carrier certificated under section 604 (b) of the Act ( 49 U.S.C. 1424 (b))

Since this amendment is clarifying in nature, and does not impose a burden on the public, I find that notice and public procedure thereon are not necessary and that it may become effective on less than 30 days notice.

In consideration of the foregoing, Parts 47 and 49 of the Federal Aviation Regulations are hereby amended effective January 21,1970 , as follows:

1. Section $47.61(\mathrm{a})(1)$ is amended to read as follows:

## § 47.61 Dealers' Aircraft Registration Certificates.

(a) * * *
(1) Allow manufacturers to make any required flight tests of aircraft.
2. Section 47.65 is amended to read as follows:

## §47.65 Eligibility.

To be eligible for a Dealer's Aircraft Registration Certificate, a person must have an established place of business in the United States, must be substantially engaged in manufacturing or selling aircraft, and must be a citizen of the United States, as defined by section $101(13)$ of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1301).
3. Section 49.41 (a) is amended to read as follows:

## §49.41 Applicability.

(a) Any lease, a notice of tax lien or other lien (except a notice of Federal tax lien referred to in $\$ 49.17(\mathrm{a})$ ), and any mortgage, equipment trust, contract of conditional sale, or other instrument executed for security purposes, which affects title to, or any interest in, any specifically identified aircraft engine of 750 or more rated takeoff horsepower, or the equivalent of that horsepower, or a specifically identifled aircraft propeller capable of absorbing 750 or more rated takeoff shaft horsepower.
4. Section 49.51 (a) is amended to read as follows:
§49.51 Applicability.
(a) Any lease, a notice of tax lien or other lien (except a notice of Federal tax lien referred to in $\$ 49.17(\mathrm{a})$ ), and any mortgage, equipment trust, contract of conditional sale, or other instrument exe-
cuted for security purposes, which affeets title to, or any interest in, any aircraft engine, propeller, or appliance maintained by or on behalf of an air carrier certificated under section 604(b) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1424(b) ) for installation or use in aircraft, aircraft engines, or propellers, or any spare parts, maintained at a designated location or locations by or on behalf of such an air carrier.
5. In $\S 49.53(\mathrm{a})$, subparagraph (1) is amended and subparagraph (2) is revised to read as follows:
§ 49.53 Eligibility for recording: general requirements.
(a) * * *
(1) It affects any aircraft engine, propeller, appliance, or spare part, maintained by or on behalf of an air carrier certificated under section 604(b) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1424(b) ) ;
(2) It contains or is accompanied by a statement by the air carrier certificated under that section;
(Secs. 307 (c), $313(\mathrm{a}), 501,503,505,1107$, Federal Aviation Act of 1958 ( 49 U.S.C. 1348 (c), 1354(a), 1401, 1403, 1405, 1507); sec. 6 (c), Department of Transportation Act ( 49 U.S.C. $1655(\mathrm{c})) ; \S 1.4(\mathrm{~b})$ (1) of the regulations of the Office of the Secretary of Transportation)
Issued in Washington, D.C., on January $13,1970$.
J. H. Shaffer,

Administrator.
[F.R. Doc. 70-755; Filed, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:46 a.m.]

## [Docket No. 10065; Amdt, 61-46]

## PART 61-CERTIFICATION: PILOTS AND FLIGHT INSTRUCTORS

## Airline Transport Pilot Certificate (Air-

 plane Rating)-Aeronautical Experience Requirements; Clarification of False Logbook Eniry RuleThe purpose of these amendments to Part 61 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to: (1) Allow an applicant for an airline transport pilot certificate (airplane rating) until July 22, 1970, to meet the aeronautical experience requirements of $\S 61.145$ that were in effect immediately prior to November 22,1969 , as an alternative to compliance with the new requirements effective on that date under Amendment 61-44; and (2) clarify $\S 61.48$ (a) (2) by specifically prohibiting any iraudulent or intentionally false entry in any logbook, record, or report required to be kept, made, or used, to show compliance with any requirement for either the issuance, or exercise of the privileges, of any certificate or rating under this part.
(1) Amendment 61-44, issued on October 16, 1969, effective November 22, 1969 (34 F.R. 17162) changes the minimum total flight time required by $\$ 61.145$ (b) (2) as aeronautical experience for an airplane transport pilot certificate with an
airplane rating to 1,500 hours. Previously the requirement was 1,200 hours within the 8 years before the date of application. Under the amendment the applicant also must have the minimum 250 hours of flight time as pilot in command (or as copilot performing the duties and functions of a pilot in command under the supervision thereof), as required by $\$ 61.145$ (b) (1), in airplanes. Previously the requirement did not specify airplanes.

The FAA has been informed that a number of persons who are taking courses of instruction for an airline transport pilot certificate, by contractual agreement under the Veterans' Pension and Readjustment Assistance Act of 1967, currently meet the former requirement of 1,200 hours within the previous 8 years but do not meet the new requirement of 1,500 hours. Also, other persons met or were closely approaching the " 1,200 in 8 " requirement on November 22, 1969. Under the new rule, these persons will not be eligible to take the required written and practical tests without having an additional, unanticipated 300 hours flight time as a pilot. It is considered appropriate to allow these persons to meet the requirements of $\& 61,145$, as they existed before November 22, 1969, for a period of 8 months. This allows students already enrolled in courses to complete their training and then apply for their certificates. It also allows other persons nearing compliance with the experience requirements to complete the latter and apply for their certificates without the need to have the additional 300 hours. This period of time is considered sufficiently long to accomplish the objective of this amendment yet not so long as to allow persons to use the alternative method when they now lack 1,200 hours of pllot flight time by a significant margin.
(2) The amendment to $\$ 61.48$ (a) (2) makes clear that the prohibition of that provision applies to entries in logbooks or other records required to show compliance with any requirement for not only the issuance, but also the exercise of the privileges, of a certificate or rating under Part 61. It has been asserted that the rule as presently written is susceptible of the interpretation that it does not prohibit falsification of entries, such as required recent experience, needed for the continuing exercise of the privileges of a certificate or rating. The amendment states the scope of the rule more clearly than the previous language.
Since the former amendment contains an alternative method of compliance that is less burdensome than that which became effective on November 22 , 1969, and the latter amendment merely clarifies an existing rule, I find that notice and public procedure thereon is unnecessary, and that these amendments may be made effective on less than 30 days' notice.
In consideration of the foregoing, Part 61 of the Federal Avlation Regulations is amended as follows, effective January 21, 1970:

1. By inserting the following new paragraph (f) in 861.145 :
§ 61.145 Airplane rating: aeronautícal experience.
(f) Until July 22, 1970, an applicant for an airline transport pilot certificate (airplane rating) may meet the aeronautical experience requirements in effect either on, or before, November 22, 1969.
2. By amending paragraph (a) (2) of $\$ 61.48$ to read as follows:
§ 61.48 Application, certificates, logbooks, reports, and records: falsification, reproduction, or alteration.
(a) * *
(2) Any fraudulent or intentionally false entry in any logbook, record, or report that is required to be kept, made, or used, to show compliance with any requirement for the issuance, or exercise of the privileges, of any certificate or rating under this part;
(Secs, 313 (a), 601, 602, Federal Aviation Act of 1958 ( 49 U.S.C. 1354 (a), 1421, 1422) ; sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act ( 49 U.S.C. $1655(\mathrm{c})$ ))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on January $15,1970$.
D.D. Thomas,

Acting Administrator.
[F.R. Doc. 70-756; Flled, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:46 8.m.1

[Airspace Docket No, 69-EA-130]

## PART 71-DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

## Alteration of Control Zone and Transition Area

On page 18310 of the Federal Register for November 15, 1969, the Federal Aviation Administration published a proposed rule which would alter the Lebanon, N.H., control zone (35 F.R. 2054) and transition area ( 35 F.R. 2134).
Interested parties were given 30 days after publication in which to submit written data or views. No objections to the proposed regulations have been received,
In view of the foregoing, the proposed regulations are hereby adopted effective 0901 G.m.t., March 5, 1970.
(Sec. 307 (a). Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348; sec. 6 (c), Department of Transportation Act 49 U.S.C. 1655 (c))

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on January 2, 1970.

## George M. Gary, <br> Director, Eastern Region.

1. Amend $\$ 71.171$ of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to delete in the description of the Lebanon, N.H., control zone, "within 2 miles each side of the Lebanon VOR $231^{\circ}$ and $051^{\circ}$ radials extending from the 5 -mile radius zone to 2 miles northeast", and insert the following in lieu thereof, "within 3.5 miles each side of the Lebanon VOR $231^{\circ}$ and $051^{\circ}$ radials extending from the 5 -mile radius zone to 8.5 miles north-
east"; delete " $104^{\circ}$ radial" and insert in lieu thereof, " $103^{\circ}$ radial".
2. Amend $\$ 71.181$ of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to add in the description of the Lebanon, N.H., 700 -foot floor transition area, the following: "and within 4.5 miles southeast and 9.5 miles northwest of the Lebanon VOR $051^{\circ}$ radial extending from the Lebanon VOR to 18.5 miles northeast of the VOR".
[F.R. Doc. 70-757; Flled, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:47 8.m.]

## [Airspace Docket No. 69-SO-137]

## PART 71-DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

## Alteration of Control Zone and Transition Area and Revocation of Transifion Area

On November 13, 1969, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the Federal Register (34 F.R. 18176), stating that the Federal Aviation Administration was considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would alter the Fort Stewart, Ga., control zone and transition area.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the rule making through the submission of comments. All comments received were favorable except those submitted by the Department of the Army.
The Army objected on the basis that the restrictions imposed by the proposed 700 -foot transition area would increase the air traffic density below the 700 -foot controlled airspace, create a flight safety hazard, and seriously impair their capability to accomplish their mission to meet pilot training requirements.

In light of the comments received, a review of the proposal disclosed that the proposed 700 -foot transition area is required to provide adequate controlled airspace protection for IFR operations in climb from 700 to 1,200 feet above the surface. Admittedly, it would derogate the Army's mission because their training aircraft would be unable to transition from the control zone through the proposed 700 -foot transition area to the training areas at altitudes above 700 feet when visibility is below 3 miles. Special VFR operations are permitted within a control zone configuration, but not within a transition area configuration. The instrument approach procedure to Wright AAF, Fort Stewart, Ga., will be wholly contained within the proposed control zone configuration when commencing descent from 1,500 feet above the surface. To eliminate the requirement for the 700 -foot transition area, the U.S. Army developed departure procedures which will permit IFR operations to climb to 1,200 feet above the surface within the proposed control zone configuration.
In view of the foregoing, the proposed 700 -foot transition area is hereby withdrawn since it is no longer required. Since this withdrawal lessens the burden
on the public, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary and action is taken herein to revoke the proposed transition area.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., April 2, 1970, as hereinafter set forth.
In $\$ 71.171$ (35 F.R. 2054), the Fort Stewart, Ga., control zone is amended to read:

## Fort Stewart, Ga.

Within a 5 -mile radius of Lyle H. Wright AAF (lat. $31^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$., long. $81^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$.) ; within a 1.5 -mile radius of Liberty County Airport (lat. $31^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$ N., long. $81^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$, W.): within 3 miles each side of the $230^{\circ}$ bearing from Liberty RBN, extending from the 5 -mile radius zone to 8.5 miles southwest of the RBN; within 3 miles each side of Liberty TVOR $242^{\circ}$ radial, extending from the 5 -mile radius zone to 8.5 miles southwest of the TVOR.

In $\$ 71.181$ ( 35 F.R. 2134), the Fort Stewart, Ga., transition area is revoked. (Sec. 307 (a). Federal Aviation Act of 1958. (49 U.S.O. 1348 (a)) sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655 (c) ))

Issued in East Point; Ga., on January $12,1970$.

Gordon A. Williams, Jr., Acting Director, Southern Region.
[F.R. Doc. 70-758; Flled, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:47 a.m. 1

## [Airspace Docket No. 69-EA-129]

## PART 71 -DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

## Alteration of Transition Area

On page 18821 of the Federal Register for November 25, 1969, the Federal Aviation Administration published a proposed rule which would alter the Southbridge, Mass., transition area ( 35 F.R. 2134).

Interested parties were given 30 days after publication in which to submit written data or views, No objections to the proposed regulations have been received.

In view of the foregoing, the proposed regulations are hereby adopted effective 0901 G.m.t., March 5, 1970.
(Sec. 307(a). Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348) ; sec. 6 (c), Department of Transportation Act ( 49 U.S.C. 1665(c)))
Issued in Jamaiea, N.Y., on January 2, 1970.

George M. Gary,
Director, Eastern Region.
Amend $\$ 71.181$ of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to delete the description of the Southbridge, Mass., transition area and insert the following in lieu thereof:
That alrspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6.5 -mile radius of the center, $42^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$., $72^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime}$ W. of Southbridge Municipal Airport, Southbridge, Mass.; within 3.5 miles each side of the Putnam, Conn., VORTAC $315^{\circ}$ radial, extending from the $6.5-\mathrm{mlle}$ radius area to the VORTAC; within 2 miles each side of
the Runway 2 centerline extended from the $6.6-$ mile radius area to 6.5 miles north of the end of the runway and within 2 miles each side of the Runway 20 centerline extended from the $6.5-\mathrm{mile}$ radius area to 6.5 miles south of the end of the runway.
[F.R. Doc. 70-759; Flled, Jan, 20, 1970; 8:47 a.m.]
[Airspace Docket No. 69-EA-138]

## PART 71 -DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

## Alteration of Transition Area

On page 18821 of the Federal, Register for November 25, 1969, the Federal Aviation Administration published a proposed rule which would alter the Frederick, Md., transition area ( 35 F.R. 2134).
Interested parties were given 30 days after publication in which to submit written data or views. No objections to the proposed regulations have been received.

In view of the foregoing, the proposed regulations are hereby adopted effective 0901 G.m.t., March 5, 1970.
(Sec. $307(\mathrm{a})$, Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. $749 ; 49$ U.S.C. 1348); sec. 6 (c), Department of Transportation Act ( 49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on January 2, 1970.

## George M. Gary, Director, Eastern Region.

Amend $\$ 71.181$ of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to delete the description of the Frederick, Md., transition area and substitute the following in lieu thereof:

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a $5-$ mile radius of the center $39^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} ., 77^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$., of Frederick Municipal Airport, Frederick, Md.; within 3.5 mfles each side of the Frederick VOR $032^{\circ}$ radial, extending from the 5 -mile radius area to 11.5 miles northeast of the VOR; within 2 miles each side of the Runway 19 centerilne extended from the $5-\mathrm{mile}$ radius area to 6 miles south of the end of the runway and within 2 miles each side of the Frederlck VOR $075^{\circ}$ radial, extending from the 5 -mile radius area to 7 miles east of the VOR.
[F.R. Doc. 70-760; Flled, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:47 a.m.

## [Airspace Docket No, 69-EA-141]

## PART 71 -DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

## Alferation of Transition Area

On page 18311 of the Federal Register for November 15, 1969, the Federal Aviation Administration published a proposed regulation which would alter the Laconia, N.H., transition area (35 F.R. 2134).

Interested parties were given 30 days after publication in which to submit written data or views. No objections to the proposed regulations have been received.

In view of the foregoing, the proposed regulations are hereby adopted effective 0901 G.m.t., March 5, 1970.
(Sec. 307 (a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348) : sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act ( 49 U.S.C. 1655 (c) ) )
Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on January 2, 1970.

## Grorge M. Gary,

## Director, Eastern Region.

Amend $\$ 71.181$ of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation regulations so as to delete the description of the Laconia, N.H., transition area and substitute the following in lieu thereof:

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6.5 mile radius of the center, $43^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} ., 71^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ W. of Laconia Municipal Airport, Laconia, N.H.; within 3.5 miles each side of the $249^{\circ}$ bearing from the Laconia RBN, $43^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 14^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. $71^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime}$ W., extending from the $6.5-$ mile radius area to 9.5 miles west of the RBN; and within 2 miles each side of the Runway 17 centerline, extended from the 6.5 mile radius area to 9.5 miles southeast of the end of the runway.
[F.R. Doc. 70-761: Flled, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:47 a.m.1

## [Airspace Docket No. 70-SO-5]

## PART 71 -DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

## Redesignation of Control Zone

The purpose of this amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to redesignate the effective hours of the Greenwood, S.C., part-time control zone.

The Greenwood part-time control zone is described in $\$ 71.171$ (35 F.R. 2054).

Airline personnel performing aviation weather observations and reporting duties during the time the control zone is in effect informed the Federal Aviation Administration their hours of operation have been changed to "0800 to 1945 local time Monday through Friday, 0800 to 1445 local time, Saturday, and 1300 to 1945 local time Sunday." It is therefore necessary to redesignate the control zone accordingly.

Since this amendment lessens the burden on the public by reducing the effective hours of the control zone, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary and action is taken herein to redesignate the control zone.

In consideration of the foregoing. Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective immediately, as hereinafter set forth.

In §71.171 (35 F.R. 2054), the Greenwood, S.C., control zone is redesignated as follows: "* * * effective 0700 to 2300 local time, Monday through Friday, 0700 to 1730 local time Saturday, and 1045 to 2230 local time, Sunday is deleted and $" * * *$ effective 0800 to 1945 local time, Monday through Friday, 0800 to 1445 local time, Saturday, and 1300 to 1945 local time, Sunday is substituted therefor.
(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)) ; sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))
Issued in East Point, Ga., on January $9,1970$.

Gordon A. Williams, Jr.
Acting Director, Southern Region.
[FR. Doc. 70-762; Filed, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:47 a.m.]

## Titte 19-CUSTOMS DUTIES

Chapter I-Bureau of Customs, Department of the Treasury [T.D. 70-21]

PART 4-VESSELS IN FOREIGN AND DOMESTIC TRADES
Foreign Discriminating Duties of Tonnage and Impost With Respect to Vessels of and Certain Imports From Czechoslovakia

## JANUARy 12, 1970

The Secretary of State advised the Secretary of the Treasury on September 18, 1969, that the Department of State has obtained satisfactory proof from Czechoslovakia that since July 24, 1969, no discriminating duties of tonnage or imposts have been imposed or levied in ports of Czechoslovakia upon vessels wholly belonging to citizens of the United States, or upon the produce, manufactures, or merchandise imported into Czechoslovakia in such vessels from the United States or from any foreign country.
Therefore, by virtue of the authority vested in the President by section 4228 of the Revised Statutes, as amended (46 U.S.C. 141), which was delegated to the Secretary of the Treasury by the President by Executive Order No. 10289, September 17, 1951, as amended by Executive Order No. 10882, July 18, 1960 (3 CFR Ch. II), and pursuant to the authorization given to me by Treasury Department Order No. 190, Rev. 6, April 9, 1969 (34 F.R. 6298), I declare that the foreign discriminating duties of tonnage and impost within the United States are suspended and discontinued, so far as respects the vessels of Czechoslovakia, and the produce, manufactures, or merchandise imported into the United States in such vessels from Czechoslovakia or from any other foreign country. This suspension and discontinuance shall take effect as of July 24, 1969, and shall continue for so long as the reciprocal exemption of vessels wholly belonging to citizens of the United States and their cargoes shall be continued and no longer.
In accordance with this declaration 84.22, Customs Regulations, is amended by the insertion of "Czechoslovakia" in the appropriate alphabetical sequence in the list of nations whose vessels are exempted from the payment of any higher tonnage duties than are applicable to vessels of the United States and from the payment of light money.
( 80 Stat. 379, R.S. 4219 , as amended, 4225 , as amended, 4228, as amended, sec. 3, 23 Stat.

119, as amended; 5 U.S.C. 301, 46 U.S.C. 3 , $121,128,141$ )
[seal] Eugene T. Rossides, Assistant Secretary of the Treasury. JaNUARY 12, 1970.
[F.R. Doc. 70-749; Flled, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:46 a.m.]
[T.D. 70-22]
PART 4-VESSELS IN FOREIGN AND DOMESTIC TRADES
Foreign Discriminating Duties of Tonnage and Impost With Respect to Vessels of and Certain Imports From the Somali Republic

## JaNUARY 12, 1970.

The Secretary of state advised the Secretary of the Treasury on August 6, 1969, that the Department of State has obtained satisfactory proof from the Somali Republic that since July 1, 1960, no discriminating dutles of tonnage or imposts have been imposed or levied in ports of the Somali Republic upon vessels wholly belonging to citizens of the United States, or upon the produce, manufactures, or merchandise imported into the Somali Republic in such vessels from the United States or from any foreign country.
Therefore, by virtue of the authority vested in the President by section 4228 of the Revised Statutes, as amended (46 U.S.C. 141), which was delegated to the Secretary of the Treasury by the President by Executive Order No. 10289, September 17, 1951, as amended by Executive Order No. 10882, July 18, 1960 (3 CFR Ch. II), and pursuant to the authorization given to me by Treasury Department Order No. 190, Rev. 6, April 9, 1969 (34 F.R. 6298), I declare that the forelgn discriminating duties of tonnage and impost within the United States are suspended and discontinued, so far as respects the vessels of the Somali Republic, and the produce, manufactures, or merchandise imported into the United States in such vessels from the Somall Republic or from any other foreign country. This suspension and discontinuance shall take effect from July 1, 1960, and shall continue for so long as the reciprocal exemption of vessels wholly belonging to citizens of the United States and their cargoes shall be continued and no longer.
In accordance with this declaration, §4.22, Customs Regulations, is amended by the insertion of "Somali Republic" in the appropriate alphabetical sequence in the list of nations whose vessels are exempted from the payment of any higher tonnage duties than are applicable to vessels of the United States and from the payment of light money.
( 80 Stat. 379, R.S. 4219 , as amended, 4225 , as amended, 4228 , as amended, sec. 3,23 stat. 119, as amended; 5 U.S.C. 301, 46 U.S.C. 3 , 121, 128, 141)
[SEAL] EUGENE T. ROSSIDES, Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.
Jandary 12, 1970.
[F.R. Doc. 70-750; Flled, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:46 a.m.]

## Titte 21-FOOD AND DRUGS

Chapter 1-Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
SUBCHAPTER B-FOOD AND FOOD PRODUCTS
PART 16-MACARONI AND NOODLE PRODUCTS
Identity Standards for Enriched Products; Listing of Inactive Dried Torula Yeast as Optional Ingredient
In the matter of amending the identity standards for enriched macaroni products, enriched noodle products, and enriched macaroni products made with nonfat milk to list inactive dried torula yeast as an optional ingredient:

No comments were received in response to the nitice of proposed rule making in the above-identified matter that was published in the Federal Register of October 4, 1969 (34 F.R. 15486), and based on:

1. A petition filed by Lake States Div. of St. Regis Paper Co., Rhinelander, Wis. 54501, proposing that standards of identity for enriched macaroni and enriched noodle products ( $\$ 16.9$ and 16.10 ) be amended to list inactive dried torula yeast as an optional ingredient; and
2. A proposal by the Commissioner of Food and Drugs, on his own initiative, that the standard of Identity for enriched macaroni products made with nonfat milk $\$ \$ 16.14$ ) be similarly amended.

Having considered the information furnished by the petitioner, and other relevant material, the Commissioner concludes that it will promote honesty and fair dealing in the interest of consumers to amend the standards as proposed.

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (secs. 401, 701, 52 Stat. 1046, 1055, as amended 70 Stat. 919,72 Stat. $948 ; 21$ U.S.C. 341,371 ) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner ( 21 CFR 2.120 ) : It is ordered, That $\$ \$ 16.9(\mathrm{a})$ (5), 16.10 (a) (5), and $16.14(a)$ (3) be revised to read as follows:
§ 16.9. Enriched macaroni products; identity; label statement of optional ingredients.
(a) * *
(5) Each such food may be supplied, wholly or in part, with the prescribed quantity of any substance referred to in subparagraphs (1), (2), and (3) of this paragraph through the use of dried yeast. dried torula yeast, partly defatted wheat germ, enriched farina, or enriched flour, or through the direct additions of any of the substances prescribed in subparagraphs (1), (2), and (3) of this paragraph.
§ 16.10 Enriched noodle products; identity; label statement of optional ingredients.
(a) * * *
(5) Each such food may be supplied, wholly or in part, with the prescribed quantity of any substance referred to in
subparagraphs (1), (2), and (3) of this paragraph through the use of dried yeast, dried torula yeast, partly defatted wheat germ, enriched farina, or enriched flour, or through the direct additions of any of the substances prescribed in subparagraphs (1), (2), and (3) of this paragraph.
§16.14 Ebriched macaroni products made with nonfat milk; identity; label statement of optional ingredients.
(a) * * *
(3) Each such food contains in each pound not less than 4 milligrams and not more than 5 milligrams of thiamine, not less than 1.7 milligrams and not more 2.2 milligrams of ribofiavin, not less than 27 milligrams and not more than 34 milligrams of niacin or niacinamide, and not less than 13 milligrams and not more than 16.5 milligrams of iron ( Fe ). These substances may be added through direct addition or wholly or in part through the use of dried yeast, dried torula yeast, partly defatted wheat germ (as provided for in subparagraph (4) of this paragraph), enriched farina, or enriched flour. They may be added in a harmless carrier, such carrier being used only in the quantity reasonably necessary to effect an intimate and uniform distribution of such substances in the finished food. Iron may be added only in a form that is harmless and assimilable.

Due to cross-references, these amendments to the standards for enriched macaroni products and enriched noodle products ( $\$ \$ 16.9$ and 16.10 ), upon becoming effective, will have the effect of providing for optional use of inactive dried torula yeast in enriched vegetable macaroni products ( $\$ 16.11$ ) and enriched vegetable noodle products ( $\$ 16.12$ ).

Any person who will be adversely affected by the foregoing order may at any time within 30 days from the date of its publication in the Federal Register file with the Hearing Clerk, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Room 5440,330 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20201, written objections thereto. Objections shall show wherein the person flling will be adversely affected by the order and specify with particularity the provisions of the order deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing, and such objections must be supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought. Objections may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof. All documents shall be filed in stx copies.

Effective date. This order shall become effective 60 days from the date of its publication in the Federal Register, except as to any provisions that may be stayed by the flling of proper objections. Notice of the filing of objections or lack thereof will be announced by publication in the Federal Register.
(Secs. 401, 701, 52 Stat. 1046, 1055, as amended 70 Stat. 919,72 Stat. 948 ; 21 U.S.C. 341, 371)

Dated: January 12, 1970.

Sam D. Fine,<br>\section*{Acting Associate Commissioner}<br>for Compliance.

[F.R. Doc. 70-736; Filed, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:45 a.m.]

## PART 37-FISH

Canned Tuna, Identity Standard; Provision for Use of Lemon Flavoring
In the matter of amending the standard of identity for canned tuna ( $\$ 37.1$ ) to provide for the use of lemon flavoring:

A notice of proposed rule making in the above-identified matter was published in the Federal. Register of June 28, 1969 (34 F.R. 9996), in response to a petition submitted by Star-Kist Foods, Inc., Terminal Island, Calif. 90731. For reasons given, the notice included a proposal by the Commissioner of Food and Drugs that the listing of lemon oil be made optional rather than mandatory and that the words "lemon flavored," or words of similar import, be made part of the name of the food. In the only comment received regarding the proposal, the petitioner asserted that the firm would not object to the Commissioner's modifications.

Based on information submitted by the petitioner, the comment received, and other relevant information, the Commissioner concludes that it will promote honesty and fair dealing in the interest of consumers to amend the standard as set forth below. Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sees. 401, 701, 52 Stat. 1046,1055 , as amended 70 Stat. 919, 72 Stat. $948 ; 21$ U.S.C. 341,371 ) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 2.120): It is ordered, That $\$ 37.1$ be amended by adding to paragraph (f) a new subparagraph (8), by revising paragraph (h) (6) and (8), and by adding to paragraph $(\mathrm{h})$ a new subparagraph (9), as follows:
§37.1 Canned tuna; definition and standard of identity; label statement of optional ingredients.
(f) * *
(8) Lemon flavoring to be prepared from lemon oil and citric acid together with safe and sultable carriers for the lemon oil which are present at nonfunctional and insignificant levels in the finished canned food. When lemon flavoring is added, a safe and sultable solubilizing and dispersing ingredient may be added in a quantity not exceeding 0.005 percent by welght of the finished food. A substance used in accordance with this paragraph is deemed to be suitable if it is used in an amount no greater than necessary to achleve the intended flavor effect, and is deemed to be safe if it is not a food additive as defined in section 201 (s) of the act or, if it is a food additive as so defined, it is used in conformity
with regulations established pursuant to section 409 of the act.
(h) * *
(6) Where the canned tuna contains one or more of the ingredients provided for in paragraph (f) of this section, the label shall bear the statement "Seasoned with $\qquad$ "the blank being filled in with the name or, names of the ingredient or ingredients used, except that if the ingredient designated in paragraph (f) (6) of this section is used, the blanks shall be flled in with the term "vegetable broth"; and if the ingredient designated in paragraph (f) (5) of this section is used alone, the label may alternatively bear either the statement "spiced" or the statement "with added spice"; and if salt is the only seasoning ingredient used, the label may alternatively bear any of the statements "salted," "with added salt," or "salt added." If the flavoring ingredients designated in paragraph (f) (8) of this section are used, the words "lemon flavored" or "with lemon flavoring" shall appear as a part of the name on the label; for example, "lemon flavored chunk light tuna". Citric acid and any optional solubilizing and dispersing agent used as specifled in paragraph (f) (8) of this section in connection with lemon flavoring ingredients shall be designated on the label by its common or usual name.
(8) Wherever the name of the food appears on the label so conspicuously as to be easily seen under customary conditions of purchase, the names of the optional ingredients used, as specifled in subparagraphs (3), (6), and (7) of this paragraph (except if lemon flavoring is added, this subparagraph applies only to the terms "lemon flavored" or "with lemon flavoring," not to the constituent ingredients of that flavoring or to any optional solubilizing or dispersing ingredient used in connection with lemon flavoring ingredients), shall immediately and conspicuously precede or follow such name without intervening, written, printed, or graphic matter, except that the common name of the species of tuna fish may so intervene; but the species name "albacore" may be employed only for canned tuna of that species which meets the color designation "white" as prescribed by paragraph (d) (1) of this section.
(9) Statements of optional ingredients present required by subparagraph (6) of this paragraph, but not subject to the provisions of subparagraph (8) of this waragraph shall be set forth on the label with such prominence and conspicuousness as to render them likely to be read and understood by the ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase.

Any person who will be adversely affected by the foregoing order may at any time within 30 days from the date of its publication in the Federal Register file with the Hearing Clerk, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Room 5440, 330 Independence Avenue SW.,

Washington, D.C. 20201, written objections thereto. Objections shall show wherein the person filing will be adversely affected by the order and specify with particularity the provisions of the order deemed objectionable and the rounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing, and such objections must be supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought. Objections may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof. All documents shall be filed in six copies.
Effective date. This order shall become effective 60 days from the date of its publication in the Federal Register, except as to any provisions that may be stayed by the filing of proper objections. Notice of the filing of objections or lack thereof will be announced by publication in the Federal. Register.
(Sees. 401, 701, 52 Stat. 1046, 1055, as amended 70 Stat. 919, 72 Stat. 948; 21 U.S.C. 341, 371)
Dated: January 12, 1970.
SAM D. Fine,
Acting Associate Commissioner
for Compliance.
[F.R. Doc. 70-746; Flied, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:46 a.m.

## PART 120-TOLERANCES AND EXEMPTIONS FROM TOLERANCES FOR PESTICIDE CHEMICALS IN OR ON RAW AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES

## Sodium Borate

A petition (PP 9F0783) was filed with the Food and Drug Administration by U.S. Borax Research Corp., 412 Crescent Way, Anaheim, Calif. 92803 , proposing an exemption from the requirement of a talerance for residues of added boron in or on the raw agricultural commodities cottonseed; grain, forage, and straw of barley, oats, rye, and wheat; and certain forage grasses. The added boron occurs from use of the defoliant, desiccant, and herbicide sodium borate (including sodium metaborate and sodium tetraborate)
Subsequently, the petitioner amended his petition by withdrawing the above and requesting instead establishment of a tolerance of 30 parts per million for such residues in or on cottonseed.
The Secretary of Agriculture has certified that this pesticide chemical is useful for the purpose for which the tolerance is being established.
Based on consideration given the data submitted in the petition, and other relevant material, the Commissioner of Food and Drugs concludes:

1. That while there is a reasonable expectation of insignificant residues of boron in meat, milk, and eggs from animals fed cottonseed hulls and meal derived from treated cottonseed, a tolerance on these items is not necessary to protect the public heaith. Moreover, since there is a varlable amount of boron
in meat, milk, and eggs from naturally occurring boron in other feed and forage items, it would not be practical to establish tolerances in meat, milk, or eggs.
2. The tolerance established by this order will protect the public health.

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 408(d) (2), 68 Stat. 512; 21 U.S.C. 346 a (d) (2) ) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 2.120), § 120.271 is amended to read as follows to establish the subject tolerance:

## § 120.271 Boron; tolerances for resi-

 dues.Tolerances for total boron, calculated as elemental boron, are established as follows:

30 parts per million in or on cottonseed to cover residues from application of the defoliant, desiccant, and herbicide sodium borate (including sodium metaborate and sodium tetraborate) plus naturally occurring boron in cottonseed.

8 parts per million in or on citrus fruits to cover residues from postharvest application of the fungicides borax and boric acid plus the naturally occurring boron in citrus fruits.

Any person who will be adversely affected by the foregoing order may at any time within 30 days from the date of its publication in the Federal Register file with the Hearing Clerk, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Room 5440, 330 Independence Avenue SW.. Washington, D.C. 20201, written objections thereto, preferably in quintuplicate. Objections shall show wherein the person filing will be adversely affected by the order and specify with particularity the provisions of the order cleemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported By grounds legally sufficent to justify the relief sought. Objections may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof.

Effective date. This order shall become effective on the date of its publication in the Federal Register.
(Sec. $408(\mathrm{~d})(2), 68$ Stat. 512; 21 U.S.C. 346a(d) (2))

Dated: January 12, 1970.
R. E. Duggan

Acting Associate Commissioner for Compliance.
[F.R. Doc. 70-737; Flled, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:45 a.m.]

## Titte 22-FOREIGN RELATIONS

## Chapter V-United States Information Agency

## PART 504-ORGANIZATION

## Correction

In the Federal Register of December 31,1969 , page 20427 , the numbering
of the part on Organization should be Part 504

Henry Loomis, Deputy Director.
[F.R. Doc. 70-731; Filed, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:45 a.m.]

## Titte 32-NATIONAL DEEENSE <br> Chapter 1-Office of the Secretary of Defense

## SUBCHAPTER B-PERSONNEL; MLLTARY AND

 CIVILIAN
## PART 49-ASSIGNMENTS OF MILITARY PERSONNEL TO DUTY IN DESIGNATED HOSTILE FIRE AREAS

The Deputy Secretary of Defense approved the following revision to Part 49: Sec .
49.1 Reissuance and scope,
49.2 Applicability.
49.3 Definitions.
49.4 Pollcy and responsibilities.

Authority: The provisions of this Part 49 issued under sec. 301, 80 Stat. 379 ; 5 U.S.C. 301.
§49.1 Reissuance and scope.
This part is revised to expand its scope to include all current hostile fire areas ( $\$ 49.3$ (b) ). It establishes uniform policies governing the assignment of military personnel to designated hostile fire areas (except during periods of war or national emergency hereafter declared, by the Congress, when the provisions of this part will be superseded), and requests the Military Departments to issue procedures outlining for military personnel under their jurisdiction instructions for submission of requests for assignment and reassignment, consistent with policies established herein.

## §49.2 Applicability.

The provisions of this part apply to the Military Departments.

## §49.3 Definitions.

As used in this part, the following definitions will apply:
(a) Family members include a husband and wife, or the father, mother, sons and daughters, and all sisters and brothers as defined in title 37 , United States Code, 501(a) (3) and (4)
(b) Designated Hostile Fire areas incluude-
(1) Serving in Vietnam on or after January 1, 1961, as follows:
(i) Any member assigned to a military unit which is located within the geographic boundaries of South Vietnam.
(ii) Any member aboard a nonrotating naval unit operating in-shore and based in South Vietnam.
(iii) All aircrew members while staHoned ashore or affoat in Southeast Asia and normally engaged in flying combat missions.
(2) Serving in Korea on or after January 1, 1961: Any member assigned to a military unit which is located within the
geographic boundaries designated as a hostile fire zone.
§49.4 Policy and responsibilities.
(a) General. (1) Assignment to duty in a hostile fire area will be shared as equitably as practicable by all members of the Armed Forces, except under the following conditions:
(i) All designated hostile fire areas(a) Family deaths or disability. (1) Where, as a result of serving in a designated hostile fire area, a member of a family is killed or dies or is determined by the Veterans Administration or one of the military services to be 100 percent physically or mentally disabled, and by virtue of such disability is hospitalized on a continuing basis and not gainfully employed, other members of the same family will, upon request, be exempt from serving in Vietnam (as defined in $\$ 49.3(\mathrm{~b})$ ) or other designated hostile fire areas, or, if serving in Vietnam or other designated hostile fire areas, be reassigned therefrom.
(2) Family members will be similarly exempt, upon request, during a period in which another family member is in a captured or missing status.
(b) Age limitations. Military personnel who are under 18 years of age are not eligible for assignment to serve in a hostile fire area, but may be assigned to sea duty or to duty in other overseas areas.
(c) Sole surviving sons. Military personnel who are qualified sole surviving sons and who either have requested noncombat duty or have not waived a request submitted by a parent will be subject to the provisions of Part 52 of this subchapter, as amended by 34 F.R. 12097.
(d) Conscientious objectors. The assignment of valid conscientious objectors shall be subject to the restrictions set forth in DOD Directive 1300.6, "Conscientious Objectors," dated May 10, 1968. ${ }^{1}$
(ii) Vietnam only-family service. (a) Where one member of the Armed Forces is serving with a military unit in Vietnam, another member of the same famfly, upon his request, will be deferred from assignment to that country until completion of the first member's tour.
(b) Deferments are not authorized in those instances where a member is serving in Vietnam on temporary duty orders for a period of less than thirty (30) days.
(2) All military personnel being processed for assignment to a designated hostile fire area will be specifically advised of the special assignment considerations for family members outlined in subparagraph (1) of this paragraph.
(b) Deferment, combat exemption, and reassignment requests. The following requests must be submitted in writing and in accordance with instructions prescribed by the Military Department concerned:
(1) Deferment and combat exemption requests. Only the service member

[^0]concerned may request an assignment deferment or exemption under paragraph (a) (1) (1) (a) and (ii) (a) of this section.
(i) Requests for deferment should normally be submitted within fifteen (15) days after receipt of orders, assignment instructions, unit alert, or scheduled movement. Requests for combat exemptions may be submitted at any time.
(ii) A military member who has submitted an application for deferment of or exemption from his assignment should be retained in place until action on his application is finalized.
(2) Reassignment requests. Reassignments made under the following policies may be to other overseas areas in Southeast Asia.
(i) All designated hostile fire areas. Where multiple requests for reassignment under the provisions of paragraph (a) (1) (i) (a) of this section are received, all requesting members will be reassigned from the designated hostile fire area at the earliest practicable date.
(ii) Vietnam only. Where two or more members of the same family are serving in Vietnam and more than one application for reassignment based on family service is received, the member with the longest Vietnam service perlod should be given priority reassignment consideration.

> Maurtce W. Roche,
> Director, Correspondence and Directives Division, OASD (Administration).

[F.R. Doc. 70-742; Flled, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:45 a.m.]

## PART 63-ARMED FORCES HIGH SCHOOL RECRUITING AND TESTING PROGRAM

Sec.
63.1 Reissuance and purpose.
63.2 Applicabllity and purpose.
63.3 Policies.
63.4 Responsibilities.

Authority: The provisions of this Part 63 issued under sec. 801,80 Stat. 379 ; 5 U.S.C. 301.
§ 63.1 Reissuance and purpose.
(a) This part reissues DOD Instruction 1304.12, "Armed Forces High School Recruiting and Testing Program," April 7, 1967, to:
(1) Provide active and reserve recruiting elements of the Military Departments with policy guidance in (i) dealing with high school authorities; and (ii) using a DOD common aptitude testing battery for high school recruiting; and
(2) Assign responsibility for (1) preparation and maintenance of the high school test battery; (ii) test control and administration; (iii) scoring of test results; and (iv) maintenance and distribution of records of test scores.
(b) This part includes changes in $\$ 863.3(\mathrm{~g})$ and $63.4(\mathrm{a})(1)$, (b) (1) (1), and (d) (3).
§63.2 Applicability and definition.
(a) The provisions of this part apply to the Departments of the Army, Navy, and Air Force.
(b) The collective term "Military Services" used, herein refers to the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps.

