federal register

FRIDAY, JULY 9, 1971
WASHINGTON, D.C.
Volume 36 ■ Number 132
Pages 12889–12959

(Part II begins on page 12951)

HIGHLIGHTS OF THIS ISSUE

This listing does not affect the legal status of any document published in this issue. Detailed table of contents appears inside.

(Continued inside)

FEDERAL REGISTER

Area Code 202 Phone 962-8626

Published daily, Tuesday through Saturday (no publication on Sundays, Mondays, or on the day after an official Federal holiday), by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20408, pursuant to the authority contained in the Federal Register Act, approved July 26, 1935 (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., Ch. 15), under regulations prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the President (1 CFR Ch. I). Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for $2.50 per month or $25 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies is 20 cents for each issue, or 20 cents for each group of pages as actually bound. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended (44 U.S.C. 1510). The CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each month.

Contents

(Continued on next page)

List of CFR Parts Affected

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, appears following the Notices section of each issue beginning with the second issue of the month.

A cumulative guide is published separately at the end of each month. The guide lists the parts and sections affected by documents published since January 1, 1971, and specifies how they are affected.

5 CFR

213 (2 documents) 12893
733 12893

7 CFR

919 12893
945 12894
1063 12895

PROPOSED RULES:

906 12908
917 12908

12 CFR

222 12894

PROPOSED RULES:

222 12915

14 CFR

71 (5 documents) 12896–12897

PROPOSED RULES:

39 (2 documents) 12910
71 (5 documents) 12911–12912
103 12913

21 CFR

420 (3 documents) 12898–12901

PROPOSED RULES:

8 12908

36 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

7 12907

41 CFR

9–17 12901

PROPOSED RULES:

3–5 12909

43 CFR

PUBLIC LAND ORDER:

5069 12903

PROPOSED RULES:

3540 12907

46 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

2 12909
146 12909

47 CFR

1 (2 documents) 12903, 12904
2 12905
15 12905

PROPOSED RULES:

2 12914
73 12914

49 CFR

171 12913
174 12913
175 12913
177 12913

Rules and Regulations

Title 5—ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL

Chapter I—Civil Service Commission

PART 213—EXCEPTED SERVICE

Department of the Army

Section 213.3107 is amended to show that in the Defense Systems Management School, Fort Belvoir, Va., the positions of Deputy Commandant and professors in grades GS–13 through GS–15 are excepted under Schedule A.

Effective on publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (7–9–71), paragraph (i) is added to § 213.3107 as set out below.

§ 213.3107 Department of the Army.

* * * * *

(i) *Defense Systems Management School, Fort Belvoir, Va.* (1) The Deputy Commandant and professors in grades GS–13 through 15.

(5 U.S.C. secs. 3301, 3302, E.O. 10577; 3 CFR 1954–58 Comp., p. 218)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,
Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[FR Doc.71-9743 Filed 7-8-71;8:53 am]

PART 213—EXCEPTED SERVICE

Department of Commerce

Section 213.3114 is amended to show that the Schedule A authority for temporary appointment to industrial and marketing specialist positions, GS–12 through 15, to meet special needs in the Bureau of Domestic Commerce also may be used by the Office of Textiles and the Office of Import Programs, which were formerly part of the Bureau but now report directly to the Assistant Secretary for Domestic and International Business.

Effective on publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (7–9–71), subparagraph (3) of paragraph (i) of § 213.3114 is amended as set out below.

§ 213.3114 Department of Commerce.

* * * * *

(i) *Office of the Assistant Secretary for Domestic and International Business.*

* * *

(3) Not to exceed 30 positions in grades GS–12 through 15, to be filled by persons qualified as industrial or marketing specialists, who possess specialized knowledge and experience in industrial production, industrial operations and related problems, market structure and trends, retail and wholesale trade practices, distributions channels and costs, or business financing and credit practices applicable to one or more of the current segments of industry served by the Bureau of Domestic Commerce, the Office of Textiles, and the Office of Import Programs. Appointments under this authority may be made for a period of not to exceed 2 years and may, with prior approval of the Commission, be extended for an additional period of 2 years.

(5 U.S.C. secs. 3301, 3302, E.O. 10577; 3 CFR 1954–58 Comp., p. 218)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,
Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[FR Doc.71-9742 Filed 7-8-71;8:53 am]

PART 733—POLITICAL ACTIVITIES OF FEDERAL EMPLOYEES

Subpart D—The U.S. Postal Service

Part 733 is amended by adding a new Subpart D setting forth the jurisdiction of the Civil Service Commission, and the applicable procedures, relative to political activity complaints against employees of the U.S. Postal Service. The new Subpart D, which is effective July 1, 1971, reads as follows:

Sec.
733.401 Jurisdiction.
733.402 Procedure.

AUTHORITY: The provisions of this Subpart D issued under 5 U.S.C. 1308, 3301, 3302, 7324, 7325, 42 U.S.C. 2729, E.O. 10577; 3 CFR 1954–58 Comp.

§ 733.401 Jurisdiction.

Sections 733.111–733.124 apply to an employee of the U.S. Postal Service. By agreement with this agency, the Civil Service Commission investigates and adjudicates an allegation of political activity in violation of these sections by a covered agency employee.

§ 733.402 Procedure.

The procedures of sections 733.131–733.137 apply to an action taken by the Civil Service Commission against an employee over whom it has jurisdiction under this subpart.

(5 U.S.C. secs. 1308, 3301, 3302, 7324, 7325, 7327, 42 U.S.C. sec. 2729, E.O. 10577; 3 CFR 1954–58 Comp.)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,
Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[FR Doc.71-9744 Filed 7-8-71;8:53 am]

Title 7—AGRICULTURE

Chapter IX—Consumer and Marketing Service (Marketing Agreements and Orders; Fruits, Vegetables, Nuts), Department of Agriculture

[Peach Reg. 9]

PART 919—PEACHES GROWN IN THE COUNTY OF MESA IN THE STATE OF COLORADO

Grades and Sizes

Findings. (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 919, as amended (7 CFR Part 919), regulating the handling of peaches grown in the county of Mesa in the State of Colorado, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674), and upon the basis of the recommendations of the Administrative Committee, established under the aforesaid amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of shipments of such peaches, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) The recommendations by the Administrative Committee reflect its appraisal of the crop and current and prospective market conditions. Shipments of peaches from the production area are expected to begin on or about July 10, 1971. The grade and size requirements provided herein are necessary to prevent the handling, on and after July 10, 1971, of any peaches of lower grades and smaller sizes than those herein specified, so as to provide consumers with good quality fruit, consistent with the overall quality of the crop, while maximizing returns to the producers pursuant to the declared policy of the act. The grade and size requirements reflect the necessity for eliminating the least desirable grades and sizes; the committee's estimate of the percentage of the fruit that will be eliminated by such requirements; and the quantity of the more desirable grades and sizes which will be available for shipment after such elimination.

(3) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rulemaking procedure, and postpone the effective date of this regulation until 30 days after publication hereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) in that, as hereinafter set forth, the time intervening between the date when information upon which this regulation is based became available and the time when this regulation must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of

the act is insufficient; a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective not later than July 10, 1971. A reasonable determination as to the supply of, and the demand for, such peaches must await the development of the crop and adequate information thereon was not available to the Administrative Committee until June 24, 1971; recommendations as to the need for, and the extent of, regulation of shipments of such peaches were made by said committee on June 24, 1971, after consideration of all information then available relative to the supply and demand conditions for such peaches, at which time the recommendation and supporting information were submitted to the Department on June 29, 1971, and made available to growers and handlers; shipments of the currrent crop of peaches are expected to begin on or about the effective date hereof; and this regulation should be applicable, insofar as practicable, to all shipments of such peaches in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act; and compliance with this regulation will not require of handlers any preparation therefor which cannot be completed by the effective time hereof.

§ 919.310 Peach Regulation 9.

(a) *Order.* During the period July 10 through August 13, 1971, no handler shall ship:

(1) Any peaches of any variety which do not grade at least U.S. No. 1 grade;

(2) Any peaches of any variety which are of a size smaller than 2⅛ inches in diameter: *Provided,* That any lot of peaches shall be deemed to be of a size not smaller than 2⅛ inches in diameter (i) if not more than 10 percent, by count, of such peaches in such lot are smaller than 2⅛ inches in diameter; and (ii) if not more than 15 percent, by count, of the peaches contained in any individual container in such lot are smaller than 2⅛ inches in diameter.

(b) *Definitions.* As used herein, "peaches," "handler," "ship," and "varieties" shall have the same meaning as when used in the aforesaid amended marketing agreement and order; "U.S. No. 1," "diameter," and "count," shall have the same meaning as when used in the U.S. Standards for Peaches (7 CFR 51.1210–51.1223).

(Secs. 1–19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601–674)

Dated: July 2, 1971.

PAUL A. NICHOLSON,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.

[FR Doc.71-9637 Filed 7-8-71;8:45 am]

PART 945—IRISH POTATOES GROWN IN CERTAIN DESIGNATED COUNTIES IN IDAHO AND MALHEUR COUNTY, OREGON

Limitation of Shipments

Notice of rule making with respect to a proposed limitation of shipments regulation, to be made effective under Marketing Agreement No. 98 and Order No. 945, both as amended (7 CFR Part 945) regulating the handling of Irish potatoes grown in designated counties in Idaho and Malheur County, Oreg., was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, June 29, 1971 (36 F.R. 12238). This program is effective under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.).

The notice afforded interested persons an opportunity to file written data, views, or arguments pertaining thereto not later than 3 days after publication. None was filed.

After consideration of all relevant matters presented, including the proposal set forth in the aforesaid notice which was recommended by the Idaho-Eastern Oregon Potato Committee, established pursuant to said marketing agreement and order, it is hereby found and determined that this limitation of shipments regulation, as hereinafter set forth, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

The recommendations by the Idaho-Eastern Oregon Potato Committee reflect its appraisal of the crop and prospective market conditions. Shipments of new crop potatoes from the production area are expected to begin about mid-July, however, storage potatoes from last year's crop will be shipped during the first 2 weeks of July. The proposed regulation provided herein is necessary to prevent potatoes of lower grades, undesirable sizes, and potatoes of lesser maturities from being distributed in the channels of commerce to improve the returns to producers for preferred grades and sizes. The specific requirements, hereinafter set forth, regulate the handling of potatoes by grade, size, cleanliness, and maturity so as to (1) promote orderly marketing, (2) standardize the quality of the potatoes shipped from the production area, and (3) maximize returns to the producers pursuant to the declared policy of the act.

The proposed regulation with respect to special purpose shipments for other than fresh market use is designed to meet the different requirements for such outlets.

It is hereby found that good cause exists for not postponing the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) in that shipments of potatoes grown in the production area are currently being marketed and the regulation should become effective at the time herein provided to maximize the benefits to producers. Idaho-Eastern Oregon Potato Committee held an open meeting June 18, 1971, to consider recommendations for a limitation of shipments regulation, after giving due notice of such meeting, and interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit their views at this meeting; information regarding the provisions of the recommendation by the committee has been disseminated among the growers and handlers of potatoes in the production area; compliance with this section will not require any special preparation of potato sorting and packing equipment on the part of handlers subject thereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective time hereof.

§ 945.330 Limitation of shipments.

During the period beginning the effective date hereof through July 31, 1972, no person shall handle any lot of potatoes unless such potatoes meet the requirements of paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section, or unless such potatoes are handled in accordance with paragraphs (c), (d), and (e) of this section.

(a) *Minimum quality requirements*—(1) *Grade.* All varieties—U.S. No. 2, or better grade.

(2) *Size.* (i) Round red varieties—1⅞ inches minimum diameter.

(ii) All other varieties—2 inches minimum diameter, or 4 ounces minimum weight.

(iii) All varieties—Size B if U.S. No. 1, or better grade.

(iv) When containers of long varieties of potatoes are marked with a count or similar designation they must meet the weight range for the count designation listed below:

Count designation	*Weight range*
Larger than 50 count	15 ounces or larger.
50 count	12–19 ounces.
60 count	10–16 ounces.
70 count	9–15 ounces.
80 count	8–13 ounces.
90 count	7–12 ounces.
100 count	6–10 ounces.
110 count	5–9 ounces.
120 count	4–8 ounces.
130 count	4–8 ounces.
140 count	4–8 ounces.
Smaller than 140 count	4–8 ounces.

The following tolerances, by weight, are provided for potatoes in any lot which fail to meet the weight range for the designated count:

(*a*) Not to exceed 5 percent for undersize; and,

(*b*) Not to exceed 10 percent for oversize

(3) *Cleanliness.* All varieties—"Generally fairly clean."

(b) *Minimum maturity requirements*—(1) *White Rose and red skin varieties.* During the period beginning the effective date of this section through December 31, 1971, "moderately skinned" and thereafter they may be handled without regard to the maturity requirements.

(2) *All other varieties.* "Slightly skinned."

(3) *Exceptions.* (i) Subject to compliance with subdivision (iii) of this subparagraph, any lot of potatoes not exceeding a total of 50 hundredweight of each variety may be handled for any producer without regard to the foregoing maturity requirements.