## § 63.3 Policies.

The Military Services will be governed by the following policies in any recruiting activities, and in the administration of the common DOD test battery, titled the Armed Services Vocational Aptitude Battery (ASVAB), at public and nonpublic high schools.
(a) Students enrolled in high schools (1) will be encouraged by recruiters to stay in school and graduate; and (2) will not be accepted for active duty enlistment without prior notice to the school and without parents' consent.
(b) Use of the ASVAB both as a recruiting tool and for vocational guldance in the high schools shall be encouraged.
(c) School authorities will be provided with maximum available information on the value of the ASVAB for predicting vocational aptitude for civilian as well as military jobs.
(d) To the maximum extent practicable, contacts with school authorities soliciting their cooperation on military career program presentations will be planned fointly by the recruiting elements of all Military Services. When arrangements for in-school student time, including career-day programs and student time administration of the ASVAB is desired by local school authorities, the recruiting elements of all Military Services will select a single DOD representative to make these arrangements with the school authorities (see also $\$ 63.4$ (b) (1)).
(e) High schools shall be encouraged to inform students on the vocationalcareer opportunities of the Armed Forces and given every assistance in providing such information.
(f) The Coast Guard and Reserve Components of the Armed Forces shall be encouraged to participate in the program.
(g) The Armed Services Vocational Aptitude Battery will be of maximum use and effectiveness when it is administered to high school seniors, and every effort should be made to restrict the testing program to seniors. However, if a particular school insists on testing juniors or sophomores, such testing may be done but only if the seniors are also tested. In this event, the juniors or sophomores should again be tested as seniors. Test results and names and addresses of juniors and sophomores should not be used by recruiters in any manner unless the students drop out of school despite efforts of recruiters to encourage them to stay in school in accordance with the policy expressed in paragraph (a) of this section. Counselors should be advised that the technical material included in the "High School Counselor's Manual" relates to the testing of seniors and that
average scores would be expected to increase between the sophomore, junior and senior years by reason of the additional education and experience.

## §63.4 Responsibilities.

ASVAB and test answer sheets. (1) The Department of the Army will have the primary responsibility for all research and development necessary for: The construction and evaluation of the ASVAB, including new forms thereof; (ii) the preparation of test answer sheets, and (ifi) solving technical problems relating to the ASVAB. The Department of the Army will also have the responsibility for preparing, printing and making initial distribution of the ASVAB, including new forms thereof, test answer sheets and related materials. The Department of the Army will provide the Department of the Air Force with necessary scoring keys for use in scoring tests as provided in subparagraph (3) of this paragraph. Scoring keys will also be provided to the Armed Forces Examining and Entrance Stations at such time as those stations are authorized to score ASVAB tests.
(2) The Departments of the Navy and Air Force will assist the Department of the Army in the research and development described under subparagraph (1) of this paragraph by providing technical and other assistance.
(3) The Department of the Air Force will score completed answer sheets and maintain grouped statistical data on test results, Answer sheets may be destroyed four (4) months after scoring has been completed. However, answer sheets may be forwarded to Military Service personnel research activities, as requested.
(4) Test results will be determined and distributed within 30 days following administration of the test. Student scores listed by high school, will be released by the Air Force to (i) the school concerned, and (ii) an office (Army Recruiting Main Station, Navy Recruiting Main Station, Marine Recruiting Station, and Air Force Recruiting Detachment) designated by each Military Service. Test scores will also be sent to the Armed Forces Entrance and Examining Station servicing the area in which a school is located. Results of the test will not be made available to anyone prior to release to the foregoing activities.
(b) Liaison with high schools. (1) To help carry out the objectives of $\S 63.3$ (d), the recruiting organizations of each Service shall establish a local Interservice Recruitment Committee in each locality where more than one Service is represented by a recruiting main station or substation.
(i) It shall be the responsibility of this Committee to coordinate the relationships between the recruiting offices and the local high schools so as to achieve maximum cooperation from the school authorities in implementing the testing program and in carrying out other joint recruiting activities. Extreme care should be exercised to assure that recruiters of all Services are informed of any special agreements or arrangements made between representatives of the military

Services and school officials, and that such agreements or arrangements which might be requested by school officials as a condition of testing, and which the representative of the Services finds acceptable, should be explicit. In this respect, the Services should not refuse to test a particular school if the school officials insist that the names and addresses of persons tested not be used for mail-out and similar purposes.
(ii) Before any high school not-currently included in the high school testing program of any Military Service is approached for the purpose of its inclusion in the testing program, coordination shall be accomplished through the local Interservice Recruiting Committee concerned with recruiting in that high school.
(2) Each Military Service shall provide all high schools in which the ASVAB is administered with data on vocational use of this battery in line with § 63.3 (c).
(c) Counselor's manual. Subject to the guidance and approval of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Manpower and Reserve Affairs), the Department of the Navy shall have the primary responsibility for preparation, and initial distribution to the Military Services of a DOD high school counselor's military service reference manual.
(1) This manual will provide high schools with basic descriptive material on DOD high school recruiting policy, use of the ASVAB, and serve as a quick reference guide to recruiting material of all the Military Services.
(2) Each Military Service shall provide technical assistance to the Navy in the preparation of the counselor's manual.
(d) Accountability for tests. In order to assure that strict control and accountabillty of each copy of the tests and on scoring keys for the ASVAB:
(1) Initial distribution of test forms by the Department of the Army will be made to a designated central supply office in each Military Service. A designated officer shall be responsible for maintaining careful control of tests in his custody and control of distribution to the Test Administrators in his Military Service. The Air Force shall maintain proper security of test papers and scoring keys in its custody.
(2) Test Administrators shall be designated by each Military Service who (i) will have sole responsibility for maintaining the security of tests and answer papers at the local level, and (ii) assure that the examinations are given under appropriate testing conditions and with proper assurance for security control of the tests.
(3) Test Administrators may be civilian or military personnel. However, no individual having a responsibility for recruiting shall be designated as a Test Administrator except in rare specially authorized instances and only after approval by the counselors who conduct other types of specialized testing, such as the General Aptitude Test Battery, the Scholastic Achievement Test, the

College Entrance Examination Board Test, etc., may be authorized to administer the ASVAB if they insist on doing so. However, a qualified Test Administrator must be present for proctoring and maintaining test security.
(4) Recruiting personnel and school teachers may be used by the Test Administrators to assist in proctoring the examination.
(e) Budgeting and accounting. (1) Costs involved in the preparation of the ASVAB, the administration and scoring of the test batteries and the preparation of the counselor's manual shall be shared equally among the three (3) Military Departments.
(2) Manpower costs required for test administration and scoring of the high school testing program shall be borne equally by the Military Departments.
(f) Reporting. The Air Force will have the responsibility for providing such reports as may be compiled from the data avallable at the ASVAB test scoring facility on the progress of the testing program as the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Manpower and Reserve Affairs) may require.

Maurice W. Roche,<br>Director, Correspondence and Directives Division, OASD (Administration).

[F.R. Dsc. 70-743: Filed, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:45 a.m.]

## Title 33-NAVIGATION AND NAVIGABLE WATERS

## Chapter 1-Coast Guard, Department of Transportation <br> SUBCHAPTER 1-ANCHORAGES <br> [CGFR 70-1]

## PART 110-ANCHORAGE REGULATIONS

## Subpart B-Anchorage Grounds

Baltimore Harbor, Md.

1. The Commander, 5 th Coast Guard District, Portsmouth, Va., by letter dated December 1, 1969, requested the cancellation of Anchorage No. 7, Quarantine Anchorage, in the Baltimore Harbor, as described in $\$ 110.158(\mathrm{a})(7)$ of Part 110 , Subpart B of Title 33, Code of Federal Regulations.
2. The reason for the cancellation of Anchorage No. 7 is that construction of the Baltimore Harbor Outer Tunnel under the Patapsco River between Sollers Point, Baltimore County, and Hawkins Point, Baltimore, Md., will preclude its further use. A public notice dated November 25, 1969, was issued by the Commander, 5 th Coast Guard District, Portsmouth, Va., describing the proposed change. All known interested parties were notified and requested to comment on the proposed change. In addition, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the Federal Register of December 19, 1969 (33 F.R. 19911). No objections were
recelved. Therefore, the request to disestablish Anchorage No. 7, Quarantine Anchorage, Baltimore Harbor, Md., is granted.
3. Section 110.158 Baltimore Harbor, $M d$. is amended by revoking paragraphs (a) (7) - (a) (7) (i), and (a) (7) (ii).
(Sec. 7, 38 Stat. 1053, as amended, sec. 6(g) (1) (A), 80 Stat. 937 ; 33 U.S.C. 471,49 U.S.C. 1655 (g) (1) (A) ; 49 CFR 1.4 (a) (3) (1))

Effective date. This amendment shall become effective on January 15, 1970.

Dated: January $15,1970$.
W. J. SMITH,

Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commandant.
[F.R. Doc. 70-754; Filed, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:46 a.m.]

## Titte 41—PUBLIC CONTRACTS AND PROPERTY MANAGEMENT

## Chapter 5A-Federal Supply Service, General Services Administration PRICE REDUCTIONS CLAUSE

Revised requirements for use of price reductions clause in requirements and indefinite quantity contracts.

## PART 5A-7-CONTRACT CLAUSES

The table of contents of Part 5A-7 is amended to reserve $\& 5 \mathrm{~A}-7.101-77$, as follows:
Sec.
5A-7.101-77 [Reserved]

## Subpart 5A-7.1-Fixed-Price Supply Contracts

Section 5A-7.101-77 is deleted and the section number is reserved.

## §5A-7.101-77 [Reserved]

## PART 5A-73-FEDERAL SUPPLY SCHEDULE PROGRAM

The table of contents for Part 5A-73 is amended to reserve $\S 5 \mathrm{~A}-73.123-2$, as follows:
Sec.
5A-73.123-2 [Reserved]

## Subpart 5A-73.1-Production and Maintenance

Section 5A-73.123-1 is revised to read as follows and the text of $85 \mathrm{~A}-73.123-2$ is deleted and the section number reserved.

## § 5A-73.123-1 Price reductions.

(a) Except for Federal Supply Schedule contracts in which special escalation features may be required (see § $5 \mathrm{~A}-7.170-$ 3), the following clause shall be included in each solicitation, contract, and resulting Federal Supply Schedule involving multiple awards:

## Price Rmidetions

(a) Reductions to Oustomers other than Federal Government. (1) If, at any time after the date of the offer which is subsequently
accepted by the Government, ( 1 ) the Contractor changes the catalog, price list, price schedule, or other pricing document which was offered to and used by the Government to establish the prices in this contract so as to reduce (by granting a greater discount or otherwise) any price therein to any customer or class of customers (e.g., wholesalers, jobbers, retallers, etc.) for any article or service covered by this contract, an equivalent price reduction shall apply to this contract to the same extent and in the same manner as the commercial reduction and shall apply for the duration of the contract period or until the price is further reduced. For purposes of this paragraph, bonus goods or any other method by which the price is effeotively reduced may constitute a price reduction.
(2) For the purpose of this paragraph (a), reduction by a Contractor in its prices to States, the District of Columbia, and other political subdivisions shall have no application.
(b) Reductions to Federal Agencies, If, at any time after the date of the offer which is subsequently accepted by the Government, the Contractor reduces the price of any article or service covered by this contract to any Federal agency required to use the contract and the quantity involved or dollar amount of the purchase falls within the applicable maximum order limitation, an equivalent price reduction shall apply to the contract to the same extent and in the same manner as the initial reduction and shall apply for the duration of the contract period or until the price is further reduced. For purposes of this paragraph, bonus goods or any other method by which the price is effectively reduced may constitute a price reduction.
(c) Effective Dates and Notifisations, (1) Any price reduction pursuant to (a), above, shall be effective at the same time as the reduction in the price to other customers. Any price reduction pursuant to (b), above, shall be effective at the same time as the initial purchase by a Federal agency at the reduced price. The Contractor shall thereafter for the duration of the contract period, or until the price is further reduced, involice the ordering offices at such reduced price, indicating on its involces that the reduction is pursuant to paragraph (a) or (b), as applicable, of this Price Reductions clause in its Federal Supply Schedule contract.
(2) The Contractor shall, within ten days after the effective date of any price reduction pursuant to (a) or (b), above, notify the General Services Administration's ContractIng Officer of such reduction by letter and request that its contract be amended accordingly. Fallure to do so may require termination of the contract as provided in the "Default" clause (Article 11) of Standard Form 32, General Provisions.
(d) Statement of Price Reductions to be Furnished. The Contractor shall furnish within 10 days after the end of the contract period a statement certifying either (1) that there was no price reduction of the type described in (a) or (b), above, or (2) that if any such price reduction was made, it was reported to the Contracting Officer within 10 days as provided in (c) (2), above, and ordering offices were bllled at the reduced price as provided in (c) (1), above. For each such price reduction the statement furnished by the Contractor shall include the date when notice of the price reduction was issued and the date when the Contracting Officer was notifled pursuant to (c) (2), above, of the price reduction.
(b) The following notice shall be inserted in each Federal Supply Schedule which contains the clause in (a), above:

Notice of Purchase At Reduced Price
As required by FPMR 101-26.408-5, when ever a Federal agency required to use a contract included in the Schedule for the purchase of an article or service obtains such article or service from the Contractor at a price lower than the Schedule contract price and the quantity involved or dollar amount of the purchase falls within applicable maximum order limitation of the contract, the agency shall, within 10 days, notify the GSA Contracting Officer of such purchase.
(c) In the procurement of automatic data processing equipment, the Government has the option of entering into a separate contract when such action, rather than a general amendment to the Federal Supply Schedule contract, is the desired contractual vehicle (see FPMR 101-32.403-1(b)). This option is reflected in the scope of contract clause used for Federal Supply Schedules covering automatic data processing equipment. To make it clear that price reductions achieved under separate contracts do not constitute price reductions within the purview of the "Price Reductions" clause prescribed in 5A-73.123-1(a) above, a statement substantially as follows shall be included in the appropriate paragraph of the "Scope of Contract" clause in Federal Supply Schedule contracts covering automatic data processing equipment:

If the Government, under other contractual arrangements pursuant to this paragraph, obtains from the Schedule contractor better prices, terms, or conditions than are available under the Schedule contract, such better prices, terms, or conditions shall not constitute a price reduction within the purview of the Price Reducations clause of the Schedule contract.
§ 5A-73.123-2 [Reserved]
(Sec. 205 (c) , 63 Stat. 390; 40 U.S.C. 486 (c) 41 CFR 5-1.101 (c))
Effective date. These regulations are effective upon publication in the Federal Register.
Dated: January 14, 1970.
L. E. Spangler,

Acting Commissioner,
Federal Supply Service.
[F.R. Doc. 70-766; Filed, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:47 a.m.]

## Title 47-TELECOMMUNICATION

Chapter 1-Federal Communications Commission
[Docket No. 18687; FCC 70-55]

## PART 73-RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

Television Broadcast Stations; Table of Assignments, Columbus, Ohio, etc.
Report and Order. 1. On October 1, 1969, the Commission adopted a notice of proposed rule making (FCC 69-1070, released Oct. 3, 1969) in the above-entitled matter which responded to a joint petition fled by Nationwide Communications, Inc. (Nationwide), the Ohio

State University (OSU), and the Board of Education of Newark, Ohio (BENO) on July 25, 1969. Interested parties were afforded an opportunity to comment on or before November 10, 1969, and to reply to such comments on or before November 20,1969 . A brief joint supporting comment was filed by petitioners.
2. Our notice proposed to replace Channel 47 at Columbus with Channel 28, Channel *31 with Channel *47 at Mansfield, and Channel * 28 with Channel *31 at Newark, in light of the petition and the following circumstances: Nationwide is the permittee of commercial television broadcast Station WNCI-TV, authorized to operate in Columbus, Ohio, on Channel 47. Nationwide was awarded its permit on May 19, 1967. On January 5, 1968, it filed an application to modify its permit to change the transmitter site and to increase antenna height and effective radiated power (File No. BMPCT-6721). On February 16, 1968, a petition to deny the modification application was filed by OSU on the basis of anticipated interference to its Radio Observatory resulting from the fourth harmonic of the WNCI-TV frequency. In order to resolve the problem, discussions between representatives of OSU and Nationwide were hald. As a result, Nationwide, OSU, and BENO (Licensee of educational television Station WGSF-TV, Channel *28, Newark) entered into an agreement which has resulted in their joint petition and this rule making proceeding designed to avold the Nationwide and OSU conflict.
3. The interchange of the channels described above in respect to the three Ohio communities has been advanced on the following grounds: ${ }^{1}$ "first, the proposed shifts would eliminate or minimize interference with the scientific work and research being conducted by OSU at its Radio Observatory; second, Nationwide's WNCI-TV operating on Channel 28 in place of its present Channel 47 could proceed with its modifications which are designed to bring better service to Columbus; third, BENO's WGSF-TV, proposed to operate on Channel *31 in place of its

[^1]present Channel *28 in Newark, would be able to provide its principal community with finer educational service at no additional cost to it, in that the total cost of its channel shift and a completely modernized transmitter is to be borne by Nationwide; fourth, the shifts proposed do not deprive any community involved of present or potential television service; and fifth, there would be an elimination of a time-consuming controversy between Nationwide and OSU thereby advancing the processes of the Commission."
4. We note the circumstances set out in paragraph 2 above, the facts that the proposed shifts meet our minimum mileage separation requirements, and that no reasons have been forwarded by any opposing party for denying the proposal. An examination and careful consideration of the five reasons, set out in the previous paragraph, for our adoption of the proposal has convinced us that the channel shifts proposed in this proceeding are in the public interest and we find them so, Hence, we are replacing Channel 47 at Columbus with Channel 28 , Channel *31 with Channel * 47 at Mansfield, and Channel *28 with Channel *31 at Newark, all in the State of Ohio.
5. In accordance with our statement in paragraph No. 6 of the notice in this proceeding, we are modifying the permit of Nationwide for WNCI-TV to specify operation on Channel 28 in place of Channel 47 at Columbus and the license of BENO for WGSF-TV at Newark to specify operation on Channel *31 in place of Channel *28 in that city, as set out in this instrument's ordering clauses.
6. Authority for the action taken herein is contatned in sections 4(i), 303, 307 (b) , and 316 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.
7. Accordingly, it is ordered, That effective February 24, 1970, the Table of Assignments in $\$ 73.606(\mathrm{~b})$ of the Commission's rules is amended, insofar as the cities listed below are concerned, to read as follows:

City
Channels
Columbus, Ohio_- 4-, $6+, 10+, 28, * 34, * 56$


8. It is further ordered, That the permit held by Nationwide Communications, Inc., for WNCI-TV is modified to specify
operation on Channel 28 in place of Channel 47, at Columbus, Ohio, and that the license held by the Board of Education of Newark, Ohio, for WGSF-TV is modified to specify operation on Channel *31 in place of Channel *28 in Newark, Ohio: this subject to their compliance with the following conditions and requirements:
(a) That all necessary information for the preparation of a modified authorization to construct and operate on the newly specified channels with transmitting facilities meeting all requirements of the Commission's rules for operation on the new channel ${ }^{2}$ be supplied to the Commission by both parties.
(b) That construction looking toward operation on the newly specified channels is not to commence until specifically authorized by the Commission after the information in (a) above is submitted, and
(c) Upon completion of construction of the new facilities in accordance with the terms of the modified authorization, an application for license shall be submitted at least 10 days prior to the date on which it is desired to begin program operation. Program operation on the newly specified channels shall not commence until specifically authorized by the Commission.
9. It is further ordered, That WGSFTV may continue operation on Channel 28 in accordance with the terms and conditions of the current authorization until the foregoing requirements have been satisfied.
10. It is further ordered, That this proceeding is terminated.
(Secs. 4, 303, 307, 48 Stat., 1066, 1082, 1083, as amended, Sec. 316,66 Stat. $717 ; 47$ U.S.C. 154, 303, 307, 316)

Adopted: January 14, 1970.
Released: January 16, 1970.
Federal Communications Commission,
[seal]
ben F. Waple,
Secretary.
[F.R. Doc. 70-772; Filed, Jan, 20, 1970;
8:48 a.m.]
${ }^{2}$ The information to be supplied to the Commission shall include the Federal Aviatlon Administration's clearance of any new antenna structure.

# Proposed Rule Making 

# DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT 

# Office of Interstate Land Sales Registration <br> [ 24 CFR Part 1710] LAND REGISTRATION 

## Exemptions

Notice is hereby given that it is proposed to amend Part 1710 to revise $\$ \$ 1710.10(\mathrm{j})$ and 1710.101 .

The proposed revised sections, set forth below, reflect amendment to the Interstate Land Sales Full Disclosure Act (15 U.S.C. 1718), contained in section 411 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1969. Prior to the final adoption of such amendment, consideration will be given to any comments or suggestions pertaining thereto which are submitted in writing, in duplicate, to the Office of Interstate Land Sales Registration, Department of Housing and Urban Development, Washington, D.C. 20411, within the period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register.

The proposed amendments are as follows:

In \& 1710.10 paragraph ( j ) is amended to read as follows:

## § 1710.10 Exemptions.

(j) (1) The sale or lease of real estate which, at the time of sale or leasing is free and clear of all liens, encumbrances and adverse claims (except property reservations which land developers commonly convey or dedicate to local bodies or public utilities for the purpose of bringing public services to the land being developed, taxes and assessments imposed by a State, by any other public body having authority to assess and tax property or by a property owner's assoclation, which, under applicable State or local law, constitute liens on the property before they are due and payable, and beneficial property restrictions which would be enforceable by other lot owners or lessees in the subdivision) and if all of the following conditions are met:
(i) Developer files with the Secretary an affirmation in the form set forth in § 1710.101;
(ii) Each and every purchaser or his or her spouse will have personally made an on-the-lot inspection of the real estate which he purchases or leases prior to the signing of a contract to purchase or lease:
(iii) Developer obtains the Secretary's approval of a statement setting forth in descriptive and concise terms all reservations, taxes, assessments and restrictions applicable to the lot to be purchased or leased;
(iv) After approval by the Secretary, developer furnishes the statement to each purchaser or lessee prior to the time of sale or lease and receipt thereof is acknowledged in writing by the purchaser or lessee prior to the time of sale or lease; and
(v) Developer files a copy of each such acknowledged statement with the Secretary within five (5) days from the date of execution thereof by the purchaser or lessee.
(2). The time of sale or leasing shall be deemed to be the date the sales contract or lease is signed except that the time of sale be deemed to be the effective date of the conveyance if each of the following requirements is met:
(i) That the contract of sale will require delivery of a deed to the purchaser within 120 days following the signing of the sales contract.
(ii) That any earnest money deposit or other payment on account of the purchase price made by the purchaser prior to the effective date of the conveyance will be placed in a trust account fully protecting the interest of the purchaser with an established institution or organization having trust powers under the laws of the jurisdiction in which the property is located.

## Subpart B-Reporting Requirements <br> Section 1710.101 is amended to read as

 follows:§ 1710.101 Claim of exemption-affirmation.
A claim of exemption from the Interstate Land Sales Full Disclosure Act as provided in section $1403(\mathrm{a})(10)$ of the Act and pursuant to $\$ 1710.10(\mathrm{j})$ shall be made to the Office of Interstate Land Sales Registration, Department of HousIng and Urban Development and shall be supported by an affirmation as follows:

## Clatm of Exemption

I hereby affirm on this
day of , 19 _-, as follows:
$\qquad$
That, I am the developer, or the duly authorized agent of the developer, of the subdivision known as
located at ……............ In the State of
(1) That, each and every purchaser or lessee of a lot to be covered by this exemption, or his or her spouse, will have made a personal on-the-lot inspection of the real estate which he purchases or leases prior to the time of sale or leasing of the lot and will have acknowledged in writing prior to such time of sale or leasing, recelpt of a statement furnished by the developer setting forth in descriptive and concise terms all reservations, taxes, assessments and restrictions applicable to the lot to be purchased or leased.
(2) That the form of such statement will be submitted to the Secretary for approval prior to the sale or leasing of the lot and that a copy of the statement with acknowledgment of receipt thereof by each purchaser or lessee will be flled with the Secretary
within five (5) days from the date of execution thereof by the purchaser or lessee.
(3) That, at the time of sale or leasing. the lot will be free and clear of all llens, encumbrances and adverse claims. The terms "liens," "encumbrances," and "adverse claims" are not intended to refer to property reservations which land developers commonly convey or dedicate to local bodies or public utilities for the purposes of bringing public services to the land being developed, nor to taxes and assessments imposed by a State, by any other public body having authority to assess and tax property or by a property owner's association, whtch, under applicable State or local law, constitute Hens on the property before they are due and payable, nor to beneficial property restrictions which would be enforceable by other lot owners or lessees in the subdivision.
(4) That for the purpose of this claim of exemption, the undersigned agrees that the "tlme of sale or leastng" shall be deemed to be the date the sales contract or contract to lease is signed, except that the "time of sale" shall be deemed to be the effective date of the conveyance or lease if the following requirements are met:
(a) The contract of sale or contract to lease will require delivery of a deed to the purchaser or a lease to the lessee within 120 days following the signing of the sales contract or contract to lease, and
(b) Any earnest money deposit or other payment on account of the purchase price made by the purchaser prior to the effective date of the conveyance or lease will be placed in a trust account fully protecting the Interests of the purchaser with an established institution or organization having trust powers under the laws of the jurlsdiction in which the property is located.
(Titie)
(If the affirmation is made by an agent of the developer of the subdivision, submit written authorization to act as agent.)

Issued at Washington, D.C., Janiary $14,1970$.

Eugene A. Gulledge, Assistant Secretary for Mortgage Credit.
[P.R. Doc. 70-738; Flled, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:45 a.m.]

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

## [14 CFR Part 71 ]

[Airspace Docket No. 70-so-1]

## CONTROL ZONE AND TRANSITION AREA

## Proposed Alteration

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would alter the Spartanburg, S.C., control zone and transition area.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration, Southern Region, Air Traffic Division, Post Office Box 20636, Atlanta, Ga. 30320. All communications received within 30 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Chief, Airspace Branch. Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.
The official docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Federal Aviation Administration, Southern Region, Room 724, 3400 Whipple Street, East Point, Ga.
The spartanburg control zone described in $\$ 71.171$ (35 F.R. 2054) would be redesignated as:
Within a 5 -mile radius of Spartanburg Downtown Memorlal Airport (lat. $34^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}$ N., long. $81^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime}$ W.) ; within 2 miles each side of Spartanburg VORTAC $196^{\circ}$ radial, extending from the 5 -mile radius zone to the VORTAC; within 3 miles each side of the $237^{\circ}$ bearing from Fairmont RBN, extending from the 5 -mile radius zone to 8.5 miles southwest of the RBN; excluding the portion within the Greer (Greenville-Spartanburg Airport), S.C., control zone. This control zone shall be effective from 0600 to 2200 hours, local time, dally.

The Spartanburg transition area described in 871.181 (35 F.R. 2134) would be redesignated as:
That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a $6.5-\mathrm{mlle}$ radius of Spartanburg Downtown Memorial Airport (lat, $34^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}$ N., long. $81^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime}$ W.) : within 3 miles each slde of Spartanburg VORTAC $016^{\circ}$ and $196^{\circ}$ radials, extending from 8.5 miles north of the VORTAC to 22 miles south of the VORTAC; within 3 miles each side of the $237^{\circ}$ bearing from Fairmont RBN, extending from the $6.5-$ mile radius area to 8.5 miles southwest of the RBN; excluding the portion within the Greenville, S.C., transition area.

The application of Terminal Instrument Procedures (TERPs) and current airspace criteria to Spartanburg terminal area requires the following actions:

Control zone. Increase the extension predicated on the $237^{\circ}$ bearing from Fairmont RBN 2 miles in width and 0.5 mile in length.
Transition area. 1. Reduce the basic radius circle from 8 to 6.5 miles.
2. Increase the extension predicated on Spartanburg VORTAC $016^{\circ}$ radial 2 miles in width and 0.5 mile in length.
3. Designate an extension predicated on Spartanburg VORTAC $196^{\circ}$ radial 6 miles in width and extending to 22 miles south of the VORTAC.
4. Increase the extension predicated on the $237^{\circ}$ bearing from Fairmont RBN 2 miles in width and 0.5 mile in length.

The proposed alterations are required to provide controlled airspace protection for IFR operations in climb to 1,200 feet above the surface and in descent from 1,500 feet above the surface.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307 (a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 ( 49 U.S.C. 1348 (a)) and of section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655 (c)).

Issued in East Point, Ga., on January $12,1970$.

Gordon A. Williams, Jr.,
Acting Director, Southern Region.
[F.R. Doc. 70-763; Flled, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:47 a.m.]

## [ 14 CFR Part 71 ]

[Airspace Docket No. 69-SO-166]

## CONTROL ZONE AND TRANSITION AREA

## Proposed Designation

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would designate the Titusville, Fla., control zone and transition area.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration, Area Manager, Miami Area Office, Air Traffic Branch, Post Office Box 2014, AMF Branch, Miami, Fla. 33159. All communications received within 30 days after publication of this notice in the Federal RegISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Chief, Air Traffic Branch. Any diata, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Federal Aviation Administration, Southern Region, Room 724, 3400 Whipple Street, East Point, Ga.

The Titusville part-time control zone would be designated as:

Within a 5 -mile radius of TI-CO Arport (lat, $28^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$ N. long. $80^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ W.). This control zone is effective during the specific dates and times established in advance by a Notice to Airmen. The effective date and time will thereafter be continously published in the Airman's Information Manual.

The Titusville transition area would be designated as:
That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within an 8.5 -mile radius of TI-CO Alrport (lat. $28^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$.. long. $80^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$.).

The proposed part-time control zone and the transition area are required for
the protection of IFR operations in climb to 1,200 feet above the surface and in descent from 1,500 feet above the surface. A prescribed instrument approach procedure to TI-CO Airport, utilizing the Titusville, Fla., non-Federal nondirectional radio beacon (NDB), is proposed in conjunction with the designation of this part-time control zone and the transition area.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307 (a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 ( 49 U.S.C. $1348(\mathrm{a})$ ) and of section $6(\mathrm{c})$ of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655 (c) ).

Issued in East Point, Ga., on January $9,1970$.

## Gordon A. Whliams, Jr., Acting Director, Southern Region.

[F.R. Doc. 70-764; Filed, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:47 a.m.]

## Federal Highway Adminisfration

[ 49 CFR Part 375 ]
[Docket No. 28-3; Notice 3]

## MOTOR VEHICLE SAFETY REGULATIONS

## Consumer Information; Side Door Strength

On December 11, 1968, the Federal Highway Administration published in the Federal Register (33 F.R. 18382) a notice of proposed rule making that would require manufacturers of passenger cars to provide consumer information on side intrusion protection for the passenger compartment. On the basis of comments received in response to that notice, and other information, it has been determined that several changes should be made in the proposed rule. This second notice of proposed rule making is issued in order to provide further notice and opportunity for comment on the proposal as altered. The proposed effective date is September 1, 1970.

The first proposal called for a measurement of the work required to deform the door inward to the point where the inner panel is 12 inches outboard of the center of the occupant's designated seating position. This test was intended to produce a direct measure of intrusion protection, based in part on the assumption that the intrusion protection offered by the vehicle was proportional to the distance of the driver's or outboard passenger's seating position from the door. It has been determined that this assumption may be questionable, in that the driver or outboard passenger tends to be thrown against the door when another vehicle collides with the side adjacent to him. Therefore, further study is needed in order to arrive at an appropriate method of deriving and presenting meaningful intrusion protection data. The strength of the door has been found to be a significant safety factor, however, without reference to the seating positions. In the present proposal,
therefore, the quantity measured is the average force required to crush the door à standard distance of 12 inches, with an adjustment for the weight of the vehicle. The name of the section has accordingly been changed to Side Door Strength.

The previous proposal took into account the weight of the vehicle for which the information is given by dividing the measured work figure by the weight of the vehicle. It has been determined, however, that a slightly more complex factor, as set forth in the proposed rules, should be employed to take into account the effect of the weight of a typical striking passenger car on the protection afforded by the vehicle door. It is recognized that there is considerable room for differences of opinion in the selection of this factor, and comments are specifically invited, whether or not supported by objective data, on the proposed form of the weight adjustment factor, or on other vehicle characteristics for which adjustment should be made.

In order to eliminate some evident confusion in regard to the vertical placement of the loading device, the reference point has been changed from the body sill to the lowest point of the door. For similar reasons, the horizontal placement of the device is based on the outer surface of the door rather than the door inner panel.

In order that the door strength measured will be limited to structure below the window opening, which is the portion of the vehicle most likely to be struck in a collision, the proposal adds the requirement that the loading device must extend at least one-half inch above the opening, but not contact structure above the opening.

To avoid possible inaccuracy in the force measurement plot, this proposal adds the requirement that the measurement of force, if not continuous, must be at intervals separated by not more than 200 pounds and not more than 1 inch.

Other changes in wording from the previous proposal have been made for clarity.

Comments are invited on the proposed revision, as set forth below. Comments should refer to the docket and notice number and be submitted to: Docket Section, Federal Highway Administration, Room 4223, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20591. It is requested, though not required, that 10 copies be submitted. All comments received by the close of business on April 20, 1970, will be considered. All comments will be available for examination at the above address both before and after the closing date.

This notice of proposed rule making is issued under the authority of sections 112 and 119 of the National Traffic and Motor Vehicle Safety Act (15 U.S.C.

1401,1407 ) and the delegation of authority from the Secretary of Transportation to the Federal Highway Administrator, 49 CFR 1.4(c).

Issued on January 15, 1970.
F. C. Turner,

Federal Highway Administrator.

## § 375.103 Side Door Strength.

(a) Purpose and scope. This section requires manufacturers of passenger cars to provide information on side door strength of the occupant compartment.
(b) Application. This section applies to passenger cars manufactured on or after September 1, 1970. It does not, however, apply to vehicles produced by a manufacturer whose total motor vehicle production does not exceed 500 annually.
(c) Required information. Each manufacturer shall furnish the information in subparagraphs (1) through (3) of this paragraph, in the form illustrated in Figure 1. Each vehicle in the group to which the information applies shall be capable, if tested according to the procedure specified in paragraph (d) of this section, of performing at least as well as the information indicates.
(1) Vehicle description. The group of vehicles to which the table applies, identified in the terms by which they are described to the public by the manufacturer.
(2) Equivalent crush resistance. The equivalent crush resistance in pounds of the vehicle's front and rear doors, expressed in one or both of the following statements, as appropriate:
(i) "Front side doors have an equivalent crush resistance of ....... pounds."
(ii) "Rear side doors have an equivalent crush resistance of ......- pounds."
(3) Notice. The following statement:

The larger the equivalent crush resistance, the greater the door strength.

Warning: Fallure to use the safety devices provided by the manufacturer, such as lap and shoulder belts and door locks, will result in unsafe operating conditions regardless of the crush resistance of the door.
(d) Test procedure. (1) Remove from the vehicle any seats that may affect load upon, or deflection of, the side of the vehicle. Place the sill of the side of the vehicle opposite to the side being tested against a rigid, unyielding vertical surface. Fix the vehicle rigidly in position by means of tiedown attachments located at or forward of the front wheel centerline and at or rearward of the rear wheel centerline.
(2) Prepare a loading device consisting of a rigid steel cylinder or semicylinder 12 inches in diameter with an edge radius of not more than one-half inch. The length of the loading device shall be such that the top surface of the loading device is at least one-half inch above the bottom edge of the door window opening but not of a length that will cause contact with any structure above
the bottom edge of the door window opening during the test.
(3) Locate the loading device as shown in Figure 2 (side view) of this section so that:
(i) Its longitudinal axis is vertical;
(ii) Its longitudinal axis is laterally opposite the midpoint of a horizontal line drawn across the outer surface of the door 5 inches above the lowest point of the door;
(iii) Its bottom surface is in the same horizontal plane as the horizontal line described in subdivision (ii) of this subparagraph; and
(iv) The cylindrical face of the device is in contact with the outer surface of the door.
(4) Using the loading device, apply a load to the outer panel of the door in an inboard direction normal to a vertical plane-along the vehicle's longitudinal centerline. Apply the load such that the loading device travel rate does not exceed one-half inch per second, and continue application until the loading device travels 12 inches (the "crush distance") Guide the loading device to prevent it from being rotated or displaced from its direction of travel.
(5) Record the applied load versus displacement of the loading device, either continuously or in increments of not more than 1 inch or 200 pounds, for the entire crush distance.
(6) Determine the equivalent crush resistance as follows:
(i) From the results obtained in subparagraph (5) of this paragraph, plot a curve of load versus displacement and obtain the integral of the applied load with respect to the crush distance. This quantity, expressed in inch-pounds and divided by the crush distance, represents the average resistance force in pounds required to deflect the door.
(ii) Determine the equivalent crush resistance of the door by the following equation:
Equivalent crush resistance $=$
Average resistance force $+1 / 4 \quad(3000-W)$ Where $W$ is the curb weight of the vehicle in pounds plus 200.

## Figure I

SIDE DOOR STRENGTH
Description of vehicles to which this table applles

Front side doors have an equivalent crush resistance of ....... libs.
Rear side doors have an equivalent crush resistance of …....libs. (Not applicable to vehicles without rear doors. For such vehicles the word "Front" may be omitted from the first item.)
The larger the equivalent crush resistance, the greater the door strength. Warning: Fallure to use the safety devices provided by the manufacturer, such as lap and shoulder belts and door locks, will result in unsafe operating conditions regardless of the crush resistance of the door.


SIDE VIEW

## LOADING DEVICE LOCATION AND APPLICATION TO THE DOOR

Figure 2
[F.R. Doc. 70-703; Flled, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:45 a.m.]

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION 

[ 47 CFR Part 74]
[Docket No. 18785; FCC 70-65]

## CATV SYSTEMS WITH FEWER THAN 500 SUBSCRIBERS

## Notice of Proposed Rule Making

In the matter of amendment of 874 .1103 of the Commission's rules and regulations as it relates to CATV systems with fewer than 500 subscribers.

1. Notice is hereby given of proposed rule making in the above-entitled mat-
ter.
2. On March 7, 1968, the Commission released a public notice entitled "Temporary Modification of Processing Priorities in Section 74.1103 Waiver Cases,"

FCC 68-259, in which it announced that processing of matters filed under \& 74.1103 of the Commission's rules (carriage and program exclusivity) would be deferred in cases involving CATV systems with fewer than 500 subscribers (an exception was noted for relatively new systems in larger communities if it appeared there could have been substantial system growth since a subscriber count was last furnished the Commission). The Commission explained that it is these small systems "which frequently can best make out persuasive hardship cases" and that processing of matters involving such small CATV systems can "engender difficulties out of proportion to their impact on broadcasting." At the same time, the Commission noted that broadcast station licensees could call its attention to pending controversies presenting special circumstances requiring early action; however, it also made clear that
a persuasive showing of hardship would have to be made before relief would be granted.
3. Experience with this procedure has been entirely satisfactory, and the Commission has received practically no adverse comment since its adoption. Indeed, experience with the temporary procedure suggests the desirability of adopting it as a permanent policy. ${ }^{2} \mathrm{Ac}-$ cordingly, we belleve it desirable to consider amending the present rules, and to that end we are proposing the changes set forth below. It should be noted that under this proposal, as has been the case with the present temporary procedure, requests for special relief could be filed pursuant to $\$ 74.1109$ of the rules directed against systems with fewer than 500 subscribers if special hardship could be shown.
4. Pursuant to applicable procedures set out in $\$ 1.415$ of the Commission's rules and regulations, interested parties may file comments on or before February 2, 1970, and reply comments on or before February 16, 1970. All relevant and timely comments and reply comments will be considered by the Commission before final action is taken in this proceeding. In reaching its decision in this proceeding, the Commission may also take into account other relevant information before it in addition to the specific comments invited by this notice.
5. Authority for the amendments proposed herein is contained in sections $4(\mathrm{t}), 303(\mathrm{r})$, and 307 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.
6. In accordance with the provisions of \& 1.419 of the rules, an original and 14 copies of all comments, replies, pleadings, briefs, and other documents shall be furnished the Commission.
Adopted: January 14, 1970.
Released: January 16, 1970.

## Federal Communications Commission, ${ }^{2}$ <br> Ben F. Waple, Secretary.

[senl]
In Part 74 of Chapter I of Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations, a footnote is added at the end of $\$ 74.1103$ to read as follows:
§ 74.1103 Requirements relating to distribution of television signals by community antenna television systems.
Note 1: As used in 874.1103 , the term "community antenna television system" shall not include systems with fewer than 500 subscribers.
[F.R. Doc. 70-773; Flled, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:48 a.m.

[^2]FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
[16 CFR Part 253 ]
GUIDES FOR FEATHER AND DOWN PRODUCTS INDUSTRY

## Notice of Public Hearing on Proposed Guides

Pursuant to the Federal Trade Commission Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 4158, and the provisions of Part 1, Subpart A, of the Commission's procedures and rules of practice, 16 CFR 1.5, and 1.6, the Commission published proposed Guides for the Feather and Down Products Industry on August 15, 1969, to afford an opportunity to any and all interested or affected parties to present their views concerning the proposed Gutdes, including such pertinent information, suggestions, or objections, and reasons therefore, as they may desire to submit.

After reviewing the comments submitted in this matter, the Commission, in accordance with \& 1.6, is of the view that a public hearing on the proposed Guides is desirable. While the Commission is interested in receiving comment on all guide provisions contained in the proposed Guides, it is particularly interested in receiving informative comments on Guide 4 which deals with tolerances and which prompted most of the written comments on the proposed Guides.
The Commission is hopeful that this hearing will eventually lead to a uniformity of tolerances consistent with the safeguarding of consumer interests. In this connection, the following questions relating to the tolerance issue are suggested for consideration and discussion at the hearing. These points are, of course, not intended to be all inclusive.
(1) What justification exists, if any, for the Commission to revise the down tolerance ( 85 percent- 15 percent) appearing in its 1951 trade practice rules?
(2) At what point does a product lose its character as a "down" product and become a blend (e.g. feathers and down)?
(3) We have been advised that it is possible to produce a "down" product for the market at the present time that protects consumer interests. If so, describe the character of such a product.
(4) In the light of Burton-Dixie Corp. v. FTC, 240 F. 2d 166 (1957), how much If any, down fiber should be considered as incidental to the processing of down
and therefore be allowed to be included in computing the down content of a product?
(5) Should there be dual tolerances, one for duck down and one for goose down? Could they be justified?
(6) Some have suggested separate tolerances for different kinds of products, e.g., apparel and sleeping bags vis-a-vis pillows and bedding. Would this be justifiable?
(7) If you represent a jurisdiction which has tolerances, what are they? How were they established and what was the basis for them? What Indication do you have that the industry is complying with them?
(8) Is there really any chance of reaching uniformity? If so, what tolerance do you feel holds out the best opportunity for such accord, consistent with safeguarding consumer interests.

All interested parties are given notice of opportunity to orally present data, views or arguments with respect to the proposed Guides at a public hearing to be held at 10 a.m., e.s.t., on April 7, 1970, in Room 532 of the Federal Trade Commission Building, Washington, D.C.

Any person desiring to orally present his views at the hearing should so inform the Chief, Division of Industry Guides, not later than March 27, 1970, and state the estimated time required for his oral presentation. Reasonable limitations upon the length of time allotted to any person may be imposed. In addition, all parties desiring to deliver a prepared statement at the hearing should file such statement with the Chief, Division of Industry Guides, on or before March 27, 1970. To the extent practicable, persons wishing to fle written presentations in excess of two pages should submit 20 copies.

All interested persons, including the consuming public, may file written data, views, or arguments concerning the Guides with the Chief, Division of Industry Guides. The time for fillng such comments has been extended to April 7, 1970.

Copies of the proposed Guides are avallable upon request to the Chief, Division of Industry Guides. Guide 4 will be considered first at the hearing, after which the provistons of the proposed Guides will be discussed in the order they appear, i.e., Definitions, Guide 1, Guide 2, etc.

State officials, processors and manufacturers, as well as other interested parties, including members of the consum-
ing general public, are urged to express their approval or disapproval of the proposed Guides, or to recommend revisions thereof, and to give a full statement of their views in connection therewith.

Issued: January 20, 1970.
By the Commission.
[seal]
Joseph W. Shea, Secretary.
[F.R. Doc. 70-745; Filed, Jan. 20, 1970: 8:46 a.m.]

## INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[ 49 CFR Part 1048 ]
[Ex Parte No. MC-7]

## WASHINGTON, D.C., COMMERCIAL ZONE <br> Redefinition of Limits; Extension of Time for Filing Comments

January 13,1970
Petitioners: Fairfax County, Va., Fairfax County Industrial Authority, City of Fairfax, Va., Town of Herndon, Va., and Town of Vienna, Va.
Petitioners' representative: Russell R Sage, 421 King Street, Suite 301, Alexandria, Va. 22314.
At the request of petitioners' representative, anyone wishing to make representations in favor of, or against, the aboveproposed specific definition of the boundary of the Washington, D.C., commercial zone, may do so by the submission of written data, views, or arguments. An original and seven copies of such data views, or arguments shall be filed with the Commission on or before March 2. 1970. Each such statement shall include a statement of position with respect to the proposed revision, and a copy thereof should be served upon petitioners representative.

This extends the time on or before which such statements may be flled, from January 30, 1970, as set forth in the previous publication of December 10, 1969
By the Commission.

## [seal] <br> H. NeIL <br> Garson, <br> Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 70-775; Flled, Jan, 20, 1970; 8:48 a.m.]

## Notices

## DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Agency for International Development DEPUTY ASSISTANT ADMINISTRATOR, OFFICE OF PRIVATE RESOURCES, ET AL.

> Redelegation of Authority Regarding Investment Guaranties, Loans to Private Borrowers, and Surveys of Investment Opportunities
(1) Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, Agency for International Development in Delegation of Authority No. 39, as amended, dated April 3, 1964 (29 F.R. 5355), I hereby redelegate to William G. Carter, Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Private Resources, and in his absence to the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Financial Operations, to the extent consistent with law, all the authorities now or hereafter delegated to or confered upon me, including without limitation those authorities conferred by Delegation of Authority No, 39 and by other A.I.D. delegations of authorities, regulations, manual orders, notices, or other documents, by law or by any competent authority.
(2) Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, Agency for International Development in Delegation of Authority No. 39, as amended, dated April 3, 1964 ( 29 F.R. 5355), I hereby redelegate authority as follows: (a) To the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Financial Operations,
(i) To authorize and issue investment guaranties under section 234 (b) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended (hereinafter referred to as the "Act"), except for countries or areas within the responsibility of the Assistant Administrator for Latin America, covering investments which, as described in such guaranty contracts, do not exceed $\$ 2,500,000$, and in connection therewith to exercise all related functions and to make all related approvals and determinations as are deemed necessary or desirable provided in sections 237 (b), 237 (d), 237 (f) and 237 (k) of the said Act, and
(ii) To amend and consent to the assignment of any investment guaranty issued under section $234(\mathrm{~b})$ of the Act or under predecessor programs and authorities similar to that provided for in section $234(\mathrm{~b})$ of the Act except for countries or areas within the responsibility of the Assistant Administrator for Latin America, provided such amendment does not increase the amount of investment covered by such guaranty by more than $\$ 2,500,000$, and
(iii) To authorize, negotiate, execute, amend, and implement loan agreements under section 234(c) of the Act for loans
or increases thereof, which, as described in such loan agreement or amendments thereto, do not exceed $\$ 2,500,000$ for each such loan or increase, and in connection therewith to authorize, negotiate, execute, amend, and implement other related agreements, and to exercise all related functions and to make all related approvals and determinations as are deemed necessary or desirable, and
(iv) To authorize, negotiate, execute, amend, and implement loan agreements with private borrowers in which there is U.S. private investment under section 201 of the Act, except for countries or areas within the responsibility of the Assistant Administrator for Latin America, for loans or increases thereof, which, as described in such loan agreement or amendments, thereto, do not exceed $\$ 2,500,000$ for each such loan or increase, and in connection therewith to authorize, negotiate, execute, amend, and implement other related agreements, and to exercise all related functions and to make all related approvals and determinations as are deemed necessary or desirable, and
(v) To authorize, negotiate, execute, amend, and implement loan agreements under section 104 (e) and (f) of the Agricultural Trade Development and Assistance Act of 1954, as amended, 7 U.S.C. sec. 1704 (e) and (f) except for countries or areas within the responsibility of the Assistant Administrator for Latin America, for loans or increases thereof which, as described in such loan agreements or amendments thereto, do not exceed $\$ 2,500,000$ for each such loan or increase, and in connection therewith to authorize, negotiate, execute, amend, and implement other related agreements, and to exercise all related functions and to make all related approvals and determinations as are deemed necessary or desirable, and
(vi) To enter into or give agreements providing for financial participation, incentive grant or otherwise for the identification, assessment, surveying or promoting of private investment opportunities under section 234(d) of the Act and to exercise all related functions and to make all related approvals and determinations as are deemed necessary or desirable either in connection therewith or in connection with predecessor programs and authoritles similar to those provided for in section 234(d) of the Act, and
(vii) To undertake and discharge responsibility for the technical direction of
(A) The Investment Projects Group; (B) The Financial Administration Division, including the ERG Claims Branch and the Portfolio Management Branch.
(b) To the Director, Insurance Division, and Deputy Director, Insurance Division,
(i) To authorize and issue investment insurance under section 234(a) (1) of the Act covering investments (1) which take the form of royalties or (2) which, as described in the Special Terms and Conditions of such guaranty contracts, do not exceed $\$ 10$ million for each such investment, and in connection therewith to exercise all related functions and to make all related approvals and determinations as are deemed necessary or desirable provided in sections 237 (b), 237 (d), 237 (f), and 237 (k) of the Act, and
(ii) To make arrangements for sharIng liabilities under section 234(a) (2) of the Act, provided that the maximum share of liabilities assumed by the Corporation shall not exceed $\$ 10$ million and in connection therewith to make all related approvals and determinations as are deemed necessary or desirable therein or as provided in sections 237 (b), 237 (d), 237 (f) and (k), of the Act, and
(iii) To amend and consent to the assignment of any investment insurance issued under section 234(a) (1) of the Act or under predecessor programs and authorities similar to that provided for in section $234(\mathrm{a})(1)$ of the Act provided that such amendment does not increase the amount of investment covered by such insurance by more than $\$ 10$ million, and
(iv) To participate, in an amount not to exceed $\$ 50,000$, in financing surveys of investment opportunities under section 234(d) of the Act and to exercise all related functions and to make all related approvals and determinations either in connection therewith or in connection with predecessor programs and authorities similar to that provided for in section 234 (d) of the Act provided that if the function being exercised is one of amending the investment survey terms, such amendment may not increase the Overseas Private Investment Corporation's or AID's participation as the case may be above $\$ 50,000$;
(c) To the Chief, Latin AmericaAfrica Branch, Insurance Division, and to the Chief, Near-East-South AsiaEast Asia-Vietnam Branch, Insurance Division, each severally for the countries and areas within the jurisdiction of each of them:
(i) To authorize and issue investment insurance under section 234 (a) (1) of the Act covering investments in Latin America, Africa, Near East-South Asia, East Asia or Vietnam (1) which take the form of royalties or (2) which, as described in the Special Terms and Conditions of such insurance contracts, do not exceed $\$ 500,000$ for each such investment, and in connection therewith to exercise all related functions and to make all related approvals and determinations provided in sections 237 (b), 237(d), 237 (f) and 237 (k) of the sald Act, and
(ii) To amend and consent to the assignment of any investment insurance issued under section 234 (a) (1) of the Act or under predecessor programs and authorities similar to that provided for in section $234(\mathrm{a})$ (1) of the Act provided that such amendment does not increase the amount of investment by such guaranty by more than $\$ 500,000$;
(d) To the Associate Director, Insurance Division, to consent to assignments of any contract of insurance issued under section 234(a) (1) of the Act or under predecessor programs and authorities similar to that provided for in section 234(a) (1) of the Act provided such assignments run to entities eligible to be issued investment insurance under the the legislation in force at the time of the assignment;
(e) To the Associate Director, Insurance Division and concurrently to the Chief, International Loan Branch, Accounting Division, to issue written notice of delinquency to any investor who has failed to pay any fee due under any contract of insurance issued under section 234 (a) (1) of the Act or under predecessor programs and authorities similar to that provided for in section 234 (a) (1) of the Act;
(f) To the Associate Director, Insurance Division, to cancel any contract of insurance when the investor covered thereunder has failed to pay the delinquent fee thereon within thirty (30) days following written notice of delinquency;
(g) To the Director, Financlal Administration Division to amend investment guaranties to modify the reporting requirements thereunder and to determine and certify reimbursement rights to surveyors pursuant to AID financing of investment opportunitiles under section 234 (d) of the Act or under predecessor programs and authorities similar to those provided for in section 234 (d) of the Act.
(h) To the Project Directors, Investment Projects Group, for Near EastSouth Asia, East Asla, Latin America and Africa, each separately for the areas and countries within the jurisdiction of each of them,
(i) To authorize and issue investment guaranties under section 234 (b) of the Forelgn Assistance Act of 1961, as Forelgn Assistance except for countries within the responsibility of the Assistant Administrator for Latin America covering investments which, as described in such guaranty contracts, do not exceed $\$ 2,500,000$, and in connection therewith to exercise all related functions and to make all related approvals and determinations as are deemed necessary or desirable provided in sections 237 (b), 237(d), 237 (f) and $237(\mathrm{k})$ of the said Act, 22 U.S.C., and
(ii) To amend and consent to the assignment of investment guaranty issued under section 234 (b) of the Act, or under predecessor programs and authorities similar to that provided for in section 234(b) of the Act, provided that such amendment does not increase the amount of investment covered by such guaranty by more than $\$ 2,500,000$, and
(iii) To authorize, negotiate, execute, amend and implement loan agreements
under section 234(c) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended, 22 U.S.C. for loans or increases thereof which, as described in such loan agreements or amendments thereto, do not exceed $\$ 2,500,000$ for each such loan or increase, and in connection therewith to authorize, negotiate, execute, amend and implement other related agreements, and to exercise all related functions and to make all related approvals and determinations as are deemed necessary or desirable, and
(iv) To authorize, negotiate, execute, amend, and implement loan agreements under section 104 (e) and (f) of the Agricultural Trade Development and Assistance Act of 1954 , as amended, 7 U.S.C. sec. 1704 (e) and (f), except for countrles or areas within the responsibllity of the Assistant Administrator for Latin America, for loans or increases thereof which, as described in such loan agreements or amendments thereto, do not exceed $\$ 2,500,000$ for each such loan or increase, and in connection therewith to authorize, negotiate, execute, amend and implement other related agreements, and to exercise all related functions and to make all related approvals and determinations as are deemed necessary or desirable, and
(v) To participate, in an amount not to exceed $\$ 50,000$ in financing surveys of investment opportunities under section 234 (d) of Act, 22 U.S.C. and to exercise all related functions and to make all related approvals and determinations either in connection therewith or in connection with predecessor programs and authorities similar to those provided for in section 234 (d) of the Act provided that if the function being exercised is one of amending the investment survey terms, such amendment may not increase A.ID.'s participation above $\$ 50,000$.

This Redelegation of Authority is effective as of December 30, 1969.

The authority redelegated herein may not be further redelegated.

Dated: January 13, 1970.
Herbert Salzman,
Assistant Administrator for Private Resources.
[F.R. Doc. 70-730; Flled, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:45 a.m.]

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Bureau of Customs
[T.D. 70-26]

## CERTAIN 3-LEGGED AND 4-LEGGED HOLDERS USED TO TRANSPORT COIL WIRE

## Designation as Instruments of International Traffic

Jandary 15, 1970.
It has been established to the satisfaction of the Bureau that wire carriers of structural steel tubing, each about 4 feet high, with a base diameter ranging from 34 to 42 inches, and weighing from 35 to 51 pounds, with 3 legs resting on a triangular base or 4 legs resting on a rectangular base, one leg to each side,
the tops of the legs curved inward to a common center, used to transport coll wire, are substantial, designed for and capable of repeated use in transportation, and used in substantial numbers in international traffic.

Under the authority of $\% 10.41 \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{a})$, Customs Regulations, I hereby designate the above-described wire camlers as "instruments of international traffic" within the meaning of section 322 (a), Tarlff Act of 1930 , as amended. These wire carriers may be released under the procedures provided for in § 10.41a.
[seal] ROBERT V. McIntyre, Acting Commissioner of Customs.
[F.R. Doc. 70-739; Flled, Jan, 20, 1970; 8:46 a.m.]

## DEPARTMENT OF THE NITERIOR

Bureau of Land Management CHIEF, DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATION, AND/OR ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER, BILLLINGS DISTRICT OFFICE, MONT.

## Delegation of Authority Regarding Contracts and Leases

A. Pursuant to delegation of authority contained in Bureau Manual 151003B2c, the Chief, Division of Administratlon and/or Administrative Officer of the Billings District Office is authorized:

1. To enter into contracts with established sources for supplies and services, excluding capitalized equipment, regardless of amount, and
2. To enter into contracts on the open market for supplies and materials, excluding capitallzed equipment, not to exceed $\$ 2,500$ per transaction ( $\$ 2,000$ for construction), provided that the requirement is not available from established sources.
B. This delegation cannot be redelegated.
D. Dean Bibles, District Manager.

## Approved:

James M. Linne, Acting State Director.
[F.R. Doc. 70-767; Flled, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:47 8.m.]

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Commodity Credit Corporation NEW CONCEPTS FOR COTTON LOAN PROGRAM
Loans on Net Weight Basis; Premiums for Standard Density Gin Compression; Premiums for Automatic Sampling
Notice is hereby given that the Secretary of Agriculture is considering the introduction of three new features into the Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC) cotton loan program, as follows:

1. Make loans on upland cotton on a net weight basis rather than gross weight.
2. Reflect value of standard density gin compression in loan rate if cotton is baled on standard density gin press.
3. Reflect value of automatic sampling in loan rate if cotton is ginned and sampled at plants with automatic samplers.
Statement of consideration leading to proposals. It is felt that the relative advantages and disadvantages of the proposed changes-not widely used at the present time-should be explored in continued efforts to find ways for U.S. cotton to effectively compete in both domestic and world markets. The continuing inroads made by manmade fibers on cotton's traditional markets prompt the U.S. cotton industry to reexamine its timeworn policies and practices, abandoning those found ineffective, inefficient, and outdated, and take bold new steps toward a modern survival plan for U.S. cotton. Consideration of each proposal follows:
4. Net weight loans. The proposal is:

Cotton loan values to farmers would be based on actual weight of the cotton in a bale. If applied to the 1970 crop, for example, loans on net weight would mean a higher per-pound support price to farmers, offset by less weight on which loans were made. The announced 1970 loan rate for Middling 1 -inch cotton, gross weight, at average location, is 20.25 cents per pound. A comparable support price on a net weight basis would mean an increase of about 4 percent, to around 21.10 cents per pound, reflecting about 21 pounds of tare on the gross weight bale.

Upland cotton is the only American agricultural commodity that is merchandised commercially on the basis of gross weight. For that reason, the upland cotton loan program in the last 25 years has been based on gross weight. However, many people both in Government and the cotton industry feel that the practice, for example of paying for 500 pounds of cotton and receiving only 480 pounds is completely wrong.

There is a precedent for net weight loans on upland cotton. Loan programs carried out in the crop years 1939-44 were handled on a net weight basis while the commercial cotton trade continued to function on gross weight. Another kind of cotton-American-grown extra long staple (ELS) -has been handled commercially on a net weight basis since its beginning in the United States. The ELS price support program has been based on net weight since its inception in 1942.
Making loans on net weight could encourage the cotton industry to shift from gross weight to net weight as a trading basis, both domestic and export. Such shift would conform certain U.S. cotton merchandising practices to those of the other cotton producing countries of the world and eliminate or minimize areas of confusion and misunderstanding in international trade parlance.

United States exports of cotton have declined steadily in recent years. A better mutual understanding of trading
terms and conditions between U.S. exporters and foreign importers coupled with economies likely to be effected through reduced costs could better assure the United States of maintaining its fair historical share of the world market for U.S. cotton.
2. Premium for standard density gin compression. The proposal is:

The CCC loan value for a bale which is compressed to standard density when ginned would include a premium (for example $\$ 1$ per bale) to reflect the increased value resulting from such compression.

Any increase in value of a bale accruing from gin standard density compression should inure to the owner of the cotton. To include in the amount of a loan made to a producer the amount he has paid for standard density compression at the gin would tend to assure that result.

Providing a premium for gin standard ciensity compression also would tend to expand the installation of standard ciensity presses in gins. Such expansion eventually could eliminate one or more steps in handling and thereby reduce marketing costs. The neat, clean, adequately covered bale turned out by gin standard density presses could do much it improve the image of U.S. cotton in foreign markets.

The number of active cotton gins in the United States continues to decrease each year. Larger and more efficient plants are replacing the smaller, inefficient ones. The trend toward modern and efficient plants makes expanded installation of standard density gin presses more feasible and acceptable.
3. Premium for automatic sampling. The proposal is:

The CCC loan value for an uncut bale for which an automatically drawn sample is available would include a premium (for example 50 cents per bale) to reflect the increased value resulting from such sampling.

One of the major problems facing American cotton that must be solved is its physical appearance, both in domestic and export markets. Progress has been made in bale packaging. Constructive steps could now be taken to promote ways to reduce the cutting of United States bales to obtain samples.

Use of the automatic sampler could do much to improve the appearance of the U.S. cotton bale. This mechanical device, initially developed by USDA cotton ginning specialists, draws cotton from throughout the bale during the ginning process and packages the cotton as a compressed sample which can be cut into two or three segments, or subsamples.

Automatic sampling should provide the most representative sample that can be obtained for a bale of cotton and permit the most accurate determination of its loan value. Commercial automatic samplers have been in operation to a limited extent for the past 15 years.

Providing a premium for automatic sampling would tend to expand the in-
stallation of automatic samplers in gins throughout the United States. This move would be in line with the Department's long-delayed objective when the automatic sampler was initially developed. The action would constitute a giant stride toward modernized merchandising practices and represent another step in reducing marketing costs for cotton.

Department studies comparing samples drawn mechanically during ginning with cut samples taken after ginning indicate, on the average, that the mechanically drawn sample is just as reliable and useful for merchandising purposes as the cut sample. Like the standard density gin press, the trend toward larger, more modern and efficient gins makes expanded installation of automatic samplers more feasible and acceptable.

As to each of the proposals, if adopted, CCC would reserve the right to establish such controls as determined necessary to adequately administer the loan program as modified by the new features.

Prior to making any determination regarding introduction of any or all of the proposed new features, consideration will be given to any data, views, and recommendations which are submitted in writing to the Director, Cotton Division, Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, by March 7, 1970.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on January $16,1970$.

Kenneth E. Frick, Executive Vice President, Commodity Credit Corporation.
[F.R. Doc. 70-790; Flled, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:49 a.m.]

## Consumer and Marketing Service HUMANELY SLAUGHTERED LIVESTOCK

## Identification of Carcasses; Changes in Lists of Establishments

Pursuant to section 4 of the Act of August 27, 1958 (7 U.S.C. 1904), and the statement of policy thereunder in 9 CFR 381.1, the lists ( 34 F.R. 13378, 14445, 16634, 18048, and 19774) of establishments which are operated under Federal inspection pursuant to the Federal Meat Inspection Act ( 21 U.S.C. 601 et. seq.) and which use humane methods of slaughter and incidental handling of livestock are hereby amended as follows:

The reference to sheep with respect to Meat Laboratory-Oklahoma State University, establishment 526, is deleted. The reference to calves with respect to Walden Packing Co., Inc., establishment 886, is deleted. The reference to swine with respect to Broadaway Packing Co., Inc., establishment 2264, is deleted.
The following table lists species at additional establishments and additional species at previously listed establishments that have been reported as being slaughtered and handled humanely.

No. $14-\mathrm{Pt} . \mathrm{I}-4$

[F.R. Doc. 70-791; Flled, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:49 a.m.]

## Packers and Stockyards Administration

SCHUYLER LIVESTOCK SALES ET AL.

## Posted Stockyards

Pursuant to the authority delegated under the Packers and Stockyards Act, 1921, as amended (7 U.S.C. 181 et seq.), on the respective dates specified below, it was ascertained that the livestock markets named below were stockyards within the definition of that term contained in section 302 of the Act, as amended (7 U.S.C. 202), and notice was given to the owners and to the public by posting notices at the stockyards as required by said section 302.
Name, location of stockyard, and date of posting
Illingors
Schuyler Lívestock Sales, Rushville, Dec. 12, 1969.

## Missouri

MFA Livestock Assoclation. Inc., Elilington Concentration Polnt, Eliington, Dec. 1, 1969.

New Mexico
Lea County Livestock Auction, Inc., Lovington, Dec. 15, 1969.

## Tennessee

C \& M Livestock Market, Inc., Jamestown, Dec. 1, 1969.
Payne's Livestock Market, Telford, Jan. 7. 1970.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 15 th day of January 1970.
E. L. Thompson, Acting Chief, Registrations, Bonds, and Reports Branch, Livestock Marketing Division.
[F.R. Doc. 70-792; Filed, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:49 a.m.]

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALH, EOUCATION, AND WELFARE

Food and Drug Administration ATHENA CORP.<br>Notice of Filing of Petition for Food Additives

Pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409
(b) (5), 72 Stat. $1786 ; 21$ U.S.C. 348 (b) (5)), notice is given that a petition (PAP OH2489) has been filed by Athena Corp., 4838 Woodall, Dallas, Tex. 75247, proposing the issuance of a regulation ( 21 CFR Part 121) to provide for the safe use of the insecticide chlordane as a component of shelf paper.

Dated: January 12, 1970.
R. E. Duggan,

Acting Associate Commissioner for Compliance.
[F.R. Doc, 70-747; Filed, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:46 a.m.]

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE <br> Office of the Secretary WATCHES AND WATCH MOVEMENTS

Rules for Allocation of Quotas for Calendar Year 1970 Among Producers Located in the Virgin Islands, Guam and American Samoa

## Correction

In F.R. Doc. $70-660$ appearing at page 603 , in the issue for Friday, January 16, 1970, the signature of the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Domestic Business Policy, Department of Commerce, should read "Walter A. Hamilton".

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration KEOKUK AND MacARTHUR BRIDGE TOLLS

## Norice of Public Hearings

Pursuant to notice of public hearing issued by the Federal Highway Administrator on January 8, 1970, the MacArthur Bridge hearing will be held in the City Council Chambers, Fourth and Washington Streets, Burlington, Iowa, commencing at $9: 30 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. on February 9, 1970; the Keokuk Bridge hearing will be held in the City Council Room, Municipal Building, 415 Blondeau Street, Keokuk, Iowa, commencing at $9: 30 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. on February 12, 1970.

All parties desiring to be heard are directed to address the Hearing Examiner at Room 2140, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C. 20423, on or before February 2, 1970, and advise him as to their interest in the matter. In so doing, each party should state how much time will be needed for its presentation.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 16th day of January 1970.

Robert N. Burchmore,
Hearing Examiner.
[F.R. Doc. 70-780; Filed, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:48 am.]

## CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

## [Order 70-1-78]

## ALOHA AIRLINES, INC. ET AL.

Order of Approval Regarding Joint Representation at Overseas Military Installations
Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 15th day of January 1970.
The Air Transport Association of America (ATA) on behalf of various air carrier members ${ }^{1}$ has filed, pursuant to section 412 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended (the Act), an agreement (designated Agreement CAB 20942) for joint air carrier representation as U.S. overseas military installations.
The agreement permits any operator (as distinguished from associate) member of ATA to participate in the agreement and provides that it will become effective when executed by at least eight carriers and approved by the Board. The agreement establishes a Policy Committee composed of one representative of each party to examine the need for providing joint representation at a designated overseas installation. An operating manual is made part of the agreement and the Policy Committee is required to function in accordance with its provisions. The agreement may be terminated by any party on 30 days' written notice,
The Operating Manual (Manual) is an extensive and detailed compilation of the definitions, procedures and forms involved in opening, operating and closing an Air Carrier Representation Office (ACRO). An ACRO is defined as either an Airline Traffic Office; a Single Airline Office; a Consolidated Airline Ticket Office; a General Agent's Office; or a Travel Agent's Office, as designated by the Policy Committee. ${ }^{\text {? }}$

[^3]The Manual gives the Policy Committee specific authority to determine the need for opening and closing an ACRO and for the establishment of a Local Committee to have jurisdiction over any particular ACRO, A Local Committee consists of members who represent parties to the agreement who are serving the area in which the military installation is located or who has an interest in such area.

The remainder of the Manual sets forth the procedures for operating the various types of offices and the accounting and reporting requirements applicable to the carriers or other persons responsible for operation of the offices.
Pan American World Airways, Inc. (Pan American), and Northwest Airlines, Inc. (Northwest), filed comments opposing approval of the agreement in its initlal form. Pan American has suggested amendments to varlous provisions of the agreement which, in effect, would give Pan American veto power over the opening or operation of an ACRO and over some of the actions taken by the Policy Committee. Northwest recommended that the Board reject the agreement on the grounds, essentially, that some of its provisions would make it possible for Northwest to be prevented from actively participating in the operation of a facility located in an area to which it was certificated, Northwest stated that this could be corrected simply by amending the agreement (and Operating Manual) to assure that any certificated route U.S. atr carrier would be permitted active participation in any overseas ticket outlet established under this agreement.
On August 21, 1969, an amendment to the agreement (CAB 20942-A1) was filed by ATA on behalf of 11 of its members, ${ }^{3}$ The amendment revises various provisions of the Manual to give air carriers serving an area in which the establishment of an ACRO is potentially feasible a greater voice in the opening, operation and administrative affairs of such ACRO. Thus the agreement, as amended, effectively meets the objections of Pan American and Northwest and it has been executed by both of these carriers. However, Pan American made its execution and delivery of the agreement subject to the condition 'that Pan American's participation therein shall in no Way be applicable to South Vietnam." This condition is not acceptable to the other parties for inclusion in the agreement.
The Department of Defense (DOD) by letter dated September 23, 1969, advised the Board that it had no intention of substituting joint airline ticketing and reservations services in Vietnam for those presently performed by Pan American; that It had repeatedly advised the carriers to this effect; that it saw no reason why the Vietnam situation should

[^4]be an obstacle to general acceptance of the agreement and that DOD would endorse approval of the agreement so long as it is made clear that final authority for determining when and by whom such services will be operated on military bases rests with DOD. ${ }^{\circ}$ A letter to ATA was attached to the transmittal to the Board which reiterated the position of DOD regarding Vietnam and advised the earriers that before DOD could agree to implementation of the agreement, some arrangement should be reached for sharing directly in any cost savings which may result from the airlines providing the reservations and ticketing services as contrasted with the present method wherein General Sales Agents are used exclusively. DOD suggested the formation of a joint Defense-Industry Committee to study the costs, measure the savings and recommend the most appropriate means of returning a share of the savings to members of the Armed Forces. Thereafter ATA requested Board advice on the extent to which this matter could be discussed without prior Board authorization. ATA was advised that the DOD proposal appeared to raise questions under sections 403 and 404 of the Act; that the proposal was not included in the agreement; and that the Board could not authorize such discussions without receiving information which would enable it to determine the necessity for and propriety of such an arrangement.
ATA states that the purposes of the ACRO agreement are to provide improved reservations and ticketing service to personnel on overseas military installations and at the same time minimize the gold drain. The procedures for the administration of these ACRO's are based on those applicable to domestic Joint Airline Military Traffic Offices which have been in effect for many years. ${ }^{\text {. }}$
The Board concludes that the agreement should be approved. The broad purposes of the arrangement to improve reservations and ticketing services of the scheduled air carriers at overseas military installations and to reduce the gold drain do not appear to be inconsistent with the pubilic interest.
Military personnel and the domestic carriers will both benefit from the availability of current fares and schedulesthe traveler by being able to complete his travel arrangements and the carriers by reducing the considerable volume of reticketing heretofore required. Thus the agreement should prove beneficial to all concerned.
The amendment to the agreement provides procedures which appear to have successfully overcome the initial insistence of Pan American and Northwest that carriers serving an area have a primary interest in providing the services

[^5]contemplated in the agreement to that area. Thus, both Northwest and Pan American have executed the agreement even though the latter has not delivered it to ATA because of the controversy concerning the Vietnam exclusion.

The exclusion of Vietnam from the agreement is not, in our view, a bar to approval of the agreement, DOD has pointed out that it reserves the right to approve or disapprove the operations of commercial facilities on its installations. The agreement recognized the requirement for prior approval by DOD of the operation of ACRO's on military Installations. Thus there appears to be no valid basis for the carriers to object to the arrangement between DOD and Pan American in Vietnam.

With respect to the DOD proposal that the parties share cost savings with the military, the Board notes that such an arrangement is outside the provisions of the agreement. If the parties should collectively agree to the proposal, a separate agreement or an amendment to the instant agreement would be required to be filed with the Board.

Upon consideration of the foregoing, the Board does not find the agreement to be adverse to the public interest or in violation of the Act. The agreement will be approved subject to the reporting requirements stated heref.

Accordingly, it is ordered, That:

1. Agreement CAB 20942, as amended by CAB 20942-A1, be and it hereby is approved;
2. Minutes of each meeting of the Policy Committee shall be filed by ATA with the Board within 15 days after such meeting; and
3. ATA shall file a semiannual report with the Board showing the location, type, and principal operator of each ACRO established pursuant to the agreement.
This order shall be published in the Federal Register.

## By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [seal] Harry J. Zink, } \\
& \text { Secretary. }
\end{aligned}
$$

[F.R. Doc. 70-781; Flled, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:48 a.m.]

## [Docket No. 20724]

## ATLANTA-DETROIT/CLEVELAND / CINCINNATI INVESTIGATION

## Notice of Hearing

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, that a hearing in the above-entitled proceeding will be held on February 3, 1970, at $10 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$., in Room 726, Universal Bullding, 1825 Connecticut Avenue NW., Washington, D.C., before Examiner William F. Cusick.

For information concerning the issues involved and other details in this proceeding, interested persons are referred to the Prehearing Conference Report and other documents which are in the docket of this proceeding on file in the Docket Section of the Civil Aeronautics Board.

Dated at Washington, D.C., January 15, 1970.
[SEAL]

## William F. Cusick,

 Hearing Examiner.[F.R. Doc. 70-782; Filed, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:48 a.m.]
[Dockets Nos. 16349, 10920; Order 70-1-72]

## DOMESTIC SERVICE AND NONPRIORITY MAIL RATE CASES

## Order Reclassifying Station

Issued under delegated authority January $14,1970$.

In the appendices to Order 69-12-132 issued December 30, 1969, Ponce, P.R. is classified as class Z for priority mail and class A for nonpriority mail based upon the revenue tons of traffic originated at Ponce during the year ended June 30, 1969.

Post audit of the underlying data reveals that 6,370 tons of traffic were originated at Ponce during the period under review. Therefore in accordance with the criteria established in the above cited dockets Ponce should be classified as a class $Y$ station for priority mail and a class B station for nonpriority mail.

In view of the foregoing it is necessary to amend the lists of stations included in Order 69-12-132.

Pursuant to authority duly delegated by the Board in the Board's regulations, 14 CFR 385.14 (c) :

It is ordered, That:

1. Order 69-12-132 be amended to delete Ponce from the lists of $Z$ and $A$ stations and add Ponce to the lists of $Y$ and B stations in the appendices thereto, effective January 10,1970 .
2. This order will be published in the Federal Register.
3. This order be served upon all parties to these proceedings.

Persons entitled to petition the Board for review of this order pursuant to the Board's regulations, 14 CFR 385.50 , may file such petitions within 7 days after the date of service of this order.

This order shall be effective and become the action of the Civil Aeronautics Board upon expiration of the above period unless within such period a petition for review thereof is filed, or the Board gives notice that it will review this order on its own motion.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { [SEAL] HARRY J. Zink, } \\
\text { Secretary. }
\end{gathered}
$$

[F.R. Doc. 70-783; Filed, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:48 a.m.]
[Docket No. 20971; Order 70-1-74]

## PUBLICATION OF JOINT FARES AND DIVISION OF JOINT FARE REVENUES

## Order Regarding Carrier Discussions

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 15th day of January 1970.
By Order 69-8-85, dated August 15, 1969, the Board authorized for a period of 90 days air carrier discussions of joint
fare publication. Order 69-9-68, dated September 12, 1969, extended that authority to January 15, 1970, and amended it to include discussion of the division of interline revenues between participating carriers.

By joint petition filed January 9, 1970, certain participants involved in the discussions have requested authority from the Board to extend the discussions through January 31, 1970.
In support of their petition, the carriers assert that delivery estimates of the consultant hired to develop certain basic data needed to evaluate various alternatives were overly optimistic. The carriers reveal that, due to delays, part of the study being prepared by the consultant will be delivered only 3 days before the present discussion authority expires, and the balance of the study will not be delivered until after that date.
The carriers point out that various proposals for interim solutions to the joint fare problems have been advanced and considered but, in the absence of data to evaluate the proposals, no agreement has been reached. The carriers assert that because of the seriousness of the problems and the potential consequences for the entire industry if satisfactory agreements cannot be reached, the majority are anxious to continue the discussions in an effort to find solutions to the problems.
No objections to the proposed extension have been filed with the Board.

Upon consideration of the petition and other relevant matters, the Board will grant the extension sought. The availlability of the desired data within that perlod should clear the way for a prompt agreement on these matters.
Accordingly, pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, and particularly sections 204(a), 412, and 414 thereof: It is ordered, That:

1. The expiration date of the authority in paragraph 2 of Order 69-8-85, dated August 15, 1969, is extended through January 31, 1970; and
2. All other provisions of Orders 69-8-85 and 69-9-68 shall remain unchanged.
This order will be published in the Federal Register,

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.
[seal]
Harry J. Zink, Secretary.
[F.R. Doc. 70-784; Filed, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:49 a.m.]

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS

 COMMISSION[FCC 70-62]

## REGULAR RENEWAL APPLICANTS

Policy Statement on Comparative
Hearings
January 15, 1970.
In 1965 the Commission issued a policy statement on Comparative Broadcast

Hearings which is applicable to hearings to choose among qualified new applicants for the same broadcast facilities. See Policy Statement on Comparative Broadcast Hearings, 1 FCC 2d 393. We believe that we should now issue a similar statement as to the comparative hearing where a new applicant is contesting with a licensee seeking renewal of license. We have, of course, set forth our policies in this respect in several cases, and indeed, have done so in designating issues in some very recent cases. E.g., In re Application of RKO General, Inc., FCC 69-1335, paragraph 8; In re Application of Lamar Life Broadcasting Co., FCC 69-1336, paragraph 2. There has, however, been considerable controversy on this issue, as shown by the hearings on S. 2004 now going forward before the Senate Subcommittee on Communications. Issuance of this statement will therefore contribute to clarity of our policies in this important area. This will be of assistance to the examiners who initially decide the cases. It will expedite the hearing process and promote consistency of decision. Above all, by informing the broadcast industry and the public of the applicable standards, the public interest "in the larger and more effective use of radio" (section $303(\mathrm{~g})$ of the Communications Act) will be served.
The statutory scheme calls for a limited license term. This permits Commission review of the broadcaster's stewardship at regular intervals to determine whether the public interest is being served; it also provides an opportunity for new parties to demonstrate in public hearings that they will better serve the public interest. It is this latter aspect of the statutory scheme with which we deal here. See sections $307,308,309$.

The public interest standard is served, we believe, by policies which insure that the needs and interests of the listening and viewing public will be amply served by the community's local broadcast outlets. Promotion of this goal, with respect to competing challenges to renewal applicants, calls for the balancing of two obvious considerations. The first is that the public receive the benefits of the statutory spur inherent in the fact that there can be a challenge, and indeed, where the public interest so requires, that the new applicant be preferred. The second is that the comparative hearing policy in this area must not undermine predictability and stability of broadcast operation.