(ii) If an officially inspected lot of potatoes meets the foregoing maturity requirements, but fails to meet the grade and size requirements, the lot may be regraded. If, after regrading, such lot then meets the grade and size requirements but fails to meet the maturity requirements, as indicated by the applicable Federal-State inspection certificate, such lot if not exceeding 100 hundredweight shall be exempt from the foregoing maturity requirements: *Provided*, That the handler complies with subdivision (iii) of this subparagraph.

(iii) Prior to each shipment of potatoes exempt from the foregoing maturity requirements, the handler thereof shall report to the committee the name and address of the producer of such potatoes, and each such shipment shall be handled as an identifiable entity.

(c) *Special purpose shipments.* (1) The minimum grade, size, cleanliness, and maturity requirements set forth in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section shall not be applicable to shipments of potatoes for any of the following purposes:

(i) Charity;

(ii) Certified seed;

(iii) Seed pieces cut from stock eligible for certification as certified seed;

(iv) Experimentation;

(v) Canning, freezing, and "other processing" as hereinafter defined.

Provided, That shipments of potatoes for the purposes specified in subdivision (v) of this subparagraph shall be exempt from inspection requirements specified in § 945.65 and from assessment requirements specified in § 945.42.

(2) The minimum grade, size, cleanliness, and maturity requirements set forth in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section shall be applicable to shipments of potatoes for each of the following purposes:

(i) Export: *Provided*, That potatoes of a size not smaller than 1⅛ inches in diameter may be shipped if the potatoes grade not less than U.S. No. 2; and

(ii) Prepeeling: *Provided*, That potatoes of a size not smaller than 1½ inches in diameter may be shipped if the potatoes grade not less than Idaho Utility or Oregon Utility grade.

(d) *Safeguards.* Each handler making shipments of potatoes for charity, seed pieces cut from stock eligible for certification, experimentation, canning, freezing, and "other processing" as hereinafter defined, export, or for prepeeling pursuant to paragraph (c) of this section shall:

(1) First, apply to the committee for and obtain a Certificate of Privilege to make each shipment;

(2) Upon request by the committee, furnish reports of each shipment pursuant to the applicable Certificate of Privilege;

(3) At the time of applying to the committee for a Certificate of Privilege, or promptly thereafter, furnish the committee with a receiver's or buyer's certification that the potatoes so handled are to be used only for the purpose stated in the application and that such receiver will complete and return to the committee such periodic receiver's reports that the committee may require;

(4) Mail to the office of the committee a copy of the bill of lading for each Certificate of Privilege shipment promptly after the date of shipment;

(5) Bill each shipment directly to the applicable processor or receiver.

(e) *Minimum quantity exception.* Each handler may ship up to, but not to exceed, 5 hundredweight of potatoes any day without regard to the inspection and assessment requirements of this part, but this exception shall not apply to any shipment that exceeds 5 hundredweight of potatoes.

(f) *Definitions.* The terms "U.S. No. 1," "U.S. No. 2," "Size B," "fairly clean," "moderately skinned," and "slightly skinned," shall have the same meaning as when used in the U.S. Standards for Potatoes (§§ 51.1540–51.1556 of this title), including the tolerances set forth therein. The term "generally fairly clean" means that at least 90 percent of the potatoes in a given lot are "fairly clean." But on and after September 1, 1971, such terms shall have the same meaning as when used in said standards, as amended, effective September 1, 1971 (35 F.R. 18257) including the tolerances set forth therein. The term "prepeeling" means potatoes which are clean, sound, fresh tubers prepared commercially in a prepeeling plant by washing, removal of the outer skin or peel, trimming, and sorting preparatory to sale in one or more of the styles of peeled potatoes described in § 52.2422 (U.S. Standards for Grades of Peeled Potatoes §§ 52.2421–52.2433 of this title). The term "other processing" has the same meaning as the term appearing in the act and includes, but is not restricted to, potatoes for dehydration, chips, shoestrings, starch, and flour. It includes only that preparation of potatoes for market which involves the application of heat or cold to such an extent that the natural form or stability of the commodity undergoes a substantial change. The act of peeling, cooling, slicing, or dicing, or the application of material to prevent oxidation does not constitute "other processing." The terms "Idaho Utility grade" and "Oregon Utility grade" shall have the same meaning as when used in the respective standards for potatoes for the respective States. Other terms used in this section shall have the same meaning as when used in Marketing Agreement No. 98 and Order No. 945, both as amended.

(g) *Applicability to imports.* Pursuant to section 608e–1 of the act and § 980.1 "Import regulations" (§ 980.1 of this chapter), Irish potatoes of the long varieties, imported during the effective period of this section shall meet the grade, size, quality, and maturity requirements specified in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section.

(Secs. 1–19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601–674)

Dated July 6, 1971, to become effective July 12, 1971.

PAUL A. NICHOLSON,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.

[FR Doc.71-9762 Filed 7-8-71;8:54 am]

Chapter X—Consumer and Marketing Service (Marketing Agreements and Orders; Milk), Department of Agriculture

[Milk Order No. 63]

PART 1063—MILK IN THE QUAD CITIES-DUBUQUE MARKETING AREA

Order Suspending Certain Provision

This suspension order is issued pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), and of the order regulating the handling of milk in the Quad Cities-Dubuque marketing area.

It is hereby found and determined that for the months of July and August 1971 the following provision of the order no longer tends to effectuate the declared policy of the Act:

In § 1063.14 the proviso which reads "*Provided*, That in any of the months of July through January milk diverted from the farm of a producer on more than the number of days that the milk was delivered to a pool plant from such farm during the month shall not be deemed to have been received by the diverting handler."

Statement of consideration. This suspension order will revoke for the months of July and August 1971 the provision that limits for the months of July through January the diversion of the milk of a producer to the same number of days that his production was delivered during the month from the farm to a pool plant.

This suspension action is necessary to provide for the efficient handling of the reserve milk of the market during July and August. Unless the suspension action is taken much of the reserve milk supply, in order to remain pooled, necessarily will be moved from farms to pool plants and then reshipped to manufacturing plants rather than being moved directly from farms to manufacturing plants.

It is hereby found and determined that thirty days' notice of the effective date hereof is impractical, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest in that:

(a) This suspension is necessary to reflect current marketing conditions and to maintain orderly marketing conditions in the marketing area in that the most efficient method of handling the market's reserve milk supplies is movement directly from producers' farms to milk manufacturing plants. This suspension would allow such handling in July

and August 1971 and enable the dairy farmers involved to retain producer status.

(b) This suspension order does not require of persons affected substantial or extensive preparation prior to the effective date; and

(c) Interested parties were afforded opportunity to file written data, views, or arguments concerning this suspension (35 F.R. 10312). None were filed in opposition to the proposed suspension.

Therefore, good cause exists for making this order effective with respect to producer milk deliveries during July and August 1971.

It is therefore ordered, That the aforesaid provisions of the order are hereby suspended for the months of July and August 1971.

(Secs. 1–19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601–674)

Effective date: Upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (7–9–71).

Signed at Washington, D.C., on July 2, 1971.

RICHARD E. LYNG,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc.71–9695 Filed 7–8–71;8:49 am]

Title 12—BANKS AND BANKING

Chapter II—Federal Reserve System

SUBCHAPTER A—BOARD OF GOVERNORS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

[Reg. Y]

PART 222—BANK HOLDING COMPANIES

Nonbanking Acquisitions by Certain One-Bank Holding Companies

1. Pursuant to § 222.4(d), a company that became a bank holding company on December 31, 1970, as a result of the 1970 amendments to the Bank Holding Company Act may file with the Board an irrevocable declaration that it will cease to be a bank holding company by January 1, 1981. A company that has filed such a declaration is permitted, pursuant to a notification procedure, to acquire interests in nonbanking companies that may not be acquired by other holding companies.

2. The form of declaration approved by the Board provides that the company resolve that it will cease to be a holding company "by divesting itself of whatever interest" it may have in its bank. In response to questions that have been raised, the Board has clarified that a company may fulfill its commitment pursuant to such a declaration by demonstrating that it has divested itself of control of the bank although it retains some interest in the bank. Under the Act, ownership of less than 5 percent of the shares of a company is generally regarded as not involving control. Accordingly, a company that demonstrates to the Board that its only relationship with the bank is ownership of less than 5 percent of the voting shares generally would be regarded as having divested itself of any controlling interest in the bank.

3. The procedural difference between determinations by the Board under section 2(a)(2)(C) of the Act and compliance with the divestiture requirement pursuant to the irrevocable declaration is that with respect to the former the Board must establish that the relationship constitutes control in violation of the Act whereas in the latter the company must establish to the satisfaction of the Board that its relationship with the bank does not constitute control. The Board believes that this difference is justified in view of the favored treatment companies that file such a declaration have under § 222.4(d) of Regulation Y so far as their nonbanking acquisitions are concerned.

4. To clarify this matter, § 222.4(d), second sentence, is amended by adding footnote 2 following the phrase "in the form approved by the Board", to read as follows:

[2] Although the form of declaration is in terms of a company divesting itself of whatever interest it has in the bank, a company is regarded by the Board as complying with this condition if it furnishes the Board with convincing evidence that it does not exercise a controlling influence over the management or policies of the bank despite retention of some interest in the bank.

A similar note is being added to the form of declaration itself to avoid misunderstanding. Companies that have already filed their declaration need not refile.

5. The requirements of section 553(b), title 5, United States Code, with respect to notice, public participation, and deferred effective date were not followed with respect to this matter, because it clarifies rather than changes a substantive rule.

Effective date. June 30, 1971.

By order of the Board of Governors, June 30, 1971.

[SEAL] KENNETH A. KENYON,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc.71–9677 Filed 7–8–71;8:47 am]

Title 14—AERONAUTICS AND SPACE

[Airspace Docket No. 71–SO–97]

Chapter I—Federal Aviation Administration, Department of Transportation

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Transition Area

On May 25, 1971, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (36 F.R. 9448), stating that Federal Aviation Administration was considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would alter the Auburn, Ala., transition area.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the rule making through the submission of comments. All comments received were favorable.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., September 16, 1971, as hereinafter set forth.

In § 71.181 (36 F.R. 2140), the Auburn, Ala., transition area is amended to read:

AUBURN, ALA.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 5-mile radius of Auburn-Opelika Airport (lat. 32°37'00" N., long. 85°26'00" W.); within 2 miles each side of the extended centerline of Runway 18/36, extending from the 5-mile-radius area to 6 miles north of the runway end; within 2.5 miles each side of Columbia, Ga. VOR 270° radial, extending from the 5-mile-radius area to 17.5 miles west of the VOR.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 49 U.S.C. 1348(a); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in East Point, Ga., on June 30, 1971.

JAMES G. ROGERS,
Director, Southern Region.

[FR Doc.71–9658 Filed 7–8–71;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 71–SO–89]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Control Zone and Transition Area

On May 25, 1971, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (36 F.R. 9448), subsequently amended as published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (36 F.R. 11429), dated June 12, 1971, stating that the Federal Aviation Administration was considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would alter the St. Petersburg, Fla., control zone and Tampa, Fla., transition area.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the rule making through the submission of comments. All comments received were favorable.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., September 16, 1971, as hereinafter set forth.

In § 71.171 (36 F.R. 2055), the St. Petersburg, Fla., control zone is amended to read:

ST. PETERSBURG, FLA.

Within a 5-mile radius of St. Petersburg Clearwater International Airport (lat. 27°-54'33" N., long. 82°41'19" W.); within 2.5 miles each side of St. Petersburg VORTAC 343° radial, extending from the 5-mile-radius zone to 6 miles northwest of the VORTAC.

In § 71.181 (36 F.R. 2140), the Tampa, Fla., transition area is amended to read:

TAMPA, FLA.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within an 8.5-mile radius of Tampa International Airport (lat. 27°58'29" N., long. 82°31'38" W.); within an 8.5-mile radius of St. Petersburg Clearwater

International Airport (lat. 27°54'33" N., long. 82°41'19" W.); within 3 miles each side of St. Petersburg VORTAC 343° radial, extending from the 8.5-mile-radius area to 8 miles north of the VORTAC; within an 8.5-mile radius of MacDill AFB (lat. 27°50'57" N., long. 82°31'18" W.); within 3 miles each side of MacDill AFB ILS localizer northeast course, extending from the 8.5-mile-radius area to 8.5 miles northeast of the OM; within a 7-mile radius of Peter O. Knight Airport (lat. 27°54'55" N., long. 82°27'05" W.); within a 5-mile radius of Albert-Whitted Airport (lat. 27°45'53" N., long. 82°37'39" W).

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 49 U.S.C. 1348(a); 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in East Point, Ga., on June 30, 1971.

JAMES G. ROGERS,
Director, Southern Region.

[FR Doc.71-9659 Filed 7-8-71;8:46 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 71-EA-90]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Control Zone and Transition Area

The Federal Aviation Administration is amending §§ 71.171 and 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to alter the following control zones: Pittsburgh, Pa., (Allegheny County) (36 F.R. 2117), Morgantown, W. Va. (36 F.R. 2107), Hazleton, Pa. (36 F.R. 2088), Philipsburg, Pa. (36 F.R. 2116), Utica, N.Y. (36 F.R. 2134); and the following transition areas: Pittsburg, Pa. (36 F.R. 2254), Binghamton, N.Y. (36 F.R. 2154), Hazleton, Pa. (36 F.R. 2201), Philipsburg, Pa. (36 F.R. 2253), Utica, N.Y. (36 F.R. 2286).