The institution of a broadcast service requires a substantial investment, particularly in television, and even where the investment is small it is likely to be relatively large to the person making it, It would disserve the public interest to reward good public service by a broadcaster by terminating, the authority to continue that service. If the license is given subject to withdrawal despite a record of such good service, it will simply not be possible to induce people to enter the field and render what has become a vital public service. Indeed, rather than an incentive to qualified broadcasters to
provide good service, it would be an inducement to the opportunist who might seek a license and then provide the barest minimum of service which would permit short run maximization of profit, on the theory that the license might be terminated whether he rendered a good service or not. The broadcast field thus must have stability, not only for those who engage in it but, even more important, from the standpoint of service to the public.
We believe that these two considerations call for the following policynamely, that if the applicant for renewal of license shows in a hearing with a competing applicant that its program service during the preceding license term has been substantially attuned to meeting the needs and interests of its area, ${ }^{3}$ and that the operation of the station has not otherwise been characterized by serious deficiencies, he will be preferred over the newcomer and his application for renewal will be granted. His operation is not based merely upon promises to serve solidly the public interest. He has done so. Since the basic purpose of the Act-substantial service to the pub-lic-is being met, it follows that the considerations of predictability and stability, which also contribute vitally to that basic purpose, call for renewal.
This is not new policy. It was largely formulated in the leading decision in this field, Hearst Radio, Inc. (WBAL), 15 FCC 1149 (1951), where the Commission, in favoring the existing licensee, stated that where a choice must be made between an existing licensee and a newcomer, a grant will normally be made to the existing station if its operation has been meritorious, and that a good record may outweigh preferences to a newcomer on such factors as local residence and integration of ownership and management. The WBAL policy was followed in In re Wabash Valley Broadcasting Corp., 35 FCC 677 (1963), and cited with approval in recent actions (see, e.g., In re Application of RKO General, Inc., FCC 69-1335, par, 8)

If on the other hand the hearing record shows that the renewal applicant has not substantially met or served the needs and interests of his area, he would obtain no controlling preference. On the contrary, if the competing new applicant establishes that he would substantially

[^6]serve the public interest, ${ }^{2}$ he should clearly be preferred over one who was given the opportunity to do so but chose instead to deliver less than substantial service to the public. In short, the past record of the renewal applicant is still the critical factor, but here it militates against renewal and in favor of the new applicant, provided that the latter establishes that he would solidly serve the public interest.

We recognize that the foregoing policy does not work with mathematical precision, and that particular factual circumstances will have to be explored in the hearing process. For example, if there are substantial questions as to whether the renewal applicant's operation has been characterized by serious deficiencles-such as rigged quizzes, violations of the Fairness Doctrine, overcommercialization, broadcast of lotteries, violation of racial discrimination rules, or fraudulent practices as to ad-vertisers-the facts as to these matters would have to be established, and any demerits resulting therefrom weighed against the renewal applicant in the public interest judgment which must be made. It is not possible to lay down any more precise standards here, since so much will depend on the particular facts.

Further, we recognize that the terms "substantially" and "minimally" also lack mathematical precision. However, the terms constitute perfectly appropriate standards. Thus, the word "substantially" is defined as "strong: solid; firm; much; considerable; ample; large; of considerable worth or value; important" (Webster's New World Dictionary College Ed., p. 1454); " the word "minimal" carrles the pertinent definition, "smallest permissible" (Id. at p. 937). However, application and evolution of the standards would again be left to the hearing process.
The renewal applicant would have a full opportunity to establish that hls operation was a "substantial" one, solidly meeting the needs and interests of his area, and not otherwise characterized by serious deficioncies. He could, of course, call upon community leaders to corroborate his position. On the other hand, the competing party would have the same opportunity in the hearing process to demonstrate his allegation that the existing licensee's operation has been a minimal one. And he, too, can call upon community leaders to testify to this effect if that is, indeed, the. case. The programing performance of the licensee in all programing categories (including the licensee's response to his ascertainments of community needs and problems) is thus vital to the judgment to be made. Further, although the matter is not a comparative one but rather whether substantial service has been rendered, the efforts of like stations in the community or elsewhere to supply substantial service are also relevant in this criti-
${ }^{2}$ With several such hew applicants, the Policy Statement on Comparative Broadcast Hearings, 1 FCC 2d 393, would be the basis for decision as among them.
${ }^{2}$ We also note that the term is frequently employed in statutes, e.g., 15 U.S.C. 13 (the Clayton Act) : 42 U.S.C. $403(1)$ (4) (A) (Social Security Act); 26 U.S.C. 382 (a) (1) (C) (Internal Revenue Act) ; Indeed, it is used in the Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. 503 (b) (1) (A).
cal judgment area. There would, of course, be the necessity of taking into account pertinent standards which are evolved by the Commission in this fleld.
Two other points deserve stress in this respect, First, unllke the case involving new applicants (see 1 FCC 2d at pp. 397-98), a programing record will be considered even though it is not alleged to be either unusually good or bad. Thus, the renewal applicant will not have to demonstrate that his past service has been "exceptionally" or "unusually" worthy. Were that the criterion, only the exceptional or unusual renewal applicant would win a grant of continued authority to operate, and the great majority of the industry would be told that even though they provide strong, solid service of significant value to their communities, their licenses will be subject to termination. As stated at the outset, such a poliey would disserve the public interest. And conversely, a new applicant would not have to allege that the existing licensee's operation had been unusually bad.

Second, the renewal applicant must run upon his past record in the last license term. If, after the competing application is flled, he "upgrades" his operation, no evidence of such upgrading will be accepted or may be relled upon. To give weight to such belated efforts to meet his obligation to provide substantial service would undermine the policy of the competitive spur which Congress wisely included in the Communications Act A renewal applicant could simply supply minimal service from year to year, secure in the knowledge that even if a competing application were filed at the time of renewal, he could then "upgrade" to show substantial service. Therefore, no evidence as to improved service after the filing of the competing application (or a petition to deny directed to programing service) will be deemed admissible in the hearing. This is, of course, a departure from the procedure permitted in the WBAL case.
Further, the renewal applicant, seeking to obtain the benefits of this policy, cannot properly supply minimal service during the first two years of his license term and then "upgrade" during the third year because of the imminence of possible challenge. The Act seeks to promote conscientious and good falth substantial service to the public-not a triennial firtation with such service. Therefore, while we recognize that the licensee's programing efforts do and must vary over a license period and hopefully are continually being improved, we could not weigh as controlling or determinative a pattern of operation which showed substantial service only in the last year of the IIcense term.

We note also the question of the applicability here of our policy of diversification of the media of mass communications. We do not denigrate in any way the importance of that policy or the logic of its applicability in a comparative hearing involving new applicants. See 1 FCC 2d at pp. 394-95. We have stated, however, that as a general matter, the renewal process is not an appropriate way to restructure the broadcast industry. E.g., In re Application for Renewal of WTOP-TV, FCC 69-1312. Where a renewal applicant with other media interests has in the past been awarded a grant as consistent with the Commission's multiple ownership rules and policies, and thereafter proceeded to render good service to his area, it would appear unfait and unsound to follow pollcles whereby he could be ousted on the basis of a comparative demerit because of his
medis holdings. ${ }^{4}$ Here again, the stability of a large percentage of the broadcast industary, particularly in television, would be undermined by such a policy. Our rules and policles permit multiple ownership, and the industry has made substantial commitments based on those rules and policies. These rules are not sacrosanot, and indeed should and must be subject to periodic review. We are now engaged in such review in a number of overall rule making proceedings. E.g., FCC Dockets Nos, 18110 and 18397. If any rule making proceeding, now pending or initiated in the future, results in a restructuring of the industry, it will do so with proper safeguards, including most importantly an approprlate perlod for divestment. Such a way of proceeding is, we belleve, sound and "best conduces to the proper dlspatch of business and the ends of justice;" section $4(j)$ of the Communications Act: WJR y. F.C.C., 337 U.S. 265, 282 (1948). In short, whatever action may be called for in speclal hearings where particular facts concerning undue concentration or abusive conduct in thls respect are alleged, ${ }^{5}$ the overall structure of the industry, so far as multiple ownership and diversification are concerned, should be the subject of general rule making proceedings rather than ad hoe decisions in renewal hearings.

We believe the issuance of this policy statement will expedite the hearing process in this area. Examiners will be clear as to our general polfcy. Indeed, it may significantly shorten hearings. If the Examiner, at the conclusion of the inftial phase of a hearing dealing with a renewal applicant's past record, has no doubt that the existing licensee's record of service to the public is a substantial one, without serious deficiencies, he should, either on his own motion or that of the renewal applicant, halt the proceeding at this point and issue an initial decision based upon that determination. However, where the matter is in any way close or in doubt, it would be more appropriate to proceed with the hearing, and thus insure that the record is complete when the matter comes before the Commission.

Most important, as stated above, the policy will markedly serve the public interest by informing the broadeast industry and the public of their responsibilities and rights. And, in doing so, it retains the competitive spur provided in the Communications Act and yet insures predictability and stability of broadcast operations. For the poltcy says to the broadcaster, "if you do a solid job as a public trustee of this frequency, you will be renewed; your future is thus really in your hands." The polley says to all interested persons, "The Act seeks to promote not just minimal service but solid,
*Of course, if such a renewal applleant has not rendered substantial service, he might also face a demerit on the diversification ground. Such an additional demerit m!ght well be academic, since, barring the case where his competitor is also deficient in some important respect, a past record of minimal service to the public is likely to be determinative, in and of itself, against the renewal applicant.
= In re Applications of Midwest Television, Inc., FCO 69-261; In re Applications of Chrontcle Broadcasting Company, FCO 69-262.
substantial service; if at renewal time, a group of you believe that an applicant has not rendered such service, you may file a competing application and will be afforded the opportunity, in a hearing, to establish your case. If you do so, you will be granted authority to operate on the frequency in place of the renewal applicant who has failed to provide substantial service." ${ }^{\circ}$

The policy is thus fair to the broadcaster and to the new contestant, and above all it serves the listening and viewing public. To the argument that the hearing process itself is an unfair burden, the short answer is that such hearings stem directly from the statutory scheme, and particularly from the notion that the broadcaster is a public trustee who can acquire no permanent ownership of the frequency on which he operates. With even-handed administration of the policy, there is unlikely to be any plethora of frivolous challengers, in view of the significant costs involved.' And in any event, where frivolous challenges are made, the Examiner may in his discretion, and should, take action to avoid a long drawn out hearing. In the final analysis, the broadcaster has, we belleve, the answer within his hands-if he really knows and cares about his area and does a good substantial job of serving it, he will discourage challenges to his renewal applications.

We recognize that there can be concern whether this policy will prevent a new applicant willing to provide a superior service from supplanting an existing licensee who has broadcast a substantial, but less impressive, service. But, as stated, there are obvious risks in accepting promises over proven performance at a substantial level, and we see no way, other than the one we have taken, adequately to preserve the stability and preclictability which are important aspects of the overall public interest. We belleve that there will still be real incentives for those existing broadcasters willing to provide superior service to do so, since the higher the level of their operations, the less likely that new applicants will file against them at renewal time. And as the Commission spells out, in decided cases, the elements which constitute substantial service, it will serve the private interests of broadcasters to make certain that their operations fall clearly into that class of service. Thus the public interest will be served by the continuing efforts of broadcasters to minimize the chances of the flling of competing applications.

- It would be expected that appropriate arrangements could and would be made to purchase facllities owned by the existing station. See, e.g., In re Appllcation of Biscayne Television Corp., 38 FCC 851 (1962).
"We wish to stress, with the issuance of this Statement, that barring extraordinary circumstances, the challenger to a renewal cannot be reimbursed in any amount for his expenditures in preparing and prosecuting his application, nor will merger agreements be countenanced.

The foregoing policy is limited to comparative hearings between renewal applicants and new applicants for the same facilities in the same community. The restriction to the same community is necessary to exclude from this pollicy contests between applicants for different communities which are governed by the provisions of section $307(\mathrm{~b})$ of the Act, since this section requires that the grant go to the community most in need of the station, without regard to the comparative qualities of the applicants. In practical effect, this section applies solely to standard broadcasting.' Such AM cases involve considerations quite different from those with which the Commission is concerned here, and are thus not dealt with in this statement.

As shown by our recent actions (see p. 1, supra), this polley is of course applicable to pending proceedings, and indeed, we stress again that its essential holding reflects long established precedent. The policy statement is inapplicable, however, to those unusual cases, generally involving court remands, in which the renewal applicant, for sui generis reasons, is to be treated as a new applicant. In such cases, while the past record, favorable or unfavorable, is of course pertinent and should be examined, the WBAL policy, as here amplified, is inapplicable; a good record without serious deficiencies will not be controlling in such cases so as to obviate the comparative analysis called for in the Policy Statement on Comparative Broadcast Hearings, 1 FCC 2d 393 (1965).

In sum, we believe that this is the best possible balancing of the competing aspects of the public interest which are to be served in this area. However, the promise of this policy for truly substantial service to the public will depend on the consistency and determination with which the Commission carries out this policy in the actual cases which come before it. Only if we truly develop and hold to a solid concept of substantial service, will the public derive the peneffts this policy is designed to bring them. We pledge that we will do so, and in turn call upon the industry and interested public to play their vital roles in the implementation of this policy.

Sent to all broadcast licensees.
Federal Communications
Commtsston, COMMTSSION,
[SEAL]
Secretary.
[FR. Doc. 70-774; Filed, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:48 a.m.I
${ }^{3}$ The pollicy set forth herein will apply where a new applicant flles against a renewal appllicant, seeking to use the contested FM or TV channel in a different community under the provisions of $\$ 73.203$ (b) or $\$ 73$. 607 (b) of our rules.

- Action by the Commission Jan. 14, 1970. Commissioners Burch (Chairman), Bartley, Robert E. Lee, Cox, H. Rex Lee and Wells, with Commissioner Johnson dissenting and issuing a statement which is flled as part of the original document.


# FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION 

FARRELL LINES, INC., AND MITSUI O.S.K. LINES, LTD.

## Notice of Agreement Filed

Notice is hereby given that the following agreement has been filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended ( 39 Stat. 733, 75 Stat. 763, 46 U.S.C. 814).

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of the agreement at the Washington office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1405 I Street NW., Room 1202, or may inspect the agreement at the offices of the District Managers, New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La. and San Francisco, Calif. Comments on such agreements, including requests for hearing, may be submitted to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, 1405 I Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20573 , within 20 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register. Any person desiring a hearing on the proposed agreement shall provide a clear and concise statement of the matters upon which they desire to adduce evidence. An allegation of discrimination or unfairness shall be accompanied by a statement describing the discrimination or unfairness with particularity. If a violation of the Act or detriment to the commerce of the United States is alleged, the statement shall set forth with particularity the acts and circumstances sald to constitute such violation or detriment to commerce.
A copy of any such statement should also be forwarded to the party filing the agreement (as indicated hereinafter) and the statement should indicate that this has been done.
Notice of agreement filed by:
Hans Unterwiener, Manager, Fretght Documentation and Inward Freight, Farrell Lines, Inc., One Whitehall Street, New York, N.X. 10004.
Agreement No. 9838, between Farrell Lines, Inc., and Mitsui O.S.K. Lines, Ltd. covers the movement of cargo from the Liberian Ports of Harbel, Buchanan, Sinoe, and Cape Palmas to U.S. Atlantic and Gulf Ports with transshipment at Monrovia, Liberia in accordance with the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement.
Dated: January 16, 1970.
By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

## Francis C. Hurney,

Secretary.
[F.R. Doc. 70-793; Filed, Jan. 20, 1970;
8:49 a.m.]

## NORTH ATLANTIC ISRAEL EASTBOUND FREIGHT CONFERENCE

## Notice of Agreement Filed

Notice is hereby given that the following agreement has been filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as
amended ( 39 Stat. 733, 75 Stat. 763, 46 U.S.C. 814).

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of the agreement at the Washington office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1405 I Street NW., Room 1202, or may inspect the agreement at the offices of the District Managers, New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La.. and San Francisco, Calif. Comments on such agreement, including requests for hearing, may be submitted to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, 1405 I Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20573 , with 20 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register. Any person desiring a hearing on the proposed agreement shall provide a clear and concise statement of the matters upon which they desire to adduce evidence. An allegation of discrimination or unfairness shall be accompanied by a statement describing the discrimination or unfairness with particularity. If a violation of the Act or detriment to the commerce of the United States is alleged, the statement shall set forth with particularity the acts and circumstances said to constitute such violation or detriment to commerce.

A copy of any such statement should also be forwarded to the party filing the agreement (as indicated hereinafter) and the statement should indicate that this has been done.

Notice of agreement filed by:
Mr A. Birnbaum, Secretary, North Atlantic Israel Eastbound Fretght Conference, 42 Broadway, New York, N. X. 10004.
Agreement No. 8220-7, between the members of the North Atlantic Israel Eastbound Freight Conference, amends Article 1 of the basic agreement to include payment of forwarder and broker compensation within the authority of the agreement.
Dated: January 16, 1970.
By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

> Francis C. Hurney, Secretary.
[F.R. Doc. 70-794; Filed, Jan, 20, 1970; 8:49 a.m.]

## EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION <br> employer report

## Notice of Changes

Notice is hereby given that the revisions in the form and reporting procedures for the 1970 Employer Information Report EEO-1 (Standard Form 100) have been approved by the Bureau of the Budget and have been adopted.

Changes in the form and format. 1. The form will be streamlined and reduced to two pages, $81 / 2^{\prime \prime} \times 11^{\prime \prime}$, continuous paper, carbon interlaced. The instructions will be shortened and simplified.
2. Name, address, and required codes will be computer printed on all four copies.
3. Apprenticeship Schedule A will be deleted from EEO- 1 and made a part of Apprenticeship Information' Report EEO-2.
4. The question concerning racially separate facilities will be deleted.

Changes in reporting procedure. 1. The option to combine establishments by Designated City, Standard Metropolitan Area, etc., will not be allowed in 1970.
2. A separate report will be filed for each establishment of the company. However, no separate reports will be required for small establishments with less than 25 employees. Employees of these establishments will only be reported in the company's consolidated report.
3. All special reporting procedures approved in previous years have been voided. All such procedures must be renegotiated with the Joint Reporting Committee and will be granted sparingly.
4. The filing deadline will be May 31. The period in which employment statistics are obtained will be changed to any payroll period in February, March, or April. However, employers who formerly used an earlier period, e.g., the end of the previous calendar year, may use the same for their 1970 report.
5. In Alaska, Eskimos and Aleuts, as well as American Indians, will be reported under the column heading "American Indian".
6. No reports will be required in 1970 for establishments located in the State of Hawaii. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission staff will study the feasibility of obtaining the kind of employment data appropriate to Hawaii in another form.
In late January, a letter will be sent to all employers describing the changes and attaching a list of all the employers' establishments in the Joint Reporting Committee's files that have 25 or more employees. Employers will be requested to update the list and return it to the Joint Reporting Committee within 2 weeks of receipt.

William H. Brown III,
Chairman.
January 16, 1970.
[F.R. Doc. 70-744; Filed, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:45 a.m.]

## FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No RI70-1021 ete.] MOBIL OIL CORP. ET AL.
Order Providing for Hearings on and Suspension of Proposed Changes in Rates ${ }^{1}$

## Jandary 9, 1970.

The respondents named herein have filed proposed increased rates and charges of currently effective rate schedules for sales of natural gas under Commission jurisdiction, as set forth in Appendix A hereof.

[^7]The proposed changed rates and charges may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.
The Commission finds: It is in the public interest and consistent with the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon hearings regarding the lawfulness of the proposed changes, and that the supplements herein be suspended and their use be deferred as ordered below.

The Commission orders:
(A) Under the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4 and 15 , the regu-
lations pertaining thereto ( 18 CFR ch I ), and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, public hearings shall be held concerning the lawfulness of the proposed changes.
(B) Pending hearings and decisions thereon, the rate supplements herein are suspended and their use deferred until date shown in the "Date Suspen'ded Until" column, and thereafter until made effective as prescribed by the Natural Gas Act.
(C) Until otherwise ordered by the Commission, neither the suspended sup-
plements, nor the rate schedules sought to be altered, shall be changed until disposition of these proceedings or expiration of the suspension period.
(D) Notices of intervention or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington D.C. 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 and $1.37(f)$ ) on or before February 23 , 1970.

## By the Commission.

[seab]
Gordon M. Grant,
Secretary.

APFENDIX A


Appmentx A-Continued

| Docket No: | Respondent | Rate schedule No. | Sup-plement No. | Purchaser and producing area | Amount of annual fncrease | Date filing tendered | Effective date unless suspended | Datesuspended until- | Cents per Mef ${ }^{2}$ |  | Rate in effeet subfect to refund in dockets Nos: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rate in effect | Proposed Increased rate |  |
|  |  | 402 | 11 | E1 Paso Natural Gas Co. (BrownBassett Non-Ellenburger Flela, Terrell County, Tex., FR. District No. 7C) (Permian Basin): | \$9,103 | 12-11-69 | 1-11-70 | $6-11-70$ | 16.0 | 17.5656 | R168-619. |
|  |  | 406 | 2 | E1 Paso Natural Gas Co. Worsham Ellenburger Fleld, Reeves County, Tex., RR. Distriet No.8) (Permian Basin). | 1,735 | 12-11-69 | 1-11-70 | 6-11-70 | 12. 51 | 17. 5656 |  |

2 Pressure base is 14.65 p.s.i.a.
${ }^{1}$ Residue gas.
Gas-weil gas.
includes partlal relmbursement of the full 2.55 percent New Mextco Emergency School tax.
Bchool tax.
7 Residue gas.
1 Gas-well gas.
As previously indicated, two of Mobil's rate filings reflect partial reimbursement for the full 2.55-percent New Mexico Emergency School Tax. The buyer, El Paso Natural Gas Co. (El Paso), in accordance with its policy of protesting tax fllings proposing reimbursement for the New Mexico Emergency School Tax in excess of 0.55 percent, is expected to file a protest to these rate increases. E1 Paso questions the right of the producer under the tax relmbursement clause to flle a rate increase reflecting tax reimbursement computed on the basis of an increase in tax rate by the New Mexico Leglslature in excess of 0.55 percent. While El Paso concedes that the New Mexico legislation effected a higher rate of at least 0.55 percent, they claim there is controversy as to whether or not the new legislation effected an increased rate in excess of 0.55 percent. In view of the contractual problem presented, the hearings provided herein with respect to these filings shall concern themselves with the contractual basis for such rate filings, as well as the statutory lawfulness of the proposed Increased rates and charges,
All of the proposed increased rates and charges exceed the applicable area price levels for increased rates as set forth in the Commission's statement of general policy No. 61-1, as amended ( $18 \mathrm{CFR} \$ 2.56$ ), and should be suspended for 5 months as ordered hereln.
[F.f. Doc. 70-679; Flled, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:45 a.m.1
[Project No. 1]

## ARKANSAS

Order Partially Vacating Withdrawal of Lands

January 12, 1970.
Application has been filed by the law firm of Poynter \& Huckaba on behalf of Mr . and Mrs. Ray Wallace, for the restoration to entry under the public land laws, subject to the reservation of section 24 of the Federal Power Act, of the following described land of the United States withdrawn for power purposes:

Fifth princtpal Mertian, Arikansas T. 21 N., R. 16 W ., Sec. 29, NE1/4NW $1 / 4$ ( 40 acres).
The land lies in Marion County, Ark., near the shore of the Corps of Engineers' Bull Shoals Reservoir on the White River.
${ }^{2}$ For acreage added by Supplement No. 11.
${ }^{10} 18$ cents base rate less 3.053 cents treating charge plus tax reimbursement.
${ }_{13}{ }_{12}$ Cassinghead gas.
18 Gas-well gas.
is
is
is Casinghead gas.
if Gas-well gne
is Gas-well gas.
Dec. 3,1969 ). Dec. 3, 1969).

The land is withdrawn pursuant to the filing of an application for preliminary permit for Project No. 1 for which the Commission gave notice of land withdrawal to the General Land Office (now Bureau of Land Management) by letter dated December 18, 1920.

The preliminary permit for the project expired October 16, 1925, and an application for license was not filed. The power potential of this reach of the White River has been developed by the Corps' Bull Shoals Project which is similar to the development which was proposed in Project No. 1.

By letter dated October 16, 1969, to the Geological Survey, the Corps reported that restoration of the subject land to entry will have no effect on Corps activities in the area and, the Corps has no objection to the outright vacation of the withdrawal for Project No. 1 insofar as it pertains to the subject land.

There are no known plans for enlargement of Bull Shoals Reservoir.
The Commission finds: Inasmuch as the subject land is no longer needed for power development, the withdrawal referred to above should be vacated to the extent that it pertains to the land.

The Commission orders: The land withdrawal for Project No, 1 is hereby vacated insofar as it pertains to the subject land.

## By the Commission.

[seal] Gordon M. Grant, Secretary.
[F.R. Doc, 70-733; Filed, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:45 a.m. I
[Docket No. CP70-165]

## EL PASO NATURAL GAS CO. <br> Notice of Application

January 13, 1970.
Take notice that on January 5, 1970, El Paso Natural Ges Co. (Applicant), Post Office Box 1492, El Paso, Tex. 79999, filed in Docket No. CP70-165 an application pursuant to sections 7(b) and 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval of abandonment of certain compressor facillities, and a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and opera-
tion of certain branch pipeline loop facilities, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant proposes to abandon two 800 horsepower compressor units and appurtenances located at applicant's Station No. 7 in Greenlee County, Ariz. Applicant proposes to construct and operate approximately 23.5 miles of $85 / 8$-inch O.D. pipeline near Morenci, Ariz., looping portions of applicant's existing system.

Applicant states that the proposed abandonment will cause no reduction of service to any of its customers and the proposed facilities are necessary to provide Phelps Dodge Corp. with additional quantities of natural gas of approximately $7,040 \mathrm{Mcf}$ per day needed due to increased mining and smelting activities conducted in the Morenci area.
The total estimated cost of the proposed facilities is $\$ 939,457$, and the total estimated cost of the proposed abandonment is $\$ 38,000$, both of which will be financed initially through working funds supplemented by short-term borrowings.
Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before February 2, 1970, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure ( 18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10 ) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is
filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate or permission and approval for the proposed abandonment is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Gordon M. Grant, Secretary.
[F.R. Doc. 70-734; Filed, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:45 a.m. 1

## [Docket No. RP70-19]

TRANSWESTERN PIPELINE CO.
Order Providing for Hearing, Suspending Proposed Revised Tariff Sheets and Providing Hearing Procedures

Jandary $13,1970$.

Transwestern Pipeline Co. (Transwestern) on December 1, 1969, filed two sets of revised tariff sheets proposing changes in its presently effective FPC Gas Tariff First Revised Volume No. 1. The sheets designated "Revised Tariff Sheets" ${ }^{1}$ provide for an increase in Transwestern's rates of $\$ 18,980,000$ exclusive of increased purchased gas costs above those currently in effect. Transwestern requests an effective date of January 16, 1970, for these tariff sheets.
Transwestern states that the principal reasons for its proposed increase are increases in the cost of capital, taxes, labor, materials, and supplies. Transwestern in this docket claims the need for an 8.5 percent rate of return.

The "Revised Tariff Sheets" set forth new rates which reflect an increase in the CDQ-1 rate of 6.92 cents per Mcf and an increase in the CDQ-2 rate of 0.10 cent per Mcf above the rate filed by Transwestern on November 24, 1969, in Docket No. RP69-27. These rates do not reflect increases in Transwestern's purchased gas costs above levels currently in effect.

Transwestern is presently authorized to track producer rate increases through December 31, 1969, up to a total increase of 1.84 cents per Mef in Docket No. RP6927. ${ }^{2}$ Transwestern states that its suppliers have filed or can contractually file increases in their rates which would have the effect of increasing Transwestern's cost of purchased gas by $\$ 12,602,284$ or 4.08 cents per Mcf based on sales volumes under the CDQ-1 Rate Schedule.
Transwestern also tendered tariff sheets, designated "Alternative Revised

[^8]Tariff Sheets," which it proposes in lieu of the "Revised Tariff Sheets" if we do not accept its tracking proposal. These alternative sheets differ from the "Revised Tariff Sheets" in that they reflect rates required to cover the additional $\$ 12.6$ million increase in cost of purchased gas. Accordingly, since we are permitting Transwestern to continue tracking supplier rate increases, the alternative sheets should be rejected.

By order issued concurrently in Docket No. RP69-27, Transwestern is permitted by means of tracking filings through June 16, 1970, to file to recover increases in its purchased gas costs as they are sustained. On June 16, 1970, the end of the suspension period provided for herein, Transwestern may be exposed to additional increases in purchased gas costs. Under these circumstances it appears appropriate to permit Transwestern to track supplier rate increases after June 16, 1970. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ In order to permit Transwestern to recover increased purchased gas costs which may be sustained after June 16, 1970, we shall allow Transwestern to track supplier rate changes through December 31, 1970, up to a level equal to 4.08 cents per Mcf above the rates it has previously been allowed to file for in Docket No, RP69-27 pursuant to our order issued October 14, 1969.

A review of Transwestern's "Revised Tariff Sheets" and the data in support thereof, indicates that certain issues are raised therein which require development in an evidentiary proceeding. The proposed increased rates, charges and other tariff changes have not been shown to be justified and may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

We contemplate that some of the issues involved in this proceeding may be susceptible of hearing and decision within the 5 -month suspension period or shortly thereafter. In order that the collection and refunding of any possible excess charges may be avoided or limited, we are authorizing the Presiding Examiner to determine which issues, if any, may be tried in an initial phase of the hearing.

The Commission finds:
(1) It is necessary and proper in the public interest and to aid in the enforcement of the provisions of the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon a hearing concerning the lawfulness of the rates, charges and tarfff provisions contained in Transwestern's FPC Gas Tariff, as proposed to be amended by its "Revised Tariff Sheets", and that the proposed "Revised Tarlff Sheets" listed above be suspended, and use thereof be deferred as herein provided.
${ }^{3}$ City Group Gas Defense Association, in its petition to intervene, contends that the tracking rate increase should not be accepted for fling because it could provide for discriminatory rate making and because it provides for tracking increases which exceed area rate levels. The protest should be denied for the same reasons that the identical protest is being denied in Docket No, RP69-27 in our order granting motion to amend prior order being issued concurrently.
(2) It is necessary and proper in the public interest and to aid in the enforcement of the provisions of the Natural Gas Act that the disposition of this proceeding be expedited in accordance with the procedures set forth below.

The Commission orders:
(A) Pursuant to the authority of the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4 and 15 thereof, the Commission rules of practice and procedure and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR ch. I), a public hearing shall be held commencing January 29, 1970, in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C 20426, concerning the lawfulness of the rates, charges, classifications, and services contained in Transwestern's FPC Gas Tariff as proposed to be amended.
(B) Pending such hearing and decision thereon, Transwestern's proposed "Revised Tariff Sheets" listed above are hereby suspended and the use thereof deferred until June 16, 1970, and thereafter shall be effective upon the following condition:
(1) Transwestern may place into effect on June 16, 1970, or thereafter in the manner prescribed by the Natural Gas Act a 6.92 cent per Mcf increase in its CDQ-1 rate and a 0.10 cent per Mcf increase in its CDQ-2 rate in addition to the rate then currently in effect.
(C) After June 16, 1970, Transwestern may, from time to time until December 31, 1970, file with the Commission as a part of its FPC Gas Tariff First Revised Volume No. 1 revised tariff sheets necessary to reflect increases or decreases in its rates up to a level equal to 4.08 cents per Mcf above the rates it has previously been allowed to flle for in Docket No. RP69-27 pursuant to our order issued October 14, 1969, based upon increases or decreases in the cost of Transwestern's purchased gas, subject to the conditions as hereinafter described and computed in accordance with the following provisions of this paragraph (C) :
(1) Increases or decreases in Transwestern's CDQ-1 and CDQ-2 rates made pursuant to paragraph (C) shall only reflect those changes in cost of gas purchased by Transwestern from fields and gas supply sources presently connected to Transwestern's system and identified in Schedule H(1) - 3.4 of Transwestern's flling herein and under those FPC gas rate schedules of Transwestern's suppliers now on file with this Commission and identified in Statement H(1), Schedule No. H(1) -3.4 , sheets 1 through 7, of Transwestern's filing herein: Provided, however, That the aggregate net tracking increase in Transwestern's CDQ-1 and CDQ-2 rates made pursuant to this paragraph (C) shall not exceed 4.08 cents per Mef at 14.73 p.s.i.a.;
(2) No change in rates shall be made hereunder until the net change in Transwestern's annualized cost of purchased gas under the supplier rate schedules identified in said Schedule $H(1)-3.4$, determined as herein provided, causes a total system increase in purchased gas cost of at least one-tenth of 1 cent per

Mcf (at 14.73 p.s.i.a.), based on Transwestern's gas sales for the 12 -month period ending not less than 60 days nor more than 90 days preceding the effective date of any change in rate made under the provisions of paragraph (C);
(3) The annualized cost of gas purchased by Transwestern under each supplier rate schedule shall be determined by application of the rates then in effect thereunder to the volume of purchased gas during the 12 -month period ending not less than 60 nor more than 90 days preceding the effective date of such Transwestern increase or decrease, for each of such supplier rate schedules reflected in Statement H(1), Schedule H(1) -3.4 of Transwestern's filing herein;

The amount of any net change in the annualized cost of purchased gas for Transwestern shall be determined as the difference between the annualized cost of purchased gas, computed in accordance with the above subparagraph (3), and the amount that would have been paid as determined by application of the last supplier rate used for a change in rates hereunder to the volume prescribed in subparagraph (3). The amount per Mcf of any change in rates hereunder shall be determined by dividing the annual amount of the above change in cost by Transwestern's total sales made under its CDQ-1 and CDQ-2 Rate Schedules during the 12 -month period used to determine the annualized cost of purchased gas under the immediately preceding subparagraph (3). Such change in rates shall be uniformly applied to the commodity component of the CDQ-1 and CDQ-2 Rate Schedules of Transwestern's FPC Gas Tariff First Revised Volume No. 1: Provided, however, That the aggregate net increase to reflect increased purchased gas costs shall not exceed 4.08 cents per Mef;
(5) No flling shall be made pursuant to paragraph (C) until all increased supplier rates included therein are actually effective; or until a motion to place such rates in effect has been fled with the Commission, provided that Transwestern's filing shall not provide for an effective date prior to the day on which the suspended supplier increases become effective by motion;
(6) Revised Tariff Sheets filed in accordance herewith shall become effective 30 days after filing or such later date as Transwestern proposes, except as otherwise provided in this paragraph (C) subject to refund in the event that the increased rates therein are ultimately determined to be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, preferential, or otherwise unlawful; and
(7) If, as a result of any final order of the Commission, not stayed by the commission or the courts, Transwestern shall receive refunds, including interest under any supplier rate schedule applicable to increased rates collected thereunder which have been reflected in changes in Transwestern's CDQ-1 and CDQ-2 rates hereunder, Transwestern shall refund to its CDQ-1 and CDQ-2 customers, without further interest, upon accumulation of $\$ 250,000$ or more, except for the final refund which shall
be made if, but only if, the total amount remaining refundable is $\$ 12,500$ or more.
(D) The "Alternative Revised Sheets", providing for a present increase of \$31,582,284 , are hereby rejected.
(E) As a condition of this order, Transwestern shall execute and file in triplicate with the Secretary of this Commission within twenty (20) days of the date of this order, its written agreement and undertaking to comply with the terms of subparagraphs (C) (6) and (C) (7) hereof, filed by a responsible officer of the corporation, evidenced by proper authority from its Board of Directors, and accompanied by à certificate showing service of copies thereof upon all purchasers under the tariff sheets involved and upon all parties of record in this proceeding, as follows:

Agreement and Undertaking of Transwestern Pipeline Co. To Comply With the Terms and Conditions of subparagraps (C) (6) and (C) (7) of the Federal Power Commission order issued January 1970, in Docket No. RP 70-19.
In conformity with the requirements of the order Issued January 1970, in Docket No. RP70-19, Transwestern Pipeline Co. hereby agrees and undertakes to comply with the terms and conditions of subparagraphs (C) (6) and (C) (7) of sald order and has caused this agreement and undertaking to be executed and sealed in its name by its officer, thereupon duly authorized in accordance with the terms of the resolution of its Board of Directors, a certified copy of which is appended hereto, this day of ․-.-............ 1970.

Transwestrrin Pipeline
Company
ATMEST:

## By

## (Secretary)

(F) At the hearing on January 29 , 1970. Transwestern's prepared testimony (Statement P) filed and served on December 15, 1969, together with its entire rate filing as submitted and served on December 1, 1969, shall be admitted to the record as Transwestern's complete case-in-chief as provided in the Commission's regulations, $\$ 154.63(\mathrm{e})(1)$, and Order No. 254, 28 FPC 495, 496, without prejudice to any motions by the parties with respect thereto.
(G) Following admission of Transwestern's complete case-in-chief, the parties shall present their views and the Presiding Examiner, in the exercise of his discretion, shall determine whether there shall be an initial phase and, if so, which issues shall be heard therein. If he determines that there shall be an initial phase hearing, he shall fix dates for service of Staff's and Intervenors' evidence and Transwestern's rebuttal evidence of such issues; fix dates for witnesses to appear for adoption of their testimony and to stand cross-examination thereon; and proceed with such hearing as expeditiously as feasible.
(H) Presiding Examiner, Arthur H. Fribourg, or any other designated by the Chief Examiner for that purpose (see Delegation of Authority, 18 CFR 3.56 (d)), shall preside at the hearing in this proceeding; shall prescribe relevant procedural matters not herein provided; and shall control this proceeding in accord-
ance with the policies expressed in $\$ 2.59$ of the Commission rules of practice and procedure.

## By the Commission.

[sEaL]
Gordon M. Grant,
Secretary.
[F.R. Doc. 70-735; Filed, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:45 a.m.]

## FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

## barnett banks of florida, inc.

## Order Approving Acquisition of Bank

 Stock by Bank Holding CompanyIn the matter of the application of Bamett Banks of Florida, Inc., Jacksonville, Fla., for approval of acquisition of 70 percent or more of the voting shares of Bamett Bank of Daytona Beach, Daytona Beach, Fla., a proposed new bank.

There has come before the Board of Governors, pursuant to section 3(a) (3) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. $1842(\mathrm{a})(3)$ ), and $\S 222.3$ (a) of Federal Reserve Regulation Y (12 CFP 222.3(a)), an application by Barnett Banks of Florida, Inc., Jacksonville, Fla., a registered bank holding company for the Board's prior approval of the acquisition of 70 percent or more of the voting shares of Barnett Bank of Daytona Beach, Daytona Beach, Fla., a proposed new bank,
Inasmuch as the proposed new bank is to be a State bank, the Board, pursuant to section $3(\mathrm{~b})$ of the Act, gave written notice of receipt of the application to the Commissioner of Banking of the State of Florida, and requested his views and recommendation thereon. In response, the Commissioner recommended approval of the application.

Notice of receipt of the application was published in the Federal Register on September 9, 1969 (34 F.R, 14189), providing an opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views with respect to the proposal. A copy of the application was forwarded to the U.S. Department of Justice for its consideration. Time for flling comments and views has expired and all those received have been considered by the Board.

It is hereby ordered, For the reasons set forth in the Board's Statement ${ }^{2}$ of this date, that sald application be and hereby is approved: Provided, That the acquisition so approved shall not be consummated (a) before the 30 th calendar day following the date of this order or (b) later than 3 months after the date of this order, unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board, o: by the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta pursuant to delegated authority, and that Barmett Bank of Daytona Beach be open for business not later than 6 months after the date of this order.

[^9]Dated at Washington, D.C., this 14th day of January 1970.
By order of the Board of Governors. ${ }^{2}$
[seal] Kenneth A. Kenyon, Deputy Secretary.
[FR. Doc. 70-765; Flled, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:47 a.m.]

## SECURTIIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

## [File No. 7-3329]

## COLEMAN CO., INC.

Notice of Application for Unlisted Trading Privileges and of Opportunity for Hearing

## Jandary 14, 1970.

In the matter of application of the Philadelphia-Baltimore-Washington Stock Exchange for unlisted trading privileges in a certain security.