The agency is attempting to eliminate duplicate names of navigational aids (NAVAIDs) to avoid possible pilot confusion. Therefore, an editorial change to the control zone and transition area descriptions to reflect the new name assignments will be required.

Since the foregoing amendments are editorial in nature, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary and the amendments may be made effective in less than 30 days.

In view of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration, having completed review of the airspace requirements in the terminal airspace of the aforementioned locations, amends Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations, as follows, effective 0901 G.m.t. October 14, 1971:

1. Amend § 71.171 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to amend the description of the Pittsburgh, Pa. (Allegheny County), control zone by deleting, "Allegheny RBN" and substituting, "Cecil RBN" therefor.

2. Amend § 71.171 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to amend the description of the Morgantown, W. Va., control zone by deleting, "Morgantown RBN" and substituting, "Bobtown RBN" therefor.

3. Amend § 71.171 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to amend the description of the Hazleton, Pa., control zone by deleting, "Hazleton RBN" and substituting, "Weatherly RBN" therefor.

4. Amend § 71.171 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to amend the description of the Philipsburg, Pa., control zone by deleting, "Philipsburg RBN" and substituting, "Ginter RBN" therefor.

5. Amend § 71.171 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to amend the description of the Utica, N.Y., control zone by deleting, "Utica RBN" and substituting, "Clay RBN" therefor.

6. Amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to amend the description of the Pittsburgh, Pa., 700-foot-floor transition area by deleting, "Allegheny RBN" and substituting, "Cecil RBN" therefor.

7. Amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to amend the description of the Binghamton, N.Y., 700-foot-floor transition area by deleting, "Binghamton RBN" and substituting, "Nimmons RBN" therefor.

8. Amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to amend the description of the Hazleton, Pa., 700-foot-floor transition area by deleting, "Hazleton RBN" and substituting, "Weatherly RBN" therefor.

9. Amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to amend the description of the Philipsburg, Pa., 700-foot-floor transition area by deleting, "Philipsburg RBN" and substituting, "Ginter RBN" therefor.

10. Amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to amend the description of the Utica, N.Y., 700-foot-floor transition area by deleting, "Utica radio beacon" wherever it appears in the description and substituting, "Clay RBN" therefor.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348; sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on June 24, 1971.

LOUIS J. CARDINALI,
Acting Director, Eastern Region.

[FR Doc.71-9660 Filed 7-8-71;8:46 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 71-CE-70]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Transition Area

The purpose of this amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to amend the Champaign, Ill., transition area.

With the revocation of the airspace at Rantoul, Ill., effective June 30, 1971, it is necessary to change the transition area designation at Champaign, Ill., to delete said airspace. Action is taken herein to effect this change.

Since this amendment reduces the amount of designated controlled airspace at Champaign, Ill., no additional burden will be imposed on any person. Therefore, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended effective 0901 G.m.t., June 30, 1971, as hereinafter set forth:

In § 71.181 (36 F.R. 2140), the following transition area is amended as follows:

CHAMPAIGN, ILL.

Delete "excluding the portion which overlies the Rantoul, Ill., transition area extending upward from 700 feet above the surface."

(Sec 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 49 U.S.C. 1348; sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in Kansas City, Mo., on June 11, 1971.

CHESTER W. WELLS,
Acting Director, Central Region.

[FR Doc.71-9668 Filed 7-8-71;8:46 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 71-CE-68]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Revocation of Control Zone and Transition Area

The purpose of this amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to revoke the Wilmington, Ohio, control zone and transition area.

On September 30, 1971, the Clinton County Air Force Base, Ohio, will be closed with the result that controlled airspace for the protection of IFR air traffic into and out of the Air Force Base is no longer required. Accordingly, the Wilmington, Ohio, control zone and transition area will be revoked effective September 30, 1971.

Since this revocation cancels designated controlled airspace in the Wilmington, Ohio, terminal area it imposes no additional burden on any person. Therefore, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended effective 0901 G.m.t., September 30, 1971, as hereinafter set forth:

(1) In § 71.171 (36 F.R. 2055), the following control zone is revoked:

WILMINGTON, OHIO

(2) In § 71.181 (36 F.R. 2140), the following transition area is revoked:

WILMINGTON, OHIO

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 49 U.S.C. 1348; sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in Kansas City, Mo., on May 21, 1971.

DANIEL E. BARROW,
Acting Director, Central Region.

[FR Doc.71-9657 Filed 7-8-71;8:45 am]

Title 21—FOOD AND DRUGS

Chapter III—Environmental Protection Agency

PART 420—TOLERANCES AND EXEMPTIONS FROM TOLERANCES FOR PESTICIDE CHEMICALS IN OR ON RAW AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES

4-*tert*-Butyl-2-Chlorophenyl Methyl Methylphosphoramidate

A petition (PP 0F0955) was filed by the Dow Chemical Co., Post Office Box 1706, Midland, MI 48640, in accordance with provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, as amended (21 U.S.C. 346a), proposing establishment of tolerances for residues of the insecticide 4 - *tert* - butyl - 2 - chlorophenyl methyl methylphosphoramidate including its metabolites containing the 4-*tert*-butyl-2-chlorophenol moiety (calculated as 4-*tert*-butyl-2-chlorophenyl methyl methylphosphoramidate) in or on the raw agricultural commodities meat and meat byproducts of cattle, goats, and sheep at 1 part per million; and in milk at 0.05 part per million (negligible residues).

Subsequently, the petitioner amended the petition by withdrawing the proposed tolerance for residues in milk and proposing a tolerance of 1 part per million for residues of the insecticide and its metabolite 4-*tert*-butyl-2-chlorophenol (calculated as the parent compound) in meat, fat, and meat byproducts of cattle, goats, and sheep.

Prior to December 2, 1970, the Secretary of Agriculture certified that this pesticide is useful for the purposes for which the tolerance is being established.

Part 120, Chapter I, Title 21 was redesignated Part 420 and transferred to Chapter III (36 F.R. 424).

Based on consideration given the data submitted in the petition and other relevant material, it is concluded that the tolerances established by this order will protect the public health.

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (sec. 408(d)(2), 68 Stat. 512; 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(2)), the authority transferred to the Administrator (35 F.R. 15623), and the authority delegated by the Administrator to the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticides Programs of the Environmental Protection Agency (36 F.R. 9038), Part 420 is amended as follows:

1. § 420.3(e)(5) is amended by alphabetically inserting in the list of pesticides a new item as follows:

§ 420.3 Tolerances for related pesticides.

* * * * *

(e) * * *

(5) * * *

4-*tert*-butyl-2-chlorophenyl methyl methylphosphoramidate.	

* * * * *

2. The following new section is added to Subpart C:

§ 420.295 4-*tert*-Butyl-2-chlorophenyl methyl methylphosphoramidate: tolerances for residues.

A tolerance of 1 part per million is established for residues of the insecticide 4 - *tert* - butyl - 2 - chlorophenyl methyl methylphosphoramidate and its metabolite 4-*tert*-butyl-2-chlorophenol (calculated as the parent compound) in the meat, fat, and meat byproducts of cattle, goats, and sheep.

Any person who will be adversely affected by the foregoing order may at any time within 30 days after its date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER file with the objections Clerk, Environmental Protection Agency, 1626 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20460, written objections thereto in quintuplicate. Objections shall show wherein the person filing will be adversely affected by the order and specify with particularity the provisions of the order deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought. Objections may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof.

Effective date. This order shall become effective on its date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (7-9-71).

(Sec. 408(d)(2), 68 Stat. 512; 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(2))

Dated: June 30, 1971.

WILLIAM M. UPHOLT,
Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticides Programs.

[FR Doc.71-9700 Filed 7-8-71;8:49 am]

PART 420—TOLERANCES AND EXEMPTIONS FROM TOLERANCES FOR PESTICIDE CHEMICALS IN OR ON RAW AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES

Dimethoate

A petition (PP 1F1040) was filed by the American Cyanamid Co., Post Office Box 400, Princeton, NJ 08540, proposing establishment of tolerances for total residues of the insecticide dimethoate (*O,O*-dimethyl *S*-(*N*-methylcarbamoylmethyl) phosphorodithioate) including its oxygen analog (*O,O*-dimethyl *S*-(*N*-methylcarbamoylmethyl) phosphorothioate in or on the raw agricultural commodities grapefruit and tangerines at 2 parts per million.

The Fish and Wildlife Service of the Department of Interior advised that it has no objection to this tolerance.

Part 120, Chapter I, Title 21 was redesignated Part 420 and transferred to Chapter III (36 F.R. 424).

Based on consideration given data submitted in the petition and other relevant material, it is concluded that:

1. Established tolerances for eggs, meat, milk, and poultry are adequate to cover residues resulting from the proposed uses. The uses are in the category specified in § 420.6(a)(2).

2. The pesticide is useful for the purposes for which tolerances are being established.

3. The tolerances established by this order will protect the public health.

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 408(d)(2), 68 Stat. 512; 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(2)), the authority transferred to the Administrator (35 F.R. 15623), and the authority delegated by the Administrator to the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticides Programs (36 F.R. 9038), § 420.204 is amended by revising the paragraph "2 parts per million * * *" to read as follows:

§ 420.204 Dimethoate including its oxygen analog; tolerances for residues.

* * * * *

2 parts per million in or on apples, beans (dry, lima, snap), broccoli, cabbage, cauliflower, collards, endive (escarole), grapefruit, kale, lemons, lettuce, mustard greens, oranges, pears, peas, peppers, spinach, Swiss chard, tangerines, tomatoes, turnips (roots and tops), and wheat (green fodder and straw).

* * * * *

Any person who will be adversely affected by the foregoing order may at any time within 30 days after its date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER file with the Objections Clerk, Environmental Protection Agency, 1626 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20460, written objections thereto in quintuplicate. Objections shall show wherein the person filing will be adversely affected by the order and specify with particularity the provisions of the order deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issue for the hearing. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought. Objections may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof.

Effective date. This order shall become effective on its date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (7-9-71).

(Sec. 408(d)(2), 68 Stat. 512; 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(2))

Dated: June 30, 1971.

WILLIAM M. UPHOLT,
Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticides Programs.

[FR Doc.71-9701 Filed 7-8-71;8:49 am]

PART 420—TOLERANCES AND EXEMPTIONS FROM TOLERANCES FOR PESTICIDE CHEMICALS IN OR ON RAW AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES

N-(Mercaptomethyl)Phthalimide S-(*O,O*-Dimethyl Phosphorodithioate)

A petition (PP 1F1027) was filed by Stauffer Chemical Co., 1200 South 47th Street, Richmond, CA 94804, in accordance with provisions of the Federal Food,

Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 346a) proposing establishment of tolerances for combined residues of the insecticide *N*-(mercaptomethyl)phthalimide *S*-(*O*, *O*-dimethyl phosphorodithioate) and its oxygen analog *N*-(mercaptomethyl) phthalimide *S*-(*O*,*O*-dimethyl phosphorothioate) in or on the raw agricultural commodities plums and prunes at 7 parts per million and in or on apricots and nectarines at 5 parts per million.

Subsequently, the petitioner amended the petition by proposing tolerances in or on the raw agricultural commodities cherries at 10 parts per million and apricots, nectarines, and plums (fresh prunes) at 5 parts per million.

Prior to December 2, 1970, the Secretary of Agriculture certified that this pesticide chemical is useful for the purposes for which tolerances are being established, and the Fish and Wildlife Service of the Department of the Interior advised that it has no objection to these tolerances.

Part 120, Chapter I, Title 21 was redesignated Part 420 and transferred to Chapter III (36 F.R. 424).

Based on consideration given data submitted in the petition and other relevant material, it is concluded that:

1. The proposed usage is not reasonably expected to result in residues of the insecticide or its oxygen analog in meat, milk, poultry, and eggs. The uses are classified in the category specified in § 420.6(a)(3).

2. The tolerances established by this order will protect the public health.

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(d)(2), 68 Stat. 512; 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(2)), the authority transferred to the Administrator (35 F.R. 15623), and the authority delegated by the Administrator to the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticides Programs of the Environmental Protection Agency (36 F.R. 9038), § 420.261 is amended by revising the paragraph "10 parts per million * * *" and by inserting directly thereafter the new paragraph "5 parts per million * * *", as follows:

§ 420.261 ***N*-(Mercaptomethyl)phthalimide *S*-(*O*,*O*-dimethyl phosphorodithioate) and its oxygen analog; tolerances for residues.**

* * * * *

10 parts per million in or on apples, cherries, grapes, peaches, and pears.

5 parts per million in or on apricots, nectarines, and plums (fresh prunes).

* * * * *

Any person who will be adversely affected by the foregoing order may at any time within 30 days after its date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER, file with the Objections Clerk, Environmental Protection Agency, 1626 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20460, written objections thereto in quintuplicate. Objections shall show wherein the person filing will be adversely affected by the order and specify with particularity the provisions of the order deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought. Objections may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof.

Effective date. This order shall become effective on its date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (7–9–71).

(Sec. 408(d)(2), 68 Stat. 512; 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(2))

Dated: June 30, 1971.

WILLIAM M. UPHOLT,
Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticides Programs.

[FR Doc.71-9702 Filed 7-8-71;8:50 am]

PART 420—TOLERANCES AND EXEMPTIONS FROM TOLERANCES FOR PESTICIDE CHEMICALS IN OR ON RAW AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES

Benomyl

Two petitions (PP 0F0906 and 0F1000) were filed by E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Co., Wilmington, DE 19898, in accordance with provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 346a), proposing establishment of tolerances for residues of the fungicide benomyl (methyl 1-(butylcarbamoyl)-2-benzimidazolecarbamate) in or on the raw agricultural commodities apricots, cherries, nectarines, peaches, plums, and prunes at 15 parts per million from preharvest and/or postharvest use and in bananas at 1 part per million, of which not more than 0.2 part per million (negligible residue) shall be present in the pulp after the peel is removed and discarded from postharvest application.

Prior to December 2, 1970, the Secretary of Agriculture certified that this pesticide chemical is useful for the purposes for which the tolerances are being established, and the Fish and Wildlife Service of the Department of Interior advised that it has no objections to the tolerances.

Part 120, Chapter I, Title 21, was redesignated Part 420 and transferred to Chapter III (36 F.R. 424).

Based on consideration given the data submitted in both petitions and other relevant material, it is concluded that:

1. The proposed uses with proposed feeding restrictions are not reasonably expected to result in residues in meat and milk. The uses are classified in the category specified in § 420.6(a)(3).

2. The tolerances established by this order will protect the public health.

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 408(d)(2), 68 Stat. 512; 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(2)), the authority transferred to the Administrator (35 F.R. 15623), and the authority delegated by the Administrator to the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticides Programs of the Environmental Protection Agency (36 F.R. 9038).

Section 420.294 is amended by inserting before "2 parts per million * * *" a new tolerance "15 parts per million * * *" and after "2 parts per million * * *" a new tolerance "1 part per million", as follows:

§ 420.294 **Benomyl; tolerances for residues.**

* * * * *

15 parts per million (from postharvest and/or preharvest application) in or on apricots, cherries, nectarines, peaches, and plums (including fresh prunes).

* * * * *

1 part per million in or on bananas, of which not more than 0.2 part per million (negligible residue) shall be present in the pulp after the peel is removed and discarded, from postharvest application.

* * * * *

Any person who will be adversely affected by the foregoing order may at any time within 30 days after its date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER file with the Objections Clerk, Environmental Protection Agency, 1626 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20460, written objections thereto in quintuplicate. Objections shall show wherein the person filing will be adversely affected by the order and specify with particularity the provisions of the order deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought. Objections may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof.

Effective date. This order shall become effective on its date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (7–9–71).

(Sec. 408(d)(2), 68 Stat. 512; 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(2))

Dated: June 30, 1971.

WILLIAM M. UPHOLT,
Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticides Programs.

[FR Doc.71-9703 Filed 7-8-71;8:50 am]

PART 420—TOLERANCES AND EXEMPTIONS FROM TOLERANCES FOR PESTICIDE CHEMICALS IN OR ON RAW AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES

cis - *N* - [(1,1,2,2 - Tetrachloroethyl) thio]-4-Cyclohexene-1,2-Dicarboximide

A petition (PP 1F1020) was filed by the Chevron Chemical Co., Ortho Division, 940 Hensley Street, Richmond, CA 94801, in accordance with provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 346a), proposing establishment of tolerances for residues of the fungicide *cis* - *N* - [(1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethyl) thio]-4.cyclohexene-1,2-dicarboxi-

mide in or on the raw agricultural commodities cranberries at 8 parts per million; citrus fruits at 0.5 part per million (negligible residue); and onions at 0.1 part per million (negligible residue).

The Fish and Wildlife Service of the Department of the Interior advised that it has no objection to the tolerances.

Based on consideration given data submitted in the petition and other relevant material, it is concluded that:

1. The proposed usage is not reasonably expected to result in residues of the fungicide in eggs, meat, milk, and poultry. The uses are classified in the category specified in § 420.6(a)(3).

2. The tolerances established by this order will protect the public health.

3. The fungicide is useful for the purposes for which the tolerances are being established.

4. The tolerance of 0.5 part per million in or on citrus fruits is not considered a negligible residue.

Part 120, Chapter I, Title 21 was redesignated Part 420 and transferred to Chapter III (36 F.R. 424).

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 408(d)(2), 68 Stat. 512; 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(2)), the authority transferred to the Administrator (35 F.R. 15623), and the authority delegated by the Administrator to the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticides Programs of the Environmental Protection Agency (36 F.R. 9038), § 420.267 is amended by inserting a new paragraph "8 parts per million * * *" after the paragraph "15 parts per million * * *" and by adding two new paragraphs after the paragraph "2 parts per million * * *", as follows:

§ 420.267 *cis-N-*[(1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethyl)thio]-4-cyclohexene-1,2-dicarboximide; tolerances for residues.

* * * * *

8 parts per million in or on cranberries.

* * * * *

0.5 part per million in or on citrus fruits.

0.1 part per million (negligible residue) in or on onions.

Any person who will be adversely affected by the foregoing order may at any time within 30 days after its date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER file with the objections Clerk, Environmental Protection Agency, 1626 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20460, written objections thereto in quintuplicate. Objections shall show wherein the person filing will be adversely affected by the order and specify with particularity the provisions of the order deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought. Objections may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof.

Effective date. This order shall become effective on its date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (7-9-71).

(Sec. 408(d)(2), 68 Stat. 512; 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(2))

Dated: June 30, 1971.

WILLIAM M. UPHOLT,
Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticides Programs.

[FR Doc.71-9704 Filed 7-8-71;8:50 am]

PART 420—TOLERANCES AND EXEMPTIONS FROM TOLERANCES FOR PESTICIDE CHEMICALS IN OR ON RAW AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES

O,O-Diethyl O-[p-(Methylsulfinyl) phenyl] Phosphorothioate

A notice was published by the Environmental Protection Agency in the FEDERAL REGISTER of April 6, 1971 (36 F.R. 6523), proposing establishment of a tolerance of 0.1 part per million for residues of the insecticide *O,O*-diethyl *O*-[*p*-(methylsulfinyl)phenyl] phosphorothioate in or on rutabaga roots grown in Canada and marketed in the United States. No comments or requests for referral to an advisory committee were received. The same tolerance applies to rutabagas grown in the United States.

It is concluded that the proposal should be adopted. Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 408(e), 68 Stat. 514; 21 U.S.C. 346a(e)), the authority transferred to the Administrator (35 F.R. 16523), and the authority delegated by the Administrator to the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticides Programs of the Environmental Protection Agency (36 F.R. 9038), § 420.234 is amended by revising the paragraph "0.1 part per million * * *" to read as follows:

§ 420.234 *O,O*-Diethyl *O*-[*p*-(methylsulfinyl)phenyl] phosphorothioate; tolerances for residues.

* * * * *

0.1 part per million in or on corn grain (including field corn and popcorn), fresh corn including sweet corn (kernels plus cobs with husk removed), onions (dry), potatoes, rutabagas (roots), and tomatoes.

* * * * *

Any person who will be adversely affected by the foregoing order may at any time within 30 days after its date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER file with the Objections Clerk, Environmental Protection Agency, 1626 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20460, written objections thereto in quintuplicate. Objections shall show wherein the person filing will be adversely affected by the order and specify with particularity the provisions of the order deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought. Objections may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof.

Effective date. This order shall become effective on its date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (7-9-71).

(Sec. 408(d)(2), 68 Stat. 512; 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(2))

Dated: June 30, 1971.

WILLIAM M. UPHOLT,
Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticides Programs.

[FR Doc.71-9705 Filed 7-8-71;8:50 am]

PART 420—TOLERANCES AND EXEMPTIONS FROM TOLERANCES FOR PESTICIDE CHEMICALS IN OR ON RAW AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES

Ethephon

A petition (PP 1F1016) was filed by Amchem Products, Inc., Ambler, Pa. 19002, in accordance with provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 346a), proposing establishment of a tolerance for negligible residues of the plant regulator ethephon in or on the raw agricultural commodity pineapples at 0.1 part per million.

Prior to December 2, 1970, the Secretary of Agriculture certified that this compound is useful for the purpose for which a tolerance is being established, and the Fish and Wildlife Service of the Department of the Interior advised that it has no objection to this tolerance.

Part 120, Chapter I, Title 21 was redesignated Part 420 and transferred to Chapter III (36 F.R. 424).

Based on consideration given data submitted in the petition and other relevant material, it is concluded that:

1. The proposed usage is not reasonably expected to result in residues of the plant regulator in meat, milk, poultry, and eggs. The use is classified in the category specified in § 420.6(a)(3).

2. The tolerance established by this order will protect the public health.

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 408(d)(2), 68 Stat. 512; 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(2)), the authority transferred to the Administrator (35 F.R. 15623), and the authority delegated by the Administrator to the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticides Programs of the Environmental Protection Agency (36 F.R. 9038), Part 420 is amended as follows:

1. Section 420.3(e)(5) is amended by alphabetically inserting in the list of cholinesterase inhibiting pesticides a new item, as follows:

§ 420.3 Tolerances for related pesticide chemicals.

* * * * *

(e) * * *
(5) * * *

Ethephon ((2-chloroethly)phosphonic acid).

* * * * *

2. The following new section is added to Subpart C:

§ 420.300 Ethephon; tolerances for residues.

A tolerance is established for negligible residues of the plant regulator ethephon ((2-chloroethyl)phosphonic acid) in or on the raw agricultural commodity pineapples at 0.1 part per million.

Any person who will be adversely affected by the foregoing order may at any time within 30 days after its date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER file with the Objections Clerk, Environmental Protection Agency, 1626 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20460, written objections thereto in quintuplicate. Objections shall show wherein the person filing will be adversely affected by the order and specify with particularity the provisions of the order deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought. Objections may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof.

Effective date. This order shall become effective on its date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (7-9-71).

(Sec. 408(d)(2), 68 Stat. 512; 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(2))

Dated: July 2, 1971.

WILLIAM M. UPHOLT,
Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticides Programs.

[FR Doc.71-9732 Filed 7-8-71;8:52 am]

PART 420—TOLERANCES AND EXEMPTIONS FROM TOLERANCES FOR PESTICIDE CHEMICALS IN OR ON RAW AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES

Hydrogen Cyanide

A notice was published by the Environmental Protection Agency in the FEDERAL REGISTER of March 24, 1971 (36 F.R. 5521), proposing to reduce the tolerance of 100 parts per million for residues of hydrogen cyanide in raw cereals to the 75 parts per million level recommended in the "Codex Alimentarius" as an international tolerance for residues of hydrogen cyanide in raw cereals. No comments or requests for referral to an advisory committee were received.

It is concluded that the proposal should be adopted.

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 408(e), 68 Stat. 514; 21 U.S.C. 346a(e)), the authority transferred to the Administrator (35 F.R. 15623), and the authority delegated by the Administrator to the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticides Programs of the Environmental Protection Agency (36 F.R. 9038), § 420.130 *Hydrogen cyanide; tolerances for residues* is amended by changing "100" to read "75" in the paragraph "100 parts per million * * *".

Any person who will be adversely affected by the foregoing order may at any time within 30 days after its date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER file with the Objections Clerk, Environmental Protection Agency, 1626 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20460, written objections thereto in quintuplicate. Objections shall show wherein the person filing will be adversely affected by the order and specify with particularity the provisions of the order deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought. Objections may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof.

Effective date. This order shall become effective on its date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (7-9-71).

(Sec. 408(e), 68 Stat. 514; 21 U.S.C. 346a(e))

Dated: July 2, 1971.

WILLIAM M. UPHOLT,
Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc.71-9733 Filed 7-8-71;8:52 am]

Title 41—PUBLIC CONTRACTS AND PROPERTY MANAGEMENT

Chapter 9—Atomic Energy Commission

PART 9-17—EXTRAORDINARY CONTRACTUAL ACTIONS TO FACILITATE THE NATIONAL DEFENSE

This revision of AECPR 9-17 resulted from the report of a special study panel, appointed by the Commission to review AEC implementation of the extraordinary contractual authority available under Public Law 85-804 (50 U.S.C. section 1431-35) and Executive Order 10789. The report recommended that the Commission revise its regulations for implementing its authority under Public Law 85-804. The revised regulation reflects a more flexible approach to the utilization and implementation of Public Law 85-804.

Sec.

AUTHORITY: The provisions of this Part 9-17 issued under sec. 161 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, 68 Stat. 948, 42 U.S.C., 2201; sec. 205 of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949, as amended, 63 Stat. 390, 40 U.S.C. 486.

§ 9-17.000 Scope of part.

This part establishes procedures to implement and supplement FPR Part 1-17.

Subpart 9-17.1—General

§ 9-17.101 Authority.