The Pittsburgh Stock Exchange, on December 9, 1969, filed an application with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to section 12(f) (1) (B) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule $12 \mathrm{f}-1$ thereunder, for unlisted trading privileges in the common stock of Coleman Co., Inc. (File No. 7-3329) which security is listed and registered on one or more other national securities exchanges.

Appropriate notice of such application was given by the Commission on December 15,1969 , and no request for a hearing with respect to this application was received.

Effective December 30, 1969, the Pittsburgh Stock Exchange was merged into and absorbed by the Philadelphia-Balti-more-Washington Stock Exchange. On January 5, 1970, the Commission was advised by the Philadelphia-BaltimoreWashington Stock Exchange of its determination to treat the application of the Pittsburgh Stock Exchange as an application of the Philadelphia-BaltimoreWashington Stock Exchange.

Upon receipt of a request, on or before January 29, 1970, from any interested person, the Commission will determine whether the application as adopted by the Philadelphia-BaltimoreWashington Stock Exchange shall be set down for hearing. Any such request should state briefly the nature of the interest of the person making the request and the position he proposes to take at the hearing, if ordered. In addition, any interested person may submit his views or any additional facts bearing on the said application by means of a letter addressed to the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C.

[^10]20549, not later than the date specified. If no one requests a hearing, this application will be determined by order of the Commission on the basis of the facts stated therein and other information contained in the official files of the Commission pertaining thereto.

For the Commission (pursuant to delegated authority).

## [seal]

Orval L. Dubots, Secretary.
[F.R. Doc. 70-769; Flled, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:48 a.m.]

## [70-4824]

## NEW ENGLAND ELECTRIC SYSTEM

 ET AL.Notice of Proposed Issue and Sale of Notes by Subsidiary Companies to Banks and/or to Holding Company and Retirement of Outstanding Notes

Jandary $14,1970$.
Notice is hereby given that an applica-tion-declaration has been filed with this Commission pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act") by New England Electric System ("NEES"), Turnpike Road, Westboro, Mass. 01581, a registered holding company, and certain of its public-utility subsidiary companies ("the borrowing companies"), namely, Central Massachusetts Gas Co. ("Central"), Granite State Electric Co. ("Granite"), Lawrence Gas Co. ("Lawrence"), Lynn Gas Co. ("Lynn"), Mystic Valley Gas Co. ("Mystic Valley"), The Narragansett Electric Co. ("Narragansett"), North Shore Gas Co. ("North Shore"), Northampton Gas Light Co. ("Northampton"), Norwood Gas Co. ("Norwood"), and Wachusett Gas Co. ("Wachusett"), NEES and the borrowing companies have designated sections 6(a), 7, 9(a), 10, and 12 of the Act and Rule 42 promulgated thereunder as applicable to the proposed transactions. All interested persons are referred to the application-declaration, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transactions.
The borrowing companies propose to issue, from time to time through December 31, 1970, unsecured short-term promissory notes to banks and/or to NEES in the maximum aggregate amount of $\$ 60,710,000$ to be outstanding at any one time. The proceeds of the proposed borrowings are to be used by each borrowing company to pay its then outstanding notes payable to banks and/or to NEES at or prior to maturity thereof, and to provide new money for capital expenditures or reimburse its treasury therefor. At January 1, 1970, such outstanding notes of the borrowing companies aggregated approximately $\$ 50,080,000$.

Each proposed note will bear interest at not in excess of the prime rate in effect at the time of issue, will mature in less than 1 year from the date of issue and in any event not later than

March 31, 1971, and will be prepayable at any time, in whole or in part, without premium.

The following table shows for each borrowing company the estimated maximum amount of notes to be outstanding with banks and/or with NEES at any one time:

| Borrowing companies | Estimated maximum shortterm notes to be outstandfing at any one time (000 Omitted) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | To banks | To banks and/or NEES |
| Central | ${ }^{1} 82,385$ _............... |  |
| Granite. |  |  |
| Lawrence. | 86,800 ............. |  |
| Lynn-... | $\begin{array}{r} 25,350 \\ 114,325 \end{array}$ |  |
| Mystic Valley |  |  |
| Narragansett | 13,500  <br> $\ldots . . . . . .$. 16,500 <br> $\ldots$ 13,500 |  |
|  |  |  |
| North Shore. | 16,225 .............. |  |
| Northampton | $\begin{aligned} & 12,000 \\ & { }^{2} 2,350 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Wachusett | i2,755 ............... |  |
| Total. | 37,340 | 23,370 |

${ }^{1}$ First National City Bank, New York, N. Y:
The First National Bank of Boston, Mass.
${ }^{1}$ National Bank of Lebanon, N.H.
4Industrial National Bank of Rhode Island, Provldence, R.I.
${ }^{3}$ Rhode Island Hospital Trust National Bank, Provldence, R.I.
The filing states that the total amount of loans by NEES to all of its subsidiary companies to be outstanding at any one time will not exceed $\$ 35$ million.

It is proposed that certain of the borrowing companies may prepay their notes to NEES, in whole or in part, with borrowings from banks, or prepay their notes to banks, in whole or in part, with borrowings from NEES. In the event of borrowings from banks at a higher interest rate to prepay notes to NEES, NEES will credit the borrowers for any excess interest from the date of issuance of the new notes to banks to the normal maturity date of the notes to NEES being prepaid. In the event of borrowing from NEES to prepay notes to banks, the interest rate of the new notes issued to NEES will be the lower of (1) the interest rate on the notes being prepaid or (2) the prime interest rate then in effect, but with respect to (1) only to the maturity date of the notes so prepaid, and thereafter at the prime interest rate in effect at the time the new notes are issued.

In the event of any permanent financing by any of the borrowing companies, the proceeds therefrom, in excess of amounts used for refunding other securities at par or the principal amount thereof, will be applied to payment of its short-term note indebtedness then outstanding, and the maximum amount of short-term note indebtedness to be outstanding at any one time proposed herein will be reduced by the amount of such payment, except with respect to Narragansett which will not so reduce the maximum amount of its proposed shortterm note indebtedness.

It is stated that there are no fees or commissions to be paid in connection with the proposed transactions and that
incidental services in connection with the proposed notes will be performed, at cost, by New England Power Service Co., an affiliated service company; such cost is estimated not to exceed $\$ 150$ for each applicant-declarant, an aggregate of \$1,650.
Appropriate action has been taken by the Public Utilities Commission of New Hampshire with respect to the notes proposed to be issued by Granite. It is represented that no further action by any regulatory commission, other than this Commission, is necessary with respect to the proposed transactions.
Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than February 6,1970 , request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said application-declaration which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (airmail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon New England Electric System at the above-stated address, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date, the application-declaration, as filed or as it may be amended, may be granted and permitted to become effective as provided in Rule 23 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from its rules under the Act as provided in Rules 20 (a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate, Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive notice of further developments in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.
For the Commission (pursuant to delegated authority).

> [seal]

Orval L. DuBors, Secretary.
[F.R. Doc. 70-768; Filed, Jan. 20, 1970;
$8: 47$ a.m.]
[812-2561]

## STANDARD RESOURCES CORP.

## Application To Amend Order

 January 14, 1970.Notice is hereby given that Standard Resources Corp. ("Standard"), 1355 Marconi Boulevard, Coplague, N.Y., a Delaware corporation, formerly Micro Semiconductor Corp. "Micro") which is the surviving corporation of the merger Of Standard Resources Corp. (a New York corporation) ("Standard of New York") and Micro, has amended the application of Standard of New York pursuant to section 17 (b) of the Act for an order exempting from the provisions of
section 17 (a) of the Act the merger of Standard of New York into Micro, and permitting pursuant to section 17 (d) of the Act and Rule $17 \mathrm{~d}-1$ thereunder certain shareholders and officers and directors of Standard of New York to effect transactions with Micro. The Commission on August 12, 1969 issued a notice of filing of said application (Investment Company Release No. 5771). By order dated September 17, 1969 (Investment Company Act Release No. 5819) the Commission granted the relief requested subject to certain conditions. One of the conditions to which the order is subject is that the surviving corporation of the merger shall submit to the Commission as soon as practicable but not later than 60 days following the merger a certified balance sheet of the surviving corporation as of the date of the merger.

In the amended application filed December 31, 1969, Standard represents that the merger was completed on November 28,1969 . Standard also represents that the accountants for the surviving corporation will be unable to complete their audit within the period specified by the Commission's order. Standard requests, therefore, that the Commission's order be amended to extend by 60 days the period in which the surviving corporation must submit to the Commission a certified balance sheet.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than January 26, 1970, at $5: 30$ p.m., submit to the Commission in writing a request for a hearing on the matter accompanied by a statement as to the nature of his interest, the reason for such request, and the issues, if any, of fact or of law proposed to be controverted, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing hereon. Any such communication should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request shall be served personally or by mail (airmail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon applicant at the address stated above. Proof of such service (by affidavit or in case of an attorney at law by certificate) shall be filed contemporaneously with the request. At any time after said date, as provided by Rule $0-5$ of the rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, an order disposing of the application herein may be issued by the Commission upon the basis of the information stated in said application, unless an order for hearing upon said application shall be issued upon request or upon the Commission's own motion. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered, will receive notice of further developments in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.
For the Commission (pursuant to delegated authority).
[seal] Orval L. DuBois,
[F.R. Doc. 70-770; Filed, Jan. 20, 1970;
$8: 48$ a.m.]

## SMALL BUSNESS ADMINSTRATION

[License No. 01/02-0117]

## CHARTERED CAPITAL CORP.

## Surrender of License

Pursuant to $\$ 107.105$ of the regulations governing small business investment companies (13 CFR Part 107, 33 F.R. 326), Chartered Capital Corporation (Chartered), 174 Bridge Street, Groton, Conn. 06340, has surrendered its license to operate as a small business investment company.
Chartered, a Connecticut corporation, organized solely for the purposes of operating under the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended ( 15 U.S.C. 661 et seq.), was licensed by the Small Business Administration (SBA) on August 18, 1961.

The license surrender is pursuant to a certain Plan and Agreement of Reorganization by Means of Statutory Merger (the "Plan"), entered into on August 22, 1969, among Chartered, First Connecticut Management Corp. (Management), 177 State Street, Bridgeport, Conn. 06603, and First Connecticut Small Business Investment Co. (SBIC), 177 State Street, Bridgeport, Conn. 06603. Under the Plan, Chartered is to be merged into Management, a wholly owned subsidiary of SBIC, and Management thereafter merged into SBIC.
The transactions contemplated by the Plan were consummated on December 12, 1969.

Prior to final action in this matter, consideration will be given to any comments pertaining to the license surrender which are submitted to the Associate Administrator for Investment, Small Business Administration, 1441 L Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20416, within ten (10) days of the date of publication of this notice.

If no comments are received within the specified 10 -day period, SBA will accept the surrender of the license of Chartered under the authority of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended, and the regulations issued thereunder.

Dated: January 9, 1970.
> A. H. Singer,

> Associate Administrator

for Investment.
[F.R. Doc. 70-786; Filed, Jan. 20, 1970;
8:49 a.m.]
[License No. 01/02-0017]
CONNECTICUT BUSINESS INVESTMENT CO.

## Surrender of License

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to $\$ 107.105$ of the regulations governing Small Business Investment Companies ( 13 CFR Part 107, 33 F.R. 326), The Connecticut Business Investment Co., 256 East State Street, Westport, Conn., has requested approval of the Small Business

Administration (SBA) to surrender its license to operate as a small business investment company.
The licensee was incorporated on June 27,1960 , under the laws of the State of Connecticut and licensed by SBA on August 26,1960 , to operate solely under the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended ( 15 U.S.C., 661 et seq.).
Prior to final action on this request, consideration will be given to any comments pertaining to the proposed surrender which are submitted in writing to the Associate Administrator for Investment, Small Business Administration, 1441 L Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20416, within ten (10) days of the date of publication of this notice.
If no comments are received within the specifled period of time, under the authority vested by the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended, and the regulations promulgated thereunder, the surrender of the license of The Connecticut Business Investment Co. will be accepted, and the company will no longer be licensed to operate as a small business investment company.

Dated: January 12, 1970.
A. H. Singer,

Associate Administrator
for Investment.
[F.R. Doc. 70-787; Elled, Jan, 20, 1070; 8:49 a.m.]

## CROCKER CAPITAL CORP.

Notice of Application for License as Small Business Investment Company

Nottce is hereby given concerning the filing of an application with the Small Business Administration (SBA) pursuant to $\$ 107.102$ of the regulations governing small business investment companies ( 33 F.R. 326, 13 CFR Part 107) under the name of Crocker Capital Corp., 405 Florence Street, Palo Alto, Calif. 94301 , for a license to operate in the State of California as a small business investment company under the provisions of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended (Act). ( 15 U.S.C. 661 et seq.)

The proposed officers and directors are as follows:
John J. Holwerda, 405 Forence Street, Palo Alto, Calif. 94301. President and director.
Kirk P. Draheim, 405 Florence Street, Palo Alto, Callf. 94301. Secretary-treasurer, director.
Charles Crocker, 1 Montgomery Street, San Franclsco, Calif. 94109. Vice president and director.
Howard R. Carison, 2675 El Camino Real, Palo Alto, Callf. 94305 . Director,
John R. Dryden, 1 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, Calif, 94109. Director.
Donald C. Lahey, 1 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, Calif, 94109, Director.
H. Myrl Stearns, 405 Florence Street, Palo Alto, Calif. 94301 . Vice president and director.
The company will begin operations with a private capitalization of $\$ 625,000$. The largest single shareholder, with 49 percent of the common stock outstanding, will be Crocker Capital Corp. Crocker National Corp. wlll own 49 percent of the outstanding common stock and

Crocker Associates will own an additional 25 percent of such shares. The remaining 25 percent is divided among eight individual investors, none of whom holds as much as a 10 percent interest. Ald is proposed in the financial development of qualified small business concerns. No concentration in any particular industry is planned.

Matters involved in SBA's consideration of the application include the general business reputation and character of the proposed owners and management and the probability of successful operations of the company under their management, including adequate profitability and financial soundness, in accordance with the Act and regulations.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than 10 days from the date of this publication, at $5 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$., submit to SBA, in writing, relevant comments on the proposed company. Any comments should be addressed to: Associate Administrator for Investment, Small Business Administration, 1441 L Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20416.

A copy of this notice shall be published in newspapers of general circulation in San Francisco and Palo Alto, Calif.

For SBA.
A. H. Singer,

Associate Administrator
for Investment.
JANUARY 12, 1970.
[F.R. Doc. 70-788; Filed, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:49 a.m.]

## [Llcense No. 12/14-0080]

## HYDROCARBON CAPITAL CORP.

## Surrender of License

Notice is hereby given that Hydrocarbon Capital Corp. (Hydrocarbon) has, pursuant to $\$ 107.105$ of the regulations governing small business investment companies ( 33 F.R. 326, 13 CFR Part 107) surrendered its license to operate as a small business investment company.
Hydrocarbon was incorporated on December 17, 1963, under the laws of the State of California, and issued license No. 14-0080 by the Small Business Administration on February 24, 1964.
Hydrocarbon was licensed solely to operate under the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended (15 U.S.C. 661 et seq.).

Under the authority vested in the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended, and the regulations promulgated thereunder, the surrender of the license of Hydrocarbon is hereby accepted, and accordingly, it is no longer licensed to operate as a small business investment company.

For SBA (pursuant to delegated authority).

Dated: January 5, 1970.
A. H. Stivger,

Associate Administrator
for Investment.
[FR. Doc. 70-785; Filed, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:49 a.m.]

Delegation of Authority No. 30 (Rev. 3). Southeastern Area]

## SOUTHEASTERN AREA COORDINATORS ET AL.

## Delegation of Authority To Conduct Program Activities in Southeastern Area

Pursuant to the authority delegated to the Area Administrator by Delegation of Authority No. 30 (Rev. 12) 32 F.R. 179 dated January 7, 1967, as amended (32 F.R. 8113; 33 F.R. 8793, 17217, 19097; 34 F.R. 5134, 11165, 12651, 14712, 17464), the following authority is hereby redelegated to the positions indicated herein:
I. Area Coordinators-A. Development Company Assistance Coordinator1. Eligibility determinations (for financial assistance only). To determine ellgibility of applicants for assistance under the sections 501 and 502 programs of the Agency in accordance with Small Business Administration standards and policies.
2. Size determinations (for financial assistance only). To make initial size determinations in all sections 501 and 502 loans within the meaning of the Small Business Size Standards Regulations, as amended, and further, to make product classification decisions for sections 501 and 502 loans only. Product classification decisions for procurement purposes are made by contracting officers.
B. Liquidation and Disposal Coordinator. 1. To take all necessary actions in connection with the liquidation and disposal of all loans and other obligations or assets, including collateral purchased; and to do and perform and to assent to the doing and performance of, all and every act and thing requisite and proper to effectuate the granted powers, including without limiting the generality of the foregoing:
a. The assignment, endorsement, transfer and delivery (but in all cases without representation, recourse or warranty) of notes, claims, bonds, debentures, mortgages, deeds of trust, contracts, patents and applications therefor, licenses, certificates of stock and of deposit, and any other liens, powers, rights, charges on and interest in or to property of any kind legal and equitable, now or hereafter held by the Small Business Administration or its Administrator,
b. The execution and delivery of contracts of sale or of lease or sublease, quitclaim, bargain and sale of special warranty deeds, bills of sale, leases, subleases, assignments, subordinations, releases (in whole or part) of liens, satisfaction pieces, affidavits, proofs of claim in bankruptcy or other estates and such other instruments in writing as may be appropriate and necessary to effectuate the foregoing.
c. To take all necessary action in liquidating Economic Development Administration loans and acquired collateral when and as authorized by Economic Development Administration.
d. To advertise regarding the public sale of (1) collateral in connection with the liquidation of loans, and (2) acquired property.
e. Except: (1) To compromise or sell any primary obligation or other evidence of indebtedness owed to the Agency for a sum less than the total amount due thereon; (2) to deny liability of the Small Business Administration under the terms of a participation or guaranty agreement, or the assertion of a claim for recovery from a participating bank under any alleged violation of a participation or guaranty agreement.
C. Supervisory Liquidation and Disposal Officer. 1. To take all necessary actions in connection with the liquidation and disposal of all loans and other obligations or assets, including collateral purchased; and to do and perform and to assent to the doing and performance of, all and every act and thing requisite and proper to effectuate the granted powers, including without limiting the generality of the foregoing:
a. The assignment, endorsement, transfer and delivery (but in all cases without representation, recourse or warranty) of notes, claims, bonds, debentures, mortgages, deeds of trust, contracts, patents and applications therefor, licenses, certificates of stock and of deposit, and any other liens, powers, rights, charges on and interest in or to property of any kind, legal and equitable, now or hereafter held by the Small Business Administration or its Administrator.
b. The execution and delivery of contracts of sale or of lease or sublease, quitclaim, bargain and sale of special warranty deeds, bills of sale, leases, subleases, assignments, subordinations, releases (in whole or part) of liens, satisfactlon pieces, affidavits, proofs of claim in bankruptcy or other estates and such other instruments in writing as may be appropriate and necessary to effectuate the foregoing.
c. To take all necessary action in liquidating Economic Development Administration loans and acquired collateral when and as authorized by Economic Development Administration.
d. To advertise regarding the public sale of (1) collateral in connection with the liquidation of loans and (2) acquired property.
e. Except: (1) To compromise or sell any primary obligation or other evidence of indebtedness owed to the Agency for a sum less than the total amount due thereon; (2) to deny liability of the Small Business Administration under the terms of a participation or guaranty agreement, or the assertion of a claim for recovery from a participating bank under any alleged violation of a participation or guaranty agreement; and (3) the cancellation of authority to liquidate.
D. Area Claims Review Committee. To consist of the liquidation and disposal coordinator, area counsel and the area supervisory appraiser who will meet and consider reasonable and properly supported compromise proposals of indebtedness owed to the Agency and to take final action on such proposals provided such action represents the majority recommendation of the committee on claims not in excess of $\$ 5,000$ (including CPC advances but excluding interest), or
represents the unanimous recommendation of said committee on claims in excess of $\$ 5,000$ but not exceeding $\$ 100,000$ (including CPC advances but excluding interest).
E. Financial Assistance Coordinator.1. Eligibility determinations (for financial assistance only). To determine eligibility of applicants for assistance under any program of the Agency, except the SBIC and Development Company Assistance Programs, in accordance with Small Business Administration standards and policies. No authority is hereby delegated to declare the nonapplicability of eligibility limitations to a community emergency as set forth in $\$ 120.2$ (e) of SBA Loan Policy Regulations.
2. Size determinations (for financial assistance only). To make initial size determinations in all cases within the meaning of the Small Business Size Standards Regulations, as amended, except sections 501 and 502 loans; and further, to make product classification decisions for financial assistance purposes only. Product classification decisions for procurement purposes are made by contracting officers.
F. Procurement and Management Assistance Coordinator-1. Eligibility determinations (for PMA activities only). To determine eligibility of applicants for assistance under any program of the Agency in accordance with Small Business Administration standards and policies.
2. Size determinations (for PMA activities only). To make initial size determinations in all cases within the meaning of the Small Business Size Standards Regulations, as amended, and further, to make product classification decisions for financial assistance purposes only. Product classification decisions for procurement purposes are made by contracting officers.
G. Area Administrative Offcer. 1. To purchase reproductions of loan documents, chargeable to the revolving fund, requested by U.S. attorneys in foreclosure cases.
2. To (a) purchase office supplies and equipment, including office machines and rent regular office equipment and furnishings; (b) contract for repair and maintenance of equipment and furnishings; (c) contract for services required in setting up and dismantling and moving SBA exhibits and (d) issue Government bills of lading.
3. In connection with the establishment of Disaster Loan Offices, to obligate Small Business Administration for the rental of office space.
4. To rent motor vehicles from the General Services Administration and to rent garage space for the storage of such vehicles when not furnished by this Administration.
H. Office Services Manager or Office Services Assistant. 1. To (a) purchase office supplies and equipment, including office machines and rent regular office equipment and furnishings; (b) contract for repair and maintenance of equipment and furnishings; (c) contract for services required in setting up and dis-
mantling and moving SBA exhibits; and (d) issue Government bills of lading. 2. To rent motor vehicles from the General Services Administration and to rent garage space for the storage of such vehicles when not furnished by this Administration.
II. Regional Directors-A. Financial assistance. 1. To approve or decline business loans not exceeding $\$ 350,000$ (SBA share) and economic opportunity loans not exceeding $\$ 25,000$ (SBA share).
*2. To approve or decline disaster direct and immediate participation loans up to the total SBA share of (a) $\$ 50,000$ per household for repairs or replacement of the home and/or not to exceed an additional $\$ 10,000$ allowable for household goods and personal items, but in no event may the money loaned exceed $\$ 55,000$ for a single disaster on home loans, except for funds to refinance prior liens or mortgages, which may be approved in addition to the foregoing limits for amounts up to $\$ 50,000$; and (b) $\$ 350,000$ on disaster business loans except to the extent of refinancing of a previous SBA disaster loan; and to approve disaster guaranteed loans up to $\$ 500,000$, and to decline them in any amount.
3. To close and disburse approved loans.
4. To enter into business, economic opportunity, and disaster loan participation agreements with banks.
5. To execute loan authorizations for Central and area approved loans and for loans approved under delegated authority, said execution to read as follows:
(Name), Administrator,
By--

## (Name) <br> Regional Director <br> (City)

6. To cancel, reinstate, modify, and amend authorizations for business, economic opportunity, and disaster loans.
7. To extend the disbursement period on all loan authorizations or undisbursed portions of loans.
8. To approve, when requested, in advance of disbursement, conformed copies of notes and other closing documents; and certify to the participating bank that such documents are in compliance with the participation authorization.
9. To approve service charges by participating banks not to exceed 2 percent per annum on the outstanding principal balance of construction loans and loans involving accounts receivable and inventory financing.

* 10. To establish disaster field offices upon receipt of advice of the designation of a disaster area; to advise on the making of disaster loans; to appoint as a processing representative any bank in the disaster area; and to close disaster field offices when no longer advisable to maintain such offices.

11. To take all necessary actions in connection with the administration, servicing and collection, other than those accounts classifled as "in liquidation"; and to do and perform and to assent to the doing and performance of, all and every act and thing requisite and proper
to effectuate the granted powers, including without limitting the generality of the foregoing:
a. The assignment, endorsement, transfer, and delivery (but in all cases without representation, recourse, or warranty) of notes, claims, bonds, debentures, mortgages, deeds of trust, contracts, patents and applications therefor, licenses, certificates of stock and of deposit, and any other liens, powers, rights, charges on and interest in or to property of any kind, legal and equitable, now or hereafter held by the Small Business Administration or its Administrator.
b. The execution and delivery of contracts of sale or of lease or sublease, quitclaim, bargain and sale of special warranty deeds, bills of sale, leases, subleases, assignments, subordinations, releases (in whole or part) of liens, satisfaction pieces, affidavits, proofs of claim in bankruptey or other estates and such other instruments in writing as may be appropriate and necessary to effectuate the foregoing.
c. The approval of bank applications for use of liquidity privilege under the loan guaranty plan.
d. Except: (1) To compromise or sell any primary obligation or other evidence of indebtedness owed to the Agency for a sum less than the total amount due thereon; and (2) to deny liability of the Small Business Administration under the terms of a participation or guaranty agreement, or the assertion of a claim for recovery from a participating bank under any alleged violation of a participation or guaranty agreement.
B. Development company assistance. $*$ * . To approve or decline section 501 State Development Company loans and section 502 Local Development Company loans up to $\$ 350,000$ (SBA share).
12. To close and disburse sections 501 and 502 loans.
13. To extend the disbursement period on sections 501 and 502 loan authorizations or undisbursed portions of sections 501 and 502 loans.
14. To execute sections 501 and 502 Ioan authorizations for Central Office and area approved loans and for loans approved under delegated authority, said execution to read, as follows:
(Name), Administrator,
By .-.................................. (City)
15. To cancel, reinstate, modify, and amend authorizations for sections 501 and 502 loans.
16. To take all necessary actions in connection with the administration, servicing, and collection; and to do and perform and to assent to the doing and performance of, all and every act and thing requisite and proper to effectuate the granted powers, including without limiting the generality of the foregoing.
a. The assignment, endorsement, transfer and delivery (but in all cases without representation, resource or warranty) of notes, claims, bonds, debentures, mortgages, deeds of trust, contracts, patents and applications therefor,
licenses, certificates of stock and of deposit, and any other liens, powers, rights, charges on and interest in or to property of any kind, legal and equitable, now or hereafter held by the Small Business Administration or its Administrator.
b. The execution and delivery of assignments, subordinations, releases (in whole or part) of liens, satisfaction pieces, affidavits, proofs of claim in bankruptcy or other estates and such other instruments in writing as may be appropriate and necessary to effectuate the foregoing.
c. The approval of bank applications for use of liquidity privilege under the loan guaranty plan.
d. Except: (1) To compromise or sell any primary obligation or other evidence of indebtedness owed to the Agency for a sum less than the total amount due thereon; and (2) to deny liability of the Small Business Administration under the terms of a participation or guaranty agreement, or the assertion of a claim for recovery from a participating bank under any alleged violation of a participation or guaranty agreement.
17. To enter into section 502 loan participation agreements with banks.
18. To approve, when requested, in advance of disbursement, conformed copies of notes and other closing documents: and certify to the participating bank that such documents are in compliance with the participation authorization.
C. Lease guarantee. 1. To approve or decline applications for the direct guarantee of the payment of rent not to exceed $\$ 500,000$.
19. To issue and modify commitment letters, said issuance to read as follows:
'(Name), Administrator,
By

> (Name)
> Regional Director. (City)
3. To service claims arising under all policies issued under delegated authority in region, including the payment, but not denial, of claims.
4. To take all actions necessary to mitigate losses.
D. Size determinations. To make initial size determinations in all cases within the meaning of the Small Business Size Standards Regulations, as amended; and further, to make product classification decisions for financial assistance purposes only. Product classification decisions for procurement purposes are made by contracting officers.
E. Eligibility determination. To determine eligibility of applicants for assistance under any program of the agency except the SBIC program, in accordance with Small Business Administration standards and policies. No authority is hereby delegated to declare the nonapplicability of eligibility limitations to a community emergency as set forth in $\$ 120.2(\mathrm{e})$ of SBA Loan Policy Regulations.
F. Administration. 1. To purchase reproductions of loan documents, charge-
able to the revolving fund, requested by U.S. attorneys in foreclosure cases.
2. To (a) purchase office supplies and equipment, including office machines, and rent regular office equipment and furnishings; (b) contract for repair and maintenance of equipment and furnishings; (c) contract for service required in setting up and dismantling and moving SBA exhibits and (d) issue Government bills of lading.
3. In connection with the establishment of Disaster Loan Offices, to obligate Small Business Administration to reimburse the General Services Administration for the rental of office space.
4. To rent motor vehicles from the General Services Administration and to rent garage space for the storage of such vehicles when not furnished by this Administration.
G. Chiefs, Financial Assistance Divisions (and Assistant Chiefs, if as-signed)-1. Size determinations for financial assistance only. To make initial size determinations in all cases within the meaning of the Small Business Size Standards Regulations, as amended, except sections 501 and 502 loans, and further, to make product classification decisions for financial assistance purposes only. Product classification decisions for procurement purposes are made by contracting officers.
2. Eligibility determinations for financial assistance only. To determine eligibility of applicants for assistance under any program of the Agency, except the SBIC and Development Company Assistance Programs, in accordance with Small Business Administration standards and policies. No authority is hereby delegated to declare the nonapplicability of eligibility limitations to a community emergency as set forth in $\$ 120.2$ (e) of SBA Loan Policy Regulations.
3. To approve or decline business loans not exceeding $\$ 350,000$ (SBA share) and economic opportunity loans not exceeding $\$ 25,000$ (SBA share).
4. To approve or decline disaster direct and immediate participation loans up to the total SBA share of (a) $\$ 50,000$ per household for repairs or replacement of the home and/or not to exceed an additional $\$ 10,000$ allowable for household goods and personal items, but in no event may the money loaned exceed $\$ 55,000$ for a single disaster on home loans, except for funds to refinance prior liens or mortgages, which may be approved in addition to the foregoing limits for amounts up to $\$ 50,000$; and (b) $\$ 350,000$ on disaster business loans except to the extent of refinancing of a previous SBA disaster loan; and to approve disaster guaranteed loans up to $\$ 350,000$, and to decline them in any amount.
5. To close and disburse approved business, economic opportunity, and disaster loans.
6. To enter into business, economic opportunity, and disaster loan participation agreements with banks.
7. To execute loan authorizations for Central Office, area, and regional approved loans and loans approved under
the delegated authority, said execution to read as follows:

## (Name), Administrator, <br> By <br> (Name) <br> Title of person signing.

8. To cancel, reinstate, modify, and amend authorizations for business, economic opportunity and disaster loans.
9. To extend the disbursement period on all loan authorizations or undisbursed portions of loans.
10. To approve, when requested, in advance of disbursement, conformed copies of notes and other closing documents; and certify to the participating bank that such documents are in compliance with the participation authorization.
11. To approve service charges by participating banks not to exceed 2 percent per annum on the outstanding balance on construction loans and loans involving accounts receivable and inventory financing.
12. To take all necessary actions in connection with the administration, servicing and collection, other than those accounts classified as "in liquidation"; and to do and to perform and to assent to the doing and performance of, all and every act and thing requisite and proper to effectuate the granted powers, including without limiting the generality of the foregoing:
a. The assignment, endorsement, transfer and delivery (but in all cases without representation,-recourse or warranty) of notes, claims, bonds, debentures, mortgages, deeds of trust, contracts, patents and applications therefor, licenses, certiflcates of stock and deposit, and any other liens, powers, rights, charges on and interest in or to property of any kind, legal and equitable, now or hereafter held by the Small Business Administration or its Administrator.
b. The execution and delivery of contracts of sale or of lease or sublease, quitclaim, bargain and sale of spectal warranty deeds, bills of sale, leases, subleases, assignments, subordinations, releases (in whole or in part) of liens, satisfaction pieces, affidavits, proofs of claim in bankruptcy or other estates and such other instruments in writing as may be appropirate and necessary to effectuate the foregoing.

The approval of bank applications for use of liquidity privileges under the loan guaranty plan.
d. Except: (1) To compromise or sell any primary obligation or other evidence of indebtedness owed to the Agency for a sum less than the total amount due thereon; and (2) to deny liability of the Small Business Administration under the terms of a participation or guaranty agreement, or the assertion of a claim for recovery from a participating bank under any alleged violation of a participation or guaranty agreement.
H. Supervisory Loan Officer and/or Assistance Team Leader. 1. To close and disburse approved business, economic opportunity and disaster loans.
2. To enter into business, economic opportunity, and disaster loan participatlon agreements with banks.
3. To execute loan authorizations for Central Office, area, and regional approved loans, said execution to read as follows:
(Name), Administrator,
(Name)
(Tytle of person signing)
4. To cancel, reinstate, modify and amend authorizations for business, economic opportunity and disaster loans.
5. To extend the disbursement period on all loan authorizations or undisbursed portions of loans.
6. To approve, when requested, in advance of disbursement, conformed copies of notes and other closing documents; and certify to the participating bank that such documents are in compliance with the participation authorization.
7. To approve service charges by participating banks not to exceed 2 percent per annum on the outstanding balance on construction loans and loans involving accounts receivable and inventory financing.
8. To take all necessary actions in connection with the administration, servicing, and collection, other than those accounts classified as "in liquidation"; and to do and to perform and to assent to the doing and performance of, all and every act and thing requisite and proper to effectuate the granted powers, including without limiting the generality of the foregoing:
a. The assignment, endorsement, transfer and delivery (but in all cases without representation, recourse, or warranty) of notes, claims, bonds, debentures, mortgages, deeds of trust, contracts, patents and applications therefor, licenses, certificates of stock and of deposit, and any other liens, powers, rights, charges on and interest in or to property of any kind, legal and equitable, now or hereafter held by the Small Business Administration or its Administrator.
b. The execution and delivery of contracts of sale or of lease or sublease, quitclaim, bargain and sale of special warranty deeds, bills of sale, leases, subleases, assignments, subordinations, releases (in whole or in part) of liens, satisfaction pieces, affidavits, proofs of claim in bankruptcy or other estates and such other instruments in writing as may be appropriate and necessary to effectuate the foregoing.
c. The approval of bank applications for use of liquidity privileges under the loan guaranty plan.
d. Except: (1) To compromise or sell any primary obligation or other evidence of indebtedness owed to the Agency for a sum less than the total amount due thereon; and (2) to deny liability of the Small Business Adiministration under the terms of a participation or guaranty agreement or the assertion of a claim for recovery from a participating bank under any alleged violation of a particlpation or guaranty agreement.
9. Size determinations for financial assistance only. To make initial size determinations in all cases within the meaning of the Small Business Size Standards Regulations, as amended, except sections 501 and 502 loans, and further, to make product classification
decisions for financial assistance purposes only. Product classification decisions for procurement purposes are made by contracting officers.
10. Eligibility determinations for financial assistance only. To determine eligibility of applicants for assistance under any program of the Agency, except the SBIC and Development Company Assistance Programs, in accordance with Small Business Administration standards and policies. No authority is hereby delegated to declare the nonapplicability of eligibility limitations to a community emergency as set forth in $\$ 120.2$ (e) of SBA Loan Policy Regulations.
I. Loan Officer (Financial Assistance). 1. To approve the following final actions concerning current direct and participation loans:
a. Use of the cash surrender value of life insurance to pay the premium on the policy.
b. Release of dividends of life insurance or consent to application against premiums.
c. Minor modifications in the authorization.
d. Extension of disbursement period:
e. Extension of initial principal payments.
f . Adjustment of interest payment ciates.
g. Release of hazard insurance checks not in excess of $\$ 500$ and endorse such checks on behalf of the Agency where SBA is named as joint loss payee.
h. Release of equipment with or without consideration where the value of equipment being released does not exceed $\$ 500$.
2. To close and disburse approved business, economic opportunity and disaster loans.
J. Chief, Development Company Assistance Division. 1. To close and disburse sections 501 and 502 loans.
2. To extend the disbursement period on sections 501 and 502 loan authorizations or undisbursed portions of sections 501 and 502 loans.
3. To execute sections 501 and 502 loan authorfzations for Central Office, area, and regional approved loans, said execution to read, as follows:

By:
(Name), Administrator,
(Name)
Chief, Development Com-
pany Assistance Division
4. To cancel, reinstate, modify and amend authorizations for sections 501 and 502 loans.
5. To take all necessary actions in connection with the administration, servicing, and collection; and to do and perform and to assent to the doing and performance of, all and every act and thing requisite and proper to effectuate the granted powers, including without limiting the generality of the foregoing:
a. The assignment, endorsement, transfer and delivery (but in all cases without representation, recourse, or warranty) of notes, claims, bonds, debentures, mortgages, deeds of trust, contracts, patents and applications therefor, licenses, certificates of stock and of cleposit, and any other liens, powers, rights, charges on and interest in or to
property of any kind, legal and equitable, now or hereafter held by the Small Business Administration or its Administrator.
b. The execution and delivery of assignments, suburdinations, releases (in whole or part) of liens, satisfaction pieces, affidavits, proofs of claim in bankruptcy or other estates, and such other instruments in writing as may be appropriate and necessary to effectuate the foregoing.
c. The approval of bank application for use of liquidity privilege under the loan guaranty plan.
d. Except: (1) To compromise or sell any primary obligation or other evidence of indebtedness owed to the Agency for a sum less than the total amount due thereon; and (2) to deny liability of the Small Business Administration under the terms of a participation or guaranty agreement, or the assertion of a claim for recovery from a participating bank under any alleged violation of a participation or guaranty agreement.
6. To enter into section 502 loan participation agreements with banks.
7. To approve, when requested, in advance of disbursement, conformed copies of notes and other closing documents; and certify to the participating bank that such documents are in compliance with the participation authorization.
K. Loan Officer (Development Company Assistance). 1. To close and disburse sections 501 and 502 loans.
2. To extend the disbursement period on sections 501 and 502 loans.
3. To cancel, reinstate, modify, and amend authorizations for sections 501 and 502 loans.
4. To approve the following final actions concerning current direct and participation, and First Mortgage Plan 502 loans.
a. Use of the cash surrender value of life insurance to pay the premium on the policy.
b. Release of dividends of life insurance or consent to applications against premiums.
c. Minor modifications in the authorization.
d. Extension of disbursement period.
e. Extension of initial principal payments.
f. Adjustment of interest payment dates.
g. Release of hazard insurance checks not in excess of $\$ 500$ and endorse such checks on behalf of the Agency where SBA is named as joint loss payee.
h. Release of equipment with or without consideration where the value of equipment being released does not exceed $\$ 500$.
*5. To enter into section 502 loan partlcipation agreement with banks.
6. To approve, when requested, in advance of disbursement, conformed copies of notes and other closing documents; and certify to the participating bank that such documents are in compliance with the participation authorization.