(a) Pursuant to Public Law 85-804, the President by Executive Order No. 10789, authorized the Atomic Energy Commission to exercise the authority provided for in the Act. This authority, which expands the Commission's ordinary contracting authority, enables it to achieve procurement objectives and pursue policy goals which otherwise might be unattained. The authority of the Act, the Executive order, and these regulations establish no rights in any party outside of the Commission and the exercise of authority is wholly discretionary with the Commission.

(b) The authority vested in the Atomic Energy Commission by the Act and the Executive order, as amended, is delegated to:

(1) The General Manager and the Deputy General Manager: *Provided*, That such delegation does not include authority to deny relief in contractual fairness cases as defined in § 9-17.204-52.

(2) Field Managers with respect to contractual fairness cases only, as defined in § 9-17.204-52, provided that such delegation does not include authority to deny relief.

(3) The Board of Contract Appeals (which for the purpose of this part is designated as a Contract Adjustment Board) with respect to contractual fairness cases as defined in § 9-17.204-52, and such other matters as may be referred to the Board by the General Manager.

(c) The delegations of authority in paragraph (b) of this section are subject to the limitations in FPR 1-17.205-1 and, in the case of paragraph (b)(2) of this section also of FPR 1-17.205-2. The delegation of authority in paragraph (b)(2) of this section may not be redelegated.

§ 9-17.102 General policy.

(a) The authority may be used only when there exist the following two con-

ditions pertaining directly to the interests of national defense:

(1) The Commission is exercising a function in connection with the national defense, and

(2) The Commission finds that the use of the authority will facilitate the national defense.

(b) All AEC contracts and subcontracts are sufficiently connected with the national defense to provide a basis for satisfying condition in paragraph (a)(1) of this section.

(c) The basis for satisfying condition in paragraph (a)(2) of this section is a determination that the use of the authority under the Act will:

(1) Effect the accomplishment or implementation of an important procurement objective or policy goal of the Commission, or

(2) Provide fair and equitable treatment by the Commission to persons directly or indirectly involved in procurement or other contract activities by the Commission.

(d) If a determination is made pursuant to § 9–17.102(c), the required statutory finding that the use of the authority will facilitate the national defense is conclusively established without need for further consideration.

§ 9–17.103 Types of action.

The three types of actions under FPR 1–17.103 are classified as follows for AEC purposes:

(a) Cases of special management consideration, and

(b) Cases of contractual fairness.

§ 9–17.105 Reports.

The Director, Division of Contracts, Headquarters, is responsible for preparing the annual report to the Congress required by FPR 1–17.105.

Subpart 9–17.2—Requests for Contractual Adjustment

§ 9–17.204 Standards for deciding cases.

§ 9–17.204–50 General.

(a) The types of situations identified in FPR 1–17.204 are divided into cases requiring special management consideration and cases giving rise to questions of contractual fairness.

(b) The authority under the Act may be exercised to accomplish or implement important procurement objectives or policy goals of the Commission when ordinary means are deemed to be lacking or inadequate. In cases of special management consideration, the authority is a management tool available for use as the need requires.

(c) The procurement mission of the Commission requires a firm policy of fair and equitable treatment by the Commission of contractors, subcontractors, vendors, suppliers, consultants, and all others who, directly or indirectly, perform services for or furnish material or capacity to the Commission. In contractual fairness cases, exercise of the authority under the Act is proper when the normal administrative means for the Commission to assure fair and equitable treatment to those involved in its procurement or other contract activities are inadequate.

(d) All requests for use of authority under the Act shall be decided as expeditiously as possible and in accordance with applicable policy and procedural standards in this part.

§ 9–17.204–51 Cases of special management consideration.

(a) These are cases concerned with facilitating the national defense through the accomplishment of an important procurement objective or policy goal of the Commission. Such cases include the amendment of contracts under FPR 1–17.204–2(a), advance payments, and the exercise of residual powers under FPR 1–17.3. In addition to amendments without consideration in essentiality cases, examples of cases for special management consideration are:

(1) Transfer of AEC property,

(2) Special terms and conditions such as indemnification or wage stabilization agreements in contracts, and

(3) Resolution of problems arising out of relationships between a prime contractor and its subcontractors, vendors, suppliers, or consultants.

(b) The exercise of the authority in cases of special management consideration may immediately concern anyone directly or indirectly involved in procurement or other contract activities of the Commission, including prime contractors, subcontractors, vendors, suppliers, and consultants.

§ 9–17.204–52 Cases of contractual fairness.

These are cases concerned with facilitating the national defense through fair and equitable treatment by the Commission of its prime contractors and of its subcontractors, vendors, suppliers, consultants, and other persons directly or indirectly involved in procurement or other contract activities of the Commission. Such cases include:

(a) Correction or mitigation of the effects of a mistake in a prime contract of the Commission (FPR 1–17.204–3),

(b) Formalizing informal commitments (FPR 1–17.204–4), and

(c) Adjustments in contracts based on Commission action (FPR 1–17.204–2(b)).

§ 9–17.207 Requests by contractors.

§ 9–17.207–1 Filing requests.

A request for use of the authority may be made by any party who performs services for or furnishes material or facilities to the AEC, either directly or indirectly. The request shall be filed in quintuplicate with the cognizant contracting officer or his duly authorized representative. If such filing is impractical, requests will be deemed to be properly filed if filed with the General Manager.

§ 9–17.207–2 Form of requests.

In addition to the requirements of FPR 1–17.207–2, if the request is by a subcontractor, vendor, supplier, or consultant under an AEC prime contract, a copy of the request by the requesting party to the prime contractor for a statement of his position with respect to the proposed use of authority under the Act and a copy of his reply, if available, shall also be filed. All possibilities for disposition of any claim between the prime contractor and the party requesting relief should be exhausted prior to requesting the use of the authority under the Act. In instances where the possibilities for disposition have not been exhausted, the matter will be referred to the prime contractor for consideration.

§ 9–17.207–50 Procedure for handling cases.

(a) Special management consideration cases:

(1) All requests for the exercise of authority under the Act in cases of special management consideration shall be referred to the General Manager for consideration, provided that requests under $50,000 may be referred by the General Manager to the cognizant Field Office Manager for disposition.

(b) Cases of contractual fairness:

(1) Requests for use of the authority under the Act in cases of contractual fairness shall be referred to the Manager of the Field Office having cognizance over the contract or subcontract involved, or in the case of Headquarters contracts, the General Manager.

(2) Thereupon, steps shall be taken to ascertain promptly whether or not the request contains the information called for under § 9–17.207–2 and if it does not, additional information needed to cure the deficiency shall be requested from the person requesting relief. With respect to each request, which meets the standards of § 9–17.207–2, an investigation sufficient to ascertain all relevant facts and issues shall be conducted as soon as possible.

(3) Where a request cannot be resolved by the Manager of the Field Office solely because the request for relief is in excess of $50,000, he shall forward the request to the General Manager with his recommendation.

(4) In the event the resolution proposed by the Manager of the Field Office or General Manager is unacceptable to the person making the request, it shall be referred to the Board of Contract Appeals (acting in its capacity as a Contract Adjustment Board) for disposition in accordance with this part.

(c) The General Manager or Managers of Field Offices may, in their discretion, refer requests at any time to the Board of Contract Appeals (acting in its capacity as a Contract Adjustment Board) for disposition. In such instances, the General Manager or cognizant Manager, or their designees shall represent the interests of the Commission before the Board of Contract Appeals (acting in its capacity as a Contract Adjustment Board).

§ 9–17.208 Processing cases.

(a) When submitting cases for consideration by the General Manager, Managers of Field Offices and Directors of

Headquarters Division shall submit to the Director, Division of Contracts, Headquarters, four copies of the following:

(1) The contractor's request in the form described in FPR 1–17.207–2,

(2) The preliminary record required by FPR 1–17.207–3.

(3) The facts and evidence described in FPR 1–17.207–4, unless the Director, Division of Contracts, Headquarters, shall approve their omission, and

(4) The recommended course of action.

(b) Cases within the jurisdiction of the Board of Contract Appeals (acting in its capacity as a Contract Adjustment Board) shall be submitted directly to the Board, and the submission shall include the data described in paragraph (a) of this section.

§ 9–17.208–3 Disposition.

Subject to FPR 1–17.208–3(b) and applicable security regulations, in cases of special management considerations where the authority is used and in all cases of contractual fairness, Memoranda of Decision shall be available for public inspection.

§ 9–17.208–4 Records.

Managers of Field Offices, the Director Division of Contracts, Headquarters (for the General Manager), and the Chairman of the Board of Contract Appeals (acting in its capacity as a Contract Adjustment Board) shall maintain the records required by FPR 1–17.208–4 for each case handled within their respective areas of authority.

§ 9–17.208–50 Proceedings before the Contract Adjustment Board.

(a) When a case is to be decided by the Contract Adjustment Board, the CAB shall proceed in the same general manner as when it presides as the Board of Contract Appeals with respect to cases before it under the authority of 10 CFR Part 3. Any party directly affected by a request for the exercise of authority under the Act shall be entitled to a hearing by the CAB of the same general character as the Board of Contract Appeals provides parties in a 10 CFR Part 3 proceeding. The CAB shall make its decision on the record, prepare a Memorandum of Decision as provided in FPR 1–17.208–3, and thereupon transmit it to all parties to the proceeding.

(b) Whenever a case is before the Board of Contract Appeals under the authority of 10 CFR Part 3 and it appears that the case is one of contractual fairness under § 9–17.204–52, the Board may continue to hear and decide the case as a Contract Adjustment Board with or without sending it to the Manager of the appropriate Field Office for consideration, depending on the particular circumstances and the requirement of fair and equitable treatment of all parties concerned: *Provided*, That whenever a case is based on a request for use of the authority under the Act, it shall be placed on the CAB's docket for such cases.

§ 9–17.208–51 Intra-agency coordination.

When a request for use of authority under the Act involves issues relating to both special management consideration and contractual fairness, the handling of the request shall be coordinated by the General Manager and the Chairman, Board of Contract Appeals and Adjustments, provided that the General Manager shall have the option of acting first on the request. After the General Manager acts upon the request, the request may still be regarded as a case of contractual fairness and handled accordingly.

Subpart 9–17.3—Residual Powers

§ 9–17.304 Records.

The Director, Division of Contracts (for the General Manager) shall retain a copy of the memorandum required by FPR 1–17.303(a).

Subpart 9–17.4—Records of Requests and Dispositions

§ 9–17.402 Final records.

Managers of Field Offices, the Director, Division of Contracts, Headquarters (for the General Manager) and the Chairman, Board of Contract Appeals (acting in its capacity as a Contract Adjustment Board) shall prepare the final record described in FPR 1–17.402 for each case handled within their respective areas of authority.

Effective date. This regulation is effective upon publication as to all cases submitted on or after such publication. Pending cases shall be handled under the Atomic Energy Commission Procurement Regulations dated September 27, 1969.

Dated at Germantown, Md., this 1st day of July 1971.

For the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

JOSEPH L. SMITH,
Director, Division of Contracts.

[FR Doc.71-9655 Filed 7-8-71;8:45 am]

Title 43—PUBLIC LANDS: INTERIOR

Chapter II—Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior

APPENDIX—PUBLIC LAND ORDERS

[Public Land Order 5069]

[Sacramento 080012]

CALIFORNIA

Powersite Cancellation No. 207; Cancellation of Powersite Classification No. 138 in Part, and No. 273 in Its Entirety

Correction

In F.R. Doc. 71-8611 appearing on page 11730 in the issue for Friday, June 18, 1971, the description for sec. 16 under "T. 8 S., R. 25 E.," in Powersite Classification No. 138 should read as follows:

Sec. 16, SE¼NE¼, NE¼SE¼, NE¼SE¼SE¼, E½SW¼SE¼SE¼, NE¼SW¼SE¼SE¼, S½SW¼SE¼SE¼, SE¼SE¼SE¼;

Title 47—TELECOMMUNICATION

Chapter I—Federal Communications Commission

[FCC 71-690]

PART 1—PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE
Processing of Broadcast Applications

Order. 1. Paragraph (a) (1) of §§ 1.571–1.574 of the Commission's rules (processing sections) specifies what constitutes a major change in station facilities for each of the broadcast services, and also contains a proviso clause which states that the Commission may, within 15 days after the tender for filing of any other application for modification of facilities, advise the applicant that such application is considered to be one for a major change and therefore is subject to the provisions of § 1.580.[1]

2. The Commission has received an increasing number of petitions after the expiration of the 15-day period which request it to invoke the proviso clause and consider a given application as one for a major change in facilities. We also note that there are often instances when appropriate Commission personnel are unaware that an application presents circumstances which would warrant consideration as a major change until more than 15 days have passed from the date it was tendered for filing. It therefore appears that if the processing sections were amended to allow the Commission to act within 15 days from the date an application is accepted for filing rather than tendered for filing, both Commission personnel and potential petitioners would be provided with a more realistic time period within which to assess the significance of the application. However, we wish to emphasize the fact that Commission action under the proviso clause is purely discretionary and petitions urging us to exercise this discretion do not lie as a matter of right.

3. Although a fair reading of both the processing sections and § 1.1111 (schedule of fees) of the rules plainly reveals that the designation of a broadcast application as one for a major change in facilities by the Commission requires the imposition of the larger filing and grant fees specified for major changes, the adoption of new and higher fees in 1970 has generated numerous inquiries on this point. Therefore, in order to eliminate any uncertainty which may exist concerning the applicability of our fee schedule to such applications, the processing sections should be amended to specifically refer to § 1.1111 as well as § 1.580 (publication requirements). Ad-

[1] This authority is delegated to the Chief, Broadcast Bureau, by § 0.281(s) of the rules.

vantage is taken in this amendment to clarify the definition of a change in area by the addition of Notes to §§ 1.572 and 1.573.

4. These amendments to the rules are adopted pursuant to the authority contained in sections 4 (i) and (j) and 303(r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and inasmuch as they are interpretative and procedural in nature, compliance with the usual notice and effective date provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. section 553) is unnecessary.

5. *Accordingly, it is ordered*, That, effective July 13, 1971, §§ 1.571, 1.572, 1.573, and 1.574 of the Commission's rules and regulations are amended as set forth below.

(Secs. 4, 303, 48 Stat., as amended, 1066, 1082; 47 U.S.C. 154, 303)

Adopted: June 30, 1971.

Released: July 6, 1971.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,[2]
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

1. Section 1.571 of the Commission's rules and regulations is amended by revising paragraph (a)(1) thereof to read as follows:

§ 1.571 Processing of standard broadcast applications.

(a) * * *

(1) In the first group are applications for new stations (except applications for new Class II–A stations), or for major changes in the facilities of authorized stations. A major change is any change in frequency, power, hours of operation, or station location: *Provided, however*, That the Commission may, within 15 days after the acceptance for filing of any other application for modification of facilities, advise the applicant that such application is considered to be one for a major change and therefore is subject to the provisions of §§ 1.580 and 1.1111 pertaining to major changes.

2. Section 1.572 of the Commission's rules and regulations is amended by revising paragraph (a)(1) and the addition of a Note to read as follows:

§ 1.572 Processing of television broadcast applications.

(a) * * *

(1) In the first group are applications for new stations or for major changes in the facilities of authorized stations. A major change is, in the case of stations authorized under Part 73 of this chapter, (i) any change in frequency or station location, or (ii) any change in power, antenna location or height above average terrain (or combination thereof) which would result in a change of 50 percent or more of the area within the Grade B contour of the station. In the case of television translator stations authorized under Part 74 of this chapter it is any change in frequency (output channel), primary station (input channel) or principal community or communities: *Provided, however*, That, the Commission may, within 15 days after the acceptance for filing of any other application for modification of facilities, advise the applicant that such application is considered to be one for a major change and therefore is subject to the provisions of §§ 1.580 and 1.1111 pertaining to major changes.

* * * * *

NOTE: For the purposes of this section, a change in area is defined as the sum of the area gained and the area lost as a percentage of the original area.

3. Section 1.573 of the Commission's rules and regulations is amended by revising paragraph (a)(1) and redesignating the present Note as Note 1 and adding a new Note 2 to read as follows:

§ 1.573 Processing of FM and noncommercial educational FM broadcast applications.

(a) * * *

(1) In the first group are applications for new stations or for major changes in the facilities of authorized stations. A major change is, in the case of stations authorized under Part 73 of this chapter, (i) any change in frequency, station location or class of station, or (ii) any change in power, antenna location or height above average terrain (or combination thereof) which would result in a change of 50 percent or more in the area within the station's predicted 1 mv/m field strength contour. In the case of FM translator stations authorized under Part 74 of this chapter, it is any change in frequency (output channel), primary station, or authorized principal community or area: *Provided, however*, That the Commission may, within 15 days after the acceptance for filing of any other application for modification of facilities, advise the applicant that such application is considered to be one for a major change and therefore is subject to the provisions of §§ 1.580 and 1.1111 pertaining to major changes.

* * * * *

NOTE 2: For the purposes of this section, a change in area is defined as the sum of the area gained and the area lost as a percentage of the original area.

4. Section 1.574 of the Commission's rules and regulations is amended by revising paragraph (a)(1) to read as follows:

§ 1.574 Processing of international broadcast applications.

(a) * * *

(1) In the first group are applications for new stations, or for major changes in the facilities of authorized stations. A major change is (i) for new or additional target zones, or (ii) a substantial change (other than local) in transmitter location, or (iii) a significant change in the delivered median field intensity at the target zone: *Provided, however*, That the Commission may, within 15 days after the acceptance for filing of any other application for modification, advise the applicant that such application is considered to be one for a major change and therefore is subject to the provisions of §§ 1.580 and 1.1111 pertaining to major changes.

* * * * *

[FR Doc.71-9719 Filed 7-8-71;8:52 am]

[FCC 71-691]

PART 1—PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

Modification of Uniform Time Period for Construction

Order. 1. The Commission, on June 3, 1970, adopted its Report and Order in Docket No. 18763 (23 FCC 2d 274), in which it revised § 1.598 of the rules to increase, generally, the time within which standard, FM, and television broadcast stations must be constructed following grant of construction permits. The time within which a new television station must be constructed was extended to 18 months and AM and FM broadcast stations now have 12 months within which to construct.

2. As originally worded, the rule embraced all broadcast stations, but, in revising the rule, we inadvertently worded the rule in such a way as to exclude other broadcast services and auxiliaries, such as translators. To rectify this error, we are amending the rule to provide a uniform 12-month period for all broadcast stations and auxiliaries except television stations, which will remain 18 months. Accordingly, § 1.598(b) of the rules is being amended to read as follows:

§ 1.598 Period of construction.

* * * * *

(b) *Other broadcast, auxiliary, and Instructional Television Fixed Stations.* Each original construction permit for the construction of a new standard broadcast, FM broadcast, international broadcast, television or FM translator or booster, broadcast auxiliary, or Instructional Television Fixed Station (ITFS), shall specify a period of 12 months within which construction shall be completed and application for license be filed.

3. Prior notice of proposed rule making is unnecessary; clearly, there was no intention, in amending § 1.598, to omit any type of broadcast station and, additionally, the time allowed for constructing other types of broadcast stations, e.g., television or FM translator stations or FM booster stations, is extended to 12 months under paragraph (b) of § 1.598 as amended (5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B)). In the circumstances, compliance with the effective date provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553 (b)(A) and (d)(3) is unnecessary.

4. *It is ordered*, That effective July 13, 1971, § 1.598(b) of the Commission's rules and regulations is amended to read as set forth above. Authority for this action is set out in sections 4(i), 303(r), and 319 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

[2] Commissioner Robert E. Lee absent.

5. *It is further ordered*, That this proceeding is terminated.

(Secs. 4, 303, 48 Stat., as amended, 1066, 1082; 47 U.S.C. 154, 303)

Adopted: June 30, 1971.

Released: July 6, 1971.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,[1]
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-9718 Filed 7-8-71;8:52 am]

[FCC 71-680]

PART 2—FREQUENCY ALLOCATION AND RADIO TREATY MATTERS: GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS

Sagamore Hill Radio Observatory

Order. In the matter of amendment of footnote US117 to § 2.106, the Table of Frequency Allocations, of the Commission's rules and regulations to add Sagamore Hill Radio Observatory to the list of observatories requiring prior coordination for assignments in the 406–410 MHz band.

1. The Interdepartment Radio Advisory Committee (IRAC), by action taken on June 8, 1971, has requested the Commission to amend footnote US117 to the Table of Frequency Allocations as set forth in the above caption. The Sagamore Hill Radio Observatory, Hamilton, Mass., is owned and operated by the Department of the Air Force.

2. The band 406–410 MHz is presently a shared band in the United States with radio astronomy the only permitted service in the non-Government sector. As there are no non-Government assignments presently outstanding which could be affected by the amendments, the action requested herein will not result in any adverse effects to non-Government users. Further, the action could provide benefits accruing to the general public through the science of radio astronomy. Therefore, compliance with the notice and effective date provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553 is unnecessary.

3. Accordingly, pursuant to authority contained in sections 4(c) and 303 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended: *It is ordered*, That, effective July 13, 1971, footnote US117 to the Table of Frequency Allocations, § 2.106 of the Commission's rules, is amended as set forth below.

(Secs. 4, 303, 48 Stat., as amended, 1066, 1082; 47 U.S.C. 154, 303)

Adopted: June 30, 1971.

Released: July 6, 1971.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

[1] Commissioner Robert E. Lee absent.

In § 2.106, footnote US117 is amended to read as follows:

US117 In the band 406–410MHz, all new authorizations will be limited to a maximum of 7 watts per kHz of necessary bandwidth; existing authorizations as of November 30, 1970, exceeding this power are permitted to continue in use.

New authorizations in this band for stations, other than mobile stations, within the following areas are subject to prior coordination by the applicant with the Secretary of the Committee on Radio Frequencies of the National Academy of Sciences:

Arecibo Observatory: Rectangle between latitudes 17°30′ N. and 19°00′ N. and between longitudes 65°10′ W. and 68°00′ W.

Five College Radio Astronomy Observatory: Rectangle between latitudes 41°40′ N. and 42°50′ N. and between longitudes 71°20′ W. and 73°20′ W.

Owens Valley Radio Observatory: Two contiguous rectangles, one between latitudes 36° N. and 37° N. and longitudes 117°40′ W. and 118°30′ W., and the second between latitudes 37° N. and 38° N. and longitudes 118° W. and 118°50′ W.

Pennsylvania State University Radio Astronomy Observatory: Rectangle between latitudes 40°00′ N. and 41°40′ N. and longitudes 77°15′ W. and 78°40′ W.

Sagamore Hill Radio Observatory: Rectangle between latitudes 42°10′ N. and 43°00′ N. and longitudes 70°31′ W. and 71°31′ W.

Vermillion River Observatory: Rectangle between latitudes 38°35′ N. and 41°31′ N. and longitudes 86°15′ W. and 89°30′ W.

(The foregoing provisions will be reviewed in connection with U.S. implementation of the Final Acts of the 1971 Space WARC.)

The non-Government use of this band is limited to the radio astronomy service and as provided by footnote US13.

[FR Doc.71-9720 Filed 7-8-71;8:52 am]

[Docket No. 15657; FCC 71-693]

PART 15—RADIO FREQUENCY DEVICES

Operation of Radio Door Controls

Memorandum Opinion and Order. In the matter of amendment of Part 15 of the Commission's rules, to provide for the operation of radio door controls, Docket No. 15657, RM-524.

1. In a second report and order,[1] adopted on March 24, 1971, the Commission amended Part 15 of the rules governing the operation of radio door controls. The new rules revise the limits on the levels of field strength of emissions permitted for both the transmitter and the receiver units of such controls and require that both the receiver and transmitter units of such controls be individually certificated. Under the new certification procedure, a manufacturer is required to attach to the receiver and transmitter of his control, an identification plate containing a statement notifying purchasers about FCC conditions for operation. The second report and order sets out May 7, 1971, as the effective date for the new rules.

2. By its petition, submitted on April 26, 1971, the Door Operator and Remote Controls Manufacturers Association (DORCMA) seeks clarification and interpretation of the labeling requirements. DORCMA also asks the Commission to reconsider and defer for 17 months the effective date of the new rules. In a letter of April 2, 1971, Telectron, Inc. (a manufacturer of such controls), requests that the Commission defer for 3 months the effective date of the new rules; in a second letter of April 8, 1971, Telectron, Inc., states that it had overlooked certain facts in requesting a 3-month extension, and requests that the Commission consider a longer delay.

3. DORCMA contends that the language in § 15.268 "each receiver part and each transmitter part of a certified radio control for a door opener shall bear an identification plate * * *." is unduly restrictive, since this is construed to mean that only a metal plate attached to the unit will be acceptable. DORCMA accordingly requests that the language "identification plate" be changed to "identification plate, label, or legend."

4. DORCMA also objects to the requirement in § 15.268(a)(3) that the name of the grantee of certification appear on the identification label on the ground that this requirement is contrary to actual industry practice. DORCMA points out that many manufacturers produce radio door controls for private label distributors, and that such a distributor usually insists on having his trade name alone appear on the product without disclosing the name of the manufacturer. In this connection, DORCMA explains that, although a radio door control may bear the name of a private label distributor, it is generally the manufacturer who has applied for certification, since most distributors are unwilling and not in a position to take on this responsibility. Consequently, DORCMA requests that the Commission revise § 15.268 to permit either the name of the grantee of certification or the trade name of the distributor to appear on identification labels.

5. It was never our intention that the term "identification plate" used in § 15.268 should be construed as strictly as DORCMA indicates, and there is no objection to using any other form of label which is readily visible to prospective purchasers and includes the information specified in § 15.268, as herein revised.

6. The petition also raises the question concerning the name to be specified on the label required by § 15.268. DORCMA points out that current industry practice involves identifying the device with the vendor's trade name and not with the name of the manufacturer. It is not our intention to upset this long-standing industry practice. Our equipment authorization procedure requires that discrete certification must be obtained for each separate trade name and model number under which such equipment is to be distributed.[2] Since the application for

[1] 36 F.R. 6504, Apr. 6, 1971.