## L. Regional Counsel. [Reserved]

M. Chief, Accounting, Clerical and Training Division. 1. To purchase repro-
ductions of loan documents, chargeable to the revolving fund, requested by U.S. attorney in foreclosure cases.
2. To (a) purchase office supplies and equipment, including office machines, and rent regular office equipment and furnishings; (b) contract for repair and maintenance of equipment and furnishings; (c) contract for services required in setting up and dismantling and moving SBA exhibits and (d) issue Government bills of lading.
3. In connection with the establishment of disaster loan offices, to obligate Small Business Administration to reimburse General Services Administration for the rental of office space.
4. To rent motor vehicles from the General Services Administration and to rent garage space for the storage of such vehicles when not furnished by this Administration.
**5. To cancel, reinstate, modify, and amend authorizations for business, economic opportunity and disaster loans.
**6. To extend the disbursement period on all loan authorizations or undisbursed portions of loans, except sections 501 and 502 loans.
${ }_{*}^{*} 7$. To approve the following final actions concerning current direct and participation loans:
a. Use of the cash surrender value of life insurance to pay the premium on the policy.
b. Release of dividends of life insurance or consent to application against premiums.
c. Minor modifications in the authorization.
d. Adjustment of interest payment dates.
e. Release of hazard insurance checks not in excess of $\$ 500$ and endorse such checks on behalf of the Agency where SBA is named as joint loss payee.
f. Release of equipment with or without consideration where the value of equipment being released does not exceed $\$ 500$.
N. Assistant Chief, Accounting, Clerical and Training Division. 1. To purchase reproductions of loan documents, chargeable to the revolving fund, requested by U.S. attorney in foreclosure cases.
2. To (a) purchase office supplies and equipment, including office machines, and rent regular office equipment and furnishings; (b) contract for repair and maintenance of equipment and furnishings; (c) contract for services required in setting up and dismantling and moving SBA exhibits and (d) issue Government bills of lading.
3. In connection with the establishment of disaster loan offices, to obligate Small Business Administration to reimburse General Services Administration for the rental of office space.
4. To rent motor vehicles from the General Services Administration and to rent garage space for the storage of such vehicles when not furnished by this Administration.
III. Branch Manager. [Reserved]
IV. The specific authority delegated herein, indicated by double asterisks (**) cannot be redelegated.
V. The authority delegated herein to a specific position may be exercised by an SBA employee designated as acting in that position.
VI. All previously delegated authority is hereby rescinded without prejudice to actions taken under such Delegations of Authority prior to the date hereof.
Effective date: October 24, 1969.
Wiley S. Messick, Area Administrator, Southeastern Area.
[F.R. Doc. 70-789; Filed, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:49 a.m.]
[Delegation of Authority No. 4.2, Rev. 2]

## WASHINGTON OFFICE CLAIMS REVIEW COMMITTEE

## Delegation of Authority Regarding Financial Assistance

Delegation of Authority No. 4.2, Revision 1 ( 32 F.R. 939) is hereby revised to read as follows:
I. Pursuant to the authority delegated by the Administrator to the Associate Administrator for Financial Assistance in Delegation of Authority No. 4, Revision 1 (32 F.R. 178), there is hereby redelegated to the Washington Office Claims Review Committee consisting of the Director, Office of Liquidation and Disposal, Chairman; Director, Office of Business Loans; and Assistant General Counsel, Office of Liquidation and Litigation, the following authority: To meet and consider reasonable and properly supported compromise proposals of indebtedness owed to the Agency and to take final action on such proposals provided the decision of the Committee is unanimous.
II. The authority delegated herein may not be redelegated.
III. All authority delegated herein may be exercised by any SBA employee designated as acting in that position.
IV. All authority previously delegated by the Deputy Administrator for Financial Assistance to the Washington Office Claims Review Committee is hereby rescinded without prejudice to actions taken under all such delegations of authority prior to date hereof.
Effective date: January 8, 1970,
Jack Eachon, Jr.,
Associate Administrator
for Financial Assistance.
[F.R. Doe. 70-771; Flled Jan. 20, 1970; 8:48 a.m.]

## INTERSTATE COMMERCE

 COMMISSION[Notice 2]

## MOTOR CARRIER ALTERNATE ROUTE DEVIATION NOTICES

January $16,1970$.
The following letter-notices of proposals to operate over deviation routes for
operating convenience only have been filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission under the Commission's Revised Deviation Rules-Motor Carriers of Property, 1969 (49 CFR 1042.4(d) (11)) and notice thereof to all interested persons is hereby given as provided in such rules ( 49 CFR 1042.4(d) (11)).
Protests against the use of any proposed deviation route herein described may be filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission in the manner and form provided in such rules ( 49 CFR $1042.4(\mathrm{~d})(12)$ ) at any time, but will not operate to stay commencement of the proposed operations unless filed within 30 days from the date of publication.
Successively filed letter-notices of the same carrier under the Commission's Revlsed Deviation Rules-Motor Carriers of Property, 1969, will be numbered consecutively for convenience in identifieation and protests, if any, should refer to such letter-notices by number.

## Motor Carriers of Property

No. MC 263 (Deviation No. 5), GARRETT FREIGHTLINES, INC., Post Office Box 4048, Pocatello, Idaho 83201, filed January 6, 1970. Carrier proposes to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, of explosives and general commodities, with certain exceptions, over a deviation route as follows: From junction California Highway 99 (formerly U.S. Highway 99) and California Highway 14 (near San Fernando, Calff.) over California Highway 14 to junction U.S. Highway 395 (near Inyokern, Calif,), thence over U.S. Highway 395 to Reno, Nev., and return over the same route, for operating convenience only. The notice indicates that the carrier is presently authorized to transport the same commodities, over pertinent service routes as follows: (1) From Pocatello, Idaho, over U.S. Highway 30 N to Burley, Idaho, thence over U.S. Highway 30 to Twin Falls, Idaho, thence over U.S. Highway 93 to Wells, Nev., thence over U.S. Highway 40 via Sacramento, Calif., to San Francisco (also from Pocatello to Sacramento, Calif., as specified above, thence over U.S. Highway 50 via Hayward, Calif., to San Francisco, Calif., also from Hayward over unnumbered highway to San Mateo, Calif., thence over U.S. Highway 101 to San Francisco): (2) from Sacramento, Calif., over California Highway 99 (formerly U.S. Highway 99) to Los Angeles, Calif.; and (3) from Fresno, Calif., over Califormia Highway 180 to junction California Highway 65 near Badger, Calif., thence over California Highway 65 to junction California Highway 99 (formerly U.S. Highway 99) and return over the same routes.

No. MC 59583 (Deviation No. 38), THE MASON \& DIXON LINES, INCORPORATION, Post Office Box 969 , Kingsport, Tenn. 37662, flled January 6, 1970. Carrier proposes to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, of general commodities, with certain exceptions, over a deviation route as follows: From Monteagle, Tenn., over U.S. Highway 64
to Memphis, Tenn., and return over the
same route, for operating convenience only. The notice indicates that the carrier is presently authorized to transport the same commodities, over pertinent service routes as follows: From Chattanooga, Tenn., over U.S. Highway 41 to Nashville, Tenn., thence over U.S. Highway 30 N via Goodlettsville, Tenn., to Sellersburg, Ind., thence over U.S. Highway 31 to junction Alternate U.S. Highway 31, thence over Alternate U.S. Highway 31 via Seymour, Ind., to juinetion on U.S. Highway 31, thence over U.S. Highway 31 to junction Indiana Highway 431, at a point just south of Greenwood, Ind., thence over Indiana Highway 431 to Indianapolis, Ind., thence over U.S. Highway 52 to Kentland, Ind., thence over U.S. Highway 41 to Chicago, IIl. ; and (2) from Nashville, Tenn., over Tennessee Highway 100 via Linden, Tenn., to junction Tennessee Highway 20 , thence over Tennessee Highway 20 via Lexington, Tenn., to junction U.S. Highway 70, thence over U.S. Highway 70, via Jackson and Brownsville, Tenn, to Memphis, Tenn,, and return over the same routes.

No. MC 59583 (Deviation No, 39), THE MASON \& DIXON LINES INCORPOFATED, Post Office Box 969 , Kingsport, Tenn. 37662, filed January 6, 1970. Carrler proposes to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, of general commodities, with certain exceptions, over a deviation route as follows: From Cambridge, Ohio, over U.S. Highway 22 to Steubenville, Ohio, and return over the same route, for operating convenience only. The notice indicates that the carrier is presently authorized to transport the same commodities, over pertinent service routes as follows: (1) From Columbus, Ohio, over U.S. HighWay 40 to Hancock, Md.; (2) from Bridgeport, Ohio, over Ohio Highway 7 to East Liverpool, Ohio: (3) from Wheeling, W. Va., over West Virginia Highway 2 to Weirton, W. Va.; and (4) from Steubenville, Ohio, over U.S. Highway 22 to Ebenburg, Pa., and return over the same routes.

By the Commission.

> [SEAL]
H. Neil Garson,

Secretary.
[F.R. Doc. 70-777; Filed, Jan. 20, 1970: 8:48 a.m.]

## [Notice 8]

## MOTOR CARRIER APPLICATIONS AND CERTAIN OTHER PROCEEDINGS

January 16, 1970.
The following publications are governed by the new $\$ 1.247$ of the Commission's rules of practice, published in the Federal Register, issue of December 3,1963 , which became effective January $1,1964$.

The publications hereinafter set forth reflect the scope of the applications as flled by applicant, and may include descriptions, restrictions, or limitations which are not in a form acceptable to the Commission. Authority which ultimately may be granted as a result of the appli-
cations here noticed will not necessarily reflect the phraseology set forth in the application as filed, but also will eliminate any restrictions which are not acceptable to the Commission.

## Applications Assigned for Oral Hearing

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY
No. MC 35227 (Sub-No. 5) (Republication), filed December 12, 1969, previously published under State Docket No. 23232, Fgderal Register, issue of June 19, 1968, Applicant: EDSON EXPRESS, INC., 1270 Boston, Longmont, Colo. 80501. Applicant's representative: Edward T. Lyons, Jr., 420 Denver Club Building, Denver, Colo. 80202. Applicant, in accordance with the requirements of section 206(a) (6) of the Interstate Commerce Act, as amended, and the Commission's rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, has made timely application for a certificate of registration as evidence of the right to conduct operations, in interstate or foreign commerce, within limits which do not exceed the scope of the intrastate operations for which applicant holds a State certificate as a common carrier by motor vehicle, solely within the State of Colorado. An order of the Commission, Operating Rights Board, dated December 31, 1969, and served January 8, 1970, finds that a certificate of registration shall concurrently be issued to applicant, unless otherwise ordered, which certificate of registration shall (1) correspond in scope to the rights in certificate PUC No. 26, as clarified, consolidated, and extended by order dated October 23, 1969, as supplemented December 2, 1969, issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of Colorado: and (2) shall embrace and supersede the certificate PUC No. 26 of prior date, as evidence of a right to engage in operations in interstate or forelgn commerce, as a common carrier by motor vehicle, pursuant to certificate of public convenience and necessity PUC No, 26, as clarified, consolidated, and extended by order dated Oetober 23, 1969, issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of Colorado. The purpose of the republication is to indicate that applicant was granted authority, subject to republication in the Frderal Regrster, in part, to transport freight and express, as pertinent, between Longmont, Colo, and Boulder, Colo., via Colorado State Highway No. 119, including intermediate and off-route points located within 2 miles of said highway, restricted to traffic originating or terminating at Longmont, Colo., or points within 5 miles thereof. Issuance of a certificate of registration in this proceeding will be withheld for a period of 30 days from the date of such publication, during which period any proper party in interest may file an appropriate pleading with this Commission.

No. MC 126925 (Republication), filed February 3, 1965, published in the Federal Register issue of February 17, 1965, and republished this issue. Applicant: MARTIN VAN \& STORAGE CO., INC., 901 Joy Road, Post Office Box 4036, Beallwood Branch, Columbus, Ga. Applicant's
representative: Alan F. Wohlstetter, 1 Farragut Square South, Washington, D.C. 20006. In a prior report the Commission Review Board No. 3, determined, inter alia, that the present and future public convenience and necessity require operation by applicant in interstate or foreign commerce, as a common carrier by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, of used household goods, serving described portions of Alabama and Georgia. In a petition flled February 10, 1969, applicant tendered a verified statement to show past operations in additional counties sought in the original application, but not authorized in the prior report. By order entered July 15, 1969, Division 1. acting as an Appellate Division, reopened the proceeding under the modified procedure and referred the matter to the Board for futher consideration and disposition. An order of the Commission, Review Board No, 3, decided October 20, 1969, and served October 27, 1969, on further consideration, finds that the present and future public convenience and necessity require operation by applicant, in interstate or foreign commerce, as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, of used household goods, restricted to the transportation of traffic having a prior or subsequent movement, in containers, beyond the points authorized and further restricted to the performance of pickup and delivery service in connection with packing, crating, and containerization, or unpacking, uncrating, and decontainerization of such traffic:
(1) Between points in Madison, Jackson, Morgan, Marshall, De Kalb, Cullman, Blount, Etowah, Cherokee, Jefferson, Saint Clair, Calhoun, Cleburne, Shelby, Talladega, Clay, Randolph, Chilton, Coosa, Tallapoosa, Chambers, Autauga, Elmore, Lee, Macon, Montgomery, Bullock, Russell, Barbour, Lauderdale, Limestone, Colbert, Franklin, Lawrence, Marion, Winston, Walker, Lamar, Fayette, Pickens, Tuscaloosa, Perry, Dallas, Lowndes, Wilcox, Clarke, Butler, Crenshaw, and Pike Counties, Ala., and Troup, Harris, Muscogee, Chattahoochee, Carroll, Heard, Coweta, Fayette, Spalding, Pike, Lamar, Monroe, Crawford, Upson, Talbot, Taylor, Peach, Macon, Schley, Dooly, Stewart, Webster, Sumter, Quitman, Randolph, Meriwether, Marion, and Terrell Counties, Ga.; (2) between points in Tift, Cook, Berrien, Atkinson, Ware, Thomas, Brooks, Lowndes, Lanier, Clinch, Echols, Ben Hill, Irwin, Coffee, Colquitt, Charlton, and Camden Counties, Ga.; and (3) between points in Jefferson, Madison, Hamilton, and Suwannee Counties, Fla., subject to the condition that the person or persons who control the operations of both applicant and any other carrier operating in interstate or foreign commerce shall first obtain approval of such control under the provisions of section 5(2) of the Act, or file in this proceeding an affidavit that such approval is unnecessary; that applicant is fit, willing, and able properly to perform the operations and to conform to the requirements of the Interstate Commerce Act and the Commission's rules and regulations thereunder. Because it is pos-
sible that other persons, who have relied upon the notice of the application as published, may have an interest in and would be prejudiced by the lack of proper notice of the authority described in the finding in this order, a notice of the authority actually granted will be published in the Federal Register and issuance of a certificate in this proceeding will be withheld for a period of 30 days from the date of such publication, during which period any proper party in interest may file a petition to reopen or for other appropriate relief setting forth in detail the precise manner in which it has been so prejudiced.

No. MC 128718 (Sub-No. 1) (Republication), filed August 15, 1969, published in the Federal Register issue of September 5, 1969, and republished this issue. Applicant: UNION TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., 1939 Auburn Boulevard, Sacramento, Calif. 95811. Applicant's representative: George M. Carr, 351 California Street, Suite 1215, San Francisco, Calif. 94104. By application filed August 15, 1969, applicant seeks a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing operation, in interstate or foreign commerce, as a common carrier by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, of all forms of crude clay, in bulk or in packages, including clay, ball, china, or kaolin, in bulk or in packages, clay, fire, crude, in bulk or in packages, sand, noi, in bulk or in packages, from Indian Hill and Ione, Calif., on the one hand, and, on the other, docks of Stockton and Sacramento, Calif. An order of the Commission, Operating Rights Board, dated December 24, 1969, served January 7, 1970, finds that the present and future public convenience and necessity require operation by applicant, in interstate or foreign commerce, as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, of (1) crude clay, and (2) sand, from Indian Hill and Ione, Calif., to Stockton and Sacramento, Calif., restricted to the transportation of traffic having a subsequent movement by water; that applicant is fit, willing, and able properly to perform such service and to conform to the requirements of the Interstate Commerce Act and the Commission's rules and regulations thereunder. Because it is possible that other parties who have relied upon the notice of the application as published, may have an interest in and would be prejudiced by the lack of proper notice of the authority described in the findings in this order, a notice of the authority actually granted will be published in the Federal Register and issuance of a certificate in this proceeding will be withheld for a period of 30 days from the date of such publication, during which period any proper party in interest may file a petition to reopen or for other appropriate relief setting forth in detail the precise manner in which it has been so prejudiced.
No. MC 129614 (Republication), filed December 28, 1967, published in the Federal Register issue of January 18, 1968, and republished this issue. Applicant: CARIBBEAN PACKING CORP., 368 Lexington Avenue, Brooklyn, N.Y. Applicant's representative: William J.

Hanlon, 744 Broad Street, Newark, N.J 07102. By a prior report in the aboveentitled proceeding, decided October 14 1968, the Commission Review Board No 1 denied the application filed December 28, 1967, by applicant seeking a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing operation, in interstate or foreign commerce, as a common rier by motor vehicle, over irregular routes of household goods, appliances, and personal effects, from, and to points substantially as indicated below. Upon consideration of the petition filed by applicant, the above-entitled proceeding was reopened for further processing under the modified procedure on July 11 1969, by the Commission, Division acting as an Appellate Division. An order of the Commission, Review Board No. 1 decided January 6, 1970, and served January 12, 1970, upon further consideration, finds that the present and future public convenience and necessity require operation by applicant, in foreign commerce, as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, of used household goods, restricted to the transportation of traffic having a prior or subsequent movement, by water, in containers, beyond the points authorized, and further restricted to the performance of pickup and delivery services in connection with packing, crating, and containerization or unpacking, uncrating, and decontainerization of such traffic: (1) Between points in New York, N.Y., commercial zone, restricted to shipments moving on through bills of lading of forwarders, operating under the exemption of section 402 (b) (2) of the Interstate Commerce Act; and (2) between points in Westchester, Nassau, and Suffolk Counties, N.Y., on the one hand, and, on the other, New York, N.Y.; that applicant is fit, willing, and able properly to perform the operations and to conform to the requirements of the Interstate Commerce Act and the Commission's rules and regulations thereunder. Because it is possible that other persons, who have relied upon the notice of the application as published, may have an interest in and would be prejudiced by the lack of proper notice of the authority described in the findings in this order, a notice of the authority actually granted will be published in the Federal Register and issuance of a certificate in this proceeding will be withheld for a period of 30 days from the date of such publication, during which period any proper party in interest may file a petition to reopen or for other appropriate relief setting forth in detail the precise manner in which it has been so prejudiced.

## Notices of Filing of Petitions

Nos. MC 4761, MC 4761 (Sub-No. 3), MC 4761 (Sub-No. 7), and MC 4761 (SubNo. 11) (Notice of Filing of Petition for Modification of Certificates), filed December 2, 1969. Petitioner: LOCK CITY TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, a CORporation, Menominee, Mich. Petitioner's representative: Edward Solie, Executive Building, Suite 100, 4513 Vernon Boulevard, Madison, Wis, 53705. Petitioner as here pertinent states it holds authority in
the involved certificates which collectively authorize the transportation of (a) sulphur dioxide, in bulk, in tank vehicles, (b) sulphur dioxide, (c) empty containers for sulphur dioxide, and (d) methyl chloride, in bulk, in multiunit tank vehicles, from Menominee, Mich., and Marinette, Wis., to points in specified destination territories, said authority having been acquired by petitioner in MC-FC 61962. Petitioner states it and its predecessor has also been granted a series of emergency and regular temporary authorities to transport sulphur dioxide, in bulk, in tank vehicles, or sulphur dioxide, in bulk, in multiunit tank vehicles, in Dockets Nos. MC-4761 Sub 10TA, MC-4761 R-11, MC-4761 R-12, and MC-4761 Sub 15TA, issued on November 18, 1957, September 14, 1959, February 16, 1960, and January 26, 1960 , respectively. Petitioner and its predecessor has transported such commodities for The Ansul Co. of Marinette, Wis., since January of 1950, utilizing conventional tank semitrailers and also trailers specifically designed for transporting removable 200 gallon tanks each weighing approximately 1,500 pounds empty and normally loaded with 2,000 pounds of the considered commodities. Said shipper supported all of petitioner's applications resulting in the issuance of the involved certificates under the assumption that the commodities were being transported "In bulk, in tank vehicles."
Petitioner further states in March of 1969, petitioner's operations in the state of Michigan were questioned by the Michigan Public Service Commission resulting in the issuance of a citation as being interstate operations beyond the scope of petitioner's certificates issued by the Interstate Commerce Commission. Such alleged violation was brought to the attention of the Interstate Commerce Commission's district supervisor at Lansing, Mich. By the instant petition petitloner here seeks modification of its certificates which authorize the transportation of sulphur dioxide and methyl chloride to bring them in accord with the principles enunclated by the Commission in The Western Express Company Extension-Sealdtanks, 84 M.C.C. 585 decided on March 22, 1961. Such modification of its certificates will enable it to continue the transportation service it and its predecessor has provided The Ansul Co. since 1950. Petitioner requests that its certificates be modified to authorize the transportation of the commodities (a) sulphur dioxide and methyl chloride without restriction, or (b) sulphur dioxide and methyl chloride, in bulk, in tank vehicles, and sulphur dioxide and methyl chloride in shipperowned containers of over 170 -gallon capacity transported on cradle-equipped flatbed semitrailers. Any interested person desiring to participate may file an original and six copies of his written representations, views, or argument in support of or against the petition within 30 days from the date of publication in the Feperal Register.
No. MC 18259 (Petition To Remove Restriction in Permit), filed December 22,
1969. Petitioner: JACKSON DISTRIBUTION CORP., Syracuse, N.Y. Petitioner's representatives: Norman M. Pinsky and Herbert M. Canter, 345 South Warren Street, Syracuse, N.Y. 13202. Petitioner states it holds authority as here pertinent, as a contract carrier in permit No. 18259, over irregular routes, between various points in the State of New York in the transportation of the following commodities: "Such merchandise as is dealt in by wholesale, retail, and chain grocery and food business houses, and, in connection therewith, equipment, materials, and supplies used in the conduct of such business." Said permit contains the following restriction which petitioner here seeks to have removed therefrom as unnecessary, confusing, duplicative, unduly restrictive, and therefore administratively undesirable: "Restriction: The operations described herein are limited to a transportation service to be performed under special and individual contracts or agreements with persons (as defined in section 203(a) of the Interstate Commerce Act), who operate retail stores, the business of which is the sale of food, of the commodities indicated and in the manner specified above." Petitioner further states the general nature of the business of Jackson as contemplated by said permit is that it haul merchandise to grocery and food stores for retail sale thereat. Petitioner states the restriction which relates to the nature of the parties with whom petitioner may contract is at best ambiguous and at the very least susceptible of the interpretation that petitioner may contract only with persons who aetually operate retail stores. Thus, it could be construed to require petitioner to contract with each individual store to which it may make a delivery, or, possibly, with the parent "chain" with which the individual store is affiliated either as an independent operator using the buying power of the chain or as a member store of a commonly owned and operated chain.
Without appreciating that it might be in technical noncompliance with the said restriction, petitioner has developed a substantial business whereby it makes deliveries of meat, meat products, and various and sundry other products by receiving piggyback or over the road truckload shipments from common carriers at its Syracuse, N.Y., terminal and thence breaking bulk and distributing and delivering in less than truckload quantities to numerous small and large retail outlets within its territory. Petitioner further states it first learned and became aware of the interpretations of which the subject restriction was susceptible when it filed for emergency temporary authorities in the fall of 1969. By the instant petitioner seeks removal of the subject restriction in its entirety so that Petitioner may continue to render a needed service to the shipping public and conform its operations with the changing and more modern food marketing patterns of the food distribution industry. Any interested person desiring to participate may file an original and six copies of his writteh representations, views or
argument in support, or against the petition within 30 days from the date of publication in the Federal. Register.

No. MC 124071 and No. MC 124071 (Sub-No. 3) (Notice of Filing of Petition for Substitution of Shipper), filed December 3, 1969. Petitioner: LIVESTOCK SERVICE, INC., 1413 Second Avenue South, Post Office Box 944, St. Cloud, Minn. 56301. Petitioner's representatives: Gordon Rosemmeier, 72 Broadway, Little Falls, Minn. 56345, and Lawrence M. Hall, 21 Sixth Avenue North, St. Cloud, Minn., and James E. Wilson and Bruce E. Mitchell, 1735 K Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20006. Petitioner operates under permits Nos. MC 124071 and MC 124071 (Sub-No. 3), generally, over irregular routes, transporting, fresh meats, in shipper-owned refrigerator trailers, from St. Cloud, Minn., to Detroit, Mich.; and fresh meats, in shipper-owned trailers equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from St. Cloud, Minn., to points in Kansas, Kentucky, Missouri, Nebraska, and Tennessee, with no transportation for compensation on return, limited to transportation under contract, or contracts, with St. Cloud Meat Packing Co., St. Cloud, Minn. Petitioner states that negotiations have resulted in the sale of plant, equipment, and business of St. Cloud Meat Packing Co. to Meats, Inc. By the instant petition, petitioner requests that Meats, Inc., be substituted as the contracting shipper in lieu of St. Cloud Meat Packing Co. in the subject permits. Petitioner states that it owns and operates equipment which it wishes to place in service for Meats, Inc., and it also requests that the said permits be amended to delete therefrom the requirement that transportation be performed in shipper-owned trailers. Any interested person desiring to participate, may fle an original and six copies of his written representations, views or argument in support of, or against the petitlon within 30 days from the date of publication in the Federal Register.

No. MC 124190 (Notice of Filing of Petition To Substitute Contracting Shipper), filed November 19, 1969. Petitioner: GRIFFIN MOBILE HOME TRANSPORTING CO., Oklahoma City, Okla. Petitioner's representative: David D. Brunson, 419 Northwest Sixth Street, Oklahoma City, Okla. 73102. Petitioner holds a permit in No. MC 124190 authorizing the transportation as a contract carrier, over irregular routes, of house trailers, between points in Oklahoma, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Mississippi, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico, North Dakota, Ohio, Oregon, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Washington, Wisconsin, and Wyoming, under continuing contract with five named companies, including Wheeler Trailer Exchange \& Park of Oklahoma City, Okla. By the instant petition, petitioner requests that Wheeler

Trailer Exchange \& Park be eliminated from its permit and substituted in its stead, Redman Industries, Inc., of Tulsa, Okla. Any interested person desiring to participate may file an original and six copies of his written representations, views, or argument in support of, or against the petition within 30 days from the date of publication in the Federal Register.

No. MC 124908 (Sub-No. 5) (Notice of Filing of Petition To Modify Permit by Adding Additional Contracting Shipper), filed December 17, 1969. Petitioner: IRON \& METAL TRUCKING SERVICE, INC., Detroit, Mich. Petitioner states it holds authority in Permit MC 124908 (Sub-No. 5), issued March 5, 1968, authorizing operations over irregular routes in the transportation of serap iron between Detroit, Mich., and points in that part of Michigan bounded by a line beginning at junction Interstate Highway 75 and 94 and extending along Interstate Highway 75 to junction U.S. Highway 10 northwest of Pontiac, Mich., thence south along U.S. Highway 10 to junction U.S. Highway 24, thence along U.S. Highway 24 to junction Michigan Highway 14, thence along Michigan Highway 14 to junction U.S. Highway 23, thence along U.S. Highway 23 to junction Interstate Highway 94, and thence along Interstate Highway 94 to point of beginning, including points on the highways specified, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Ohio and Indiana, under a continuing contract or contracts with William Wolok doing business as Benlee Industrial Salvage Co. of Oak Park, Mich. Superior Scrap Products Co., Detroit, Mich., and Grant Southern Iron \& Steel Co. of Detroit, Mich. Petitioner has been requested by Sam Allen \& Son, Inc., of 500 Collier Road, Pontiac, Mich., to enter into a contract with it covering the provision of transportation service similar to that provided for the shippers petitioner is presently limited to serving under the permit issued in MC 124908, Sub. 5. Petitioner states it is informed and believes that the scrap iron which it would be called upon to transport for Sam Allen \& Son, Inc., has not heretofore moved by any other motor carrier and that Sam Allen \& Son, Inc., has previously been purchasing the scrap iron in question through Benlee Industrial Salvage Co., a shipper now served by petitioner.

Petitioner is further informed and believes that Sam Allen \& Son, Inc., now desires to obtain scrap previously obtained by it through Benlee Industrial Salvage Co. directly from the sources of supply of such scrap located in the area covered by the permit held by petitioner in MC 124908, Sub 5 and that it wishes petitioner to provide transportation service in connection therewith and has indicated the willingness to enter into a bilateral contract with petitioner covering such transportation. That there is attached to this petition the verified statement of a representative of Sam Allen \& Sons, Inc., stating its desire to have the service of petitioner available to it to provide service within the scope of the permit issued in MC 124908, Sub
5. By the instant petition, petitioner requests that the permit issued to it in MC 124908, Sub 5 be modified so as to authorize service under a continuing contract or contracts with Sam Allen \& Son, Inc., in addition to the shippers which petitioner can now serve in connection with such permit. Any interested person desiring to participate may file an original and six copies of his written representations, views, or argument in support of or against the petition within 30 days from the date of publication in the Federal Register.

No. MC 127614 (Notice of flling of petition for modification of permit by substitution of a shipper), flled December 1, 1969. Petitioner: TANNERS TRANSPORTATION, INC., New York, N.Y. Petitioner's representative: Charles J. Williams, 47 Lincoln Park, Newark, N.J. 07102 , Petitioner holds a permit in No. MC 127614 authorizing the transportation as a contract carrier, over irregular routes, of hides and skins, from Chester, Port Plain, Buffalo, and New York, N.Y., Newark and Trenton, N.J., West Chester, Boyertown, and Philadelphia, Pa., Wilmington, Del., Baltimore, Md., and Springfield, Mass., to Girard, Ohio, Chicago, Ill., Racine, Milwaukee, South Milwaukee, Sheboygan, and Fond du Lac, Wis., St. Louls, Mo., and Grand Rapids and Grand Haven, Mich., with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized, under continuing contract, or contracts, with Western Hide Co., Inc., Chicago, III., John E. Andresen, Inc., Boston, Mass., A. F. Gallun \& Sons Corp., M. Aschheim Co., Inc., New York, N.Y., Kent Trading, Inc., New York, N.Y., and Remis Company of New Jersey, Inc., Newark, N.J. By the instant petition, petitioner requests permission to delete therefrom the name of Kent Trading, Inc., as a contracting shipper, and, in lieu thereof, adding the name of Chester Packing Corp., as a contracting shipper. No other modification is requested. Any interested person desiring to participate, may file an orIginal and six copies of his written representations, views, or argument in support of, or against the petition within 30 days from the date of publication in the Federal Register.
Applications for Certipicates or Permits Which Are To Be Processed Concurrently With Applications Under Section 5 Governed by Special Rule 240 to the Extent Applicable
No. MC 10875 (Sub-No. 31), filed December 1, 1969. Applicant: BRANCH MOTOR EXPRESS COMPANY, a corporation, 114 Fifth Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10011. Applicant's representative: Jack R. Turney, Jr., 2001 Massachusetts Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20036. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: General commodities (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, commodities in bulk, and commodities requiring special equipment), between Chagrin Falls, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Ohio. Note: Applicant states it
will tack (1) at Chagrin Falls with reg-ular-route between Chagrin Falls and Cleveland, Ohio, being acquired from Suter, Inc., and (2) joinder at Cleveland or Chagrin Falls, Ohio, with regular routes of Middle Atlantic or others for through service to points served by applicant or others in Connecticut, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, New York, Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina. Ohio, Michigan, Kentucky or beyond and the District of Columbia. This matter is directly related to MC-F-10671 published in Federal Register issue of December 10, 1969. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 108833 (Sub-No. 5), filed November 10,1969 . Applicant: BARNES FREIGHT LINE, INC., Post Office Box 369, Carrollton, Ga. 30117. Applicant's representative: Guy H. Postell, 1273 West Peachtree Street NE., Atlanta, Ga. 30309. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular and irregular routes, transporting: (A) Irregular routes: (1) General commodities, between Anniston, Ala., on the one hand, and, on the other, Montgomery, Roanoke, Ashland, Lineville, Barfield Goodwater, and Alexander City, Ala. (B) Regular routes: (2) General commodities, between Anniston and Ranburne Ala., (a) from Anniston, Ala., over U.S. Highway 78 to Heflin, Ala., thence over Alabama Highway 46 to Ranburne, Ala., and return over the same route; (b) from Anniston, Ala., over Interstate Highway 20 to the junction of Interstate Highway 20 and Alabama Highway 9; thence over Alabama Highway 9 to the junction of Alabama Highway 9 and Alabama Highway 46 ; thence over Alabama Highway 46 to Ranburne, Ala., and return over the same route, serving the intermediate and/or off-route points of Bell Mills, Trickem, and Fruithurst, Ala. Nore: This application a matter directly related to Docket No. MC-F-10657 published Figderal Register issue of November 19, 1969. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga.
Applications
Under Sections 5 210a(b)
The following applications are governed by the Interstate Commerce Commission's special rules governing notice of filing of applications by motor carriers of property or passengers under sections 5 (a) and 210a(b) of the Interstate Commerce Act and certain other proceedings with respect thereto (49 CFR 1.240).

## MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No, MC-F-10233 (Amendment) (FOX TRANSPORT SYSTEM - Control PRIDE TRANSPORT CO.), published in the September 5, 1969, issue of the Federal Register, on page 12604. The control through purchase of capital stock was granted pursuant to report and order by Review Board No. 5, July 7 1969, and supplemental order, October 9, 1969, as corrected, and was consummated October 31, 1969. By amended application flled January 8, 1969, applicants seek to
merge PRIDE TRANSPORT CO., into FOX TRANSPORT SYSTEM.

No. MC-F-10448. (Supplement), (BRANCH MOTOR EXPRESS CO.-Control-MTDDLE ATLANTIC TRANSPORTATION CO., INC.), published in the April 23, 1969, issue of the Federal Register, on page 6822, By supplement filed January 9, 1970, JESS K. BURTEN, GERTRUDE BENDER, GERTRUDE BENDER (EXECUTRIX for the Estate of SAUL BURTEN), RUTH PLATT, MARVIN F. BURTEN, MARVIN F. BURTEN (TRUSTEE for LOIS BERLIN), MARVIN F. BURTEN (TRUSTEE for ALMA BOGGIA), IRVING BURTEN and MARVIN F, BURTEN (TRUSTEES for MARVIN F. BURTEN), LOIS BERLIN, ALMA BOGGIA, and MEYER BUTENSKY, join in the application as parties in control of BRANCH INDUSTRIES, INC. This joinder of interested partles was suggested at the hearing.

No. MC-F-10671. (Supplement) (BRANCH MOTOR EXPRESS CO.-Purchase-SUTER, INC.), published in the December 10, 1969, issue of the Federal REgister, on page 19534. By supplement filed January 9, 1970, JESS K. BURTEN, GERTRTDE BENDER, GERTRUDE BENDER (EXECUTRIX for the Estate of SAUL BURTEN), RUTH PLATT, MARVIN F. BURTEN, MARVIN F. BURTEN (TRUSTEE for LOIS BERLIN), MARVIN F. BURTEN (TRUSTEE for ALMA BOGGIA), IRVING BURTEN AND MARVIN F. BURTEN (TRUSTEES for MARVIN $F$. BURTEN), LOIS BERIIN, ALMA BOGGIA, and MEYER BUTENSKY, join in the application as parties in control of BRANCH INDUSTRIES, INC.
No. MC-F-10715. Authority sought for purchase by OVERNITE TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, 1100 Commerce Road, Richmand, Va. 23209, of a portion of the operating rights of CLINE MUNDY, doing business as GENERAL MOTOR LINES, 526 Orange Avenue NE., Roanoke, Va. 24012. Applicants' attorney and representative: Eugene T. Liipfert, Suite 1100,1660 L Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20036, and Cline Mundy, 526 Orange Avenue NE., Roanoke, Va. 24012. Operating rights sought to be transferred: General commodities, excepting, among others, dangerous explosives, household goods, and commodities in bulk, as a common carrier, over irregular routes, between Bluefield, W. Va., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in West Virginia within 75 miles of Bluefleld and such merchandise as is dealt in by retail and wholesale business houses, from Bluefield, Va., to points in West Virginia within 75 miles of Bluefield, Va. Vendee is authorized to operate as a Nommon carrier in Virginia, Tennessee, North Carolina, Alabama, Georgia, and South Carolina. Application has been fled for temporary authority under section $210 \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{b})$. Note: See also No. MC-F-10713 (POINT EXPRESS, INC.-Purchase (Portion)-CLINE MUNDY), published in the January 14, 1970 issue of the Federal Register, on page 516.
No. MC-F-10716. Authority sought for
control by SCOTT TRUCK LINE, INC.,

2950 Blake Street, Denver, Colo. 80205 , of CARGO SYSTEMMS, INC., Post Office Box 37465, Millard, Nebr. 68137, and for acquisition by E. S. HILLTKER, 3131 East Alameda Avenue, Denver, Colo. 80209, of control of CARGO SYSTEMMS, INC., through the acquisition by SCOTT TRUCK LINE, INC. Applicants' attorneys: Marion F. Jones, 420 Denver Club Building, Denver, Colo. 80202, and Duane W. Acklie, 521 South Fourteenth Street, Box 806, Lincoln, Nebr. 68501. Operating rights sought to be controlled: General commodities, excepting among others, classes A and B explosives, household goods, and commodities in bulk, as a common carrier over regular routes, from Cedar Rapids, Iowa, to Winterset, Iowa, serving the intermediate points of Ames and Des Moines, Iowa, from Chicago, III., to Winterset, Iowa, serving the intermediate points of Ames and Des Moines, Iowa, without restriction; and points in Illinois within the Chicago, Ill., commercial zone as defined by the Commission, restricted to pickup only; agricultural implements and parts therefor, from East Moline, Ill., to Winterset, Iowa, serving the intermediate points of Moline and Rock Island, Ill.; asbestos tile, roofing, and insulating materials, from Waukegan, Ill., to Des Moines, Iowa, serving no intermediate points; canned goods, from Cedar Rapids, Iowa, to Des Moines, Iowa, serving no intermediate points; wallpaper, from Joliet, III., to Winterset, Iowa, serving no intermediate points; eggs and live and dressed poultry, from Winterset, Iowa, to Chicago, IIl., serving no intermediate points:

Egg cases, egg-case fillers, and flats, from Chicago, Ill., to Winterset, Iowa, from Kansas City, Mo., to Winterset, Iowa, from Kansas City, to Mount Ayr, Iowa, serving no intermediate points; butter, eggs, and live and dressed poultry, from Winterset, Iowa, to Kansas City, Mo:, serving no intermediate points; eggs, live and dressed poultry, and rabbits, from Mount Ayr, Iowa, to Kansas City, Mo., serving no intermediate points; horses and mules, from Des Moines, Iowa, to Rockford, Ill., from Des Moines, Iowa, to Topeka, Kans., serving no intermediate points; livestock, from Winterset, Iowa, to Chicago, Ill, serving intermediate and off-route points within 25 miles of Winterset, restricted to pickup, from Winterset, Iowa, to Kansas City, Mo., serving the intermediate point of St. Joseph, Mo., restricted to delivery; and intermediate and off-route points within 25 miles of Winterset, restricted to pickup, from Des Moines, Iowa, to St. Louls, Mo., serving the off-route points of Rockford and Galesburg, IIl., restricted to delivery; and the off-route point of Winterset, Iowa, and points within 25 miles of Winterset, restricted to pickup, from Winterset, Iowa, to Omaha, Nebr., serving intermediate and off-route points within 25 miles of Winterset, from Winterset, Iowa, to Omaha, Nebr., serving intermediate and off-route points within 15 miles of Winterset, restricted to pickup only: livestock, feed, farm machinery, grain,
building materials, and fencing, from Omaha, Nebr., to Winterset, Iowa, serving intermediate and off-route points within 25 miles of Winterset;

Livestock, feed, building materials, farm machinery, binder twine, and fence posts, from Omaha, Nebr,, to Winterset, Iowa, serving intermediate and off-routa points within 15 miles of Winterset, restricted to delivery only; general commodities excepting among others, classes A and B explosives, household goods and commodities in bulk, over irregulai routes, between Greenfield, Iowa, and points within 26 miles thereof, not including Creston, Iowa, on the one hand, and, on the other, Omaha, Nebr.; household goods, between points in the immediately above-specified Iowa territory, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Nebraska; butter and livestock, from Des Moines, Iowa, and points within 30 miles thereof, to Chicago, Ill.; feed, from Forest Park, Ill., to Winterset, Iowa, and points within 25 miles of Winterset; horses, from Ogden, Iowa, to points in Nebraska; livestock, between Winterset, Iowa, and points within 35 miles thereof, on the one hand, and, on the other, Chicago, Ill., and Omaha, Nebr., from Winterset, Iowa, and points within 15 miles thereof, to St. Joseph and Kansas City, Mo., and Kansas City, Kans; steel guy anchors, from Winterset, Iowa, to points in Illinois, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, and South Dakota; and livestock and agricultural commodities, between Creston, Iowa, and Omaha, Nebr: SCOTT TRUCK LINE, INC., is authorized to operate as a common carrier in Colorado, Illinois, Nebraska, Wisconsin, Indiana, and Michigan. Application has been filed for temporary authority under section 210 a (b)