[2] Section 1.1120 of the Commission's rules requires a separate certification fee for each transmitter and each receiver bearing a distinct name or model number, and a separate application for each unit must be made irrespective of technical uniformity with previously certified units.

certification will require disclosure of both the trade name and the manufacturer, either name will provide adequate identification label, and § 15.268 has been amended to permit such marking.

7. The second part of DORCMA's petition deals with the effective date of the rules. DORCMA argues that sufficient time must be allowed for a manufacturer to clear his facility of existing inventory and the resulting finished product. Having canvassed a representative number of its members DORCMA has found that some 12 to 17 months will be required for a typical manufacturer to "run-off" existing inventories which he accumulated prior to promulgation of the Commission's new rules. Such inventories of components, DORCMA states, were purchased in anticipation of fabricating door controls designed for operation under the rules current at the time of purchase. In addition, DORCMA contends, time must be allowed for the manufacturer's distributor to "turnover" finished products to dealers who sell radio door controls to the using public and an additional period must be provided to give dealers reasonable time to sell radio door controls to the ultimate user. This additional time required by the dealer is actually in excess of the 12 to 17 months requested for manufacturers and distributors.

8. DORCMA adds that, apart from the problem of clearing out existing inventories and the problem of moving finished products into distribution channels, manufacturers will be faced with the problem of converting to the manufacture of transmitters and receivers that will meet the Commission's certification requirements. Conversion problems, DORCMA states, involve new designs, component purchase, production scheduling, procurement of satisfactory test and inspection equipment, certification, and more particularly the physical modification of production lines. In these circumstances, DORCMA states that it is convinced that the Commission's new rules for radio door controls should not become effective earlier than November 7, 1972.

9. In this connection, the Commission notes that the industry has been on notice that tighter regulations would be forthcoming for the receiver unit of these controls since October 1964, when this proceeding was instituted.[3] As a matter of fact the regulations regarding receivers adopted in the second report and order are the same as those originally proposed. Since reports continue to be received that these door controls continue to cause interference, the Commission feels that it is essential to reduce the level of emissions from the receiver unit of the control at the earliest possible moment. Notwithstanding, the Commission agrees in part with DORCMA that some consideration be given to the matter of inventories now on hand. Accordingly, the Commission is deferring the effective date of the rules adopted in its second report and order. The new effective date, November 1, 1971, will allow manufacturers and dealers about four months in which to clear their inventories and develop new designs. This extension is being granted solely to give manufacturers time to exhaust present component inventories, and to accomplish a full transition to controls that will comply with the Commission's new rules. In this regard, the Commission expects manufacturers of these controls to convert their facilities without delay, and anticipates that further extensions will not be granted. It is recognized that some loss may be involved in converting to new designs by November 1, 1971, but the Commission feels that the overall public interest requires such accelerated conversion.

10. Therefore, the established deadline for the marketing of radio controls for door openers which do not comply with the standards adopted in the second report and order in this proceeding is November 1, 1971. Additionally, the established deadline for the use of such devices is October 1, 1978; however, if in operation the receiver unit of such a control is found to be a source of harmful interference, the Commission may require that both the receiver and the transmitter units of such a control comply with the technical standards in the second report and order at a date earlier than October 1, 1978.

11. Accordingly, pursuant to the authority contained in sections 4(i), 302, and 303(r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended: *It is ordered*, That the Commission's second report and order in Docket No. 15657 is revised to specify a new effective date of November 1, 1971: *And it is further ordered*, That Part 15 of the Commission's rules is amended in the manner set forth below.

(Secs. 4, 302, 303, 48 Stat., as amended, 1066, 1082, 290; 47 U.S.C. 154, 302, 303)

Adopted: June 30, 1971.

Released: July 6, 1971.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,[4]
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

Part 15 is amended by revising § 15.268 and by adding a new § 15.276.

1. Section 15.268 is revised to read as follows:

§ 15.268 Identification of a certificated radio control for a door opener.

(a) Irrespective of operating frequency, and notwithstanding the other labeling requirements of this chapter, each transmitter unit and each receiver unit of a radio control for a door opener shall be identified by an appropriate label containing the following information:

(1) The name of the grantee of certification or the trade name specified in the application for certification.

(2) The model number as given in the application for certification.

(3) The following statement:

This device complies with FCC Rules Part 15. Operation of this device is subject to the following two conditions: 1. This device may not cause harmful interference. 2. This device must accept any interference that may be received, including interference that may cause undesired operation.

(b) The information required by paragraph (a) of this section shall be permanently attached to the device and be readily visible to the prospective purchaser and user.

2. A new § 15.276 is added to read as follows:

§ 15.276 Date when certification of a radio control for a door opener is required.

(a) A radio control for a door opener that operates above 70 MHz marketed on or after November 1, 1971, shall comply with the certification requirements of § 15.215 and §§ 15.260–15.266 inclusive.

(b) No radio control for a door opener that operates above 70 MHz marketed prior to November 1, 1971, may operate after October 1, 1978, or such earlier date that may be specified by the Commission if the receiver thereof is found to be a source of harmful interference, unless the receiver has been certificated to demonstrate compliance with the provisions of § 15.63(d) and the transmitter has been certificated to demonstrate compliance with the provisions of § 15.215.

[FR Doc.71-9721 Filed 7-8-71;8:52 am]

[3] Notice of proposed rule making, 29 F.R. 14409, Oct. 20, 1964.

[4] Commissioner Robert E. Lee absent.

Proposed Rule Making

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[43 CFR Part 3540]

MINERAL COLLECTION ON ACQUIRED LANDS WITHIN NATIONAL FORESTS

Permits

The purpose of this amendment is to incorporate into the regulations provisions for authorizing mineral collectors to enter upon acquired lands within national forests to collect mineral specimens under terms and conditions necessary for the conservation of natural resources, multiple-use of national forest lands, equitable distribution of recreation privileges, and public safety. Presently there are no regulations authorizing such activity. The proposed regulations are based on the authority of the Act of March 4, 1917 (16 U.S.C. 520).

It is the policy of the Department of the Interior, whenever practicable, to afford the public an opportunity to participate in the rule making process. Accordingly, interested persons may submit written comments, suggestions, or objections with respect to the proposed amendment to the Bureau of Land Management (210), Washington, D.C. 20240, within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

A a new subpart is added to Part 3540 to read as follows:

Subpart 3541—Mineral Collection Permits

§ 3541.0–1 Purpose.

The purpose of the regulations in this Subpart 3541 is to authorize mineral collectors to enter upon the lands described in § 3501.2–6(d) of this chapter for the purpose of searching for and removing mineral specimens.

§ 3541.0–2 Objectives.

The objective is to make use of lands available to mineral collectors at a reasonable fee and under terms and conditions necessary for the conservation of natural resources, multiple-use of national forest lands, equitable distribution of recreation privileges, and public safety.

§ 3541.0–5 Definitions.

For the purposes of the regulations in this Subpart 3541,

(a) "Director" is the Director of the Bureau of Land Management or his delegate.

(b) "Chief" is the Chief of the Forest Service or his delegate.

(c) "Fee" is a user charge for searching for and removing mineral specimens.

§ 3541.1 Permit requirements.

Any individual who desires to search for and remove mineral specimens in a National Forest must have a permit to do so in that National Forest, signed by the authorized representatives of the Bureau of Land Management. Permits can be secured in person or by mail direct from any office of the Bureau in the State in which the National Forest is situated or from the Forest Service District Ranger responsible for the area.

§ 3541.2 Fees for issuance of permits.

Fees will be determined by the Director and will be based on charges for comparable privileges on private and State lands, including recognition of the costs of supervising the removal of mineral specimens.

§ 3541.3 Terms and conditions of permits.

The permit will contain terms and conditions which are deemed necessary by the Director and by the Chief to achieve the objectives of the regulations in this subpart, including, but not limited to, the following:

(a) Limits on the amount of material that may be taken by an individual per day, calendar year, or other period of time, and the total number of days per calendar year any individual shall be permitted to collect on any site.

(b) Prohibition or restriction of the use of explosives.

(c) Specifications as to the type of tools and equipment which may be used.

(d) Measures to be taken to prevent destruction of other natural resources or antiquities, to rehabilitate the land after removal of mineral specimens, and to protect the environment in any other respect deemed desirable.

(e) Use of fire.

(f) Cleanup.

(g) Avoidance of hazards.

§ 3541.4 Penalty for violations.

Permits are subject to immediate cancellation if any of the terms and conditions are violated. In such case no portion of the fee will be refunded. The Director may refuse to issue a permit to any individual who violated the terms and conditions of any prior permit.

§ 3541.5 Trespass.

Removal of mineral specimens from lands described in § 3501.2–6(d) of this chapter without, or in violation of, a permit issued under the regulations in this subpart is a trespass against the United States. Trespassers will be liable in damages to the United States and may be subject to criminal prosecution.

ROGERS C. B. MORTON,
Secretary of the Interior.

JULY 1, 1971.

[FR Doc.71-9712 Filed 7-8-71;8:51 am]

National Park Service

[36 CFR Part 7]

CHANNEL ISLANDS NATIONAL MONUMENT, CALIF.

Submerged Features, Wrecks and Fishing

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the authority contained in section 3 of the Act of August 25, 1916 (39 Stat. 535, as amended; 16 U.S.C. 3), 245 DM–1 (27 F.R. 6395, as amended), and National Park Service Order No. 21 (27 F.R. 7903, as amended), it is proposed to add § 7.84 to Title 36 of the Code of Federal Regulations as set forth below.

The purpose of this proposal is to prohibit damage to submerged features to preserve wrecked vessels, and to protect various species of fish and shellfish from extinction and to improve fishing for recreational enjoyment of visitors.

It is the policy of the Department of the Interior, whenever practicable, to afford the public an opportunity to participate in the rule making process. Accordingly, interested persons may submit written comments, suggestions, or objections regarding the proposed amendment to the Superintendent, Channel Islands National Monument, Post Office Box 1388, Oxnard, CA 93030, within 30 days of the publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

A new § 7.84 is added to read as follows:

§ 7.84 Channel Islands National Monument.

(a) *Submerged features.* No person shall cut, carve, injure, mutilate, remove, displace, or break off any underwater growth or formation. Nor shall any person dig in the bottom, or in any other way injure or impair the natural beauty of the underwater scene.

(b) *Wrecks.* No person shall destroy, molest, remove, deface, displace, or tamper with wrecked and abandoned water or airborne craft or any cargo pertaining thereto.

(c) *Fishing.* The taking of any fish, crustaceans, mollusk, or other marine life shall be in compliance with State regulations except that:

(1) No abalone may be taken in water less than five (5) feet in depth.

(2) No lobster may be taken except by hoop net or by hand.

(3) No person shall molest, kill, wound, capture, frighten, or attempt to molest, kill, wound, capture, frighten any seal or sea lion.

(d) *Commercial operations.* (1) The taking of any fish, crustaceans, mollusk, or other marine life for commercial purposes is prohibited.

(2) The operation of any vessel carrying passengers for hire within 1 nautical

mile of Anacapa or Santa Barbara Islands is prohibited without a written permit from the Superintendent. The permit shall be issued by the Superintendent provided such commercial operations:

(i) Are compatible with the purposes for which the national monument was established.

(ii) Will not adversely affect the preservation of the resources or the natural features of the national monument.

(iii) Are adequately staffed and equipped to insure the accomplishment of subdivisions (i) and (ii) of this subparagraph.

EDWARD A. HUMMEL,
Assistant Director,
National Park Service.

[FR Doc.71-9699 Filed 7-8-71;8:49 am]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Consumer and Marketing Service

[7 CFR Part 906]

ORANGES AND GRAPEFRUIT GROWN IN LOWER RIO GRANDE VALLEY IN TEXAS

Increase in Expenses for 1970–71 Fiscal Period

Consideration is being given to the following proposal submitted by the Texas Valley Citrus Committee, established under the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 906, as amended (7 CFR Part 906), regulating the handling of oranges and grapefruit grown in Lower Rio Grande Valley in Texas, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674), as the agency to administer the terms and provisions thereof:

That the provision pertaining to expenses in paragraph (a) of § 906.210 *Expenses and rate of assessment* (35 F.R. 14607) be amended to read as follows:

§ 906.210 Expenses and rate of assessment.

(a) *Expenses.* The expenses that are reasonable and likely to be incurred by the Texas Valley Citrus Committee, during the period August 1, 1970, through July 31, 1971, will amount to $724,000;

* * * * *

All persons who desire to submit written data, views, or arguments in connection with the aforesaid proposal should file the same in quadruplicate, with the Hearing Clerk, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 112, Administration Building, Washington, D.C. 20250, not later than the 10th day after the publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER. All written submissions made pursuant to this notice will be made available for public inspection at the office of the Hearing Clerk during regular business hours (7 CFR 1.27(b)).

Dated: July 2, 1971.

PAUL A. NICHOLSON,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.

[FR Doc.71-9693 Filed 7-8-71;8:49 am]

[7 CFR Part 917]

FRESH PEARS GROWN IN CALIFORNIA

Handling Limitations

Consideration is being given to the following proposal submitted by the Pear Commodity Committee, established pursuant to the amended marketing agreement and Order No. 917, as amended (7 CFR Part 917; 35 F.R. 7510), regulating the handling of fresh pears, plums and peaches grown in California, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674).