No. MC-F-10717. Authority sought for control by NANCE AND COLLUMS, INC., Post Office Drawer J, Fernwood, Miss. 39635, of LTQUID FOOD CARRIER, INC., 624 -Knox Road, Post Office Box 10521, New Orleans, La. 70121, and for acquisition by JOHN L. NANCE, also of Fernwood, Miss., of control of LIQUID FOOD CARRIER, INC., through the acquisition by NANCE AND COLLUMS, INC. Applicants' attorney: Harold D. Miller, Jr., 700 Petroleum Building, Post Office Box 22567, Jackson, Miss, 39205. Operating rights sought to be controlled: Corn syrup, liquid sugar, and blends of corn syrup and liquid sugar, in bulk, in tank vehicles, as a common carrier over irregular routes, from points in St. Bernard, Orleans, Jefferson, and St. John the Baptist Parishes, La., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Mississippi, Tennessee, and Texas, with restrictions; and liquid sugar, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Reserve, La., to Oak Grove and Monroe, La, NANCE AND COLLUMS, INC., is authorized to operate as a common carrier in Mississippi, Alabama, Arkansas, Illinois, Indiana, Louisiana, Missouri, and Tennessee. Application has been filed for temporary authority under section 210 a (b)

No. MC-F-10718. Authority sought for control and merger by GORDONS

TRANSPORTS, INC., 185 West McLemore Avenue, Memphis, Tenn. 38102, of the operating rights and property of MIAMI TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, INC., OF INDIANA, 1220 Harrison Avenue, Cincinnati, Ohio 45215, and for acquisition by M. M. GORDON, 4005 Grandview Avenue, Memphis, Tenn., A. W. GORDON, JR., 4679 Walnut Grove, Memphis, Tenn., J. K. GORDON, 3910 Paula Drive, Memphis, Tenn, ESTIHER G. CATO, 329 Clawson Cover, Memphis, Tenn,, and MARY G. CONAWAY, 3925 South Galloway Drive, Memphis, Tenn,, of control of such rights and property through the transaction. Applicants' attorneys: James W. Wrape, 2111 Sterick Building, Memphis, Tenn. 38103, and John P. McMahon, 100 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215 . Operating rights sought to be controlled and merged: General commodities, excepting, among others, classes A and B explosives, household goods, and commodities in bulk, as a common carrier, over regular routes, between Louisville, Ky. and Cincinnati; Ohio, serving certain intermediate points, and the off-route point of Lexington, Ind., between Madison, Ind., and Indianapolis, Ind., serving certain intermediate and off-route points; between Cincinnati, Ohio, and the intersection of U.S. Highways 40 and 42, near Lafayette, Ohio, serving intermediate and off-route points in the Cincinnati commercial zone as defined in Cincinnati, Ohio, commercial zone, 26 M.C.C. 49,41 M.C.C. 227 , and 46 M.C.C. 733 , with restriction; between Columbus, Ohio, and Delaware, Ohio, for operating convenience only, between Cincinnati, Ohio and Charleston, W. Va., serving all intermediate points between Ashland and Funtington, W. Va., including Ashland without restriction; and Huntington, W. Va., restricted to traffic moving to and from points other than Charleston, W. Va., and to and from off-route points within 10 miles of Charleston, without restriction; over numerous alternate routes for operating convenience only;

General commodities, except livestock, explosives, sand, gravel, coal, and lumber, between Indianapolis, Ind., and Cleveland, Ohio, serving the intermediate point of Richmond, Ind., between Lafayette, Ohio, and Cleveland, Ohio, serving the intermediate point of Columbus, Ohio, between Cincinnati, Ohio, and Charleston, W. Va., serving certain intermediate and off-route points; margarine, from Columbus, Ohio, to Pittsburgh, Pa., serving the intermediate point of Zanesville, Ohio, restricted to delivery, from Charleston, W. Va., to Raleigh, N.C., serving the intermediate points of Roanoke, Va., and WinstonSalem, N.C., restricted to delivery, from Charleston, W. Va., to Charlotte, N.C., serving no intermediate points except as otherwise authorized, from Huntington, W. Va., to Bluefield, W. Va., from Charleston, W. Va., to Bluefield, W. Va., serving no intermediate points, from Cincinnati, Ohio, to Wheeling, W. Va., serving certain intermediate points, re-
stricted to delivery, from Cincinnati, Ohio, to Pittsburgh, Pa., serving no intermediate points; petroleum products, in containers, from Pittsburgh, Pa., to Cincinnath, Ohio, from Pittsburgh, Pa., to Columbus, Ohio, from Richmond, Ind., to Muncie, Ind., serving no intermediate points, from Cincinnati, Ohio, to Lima, Ohio, serving the intermediate point of Dayton, Ohio, restricted to delivery, from Cincinnati, Ohio, to Cleveland, Ohio, serving the intermediate point of Columbus, Ohio, restricted to delivery, only;

Floor coverings, from Cincinnati, Ohio, to Cleveland, Ohio, from Cincinnati, Ohio, to Pittsburgh, Pa., from Cleveland, Ohio, to Buffalo, N.Y., from Indianapolis, Ind., to Chicago, Ill., from Charleston, W. Va., to Richmond, Va., from Charleston, W. Va., to Charlotte, N.C., serving no intermediate points; steel house sections, from Cincinnati, Ohio, to Cleveland, Ohio, from Cleveland, Ohio, to Buffalo, N.Y., from Cincinnati, Ohio, to McMechen, W. Va., from Cincinnati, Ohio, to Buckhannon, W. Va., serving no intermediate points; general commodities, including dangerous explosives, but not including commodities of unusual value, household goods as defined in Practices of Motor Common Carriers of Household Goods, 17 M.C.C. 467, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment, between Columbus, Ohio, and Portsmouth, Ohio, serving certain intermediate and off-route points; general commodities, including dangerous explosives, but not including commodities of unusual value, household goods as defined in Practices of Motor Common Carriers of Houschold Goods, 17 M.C.C. 467, commodities in bulk, and those requiring refrigeration, between Chillicothe, Ohio, and Xenia, Ohio, serving certain intermediate points; general commodities, excepting, among others, classes A and B explosives, household goods and commodities in bulk, over irregular routes, between Cincinnati, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Ohio and Kentucky within 25 miles of Cincinnati, between Hamersville, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, Newark and Athens, Ohio, and points in Ohio which are on and west of U.S. Highway 62;

Soap and lard substitutes, from Cincinnati and St. Bernard, Ohio, to points in West Virginia; paper and paper products, from Cincinnati and Lockland, Ohio, to Parkersburg and Clarksburg, W. Va.; butter and butter substitutes, from Cincinnati, Ohio, to certain specified points in Pennsylvania; glassware and glass containers, from certain specified points in Pennsylvania to certain specifled points in Ohio; and malt beverages, from Cincinnati, Ohio, and Newport, Ky. to points in West Virginia. GORDONS TRANSPORT, INC., is authorized to operate as a common carrier in Illinois, Tennessee, Missouri, Arkansas, Mississippi, Louisiana, Alabama, Kentucky, Georgla, Oklahoma, Texas, Indiana, Iowa, and Minnesota. Application has not been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

No. MC-F-10720. Authority sought for purchase by P. CALI,AHAN, INC., 5240

Comly Street, Philadelphia, Pa., of a portion of the operating rights of DE-PEN LINE, INC., 1879 West Marshall Street, Norristown, Pennsylvania, and for acquisition by JAMES M. CAII.AHAN, 1228 Gordon Road, Jenkintown, Pa., MARY BATEMAN CAIT,AHAN, 2703 Atlantic Avenue, Longport, N.J., and EDWWARD F. KANE, Brushtown Road, Rural Delivery No. 1, Ambler, Pa., of control of such rights through the purchase. Applicants ${ }^{\prime}$ representatives: Edward F Kane, 522 Swede Street, Norristown, Pa and Terrence L. Bowers, 5240 Comly Street, Philadelphia, Pa. 19135. Operating rights sought to be transferred: General commodities, except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment, as a common carrier over regular routes, between Philadelphia, Pa., and Valley Forge, Pa. serving certain intermediate and offroute points, with restriction. Vendee is authorized to operate as a common carrier in New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Maryland, Rhode Island, New Hampshire, New York, and Connecticut and as a contract carrier in Pennsylvania, Maryland, New York, Virginia, New Jersey, and the District of Columbia. Application has not been filed for temporary authority under section 210a (b). Note: See also MC-F-10699 (LONG TRANSPORTATION CO.-Purchase-DE-PEN LTNE, INC.) published in the January 7, 1970, issue of the Federal Register on page 250.

No. MC-F-10721. Authority sought for control by De CAMP BUS LINES, 30 Allwood Road, Cllfton, N.J. 07014, of PASSAIC ATHENIA CHARTER CO., INC., 822 Clifton Avenue, Clifton, N.J. 07013 , and for acquisition by ROBERT B. DECAMP, 85 Avon Drive, Essex Fells, N.J., of control of PASSAIC ATHENIA CHARTER CO., INC., through the acquisition by DE CAMP BUS LINES. Applicants' attorney: Robert E. Goldstein, 8 West 40 th Street, New York, N.Y. 10018. Operating rights sought to be controlled: Passengers and their baggage, restricted to traffic originating in the territory indicated, in charter operations, as a common carrier over irregular routes, from points in Essex, Hudson, Bergen, Passaic, Morris, Warren, Union, Middlesex, Somerset, and Mercer Counties, N.J., and New York, N.Y., to points in New Jersey, New York, Connecticut, Rhode Island, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Vermont, Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, Virginia, the District of Columbia, and Ohio, and return. DE CAMP BUS IINES is authorized to operate as a common carrier in New York and New Jersey. Application has not been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

## By the Commission.

[SEAL]
H. Neil Garson, Secretary.
[F.R. Doc. 70-778; Flled, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:48 a.m.]

NOTICE OF FILING OF MOTOR CARRIER INTRASTATE APPLICATIONS

Jandary 16, 1970.
The following applications for motor common carrier authority to operate in intrastate commerce seek concurrent motor carrier authorization in interstate or foreign commerce within the limits of the intrastate authority sought, pursuant to section 206(a) (6) of the Interstate Commerce Act, as amended October 15, 1962. These applications are governed by Special Rule 1.245 of the Commission's rules of practice, published in the Federal Register, issue of April 11, 1963, page 3533 , which provides, among other things, that protests and requests for information concerning the time and place of State Commission hearings or other proceedings, may subsequent changes therein, any other related matters shall be directed to the State Commission with
which the application is filed and shall not be addressed to or filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission.
State Docket No. 69491-CCT filed December 4, 1969. Applicant: PROPER FURNITURE WAREHOUSES, INC., 420 22d Street South, St. Petersburg, Fla. 33734. Applicant's representative: Richard J. Brooks, Post Office Box 1531, Tallahassee, Fla. 32302. Certificate of public convenience and necessity sought to operate a freight service as follows: New furniture, rugs, and related artictes sold in furniture stores; and caskets, over irregular routes and on irregular schedules, in interstate and foreign commerce which does not exceed intrastate authority, between St. Petersburg, Fla., on the one hand, and points and places in FlorIda on the other: Provided, however; (1) Deliveries must originate or terminate at the warehouses located in St. Petersburg which are owned or operated by Proper

Furniture Warehouses, Inc.; (2) deliveries shall be for manufacturers or dealers who have stored merchandise in the warehouses of Proper Furniture Warehouses, Inc., in St, Petersburg, Fla.; and (3) the weight load of any such delivery to any one consignee on any one date shall not exceed 5,000 pounds.

HEARING: Not yet assigned. Requests for procedural information including the time for flling protests, concerning this application should be addressed to the Florida Public Service Commission, Talahassee, Fla. 32304, and should not be directed to the Interstate Commerce Commission.

## By the Commission.

[seal] H. Neil Garson,
[F.R. Doc. 70-776; Filed, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:48 a.m.]
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U.S. Saving Bonds, Series H


# Titte 31-MONEY AND FINAMCE: TREASURY 

Chapter II-Fiscal Service, Department of the Treasury

SUBCHAPTER B-BUREAU OF THE PUBLIC DEBT PART 332 -OFFERING OF UNITED
STATES SAVINGS BONDS, SERIES H
The regulations set forth in Treasury Department Circular No. 905, Fourth Revision, dated April 7, 1966, and the tables incorporated therein, as revised and amended ( 31 CFR Part 332), have been further revised and amended as shown below. The changes were effected under authority of section 22 of the Second Liberty Bond Act, as amended ( 49 Stat. 21, as amenled; 31 U.S.C. 757 c ), and 5 U.S.C. 301. This revision was originally published in Volume 34, Federal RegisTER, Part III, December 6, 1969, and is republished to include table 2, and subsequent tables, which were not included in the original publication. Notice and public procedures thereon are unnecessary as public property and contracts are involved.

Dated: December 12, 1969.
[seal]

## John K. Carlock,

## Fiscal Assistant Secretary.

- Treasury Department Circular No. 905 , Fourth Revision, dated April 7, 1966, and the tables incorporated therein ( 31 CFR Part 332), as amended and revised, are hereby further amended and revised, and issued as the Fifth Revision, as follows, effective December 1, 1969.


## Sec.

332.1 Offering of bonds.
332.2 Descrlption of bonds.
332.3 Governing regulations.
332.4 Registration.
332.5 Limitation on holdings.
332.6 Purchase of bonds.
332.7 Delivery of bonds,
332.8 Extended term and improved yields for outstanding bonds.

### 332.9 Taxation.

332.10 Redemption or payment.
332.11 Reservation as to issue of bonds.
332.12 Preservation of rights.
332.13 Fiscal agents.

### 332.14 Reservation as to terms of offer.

Tables of checks issued and investment yields.
Appendix.
Aurhority: The provisions of this Part 332 issued under authority of sec. 22 of the Second Liberty Bond Act, as amended, 49 Stat. 21, as amended; 31 U.S.C. 757 c .

## § 332.1 Offering of bonds.

The Secretary of the Treasury hereby offers for sale to the people of the United States, U.S. Savings Bonds of Series H, hereinafter generally referred to as "Series H bonds" or "bonds." This offer will continue until terminated by the Secretary of the Treasury.

## $\S 332.2$ Description of bonds.

(a) General. Series H bonds bear a facsimile of the signature of the Secretary of the Treasury and of the Seal of the Department of the Treasury. They
are issued only in registered form and are nontransferable.
(b) Denominations and prices. Series H bonds are issued at face (par) amount and are available in denominations of $\$ 500, \$ 1,000$, and $\$ 5,000$.
(c) Inscription and issue. At the time of issue the issuing agent will (1) inscribe on the face of each Series $H$ bond the name, taxpayer identifying number, ${ }^{1}$ and address of the owner, and the name of the beneficiary, if any, or the name and address of the first-named coowner and the taxpayer identifying number ${ }^{1}$ of one coowner, (2) enter in the upper righthand portion of the bond the issue date, and (3) imprint the agent's dating stamp in the lower right-hand portion to show the date the bond is actually inscribed. A Series $H$ bond shall be valid only if an authorized issuing agent receives payment therefor and duly inscribes, dates, stamps, and delivers it in accordance with the purchaser's instructions.
(d) Term. A Series H bond will be dated as of the first day of the month in which payment therefor is received by an agent authorized to issue the bonds. This date is the issue date and the bond will mature and be payable 10 years from the issue date. The bond may not be called for redemption before the maturity date or any authorized extended maturity date, but may be redeemed at par after 6 months from the issue date. However, the Department may require reasonable notice of presentation for redemption before the maturity date or any authorized extended maturity date.
(e) Interest (investment yield). The interest on a Series $H$ bond will be paid semiannually by check drawn to the order of the registered owner or coowners, beginning 6 months from issue date. Interest payments will be on a graduated scale, fixed to produce an investment yield of approximately 5 percent per annum, compounded semiannually, if the bond is held to maturity but the yield will be less if the bond is redeemed prior thereto (see table 1). Interest will cease at maturity, or at the end of the extension period for bonds for which an extension has been granted, or in the case of redemption before maturity, at the end of the interest period next preceding the date of redemption, except that if the date of redemption falls on an interest payment date, interest will cease on that date.
(f) Outstanding bonds with issue dates June 1, 1969, or thereafter. Series H bonds with issue dates of June 1, 1969, or thereafter, and outstanding on the effective date of the regulations in this part, are deemed to be Series H bonds issued under the terms of this part and the interest provided for in paragraph
${ }^{1}$ The number required to be used on tax returns and other documents submitted to the Internal Revenue Service (an individual's soclal security account number or employer identification number). If the coowners are husband and wife, the husband's number should be furnished. If the coowners are a minor and an adult, the adult's number should be furnished.
(e) of this section is applicable to such bonds. Series H bond stock on sale prior to June 1, 1969, will be used for issue under this part until such time as new stock is printed and supplied to issuing agents. Such bonds have the new interest rate as fully as if expressly set forth in the text of the bonds. It will be unnecessary for owners to exchange bonds issued on old stock for bonds on new stock as the Department of the Treasury will issue interest checks for the bonds in the appropriate amounts as set forth in Table 1. However, when the new stock becomes available, issuance on the new stock may be obtained by presentation for that purpose of bonds issued on the old stock to any Federal Reserve Bank or Branch, or to the Treasurer of the United States, Securities Division, Washington, D.C. 20220.

## § 332.3 Governing regulations.

Series H bonds are subject to the regulations of the Treasury Department, now or hereafter prescribed, governing U.S. Savings Bonds, contained in Department Circular No. 530, current revision (Part 315 of this subchapter):

## § 332.4 Registration.

(a) General. Generally, only residents of the United States, its territories and possessions, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Canal Zone and citizens of the United States temporarily residing abroad are eligible to be named as owners of Series H bonds. The bonds may be registered in the names of natural persons in their own right as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, and in the names and titles or capacities of fiduciaries and organizations as provided in paragraph (c) of this section. Full information regarding authorized forms of registration and restrictions with respect thereto will be found in the governing regulations.
(b) Natural persons in their own right. The bonds may be registered in the names of natural persons (whether adults or minors) in their own right, in single ownership, coownership, and beneficiary forms.
(c) Others. The bonds may be registered in single ownership form in the names of fiduciaries and private and public organizations, as follows:
(1) Fiduciaries. In the names of and showing the titles or capacities of any persons or organizations, public or private, as fiduciaries (including trustees, legal guardians or similar representatives, and certain custodians) but not where the fiduciary would hold the bonds merely or principally as security for the performance of a duty, obligation, or service.
(2) Private and public organizations. In the names of private or public organizations (including private corporations, partnerships, and unincorporated associations, and States, counties, public corporations, and other public bodies), in

[^11]their own right, but not in the names of commercial banks. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

## § 332.5 Limitation on holdings.

The amount of Series H bonds originally issued during any 1 calendar year that may be held by any one person, at any one time, computed in accordance with the governing regulations, is limited, as follows:
(a) General limitation. $\$ 5,000$ (face amount) for the calendar year 1969 ' and each calendar year thereafter. ${ }^{\text {. }}$
(b) Special limitation for gifts to exempt organizations under 26 CFR 1.501 (c) (3) $-1 . \$ 200,000$ (face amount) for the calendar year 1969 and each calendar year thereafter for bonds received as gifts by an organization which at the time of purchase was an exempt organization under the terms of 26 CFR 1.501 (c) (3) -1 .
(c) Exchanges pursuant to Department Circular No. 1036, as amended. Series H bonds issued in exchange for bonds of Series $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}$ under the provisions of Department Circular No. 1036, as amended (Part 339 of this subchapter), are exempt from the annual limitation.

## § 332.6 Purchase of bonds.

(a) Agents. Only the Federal Reserve Banks and Branches and the Treasury Department are authorized to act as official issuing agents for the sale of Series H bonds. However, financial institutions may forward applications for purchase of the bonds. The date of receipt of the application and payment to an issuing agent will govern the issue date of the bonds purchased.
(b) Application for purchase and remittance. The applicant for purchase of Serles H bonds should furnish (1) instructions for registration of the bonds to be issued, which must be in authorized form, (2) the appropriate taxpayer identifying number, ${ }^{\text {ci }}$ (3) the post office

[^12]address of the owner or first-named coowner, and (4) the address for delivery of the bonds and for mailing checks in payment of interest, if other than that of the owner or first-named coowner. The application should be forwarded to a Federal Reserve Bank or Branch or the Office of the Treasurer of the United States, Securities Division, Washington, D.C. 20220 , accompanied by a remittance to cover the purchase price. Any form of exchange including personal checks will be accepted subject to collection. Checks or other forms of exchange should be drawn to the order of the Federal Reserve Bank or Treasurer of the United States, as the case may be. Checks payable by endorsement are not acceptable. Any depositary qualified pursuant to Treasury Department Circular No. 92, current revision (Part 203 of this chapter), will be permitted to make payment by credit for bonds, applied for on behalf of its customers up to any amount for which it shall be qualified in excess of existing deposits, when so notifled by the Federal Reserve Bank of its district.

## § 332.7 Delivery of bonds.

Authorized issuing agents will deliver the Series $H$ bonds either in person, or by mail at the risk and expense of the United States, at the address given by the purchaser, but only within the United States, its territories and possessions, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and the Canal Zone. No mail deliveries elsewhere will be made. If purchased by citizens of the United States temporarily residing abroad, the bonds will be delivered at such address in the United States as the purchaser directs.
§332.8 Extended term and improved yields for outstanding bonds.
(a) Extended maturity period-(1) General. The term "extended maturity period," when used herein, refers to the interval after the maturity dates during which owners may retain their bonds and continue to earn interest thereon. No special action is required of owners desiring to take advantage of any extensions heretofore or hereby granted. Merely by continuing to hold their bonds after maturity, owners will continue to earn further interest.?
(2) Bonds with issue dates June 1, 1952, through November 1, 1965. Owners of Series H bonds with issue dates of June 1, 1952, through November 1, 1965, may retain their bonds for an extended maturity period of 10 years.
(b) Improved yields "-(1) Outstanding bonds. The investment yield on all Series H bonds outstanding on the effective date of these regulations is hereby

[^13]increased to approximately 5 percent per annum, compounded semiannually, as follows:
( $\mathbf{1})$ Bonds with issue dates June 1, 1961, through May 1, 1969. For the remaining period to the maturity date.
(ii) Bonds with issue dates December 1, 1959, through May 1, 1961. For any remaining period to the maturity date, and for the extended maturity period.
(iii) Bonds with issue dates June 1, 1952, through November 1, 1959. For any remaining period to the extended maturity date.
The yield will be less if the bonds are redeemed earlier. The increase, on a graduated basis, will begin with the first interest period starting on or after June 1, 1969.
(2) Presently authorized extensions. The investment yield for any presently authorized extension period for which tables of redemption values and investment yields are not announced and published herein will be at the rate in effect for Series H bonds currently issued on the maturity date.

## § 332.9 Taxation.

The income derived from Series H bonds is subject to all taxes imposed under the Internal Revenue Code of 1954. The bonds are subject to estate, inheritance, gift, or other excise taxes, whether Federal or State, but are exempt from all taxation now or hereafter imposed on the principal or interest thereof by any State, by any of the possessions of the United States, or by any local taxing authority.

## § 332.10 Redemption or payment.

Prior to maturity, or extended maturity for bonds having an extended maturity period, a Series H bond will be redeemed at par at the option of the owner, in whole or in part, in the amount of an authorized denomination or multiple thereof, after 6 months from issue date, upon presentation and surrender of the bond with a duly executed request for payment to (a) a Federal Reserve Bank or Branch, (b) the Office of the Treasurer of the United States, Securities Division, Washington, D.C. 20220 or (c) the Bureau of the Public Debt, Division of Loans and Currency Branch, 536 South Clark Street, Chicago, III. 60605. However, a bond received for redemption or payment by an agency during the calendar month preceding an interest payment date will not be redeemed or paid until that date. At or after maturity, or extended maturity for bonds having an extended maturity period, a bond presented for redemption will be pald at par.
§ 332.11 Reservation as to issue of bonds.
The Secretary of the Treasury reserves the right to refect any application for Series H bonds, in whole or in part, and to refuse to issue or permit to be issued hereunder any such bonds in any case or any class or classes of cases if he deems such action to be in the public interest, and his action in any such respect shall be final.
§ 332.12 Preservation of rights.
Nothing contained herein shall limit or restrict rights which owners of Series $H$ bonds heretofore issued have acquired under offers previously in force.
§332.13 Fiscal agents.
Federal Reserve Banks and Branches, as fiscal agents of the United States, are authorized to perform such services as
may be requested of them by the Secretary of the Treasury in connection with the issue, delivery, redemption, and payment of Series H bonds.
§ 332.14 Reservation as to terms of offer.
The Secretary of the Treasury may at any time or from time to time supplement or amend the terms of this offering of bonds (this part), or of any amendments or supplements thereto.

Tables of Chechs Issued and Investarent Yields por United States 8avings Bonds of Series H
Each table shows: (1) The amounts of interest check payments during the current maturity period and during any uthorized subscquent maturity period, on bonds bearing issue dates covered by the table; (2) for each maturity period shown, the approximato investment yiald on the face value rom the beginning of such matury period to terest payment date to next maturity. Yields are expressed in terms of rate percent per annum, compounded semiterest pay

TABLE 1
bonds bearing issue dates beginning June 1, 1969


1 At all times, except that bond is not redeemable during first 6 months;
TABLE 2
BONDS BEARING ISSUE DATES FROM JUNE 1 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 1, 1952

Face value \begin{tabular}{llrrrrr}
\hline Issue price <br>
Redemption and maturity value.

$\quad$

$\$ 500$ <br>
\end{tabular}

| Period of time bond is held after maturity date | Amounts of interest checks for each denomination |  |  |  | (2) From beginning of extended maturity period to each interest payment date | (3) From each interest payment date to extended maturity ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EXT | $\begin{gathered} \text { NDED } \\ \text { PER } \end{gathered}$ | DATUF |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Percent | Percent |
| 1/2 year........................... ${ }^{1}(8 / 1 / 62)$ | \$0.37 | \$18.75 | \$93, 75 | \$187. 50 | 3.75 | 3.75 |
| 1 year ................................. $(2 / 1 / 63)$ | 9.37 | 18.75 | 98, 75 | 187. 50 | 3.75 | 3.75 |
| $11 / 2$ years........................... $(8 / 1 / 63)$ | 9.37 | 18.75 | 93,75 | 187. 50 | 3.75 | 3.75 |
| 2 years............................ $(2 / 1 / 64)$ | 9,37 | 18.75 | 93.75 | 187. 50 | 3.75 | 3.75 |
| $21 / 2$ years............................. $(8 / 1 / 64)$ | 9.37 | 18.75 | 93.75 | 187. 50 | 3.75 | 3.75 |
| 3 years ................................ ${ }^{\text {(2/1/65 }}$ ) | 9.37 | 18.75 | 93, 75 | 187.50 | 3. 75 | 3.75 |
| $31 / 2$ years... . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 ( $8 / 1 / 65$ ) | 9.37 | 18,75 | 93.75 | 187.50 | 3,75 | 3.75 |
| 4 years ....................... $2 / 1 / 66$ | 9,37 | 18.75 | 33.75 | 187.50 | 3. 75 | 4.15 |
| 4)/2 years............................. . $8 / 1 / 66$ ) | 9. 55 | 19.10 | 95, 50 | 191.00 | 3.76 | 4.19 |
| 5 years ............................... $2 / 1 / 67$ ) | 9. 55 | 19.10 | 95, 50 | 191.00 | 3.76 | 4.23 |
| 51/2 years .............. | 9.55 | 19.10 | 95.50 | 191.00 | 3.77 | 4. 28 |
| 6 years ................................ $(2 / 1 / 68)$ | 10.15 | 20.30 | 101. 50 | 203.00 | 3.79 | 4.31 |
| 61/2 years............................. $(8 / 1 / 68)$ | 10.15 | 20.30 | 101. 50 | 203.00 | 3, 81 | 4.44 |
| 7 years.............................. ${ }^{2 / 1 / 69}$ ( 8 ) | 10, 15 | 20.30 | 101. 50 | 203.00 | 3.82 | 4,51 |
| $7 / 2$ years ............................... $(8 / 1 / 69)$ | 10.60 | 21.20 | 106,00 | 212.00 | 3.85 | 5.00 |

Amounts of interest checks and investment yields to extended maturity on basis of June 1, 1969, revision

| 8 years. | (2/1/70) | 10.80 | 21. 60 | 108.00 | 216. 00 | 3.87 | 5. 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $81 / 2$ years | (8/1/70) | 11. 25 | 22. 50 | 112.50 | 225.00 | 3.90 | 5.42 |
| 9 years. | (2/1/71) | 12. 50 | 25, 00 | 125,09 | 250.00 | 3.96 | 5. 64 |
| $91 / 2$ years | (8/1/71) | 12,95 | 25.90 | 129. 50 | 259.00 | 4.01 | 6. 12 |
| 10 years (extended maturity) | (2/1/72) | 15.30 | 30.60 | 153.00 | 306.00 | 4.4 .09 |  |

[^14]TABLE 4
BONDS BEARING ISSUE DATES FROM APRIL 1 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 1,1953



## 

| 7 years. | (12/1/69) | 10.65 | 21. 30 | 106. 50 | 213,00 | 3.88 | 5. i3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $71 / 2$ years. | (6/1/70) | 11. 00 | 22.09 | 110.00 | 220.00 | 3.91 | 5. 29 |
| 8 years. | (12/1/70) | 11. 35 | 22. 70 | 113. 50 | 227, 00 | 3. 94 | 5. 49 |
| $81 / 2$ years | (6/1/71) | 12. 60 | 25. 20 | 126.00 | 252. 00 | 3.99 | 5. 64 |
| 9 years. | (12/1/71) | 12.95 | 25.90 | 129.50 | 259.00 | 4.05 | 5. 88 |
| 91/2 years | -(6/1/72) | 13. 30 | 26. 60 | 133.00 | 266. 00 | 4. 10 | 6. 46 |
| 10 years (extended maturity) ${ }^{3}$ | (12/1/72) | 16.15 | 32.30 | 161.50 | 323.00 | ${ }^{4} 4.20$ |  |

${ }^{1}$ Month, day, and year on which interest check is payable on issues of Apr. 1, 1953. For subsequent issue months
${ }_{2}^{2}$ Based on schedule of interest checks in effect on the interest payment date from which the yield is computed.
4 Yield from issue date to extended maturity date on bonds dated: Apr. 1 and May 1, 1953 is 3.59 percent; June
1 through Sept. 1,1953 is 3.60 percent.
TABLE 5
BONDS BEARING ISSUE DATES FROM OCTOBER 1, 1953 THROUGH MARCH 1, 1954

| Face value $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Issue price } \\ \text { Redemption and maturity value }\end{array}\right.$ | $\$ 500$ 500 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,000 \\ & 1,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} s 5,000 \\ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 10,000 \\ & 10,000 \end{aligned}$ | Approximate on face | nvestment yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period of time bond is held after maturity date | (1) Amounts of interest checks for each denomination |  |  |  | (2) Frombeginining ofextendedmaturityperiod toe eachinterest pay-ment date | (3) From each interest payment dat maturity |
|  | EXTENDED MATURITYPERIOD |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Percent | Percent |
| 1/2 year-........................- ${ }^{1(12 / 1 / 63)}$ | \$9.37 ${ }_{\text {9, }} \times 77$ | $\$ 18.75$ 18.75 | \$93.75 ${ }_{93}$ | $\$ 187.50$ 187.50 | 3.75 <br> 3. 75 <br> 15 | 3.75 <br> 3.75 |
| 11/2 years.-............................- ${ }^{(12 / 1 / 64 \text { ) }}$ | . 37 | 18.75 | 93.75 | ${ }^{1877.50}$ | 3.75 | 3. 75 |
|  | 9. 37 | 18.75 | -93.75 ${ }_{93}$ | 187.50 187.50 |  | 3. 75 <br> 4.15 |
|  | 3, 55 | 19.10 | ${ }^{95.50}$ | ${ }^{191.00}$ | 3. 76 | 4. 18 |
|  | 9.55 | 19.10 | ${ }^{955.50}$ | ${ }^{191.00}$ | 3.77 3.78 | 4.21 4.25 |
|  | . 95 | 19.90 | -95.50 | ${ }_{\text {199.00 }}^{19.00}$ | 3.78 3.80 | 4.27 4.2 |
|  | 9.95 | 19.90 | 99.50 | ${ }^{199.00}$ | 3. 81 | 4.41 |
|  | 9.95 10. 45 |  | 99.50 | 199.00 209.00 |  |  |



[^15]${ }^{1}$ Month, day, and year on which interest check is payable on issues of Apr. 1, 1954. For subsequent issue months
add the appropriate number of months.
${ }^{2}$ Based on schedule of interest checks in effect on the interest payment date from which the yield is computed. ${ }^{3} 19$ years and 8 months after issue date.
YYeld from issue date to extended maturity date on bonds dated: Apr. 1 and May 1, 1954 is 3.66 percent; June 1
through Sept. 1, 1954 is 3.68 percent. Yield from issue date to extended maturity date on bonds dated: Apr. 1 and May 1, 1954 is 3.66 percent; June
through Sept. 1, 1954 is 3.68 percent.
TABLE 6
BONDS BEARING ISSUE DATES FROM APRIL

| Face value $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Issue price.................. } \\ \text { Redemption and maturity value }\end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 500 \\ 500 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,000 \\ \mathbf{1 , 0 0 0} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,000 \\ 5,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 10,000 \\ 10,000 \end{array}$ | Approximate investment yield on face value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period of time bond is held after maturity date | (1) Amounts of interest checks for each denomination |  |  |  | (2) From beginning of extended maturity period to each interest payment date | (3) From each interest payment date to extended maturity ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | EXTENDED MATURITYPERIOD |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Percent | Percent |
| 1/2 year.......................... ${ }^{1}(6 / 1 / 64)$ | \$9.37 | \$18.75 | \$93. 75 | \$187. 50 | 3. 75 | 3. 75 |
| 1 year-.......................... $(12 / 1 / 64)$ | 9.37 | 18.75 | ${ }_{93}^{93} 75$ | 187. 50 | ${ }_{3}^{3.75}$ | 3.75 3.75 |
| $11 / 2$ years......................... $\left.{ }^{(6 / 1 / 65}\right)$ | 9.37 9.37 | 18.75 | 93.75 93.75 | 187.50 187.50 | 3. 75 3 3. 75 | 3. 75 4.15 |
|  | 9.37 9.55 | 18.75 19.10 |  | 191.00 | 3.76 | 4.18 |
|  | 9.55 | 19.10 | 95. 50 | 191. 00 | 3.77 | 4. 20 |
| $31 / 2$ years............................... $(6 / 1 / 67)$ | 9.55 | 19.10 | 95. 50 | 191.00 | 3.78 | 4. 24 |
| 4 years............................ $(12 / 1 / 67)$ | 9.55 | 19. 10 | 95.50 | 191.00 | 3.78 | 4.28 |
| 41/2 years.......................... $(6 / 1 / 68)$ | 10.15 | 20.30 | 101. 50 | 203.00 | 3.81 | 4. 40 |
| 5 years........................... $(12 / 1 / 68)$ | 10.15 | 20.30 | 101. 50 | 203.00 | 3.83 385 | 4. 4.4 |
| 51/2 years........................... (6/1/69) | 10.15 | 20.30 | 101. 50 |  | 3.85 | 5.00 |

Amounts of interest checks and investment yields to extended maturity on basis of June 1, 1969, revision



## TABLE 7

| $\text { Face value }\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Issue price.......................... } \\ \text { Redemption and maturity value } \end{array}\right.$ | $\$ 500$ 500 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,000 \\ 1,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,000 \\ 5,000 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 10,000 \\ & 10,000 \end{aligned}$ | Approximate investment yield on face value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period of time bond is held after maturitydate | (1) Amounts of interest checks for each denomination |  |  |  | (2) From beginning of extended maturity period to each ment date | (3) From each interest payment dateto extended maturity ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | EXTENDED MATURITYPERIOD |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Percent | Percent |
| ${ }^{1 / 2}$ year............................- ${ }^{1}$ 1 ${ }^{(12 / 1 / 84)}$ | 89.37 9.37 | $\$ 18.75$ 18.75 | \$93.75 | 5187.50 187.50 | 3.75 <br> 3.75 | 3.75 <br> 3.75 |
|  | 9.37 | 18.75 | 93.75 | 187.50 | 3.75 | 4.15 |
|  | ${ }^{9} .55$ | 19.10 | 95.50 9.50 | 191.00 | 3.77 | 4.17 |
|  | 9.55 | 19.10 | 955 95.50 | 191.00 191.00 | 3.78 <br> 3 | 4. 220 |
| 31/2 years...-- | 9.55 | ${ }^{19.10}$ | 95. 50 | ${ }^{191.00}$ | 3.79 | 4.27 |
|  | 10.10 | 20.20 | ${ }^{101.00}$ | 202.00 | 3.82 | 4.39 |
| 4/2 years........................- (12/1/68) | 10.10 | 20. 20 | 101.00 | ${ }^{202.00}$ | 3.84 | 4.43 |
| 5 years.............................(6/1/69) | 10.10 | 20.20 | 101.00 | 202.00 | 3.86 | 5. 00 |


t Month, day, and year on which interest check is payable on issues of Oct. 1, 1954. For subsequent issue months
add the appropriate number of months. 2 Based on shechedulo of interest checks in iffect on the interest payment date from which the yield is computed.
${ }^{2} 19$ vears ${ }^{1}$ Yield from issue date to extended maturity date on bonds dat d: Oct. 1 and Nov. 1, 1954 is 3.70 percent; Dee. 1 ,
1954 through Mar. 1, 1955 is 3.71 percent.


$$
\text { TABLE } 9
$$

| Face value $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Issue price } \\ \text { Redemption and maturity value. }\end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 500 \\ 500 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,000 \\ 1,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,000 \\ 5,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 10,000 \\ 10,000 \end{array}$ | Approximate investment yield on face value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) Amounts of interest checks for each denomination |  |  |  | (2) From beginning of extended maturity period to each interest payment date | (3) From each interest payment date to extended maturity ${ }^{2}$ |
| date | EXTENDED MATURITY |  |  |  |  |  |


| Face value $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Sssue price-........utionalie } \\ \text { Redemption and maturity value }\end{array}\right.$ | $\$ 500$ 500 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,000 \\ 1,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 5,000 \\ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 10,000 \\ 10,000 \end{array}$ | Approximate investment yield on face value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period of time bond is held after maturitydate | (1) Amounts of interest checks for each denomination |  |  |  | (2) From <br> beginning of extended maturity interest payment date | (3) From each interest payment date maturity ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | EXTENDED MATURITYPERIOD |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Percent ${ }_{4}$ |  |
|  | $\stackrel{\$ 10.37}{10.37}$ |  | \$103.75. | ${ }^{207207.50}$ | ${ }_{4.15}^{4.15}$ | 4. 15 |
|  | 10.37 | 20.75 | 103. 75 | ${ }^{207.50}$ | 4. 15 | 4.15 |
|  | 10.37 10.37 | ${ }^{20.75}$ | 103.75 | 207.50 20750 | 4.15 | 4. 4.15 |
|  | 10.37 | ${ }_{20.75}^{20.75}$ | 103.75 |  | 4.15 | ${ }_{4.26}^{4.25}$ |
|  | 10.37 | 20.75 | 103.75 | 207. 50 | 4. 15 | 5,00 |



$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{1} \text { Month, day, and year on which interest check is payable on issues of Apr. 1, 1956. For issues of May 1, } 1956 \text { add } \\
& \text { month. }
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& 319 \text { years and } 8 \text { months after issue date. } \\
& \text { Yield on purchase price from issue date to extended maturity is } 3.86 \text { percent. }
\end{aligned}
$$

рə7
${ }_{2}^{2}$ Based on schedule of interest checks in effect on the interest payment date from which the yield is computed
$\begin{aligned} & \text { \& Yield from issue date to extended maturity date on bonds dated: Oct. } 1 \text { and Nov. 1, } 1955 \text { is } 3.78 \text { percent; Dec. 1, } \\ & 1955 \text { through Mar. 1, } 1956 \text { is } 3.80 \text { percent. }\end{aligned}$

## TABLE 11

BONDS BEARING ISSUE DATES FROM JUNE 1 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 1, 1956

| Face value $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Issue price } \\ \text { Redemption and maturity value_ }\end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 500 \\ 500 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,000 \\ 1,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,000 \\ 5,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 10,000 \\ & 10,000 \end{aligned}$ | Approximate investment yield on face value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Perlod of time bond is held after maturity date | (1) Amounts of interest checks for each denomination |  |  |  | (2) From beginning of extended maturity period to each interest payment date | (3) From each interest payment date to extended maturity ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | EXTENDED MATURITYPERIOD |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Percent | Percent |
| 1/2 year ..........................- ${ }^{1}(8 / 1 / 66)$ | \$10.37 | \$20.75 | \$103. 75 | \$207. 200 20750 | 4. 15 | 4. 15 |
| 11 year-.......................................... $(8 / 1 / 67$ (87/67) |  | 20.75 20.75 | 103.75 | 207.50 207.50 | 4. 15 4.15 | 4. 15 4.15 |
| 2 years.................................... $(2 / 1 / 68)$ | 10.37 | 20.75 | 103.75 | 207. 50 | 4.15 | 4.15 |
| 21/2 years............................... (8/1/68) | 10.37 | 20.75 | 103. 75 | 207. 50 | 4.15 | 4. 25 |
| 3 years ...................................- (2/1/69) | 10.37 | 20.75 | 103.75 | 207. 50 | 4.15 | 4. 26 |
| 31⁄2 years........................... - 8 (8/1/69) | 10.37 | 20. 75 | 103.75 | 207. 50 | 4. 15 | 5.00 |

Amounts of interest checks and investment yields to extended maturity on basis of June 1, 1969, revision


Amounts of interest checks and investment yields to extended maturity on basis of June 1, 1969, revision
 ${ }^{1}$ Month, day, and year on which interest check is payable on issues of Oct. 1, 1956. For issues of Nov. 1, 1956 add
month. on schedule of interest checks in effect on the interest payment date from which the yield is computed.
2 Based
3 y 19 years and 8 months after issue date. 19 years and 8 months after issue date.
Yield on purchase price from issue daie to extended maturity is 3.89 percent. Yid on purche price rom

BONDS BEARING ISSUE DATES FROM OCTOBER 1 THROUGH NOVEMBER 1, 1956

| Face value $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Issue price } \\ \text { Redemption and maturity value. }\end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 500 \\ 500 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,000 \\ 1,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,000 \\ 5,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 10,000 \\ 10,000 \end{array}$ | Approximate investment yield on face value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) Amounts of interest checks for each denomination |  |  |  | (2) From beginning of extended maturity period to each interest payment date | (3) From |
| Period of time bond is held after maturity date | EXTENDED MATURITY |  |  |  |  | payment date to extended maturity ${ }^{2}$ |


| TABLE 14 BONDS BEARING ISSUE DATES FROM FEBRUARY 1 THROUGH MAY 1， 1957 |  |  |  <br>  <br>  <br> ミロロッタ <br>  <br> スセッロッ <br>  <br> によった －isicis <br>  주두정 क्रcㅓcㅓㅇㅜ |  |  <br>  <br>  <br> 888888888888888 <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> ํํํํํํํํํํํํํํํํ <br>  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  <br>  <br> 운운온옸운 <br>  <br> ఇセッセミタ゚ <br>  <br> にロット゚ロ <br>  <br> およかったかった <br>  <br>  त्रकमतनुत्व <br>  |  |  <br>  <br> 공N <br> 88888888888888 <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  |  |


| Face value | Issue price Redemption and maturityvalue | $\$ 500$ | $\$ 1,000$ | $\$ 5,000$ | $\$ 10,000$ | Approximate investment yield on face value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



| 21/2 years | (12/1/69) | 10. 50 | 21.00 | 105. 00 | 210.00 | 4.16 | 5. 07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 years. | (6/1/70) | 10.70 | 21. 40 | 107.00 | 214.00 | 4.18 | 5. 13 |
| $31 / 2$ years. | (12/1/70) | 10.95 | 21.90 | 109. 50 | 219.00 | 4.21 | 5. 20 |
| 4 years | (6/1/71) | 11. 20 | 22.40 | 112.00 | 224.00 | 4.24 | 5. 27 |
| 41/2 years. | (12/1/71) | 11.45 | 22.90 | 114. 50 | 229. 00 | 4.27 | 5.34 |
| 5 years. | (6/1/72) | 11.70 | 23.40 | 117.00 | 234.00 | 4.31 | 5. 42 |
| 51/2 years | (12/1/72) | 11.95 | 23.90 | 119.50 | 239.00 | 4.35 | 5. 50 |
| 6 years. | (6/1/73) | 12. 20 | 24.40 | 122. 00 | 244, 00 | 4.39 | 5. 59 |
| $61 / 2$ years. | (12/1/73) | 12.45 | 24.90 | 124.50 | 249.00 | 4. 43 | 5. 68 |
| 7 years. | (6/1/74) | 12.70 | 25, 40 | 127.00 | 254, 00 | 4.47 | 5.79 |
| 71/2 years. | (12/1/74) | 12.95 | 25,90 | 129.50 | 259.00 | 4.51 | 5. 92 |
| 8 years. | (6/1/75) | 13. 20 | 26. 40 | 132.00 | 264.00 | 4. 55 | 6.10 |
| $81 / 2$ years | (12/1/75) | 13. 50 | 27.00 | 135. 00 | 270.00 | 4. 59 | 6.34 |
| 9 years. | (6/1/76) | 13.75 | 27. 50 | 137. 50 | 275.00 | 4. 63 | 6.78 |
| $91 / 2$ years | (12/1/76) | 14. 05 | 28. 10 | 140. 50 | 281.00 | 4. 67 | 7.98 |
| 10 years (extended maturity) ${ }^{3}$ | (6/1/77) | 19.95 | 39.90 | 199.50 | 399.00 | 44.80 |  |

[^16]TABLE 18
BONDS BEARING ISSUE DATES FROM DECEMBER 1, 1958 THROUGH MAY 1, 1959

| Face value $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Issue price.................... } \\ \text { Redemption and }\end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 500 \\ 500 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,000 \\ 1,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,000 \\ 5,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 10,000 \\ 10,000 \end{array}$ | Approximate i on fac | vestment yield value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period of time bond is held after maturity date | (1) Amounts of interest checks for each denomination |  |  |  | (2) From beginning of extended maturity period to each interest payment date | (3) From each interest payment date to extended maturity ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | EXTENDED MATURITYPERIOD |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

${ }^{1}$ Month, day, and year on which interest check is payable on issues of Dec. 1, 1958. For subsequent issue month add the appropriate number of months.
${ }_{2}$ Based on schedule of interest checks in effect on the interest payment date from which the yield is computed 3 Yield on purchase price from issue date to extended maturity is 4.27 percent.
i

TABLE 17
BONDS BEARING ISSUE DATES FROM JUNE 1 THROUGH NOVEMBER 1, 1958

| Period of time bond is held after maturity date | (1) Amounts of interest checks for each denomination |  |  |  | (2) From beginning of extended maturity period to each interest payment date | (3) From each in terest payment date to extended maturity ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EXTH | $\underset{\text { PER }}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MATUR] } \\ & \text { IOD } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Percent | Percent |
| ${ }^{1 / 2}$ year-........................ ${ }^{1}{ }^{1}(12 / 1 / 68)$ | $\$ 10.37$ 10.37 | $\$ 20.75$ 20.75 | $\$ 103.75$ 103.75 | $\$ 207.50$ 207.50 | 4.15 4.15 | 4.26 5.00 |

Amounts of interest checks and investment yields to extended maturity on basis of June 1, 1969, revision



10 years (maturity)

## Period of time bond is held after maturity date $\quad$ EXTENDED MATURIT

 b) To extended ${ }_{\text {maturity }}{ }^{3}$
8888888888888888888
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 1/2 year-...................................................


[^17]TABLE 22
BONDS BEARING ISSUE DATES FROM DECEMBER 1,1960 THROUGH MAY 1, 1961

| Face value $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Issue price } \\ \text { Redemption }\end{array}{ }^{\text {a }}\right.$ and maturity value. | $\$ 500$ 500 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,000 \\ 1,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,000 \\ 5,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 10,000 \\ 10,000 \end{array}$ | Approximate investment yield on face value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period of time bond is held after issue date | (1) Amounts of interest checks for each denomination |  |  |  | (2) From issue date or maturity date to each interest payment date thereafter | (3) From each interest payment date (a) to maturity ${ }^{3}$ |
| 1/2 year .............................. ${ }^{2}$ (6/1/61) | \$4. 00 | \$8. 00 | \$40.00 | \$80.00 | Percent 1. 60 | Percent 3. 88 |
| 1 year ................................. $(12 / 1 / 61)$ | 7. 25 | 14.50 | 72.50 | 145.00 | 2. 25 | 3. 95 |
| 11/2 years .................................. $(6 / 1 / 62)$ | 8.00 | 16. 00 | 80.00 | 160.00 | 2. 56 | 4. 00 |
| 2 years.............................. $(12 / 1 / 62)$ | 10. 00 | 20. 00 | 100.00 | 200.00 | 2. 91 | 4. 00 |
| 21/2 years............................... (6/1/63) | 10.00 | 20.00 | 100.00 | 200.00 | 3. 12 | 4.00 |
| 3 years_.............................. $(12 / 1 / 63)$ | 10.00 | 20.00 | 100.00 | 200.00 | 3. 26 | 4. 00 |
| 31/2 years.............................. (6/1/64) | 10.00 | 20.00 | 100.00 | 200. 00 | 3. 36 | 4. 00 |
| 4 years................................. $(12 / 1 / 64)$ | 10.00 | 20.00 | 100.00 | 200.00 | 3. 44 | 4. 00 |
| 41/2 years............................. (6/1/65) | 10.00 | 20. 00 | 100.00 | 200.00 | 3. 49 | 4.00 |
| 5 years..............................-. $(12 / 1 / 65)$ | 10.00 | 20.00 | 100.00 | 200.00 | 3. 54 | 4. 40 |
| 51/2 years............................... $(6 / 1 / 66)$ | 10. 20 | 20.40 | 102.00 | 204, 00 | 3. 58 | 4. 44 |
| 6 years............................... $(12 / 1 / 66)$ | 10. 20 | 20. 40 | 102. 00 | 204. 00 | 3. 62 | 4. 49 |
| 61/2 years.............. | 10. 20 | 20. 40 | 102.00 | 204. 00 | 3. 65 | 4. 56 |
| 7 years................ | 11. 00 | 22. 00 | 110.00 | 220.00 | 3. 70 | 4. 58 |
| 71/2 years............................... $(6 / 1 / 68)$ | 11. 00 | 22. 00 | 110.00 | 220.00 | 3. 74 | 4. 72 |
| 8 years............................... $(12 / 1 / 68)$ | 11. 00 | 22. 00 | 110.00 | 220.00 | 3. 78 | 4. 81 |
| 81/2 years_............................ $(6 / 1 / 69)$ | 11.00 | 22.00 | 110.00 | 220.00 | 3.81 | 5. 00 |


8888888888888888888
 BONDS BEARING ISSUE DATES FROM JUNE 1 THROUGH NOVEMBER 1, 1960

|

1 At all times, except that bond was not redeemable during first 6 months.
2 Month, day, and year on which interest check is payable on issues of June 1, 1960. For subsequent issue months 3 Based on sciadule of interest checks in effect on the interest payment date from which the yield is computed. 120 years after issue date.
i Yield on purchase price from issue date to extended maturity is 4.34 percent.

Amounts of interest checks and investment yields to maturity on basis of June 1, 1969, revision

| 8 years <br> 81/2 years <br> 9 years. <br> 91/2 years. <br> 10 years (maturity) | $(12 / 1 / 69)$ $(6 / 1 / 70)$ $(12 / 1 / 70)$ $(6 / 1 / 71)$ $(12 / 1 / 71)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.35 \\ & 11.50 \\ & 12.45 \\ & 12.65 \\ & 14.75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.70 \\ & 23.00 \\ & 24.90 \\ & 25.30 \\ & 29.50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 113.50 \\ & 115.50 \\ & 124.50 \\ & 126.50 \\ & 147.50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 227.00 \\ & 230.00 \\ & 249.00 \\ & 253.00 \\ & 295.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.82 \\ & 3.86 \\ & 3.91 \\ & 3.96 \\ & 4.04 \end{aligned}$ | 5.12 5. 31 5.47 5.90 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{1}$ At all times, except that bond was not redeemable during first 6 months. <br> ${ }_{2}$ Month, day, and year on which interest check is payable on issues of December 1, 1961. For subsequent issue months add the appropriate number of months. <br> ${ }^{3}$ Based on schedule of interest checks in effect on the interest payment date from which the yield is computed. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 26
BONDS BEARING ISSUE DATES FROM DECEMBER 1, 1962 THROUGH MAY 1, 1963


| Amounts of interest checks and investment yields to maturity on basis of June 1, 1969, revision |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## TABLE 25

BONDS BEARING ISSUE DATES FROM JUNE 1 THROUGH NOVEMBER 1, 1962

| $00^{\circ} 9$ <br> $92^{\circ}$ <br> $89^{\circ}$ <br> 79 '7 <br> 19 ${ }^{7}$ <br> 25 <br> 歯 ${ }^{\circ}$ <br> 07 <br> $00^{\circ}$ <br> $00^{\circ} 7$ <br> $00{ }^{\circ}$ <br> $00^{\circ}$ <br> 96 '8 <br> $88^{\circ} 8$ <br> 7uәวฉล_ |  |  | $09.7 I I$ 09.901 09.901 09.901 00701 00.701 00.701 00.001 00.001 00.001 00.001 00.08 $09.2 L$ 00.07 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 К7! 07 อาвр диәแ <br>  чэва шодд (8) | өา8р ұนәแ <br> - Ked isorəqu! чวве 07 ө78р onsst uralig (z) | попуеитиоиәр чәвө <br>  |  |  |  |  |
| $\text { queun } \frac{1}{5} \otimes \Delta u$ |  eqยu!uxaddV | 000 '0I <br> 000 © 0 I\$ | $\begin{aligned} & 000 ‘ \mathrm{~s} \\ & 000 \times \text { § } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 000^{\prime} \mathrm{I} \\ & 000^{\circ} \mathrm{I} \$ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 009 \\ & 009 \$ \end{aligned}$ |  |

${ }_{2}^{1}$ At all times, except that bond was not redeemable during first 6 months.
${ }_{2}^{2}$ Month, day, and year on which interest check is payable on issues of June 1,1962 . For subsequent issue months ${ }^{2}$ Based on schedule of interest checks in effect on the interest payment date from which the yield is computed.

## TABLE 27

BONDS BEARING ISSUE DATES FROM JUNE 1 THROUGH NOVEMBER 1, 1963

| $00 \%$ <br> $99^{\circ}+$ <br> 69 ${ }^{7}$ <br> $6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ <br> 97 ' <br> 85\% <br> 07 ' T <br> 00 ' <br> $00{ }^{\circ}$ $88^{\circ} 8$ <br>  | IL ${ }^{\text {\& }}$ <br> 99. <br> $09{ }^{\circ}$ <br> $9 \%$ 97 8 <br> $88{ }^{\circ}$ <br> $2 z^{\circ} \%$ <br> 168 <br> 99.6 <br> $97 \%$ <br> 09 ' <br> ทиววเว |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| squanzвum оч өาвр ұนәш -К8d 7 รәдәри! чова шоди ( 8 ) | ө7вр чиәш <br>  <br> чова оч әұвр <br> onssi modit (z) |  <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { өпjहム } \\ \text { quəut } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0 рГण uluoiddy | $000^{\circ} 01$ $000^{\circ}$ Ors |  | $\begin{aligned} & 000^{\prime} \text { I } \\ & 000^{\prime} \text { IS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \mathrm{~g} \\ & 00 \mathrm{~s} 8 \end{aligned}$ |  | pur |  |  |

Amounts of interest checks and investment yields to maturity on basis-of June 1, 1969, revision
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Amounts of interest checks and investment yields to maturity on basis of June 1, 1969, revision
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10 years (maturity)
${ }^{1}$ At all times, except that bond was not redeemable during first 6 months.
2

TABLE 30
BONDS BEARING ISSUE DATES FROM DECEMBER 1 , 1954 THROUGH MAY 1,1965

| Face value $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Issue price } \\ \text { Redemption } 1 \text { and } \\ \text { and maturity }\end{array}\right.$ | $\$ 500$ 500 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,000 \\ & 1,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 5,000 \\ & 5,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 10,000 \\ 10,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Approximate investment yield on face value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period of time bond is held after issue date | (1) Amounts of interest checks for each denomination |  |  |  | (2) From issue interest payment dato | (3) From each interest payment date to maturity? |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 84.00 \\ & 7.25 \\ & 8.20 \\ & 10.20 \\ & 10.20 \\ & 10.20 \\ & 10.20 \\ & 10.65 \\ & 10.65 \\ & 10.65 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88.00 \\ & 14.50 \\ & 16.40 \\ & 20.40 \\ & 20.40 \\ & 20.40 \\ & 21.30 \\ & 21.30 \\ & 21.30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 40.000 \\ & 72.50 \\ & 82.00 \\ & 100.00 \\ & 102000 \\ & 102.00 \\ & 102.00 \\ & 100.50 \\ & 100.50 \\ & 106.50 \end{aligned}$ |  | Percent | Percent |
|  |  |  |  | \$80.00 145.00 | - ${ }_{2.65}^{2.60}$ | ${ }_{4}^{3.85}$ |
|  |  |  |  | 164.00 |  | 4.42 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }^{204.00}$ | ${ }_{3}^{2.95}$ | 4.45 |
|  |  |  |  | 204.00 20400 | 3.17 | 4.48 4.51 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{213.00}^{204.00}$ | 3.44 | ${ }_{4.63}^{4.51}$ |
|  |  |  |  | 213.00 | 3.54 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 213.00 | 3.61 | 5.00 |

Amounts of interest checks and favestment yields to maturity on basis of June 1, 1969, revision

 ${ }^{\text {add the appropriate number of months. }}{ }^{3}$ Based on schedule of interest checks in effect on the interest payment date from which the yield is computed. ${ }^{1}$ At all times, except that bond was not redeemable during first 6 months; . 1 .

TABLE 29
BONDS BEARING ISSUE DATES FROM JUNE 1 THROUGH NOVEMBER 1, 1964

| Face value $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Issue price } \\ \text { Redemption } \\ \text { value.............................................. }\end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 500 \\ 500 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,000 \\ 1,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,000 \\ 5,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 10,000 \\ 10,000 \end{array}$ | Approximat yield on | investment ace value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period of time bond is held after issue date | (1) Amounts of interest checks for each denomination |  |  |  | (2) From issue date to each interest payment date | (3) From each interest payment date to maturity ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4.00 \\ 7.25 \\ 8.00 \\ 10.20 \\ 10.20 \\ 10.20 \\ 10.20 \\ 10.70 \\ 10.70 \\ 10.70 \end{array}$ | $\$ 8.00$14.5016.0020.4020.4020.4020.4021.4021.4021,40 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 40.00 \\ 72.50 \\ 80.00 \\ 102.00 \\ 102.00 \\ 102.00 \\ 102.00 \\ 107.00 \\ 107.00 \\ 107.00 \end{array}$ | $\$ 80.00$145.00160.00204.00204.00204.00204.00214. 00214.00214.00 | Percent | Percent |
| ${ }^{1 / 2}$ year . ............................. ${ }^{2}$ (12/1/64) |  |  |  |  | 1.60 2.25 | 3.88 3.95 |
| 11/2 years....................................- $12 / 1 / 65$ ) |  |  |  |  | 2.56 | 4.40 |
| 2 years............................... $(6 / 1 / 66)$ |  |  |  |  | 2. 93 | 4.42 |
| 21/2 years |  |  |  |  | 3.15 | 4.45 |
| 3 years-............................ $(6 / 1 / 67)$ |  |  |  |  | 3. 30 | 4. 48 |
| 31/2 years........................... (12/1/67) |  |  |  |  | 3. 41 | 4. 52 |
| 4 years............................... 6 (6/1/68) |  |  |  |  | 3. 51 | 4,64 |
| 41/2 years........................... ${ }^{(12 / 1 / 88)}$ |  |  |  |  | 3. 59 | 4. 68 |
| 5 years............................-. $(6 / 1 / 69)$ |  |  |  |  | 3. 65 | 5.00 |

Amounts of interest checks and investment yields to maturity on basis of June 1, 1969, revision


TABLE 32
BONDS BEARING ISSUE DATES FROM DECEMBER 1, 1965 THROUGH MAY 1, 1966

| Face value $\{$ | Issue price <br> Redemption ${ }^{1}$ and maturity <br> value. | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 500 \\ 500 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,000 \\ 1,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,000 \\ 5,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 10,000 \\ 10,000 \end{array}$ | Approximate investment yield on face value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Period of time bond is held after issue
date $\quad$ (1) Amounts of interest checks for $\begin{gathered}\text { each denomination }\end{gathered} \begin{gathered}\text { (2) From issue } \\ \text { date to each } \\ \text { interest pay- } \\ \text { ment date }\end{gathered} \begin{gathered}\text { (3) From each } \\ \text { interest pay- } \\ \text { ment date to } \\ \text { maturity } 3\end{gathered}$

Amounts of interest checks and investment yields to maturity on basis of June 1, 1969, revision

1 At all times, except that bond was not redeemable during first 6 months.
2 Month, day, and year on which interest check is payable on issues of December 1, 1965. For subsequent issue
months add the appropriate number of months.
${ }^{3}$ Based on schedule of interest checks in effect on the interest payment date from which the yield is computed.

TABLE 34
BONDS BEARING ISSUE DATES FROM DECEMBER 1, 1966 THROUGH MAY 1, 1967

| Face value | $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { Issue price } \\ \text { Redemption } \\ \text { value.................................. }\end{array}\right.$ | $\$ 500$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,000 \\ 1,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,000 \\ 5,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 10,000 \\ 10,000 \end{array}$ | Approximate investment yield on face value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period of time bond is held after issue date |  | (1) Amounts of interest checks for each denomination |  |  |  | (2) From issue date to each interest payment date | (3) From each interest payment date to maturity ${ }^{3}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent | Percent |
| 1/2 year | .- ${ }^{2}(6 / 1 / 67)$ | \$5. 50 | \$11.00 | \$55.00 | \$110.00 | 2. 20 | 4. 27 |
|  | -(12/1/67) | 9. 70 | 19.40 | 97.00 | 194.00 | 3, 03 | 4. 30 |
| 11/2 years | (6/1/68) | 10. 75 | 21. 50 | 107. 50 | 215.00 | 3.45 | 4. 40 |
| 2 years. | (12/1/68) | 10.75 | 21. 50 | 107. 50 | 215.00 | 3. 3 35 | 4.41 |
| $21 / 2$ years | . (6/1/69) | 10.75 | 21. 50 | 107. 50 | 215.00 | 3. 78 | 5. 00 |

Amounts of interest checks and investment yields to maturity on basis of June 1, 1969, revision

TABLE 33
BONDS BEARING ISSUE DATES FROM JUNE 1 THROUGH NOVEMBER 1,1966




Amounts of interest checks and investment yields to maturity on basis of June 1, 1969, revision
${ }^{1}$ A Month, day, and year on which interest check is payable on issues of Dec. 1, 1966. For subsequent issue months add the appropriate number of months.
${ }^{3}$ Based on schedule of interest checks in effect on the interest payment date from which the yield is computed.

${ }^{2}$ Month, day, and year on which interest check is payable on issues of June 1, 1966. For subsequent issue months ${ }^{3}$ Based on schedule of interest checks in effect on the interest payment date from which the yield is computed.

| Face value $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Issue price } \\ \text { Redemption }{ }^{\text {a }} \text { ( and maturity } \\ \text { value }\end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 500 \\ 500 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,000 \\ 1,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 5,000 \\ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 10,000 \\ 10,000 \end{gathered}$ | Approximat yield on | investment ace value | Face value $\begin{gathered}\text { Redemption }{ }^{1} \text { and maturity } \\ \text { value........................ }\end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 500 \\ 500 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,000 \\ 1,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,000 \\ 5,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 10,000 \\ 10,000 \end{array}$ | Approximate yield on | investment ace value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period of time bond is held after issue date | (1) Amounts of interest checks for each denomination |  |  |  | (2) From issue date to each interest payment date | (3) From each interest payment date to maturity ${ }^{3}$ | Period of time bond is held after issue date |  | (1) Amounts of interest checks for each denomination |  |  |  | (2) From issue (3) From each <br> date to each interest pay- <br> interest pay- ment date to <br> ment date maturity ${ }^{3}$ |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5.50 \\ 9.70 \\ 10.75 \\ 10.75 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 11: 00 \\ \text { 19.40 } \\ 21.50 \\ 21.50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 55.00 \\ & 97.00 \\ & 107.50 \\ & 107.50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 110.00 \\ 194.00 \\ 215.00 \\ \text { 215.00 } \end{array}$ | Percent 2. 20 3.03 3.45 3.65 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Percent } \\ 4.27 \\ 4.40 \\ 4.41 \\ 5.00 \end{array}$ | 1/2 year <br> 1 year... <br> $11 / 2$ year | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{c} (6 / 1 / 68) \\ (12 / 1 / 68) \\ (6 / 1 / 69) \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5.50 \\ 9.70 \\ 10.75 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 11.00 \\ 19.40 \\ 21.50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 55.00 \\ 97.00 \\ 107.50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 110.00 \\ & 194.00 \\ & 215.00 \end{aligned}$ | Percent <br> 2. 20 <br> 3.45 | Percent <br> 4.37 <br> 4. 41 <br> 5. 00 |
| Amounts of interest checks and investment yields to maturity on basis of June 1, 1969, revision |  |  |  |  |  |  | Amounts of interest checks and investment yields to maturity on basis of June 1, 1969, revision |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21/2 years............................ ${ }^{(12 / 1 / 69}$ ) | 10,85 |  |  |  |  |  | $21 / 2$ years | (6/1/70) | 11.00 | 22.00 | 110. 00 | $\begin{aligned} & 277.00 \\ & 220.00 \end{aligned}$ | 3.66 <br> 3.81 |  |
| 3 years................................ $(6 / 1 / 70)$ | 11.05 | 22.10 | 110.50 | 221.00 | 3. 79 3.89 | 5. 5.11 | 3 years | (12/1/70) | 11. 20 | 22. 40 | 112.00 | 224.00 | 3.91 | 5. |
| 31/2 years........................... $(12 / 1 / 70)$ | 11.20 | 22. 40 | 112.00 | 224.00 | 3.97 |  | 4 y years. | (6/1/7) | 11.35 | 22.70 | 113. 50 | 227.00 | 4. 00 | . |
| 4 years............................. $(6 / 1 / 71)$ | 11. 40 | 22.80 | 114.00 | 228.00 | 4.03 | 5. 22 | $41 / 2$ years | (6/1/72) | 11. 11.75 | 23. 10 | 115.50 | ${ }_{235}^{231.00}$ | 4. 07 | 5.2 |
| 41/2 years ......................... $(12 / 1 / 71)$ | 11.60 | ${ }^{23 .} 20$ | 116. 00 | 232. 00 | 4.10 | 5. 29 | 5 years.. | (12/1/72) | 11. 90 | 23.50 23.80 | 117.50 119.00 | $\begin{aligned} & 235.00 \\ & 238.00 \end{aligned}$ | 4. 13 | 5. 5.40 |
| 5 years_...................................... $(6 / 1 / 72$ (1/72) | 11.80 12.00 | 23.60 24.00 | 118.00 | ${ }_{2}^{236.00}$ | 4.15 | 5.35 | $51 / 2$ years | (6/1/73) | 12. 10 | 24.20 | 121.00 | 242. 00 | 4. 4 | 5. 40 |
| 6 years..................................... $(6 / 1 / 73)$ | 12. 20 | 24. 40 | 122.00 | 244.00 | 4.25 | 5. 5.49 | 6 years | (12/1/73) | 12. 30 | 24. 60 | 123.00 | 246. 00 | 4. 29 | 5. |
| 61/2 years............................ $(12 / 1 / 73)$ | 12. 40 | 24.80 | 124.00 | 248.00 | 4. 30 | 5. 58 | $7 \text { years... }$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 12.50 \\ & 12.70 \end{aligned}$ | 25. 00 <br> 25. 40 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 125. } 00 \\ & 127.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 250.00 \end{aligned}$ | $4.34$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.63 \\ & 5.73 \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 years......................................(12/1/74) | 12.60 12.80 | 25. 20 25. 60 | 126.00 128.00 | 252.00 256 | 4.35 | ${ }_{5}^{5.68}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71 / 2 \text { years. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (12 / 1 / 74) \\ -(61 / 75) \end{gathered}$ | $12.90$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.40 \\ & 25.80 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 127.00 \\ & 129.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 254.00 \\ & 258.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4. } 38 \\ & 4.43 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.73 \\ & 5.85 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 12.80 | 25. 60 | 128.00 | 256.00 | 4. 39 | 5. 80 | 8 years.. | $(12 / 1 / 75)$ | $12.10$ | $26.20$ | $131.00$ | $\begin{array}{r} 258.00 \\ .262 .00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4. } 43 \\ & \text { 4. } 47 \end{aligned}$ | 5.85 |
| 8 years.................................... $(6 / 1 / 75)$ | 13.00 13.25 | 26.00 26.50 | 130. 00 | 260.00 | 4. 43 | 5. 96 | $81 / 2$ years. | (6/1/76) | 13. 30 | 26.60 | 133.00 | 266.00 | 4.51 | 6. 26 |
| 9 years....-..........................- $(6 / 1 / 76)$ | 13.45 | 26.90 | 134. 50 | 269.00 | 4.45 4.52 | 6.19 6.61 | 98 years... | (12/1/76) | 13. 50 | ${ }_{27}^{27.00}$ | 135.00 | 270.00 | 4.55 | 6.71 |
| $93 / 2$ years .......................... $(12 / 1 / 76)$ | 13.65 | 27.30 | 136.50 |  |  |  | 10 years (maturity)................ (12/1/77) |  | 13.70 | 27,40 | 137.00 | 274.00 | 4. 59 | 7. 98 |
| 10 years (maturity) ................. $(6 / 1 / 77)$, | 19.50 | 39,00 | 195.00 | 390.00 | 4.68 | 7.80 |  |  | 19.95 | 39.90 | 199.50 | 399.00 | 4.72 …............ |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ At all times, except that bond was not redeemable during first 6 months. <br> ${ }^{2}$ Month, day, and year on which interest check is payable on issues of June 1, 1967. For subsequent issue months <br> dd the appropriate number of months. <br> ${ }^{3}$ Based on schedule of interest checks in effect on the interest payment date from which the yield is computed. |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{1}$ At all times, except that bond was not redeemable during first 6 months. <br> ${ }_{2}^{2}$ Month, day, and year on which interest check is payable on issues of Dec. 1,1967. For subsequent issue months <br> add the appropriate number of months. <br> ${ }^{3}$ Based on schedule of interest checks in effect on the interest payment date from which the yield is computed. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 37
BONDS BEARING ISSUE DATES FROM JUNE 1 THROUGH NOVEMBER 1,1968

| $\text { Facevalue }\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Issue price__............................ } \\ \text { Redemption }{ }^{1} \text { and maturity value } \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 500 \\ 500 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,000 \\ 1,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 5,000 \\ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 10,000 \\ 10,000 \end{array}$ | Approximate investment yield on face value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period of time bond is held after issue date | (1) Amounts of interest checks for each denomination |  |  |  | (2) From issue date to each interest payment date | (3) From each interest payment date to maturity ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1/2 year_-...............................................1/1/69) $^{2}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5.50 \\ 9.70 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 11.00 \\ 19.40 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 55.00 \\ & 97.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 110.00 \\ 194.00 \end{array}$ | Percent 2.20 3.03 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Percent } \\ 4.38 \\ 5.00 \end{array}$ |
| Amounts of interest checks and in | stm | ds | ari | basis | une 1, 1969, | sion |
|  | 10.85 11.00 11.15 11.35 11.50 11.65 11.85 12.80 12.20 12.40 12.55 12.75 12.95 13.95 13.15 13.35 13.55 13.75 20.45 | 21.70 22.00 22.30 22.70 23.00 23.30 23.70 24.00 24.00 24.80 24.80 25.10 25.50 25.90 26.30 26.70 27.10 27.50 40.90 | 108. 50 <br> 110.00 <br> 111. 50 <br> 115. 00 <br> 116. 50 <br> 120.00 <br> 122. 00 <br> 124.00 125.50 <br> 127. 50 <br> 129.50 131.50 <br> 133. 50 <br> 137. 50 <br> 204.50 | $\begin{aligned} & 217.00 \\ & 220.00 \\ & 223.00 \\ & 227.00 \\ & 23700 \\ & 230.00 \\ & 233.00 \\ & 237.00 \\ & 240.00 \\ & 244.00 \\ & 248.00 \\ & 251.00 \\ & 255.00 \\ & 259.00 \\ & 269.00 \\ & 263.00 \\ & 267.00 \\ & 271.00 \\ & 275.00 \\ & \text { 275.00 } \\ & \hline 09.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.46 \\ & 3.69 \\ & 3.84 \\ & 3.95 \\ & 4.04 \\ & 4.11 \\ & 4.17 \\ & 4.23 \\ & 4.28 \\ & 4.33 \\ & 4.38 \\ & 4.42 \\ & 4.46 \\ & 4.51 \\ & 4.55 \\ & 4.58 \\ & 4.62 \\ & 4.76 \end{aligned}$ | 5.05 5.05 5.10 5.15 5.20 5.25 5.31 5.31 5.37 5.54 5.51 5.58 5.67 5.78 5.98 6.91 6.08 6.34 6.82 8.18 |

[^18]BONDS BEARING ISSUE DATES FROM JUN
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{r}
\text { TABLE } 38 \\
\text { bonds bearing issue dates from decem }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

## APPENDIX

Maturities and summary of investment yields to maturlty and extended maturity dates under regulations heretolore prescribed for Series H bonds with lssue dates June 1, 1952, through May 1, 1969 (rates percent per annum, compounded semiannualiy).

[F.R. Doc. 70-797; Filed, Jan. 20, 1970; 8:49 a.m.]


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Piled as part of original document. Coples available from the U.S. Naval Publications and Forms Center, 5801 Tabor Avenue, Philadelphia, Pa. 19120, Code 300.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Three communities are involved in the interchange due to the need to meet minimum mileage separation requlrements. No simple drop-in is available to replace Channel 47 in Columbus.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ On Oct. 1, 1969, a review of the Commission's flles indicated that 38 pending matters under $\$ 74.1103$ involved systems with fewer than 500 subscribers. Yet this apparently substantial backlog involved fewer than 19,000 subscribers ( $38 \times 499=18,982$ ) throughout the entire United States.
    a Commissioner Cox concurring in the result.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Aloha Alrilnes, Inc., American Airlines, Inc.. Braniff Airways, Inc., Continental Air Lines, Inc., Delta Air Lines, Inc., Eastern Air Lines, Inc., National Airlines, Inc., Southern Airways, Inc., Trans World Alrlines, Inc., United Air Lines, Inc. and Western Air Lines, Inc.
    = An Airline Traffic Office is operated as a partnership or joint venture; a Consolidated Airline Ticket Omfice is organized as a corporation wholly owned by two or more parties. A General Agent's Omflee and a Travel Agent's Office are operated by those persons in accordance with the regulations of the International Alr Transport Association.

[^4]:    ${ }^{2}$ Northwest replaced Southern Airways, Inc., as a party to the agreement. ${ }^{\text {'By }}$ By letter dated Sept. 9, 1969, Pan AmerIcan requested that the Board take full cognizance of its arrangement with the Deparices int of Dofense to provide ticketing services in South Vietnam.

[^5]:    ${ }^{5}$ The Board construes the agreement to require the prior approval of DOD for the establishment of facilities described in the agreement at overseas military installations.

    - Originally Agreement OAB 5025, approved by Order E-5444, June 14, 1951; currently Agreement CAB 19994, approved by Order E-26228, Jan. 9, 1968.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ We wish to stress that we are not using the term "substantially" in any sense of partial performance in the public interest. On the contrary, as the discussion within makes clear, it is used in the sense of "solld," "strong," etc. (see p. 3, supra) performance as contrasted with a service only minimally meeting the needs and interests of the area. In short, we would distinguish between two types of situations-one where the licensee has served the public interest but in the least permissible fashion still sufficlent to get a renewal in the absence of competing applications (defined herein as minimal service) and the other where he fined herein is an ample, solld fashion (defined herein as substantial service).

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Does not consolidate for hearing or dispose of the several matters herein.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ Twelfth Revised Sheet No. 4; Fourth Re-
    vised Sheet No. 5; Eighth Revised Sheet No. 6-A.

    2 Transwestern has filed tracking rate increases in Docket No, RP69-27 totaling 1.46 cents per Mcf in the CDQ-1 rate and $1: 71$ cents per Mcf in the ODQ-2 rate.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ Filed as part of the original document. Copies avallable upon request to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551, or to the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta.

[^10]:    ${ }^{2}$ Voting for this action: Vice Chairman Robertson and Governors Mitchell, Daane, Malsel, and Sherrill. Absent and not voting: Chairman Martin and Governor Brimmer.

[^11]:    ${ }^{2}$ Coples may be obtained on application to any Federal Reserve Bank or Branch or the Bureau of the Public Debt, Washington, D.C. 20220, or its Chicago Office, 536 South Clark Street, Chicago, III. 60605.

[^12]:    ${ }^{3}$ Commercial banks, as defined in $\$ 315.7$ (c) (1), Department Circular No. 530 , current revision, for this purpose are those accepting demand deposits.
    'Investors who purchased less than $\$ 5,000$ (face amount) of the bonds prior to the effective date of these regulations will be entitled only to purchase enough to bring their total for the year to that amount. Investors Who purchased more than that amount prior to the effective date will not be entitled to purchase additional bonds during the calendar year.
    ${ }^{5}$ The proceeds of redemption of bonds of Serles F, G, J, and K, all now matured, may be used by owners to purchase Serles H bonds without regard to the limitation under the conditions and restrictions set forth in $\$ 332.5$ (b) of the Fourth Revision of this circular.
    ${ }^{\text {e }}$ Serles J bonds became ineliglble for exchange under Department Circular No. 1036, as amended, on Nov. 1, 1869.
    ${ }^{\text {es }}$ The number required to be used on tax returns and other documents submitted to the Internal Revenue Service (an individual's social security account number or employer identification number). If the coowners are husband and wife, the husband's number should be furnished. If the coowners are a minor and an adult, the adult's number should be furnished.

[^13]:    ${ }^{7}$ The tables incorporated herein, arranged according to issue dates, show the current schedules of Interest payments and Investment yields.
    *See appendix for maturities and summary of investment yields to maturity and extended maturity dates under regulations heretofore prescribed for Series H bonds with issue dates June 1, 1952, through May 1, 1969.

[^14]:    Month, day, and year on which interest check is payable on issues of June 1, 1952. For subsequent issue months add the appropriate number of months.
    2 Based on schedule of interest checks in effect on the interest payment date from which the yield fs computed.
    ${ }^{19}$ years and 8 months after issue date.
    4 Yleld on purchase price from issue date to extended maturity is 3.53 percent.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ Month, day, and year on which interest check is payable on issues of Oct. 1,1953 . For subsequent issue month
    add the appropriate number of months.
    ${ }^{2}$ Based on schedule of interest checks in effect on the interest payment date from which the yield is computed.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ Month, day, and year on which interest check is payable on issues of June 1, 1957. For subsequent issue months
    add the appropriate number of months.
    2 Based on schedule of interest checks in effect on the interest payment date from which the yield is computed.
    ${ }_{2}^{2}$ Based on schedule of interest checks in effect on the interest payment date from which the yield is computed,

[^17]:    ${ }^{3}$ Based on schedule of interest checks in effect on the interest payment date 20 years after issue date.
    Yield on purchase price from issue date to extended maturity is 4.32 percent.

[^18]:    1 At all times, except that bond was not redeemable during first 6 months.
    2 Month, day, and year on which interest check is payable on issues of June 1, 1968. For subsequent issue months
    add the appropriate number of months. ${ }_{8}$ Based on schedule of interest checks in effect on the interest payment date from which the yield is computed.