The proposal is to amend § 917.425 (Pear Reg. 1) to extend the effective period of said regulation to December 31, 1971.

All persons who desire to submit written data, views, or arguments for consideration in connection with the proposed amendment shall file the same, in quadruplicate, with the Hearing Clerk, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 112, Administration Building, Washington, D.C. 20250, not later than the seventh day after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER. All written submissions made pursuant to this notice will be made available for public inspection at the office of the Hearing Clerk during regular business hours (7 CFR 1.27(b)).

As proposed to be amended the said § 917.425 reads as follows:

§ 917.425 Pear Regulation 1.

(a) *Order.* During the period July 9, 1971, through December 31, 1971, no handler shall ship:

(1) Any box or container of Bartlett, Max-Red (Max-Red Bartlett, Red Bartlett), or Rosired (Rosired Bartlett) varieties of pears unless at least 85 percent, by count, of the pears contained in such box or container grade at least U.S. No. 1 with the remainder thereof grading not less than U.S. No. 2;

(2) Any box or container of Bartlett, Max-Red (Max-Red Bartlett, Red Bartlett), or Rosired (Rosired Bartlett) varieties of pears unless such pears are of a size not smaller than the size known commercially as size 165.

(3) Any box or container of pears of any variety unless such box or container is stamped or otherwise marked, in plain sight and in plain letters, on one outside end with the name of the variety, if known, or when the variety is not known, the words "unknown variety."

(b) *Definitions.* (1) Terms used in the amended marketing agreement and order shall, when used herein, have the same meaning as is given to the respective term in said amended marketing agreement and order.

(2) "Size known commercially as size 165" means a size of pear that will pack in standard pear box, packed in accordance with the specifications of a standard pack, with 165 pears and with the 22 smallest pears weighing not less than 5¾ pounds.

(3) "Standard pear box" means the container so designated in section 43599 of the Agricultural Code of California.

(4) "U.S. No. 1," "U.S. No. 2," and "standard pack" shall have the same meaning as when used in the U.S. Standards for Pears (Summer and Fall), §§ 51.1260–51.1280 of this title.

(Secs. 1–19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601–674)

Dated: July 2, 1971.

PAUL A. NICHOLSON,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.

[FR Doc.71-9694 Filed 7-8-71;8:49 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Food and Drug Administration

[21 CFR Part 8]

COLOR ADDITIVE FD&C RED NO. 40

Listing of Lakes for Food and Drug Use Subject to Certification

Pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 706 (b), (c)(1), (d), 74 Stat. 399–403; 21 U.S.C. 376(b), (c)(1), (d)) and under authority delegated to him (21 CFR 2.120):

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs, based on a petition filed by Allied Chemical Corp., Specialty Chemicals Division, c/o Hazleton Laboratories, Inc., Post Office Box 30, Falls Church, Va. 22046, and other relevant material, proposes to amend §§ 8.244 FD&C Red No. 40 and 8.4104 FD&C Red No. 40 (36 F.R. 6892) to provide for the listing of the lakes, subject to certification, of FD&C Red No. 40 for use in foods and drugs.

Interested persons may, within 30 days after publication hereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER, file with the Hearing Clerk, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Room 6–62, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Md. 20852, written comments (preferably in quintuplicate) regarding this proposal. Comments may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in

support thereof. Received comments may be seen in the above office during working hours, Monday through Friday.

Dated: June 28, 1971.

SAM D. FINE,
Associate Commissioner for Compliance.

[FR Doc.71-9713 Filed 7-8-71;8:51 am]

Office of the Secretary

[41 CFR Part 3–5]

PROCUREMENT SOURCES

Notice of Proposed Rule Making

Notice is hereby given in accordance with the administrative procedure provisions in 5 U.S.C. 553, that pursuant to the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949, as amended, the Office of the Secretary is considering an amendment to 41 CFR Chapter 3 by revising Part 3–5, Special and Directed Sources of Supply. The purpose of the revision is to modify existing policy so as to authorize the vesting of title to property acquired from GSA supply sources with specific contractors when required for the performance of research contract or in connection with long range programs.

Any person who wishes to submit written data, views, or arguments pertaining to the proposed amendment may do so by filing them in duplicate with the Director, Division of Procurement and Materiel Management, OASAM, Room 3340, HEW North Building, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, 330 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20201, within 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER. All comments submitted pursuant to this notice will be available for public inspection during regular business hours in the Division of Procurement and Materiel Management.

Dated: July 2, 1971.

NORMAN B. HOUSTON,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Administration.

As proposed, the revised Part 3–5 would read as follows:

PART 3–5—SPECIAL AND DIRECTED SOURCES OF SUPPLY

Subpart 3–5.9—Use of GSA Supply Sources by Contractors Performing Cost-Reimbursement Type Contracts

Sec.
3–5.901 Policy.
3–5.902 Authorization to contractors.
3–5.950 Contract clause.

AUTHORITY: The provisions of this Part 3–5 issued under 5 U.S.C. 301; 40 U.S.C. 486(c).

Subpart 3–5.9—Use of GSA Supply Sources by Contractors Performing Cost-Reimbursement Type Contracts

§ 3–5.901 Policy.

(a) It is the policy of this Department to make GSA supply sources available to eligible contractors when it is determined economically advantageous to the Government to do so. Except as provided in § 3–5.902(c), such determinations and authorizations shall be made by the cognizant contracting officer unless prescribed otherwise by operating agency implementation of this subpart.

(b) Contractors shall not be authorized to utilize General Services Administration supply sources in the performance of fixed-price type contracts, even though such contracts provide for price adjustment, escalation, redetermination, or cost-reduction incentive.

§ 3–5.902 Authorization to contractors.

In addition to the criteria set forth in § 1–5.902 of this title and FPMR 101–26.7, the following factors shall be applicable in making determinations and findings and when issuing authorizations:

(a) *General.* Except as provided in paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section, contractors shall be authorized to utilize General Services Administration sources of supply only when:

(1) Title to property purchased under Federal Supply Schedule contracts will pass to and vest in the Government directly from the Federal Supply Schedule contractor (rather than through the prime contractor);

(2) Title to Government-owned property ordered from General Services Administration stores stock will remain in the Government; or

(3) Equipment ordered on a lease or rental basis under Federal Supply Schedule contracts will be used solely in the performance of cost-reimbursement type Government contracts.

(b) *Research contracts with nonprofit educational institutions.* A nonprofit institution of higher education engaged in the conduct of a research contract authorized to utilize GSA sources will be granted title to such property at time of acquisition to the extent permitted by statute.

(c) *Contracts for long range programs.* Cost-reimbursement type contractors engaged in contracts under long range (10 or more years duration, such as the Social Security Administration Medicare program) when authorized to use Government supply sources for items costing less than like or similar items obtained from commercial sources of supply, may be granted title to such property when it is advantageous to the Government. Reimbursement to the contractor shall be by a method similar to that set forth in § 1–15.205–9 of this title, based on a depreciation rate commensurate to the estimated life expectancy of the equipment involved or the expected life of the contract, whichever is shorter. When the circumstances warrant vesting title in the contractor, the findings shall be prepared and submitted to the head of the procuring activity for determination.

§ 3–5.950 Contract clause.

The following clause shall be used in cost-reimbursement type contracts when it is determined advantageous to authorize the utilization of GSA sources in accordance with § 3–5.902(a). The clause shall be appropriately modified when title to the property is to vest in the contractor under the provisions of § 3–5.902 (b) or (c).

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION SUPPLY SOURCES

The contracting officer may issue and the contractor agrees to accept an authorization to utilize General Services Administration supply sources for property to be used in the performance of this contract. Title to all property acquired under such an authorization shall be in the Government. All property acquired under such an authorization shall be subject to the provisions of the clause of this contract entitled "Government Property," except paragraphs (identify) thereof.

[FR Doc.71-9730 Filed 7-8-71;8:52 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

[46 CFR Parts 2, 146]

[CGFR 71–62]

RADIOACTIVE MATERIALS

Notice of Proposed Rule Making

The Coast Guard is considering amending its regulations concerning reports and forms and dangerous cargoes to add incidents involving radioactive materials to the reporting requirements of 46 CFR 2.20–65 (35 F.R. 16832 and to require notification to the shipper of such incidents.

Interested persons may participate in this proposed rule making by submitting written data, views, or arguments to the Commandant (MHM), U.S. Coast Guard, Washington, D.C. 20591. Each person submitting comments should include his name and address, identify the notice (CGFR 71–62), and give reasons for any recommendations. Comments received will be available for examination by interested persons in Room 8306, Department of Transportation, Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC.

The Coast Guard will hold an informal hearing on Tuesday, August 24, 1971, at 9:30 a.m. in Conference Room 2230, Department of Transportation, Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC. Interested persons are invited to attend the hearing and present oral or written statements on this proposal. There will be no cross examination of persons presenting statements.

The Commandant will evaluate all communications recieved before August 31, 1971, and take final action on this proposal. The proposed regulations may be changed in the light of comments received.

By a separate document published at page 12913 of this issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER, the Hazardous Materials Regulations Board of the Department of Transportation proposes amendments to Parts 171, 174, 175, and 177 of Title 49,

Code of Federal Regulations, to change the reporting requirements that were published in the Saturday, October 31, 1970 issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER (35 F.R. 16836) by including reporting requirements for incidents involving radioactive materials. The Board has fully stated the reasons for the proposed changes in that document, Docket No. HM-36.

The proposed amendments to the hazardous materials regulations of the Department of Transportation in Title 49 would change the reporting requirements applicable to shippers by water, air, and land, and for carriers by air and land. The adoptions of this proposed amendment to Title 46 would prescribe the reporting requirements applicable to carriers of radioactive materials by water.

In consideration of the foregoing, the Coast Guard proposes to amend Chapter 1 of Title 46, Code of Federal Regulations, as follows:

1. By amending § 2.20-65 by deleting the word "or" in paragraph (b)(4), by adding "; or" after the words "of this paragraph" in paragraph (b)(5), and by adding paragraphs (b)(6) and (e) to read as follows:

§ 2.20-65 Immediate notice of certain hazardous incidents.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(6) Fire, breakage, spillage or suspected radioactive contamination occurs involving shipment of radioactive materials.

* * * * *

(e) *Notification to the shipper.* The owner, master, agent, or person in charge of any vessel, domestic or foreign engaged in transporting radioactive materials (including loading, unloading or temporary storage) shall notify the shipper by telephone, radiotelephone, radio message, or other expeditious means, at the earliest practicable moment, of any incident that occurs on board in which there has been fire, breakage, spillage, or suspected radioactive contamination involving the radioactive materials shipment.

2. By amending § 146.19-50(a) by adding the words "as prescribed by § 2.20-65 of this chapter," after the words ", or his authorized representative," in the fourth sentence.

This proposal is made under authority of R.S. 4405, as amended, R.S. 4417a, as amended, R.S. 4462, as amended, R.S. 4472, as amended, section 6(b)(1), 80 Stat. 937; 46 U.S.C. 375, 391a, 416, 170, 49 U.S.C. 1655(b)(1); 49 CFR 1.46(b).

Dated: June 22, 1971.

W. F. REA III,
Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Chief, Office of Merchant Marine Safety.

[FR Doc.71-9727 Filed 7-8-71;8:51 am]

Federal Aviation Administration

[14 CFR Part 39]

[Docket No. 11201]

AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

Hawker Siddeley Model DH-125 Airplanes

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by adding an airworthiness directive applicable to Hawker Siddeley Model DH-125 airplanes that have been modified in accordance with Hawker Siddeley Modification 252052. That modification introduced new seals in the windscreen deicing handpump, and there have been reports of deterioration of these seals that could result in seizure of the pump. Since this condition is likely to exist or develop in other airplanes of the same type design, the proposed airworthiness directive would require replacing the Modification 252052 seals with pre-Modification 252052 seals, or replacing the handpump with a handpump containing pre-Modification 252052 seals.

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the docket number and be submitted in duplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the General Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20590. All communications received on or before August 9, 1971, will be considered by the Administrator before taking action upon the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received. All comments will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of sections 313(a), 601, and 603 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423) and of section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed to amend § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

HAWKER SIDDELEY AVIATION. Applies to Models DH.125-1A, -1A/522, -1A/R-522, -1A/S-522, -3A, -3A/R, -3A/RA, and -400A airplanes which have been modified in accordance with Hawker Siddeley Modification 252052.

To prevent possible seizure of the windscreen deicing handpump No. M.2604, within the next 100 hours' time in service after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished, accomplish either of the following:

(a) Modify the pump by replacing the washers and seal with pre-Modification 252052 parts in accordance with Hawker Siddeley Service Bulletin No. 30-24-(2194), dated December 23, 1970, or later ARB-approved issue or FAA-approved equivalent; or

(b) Replace the pump with a new pump No. M.2601/1 in accordance with Hawker Siddeley Service Bulletin No. 30-24-(2194), dated December 23, 1970, or later ARB-approved issue or FAA-approved equivalent.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on July 1, 1971.

R. S. SLIFF,
Acting Director, Flight Standards Service.

[FR Doc.71-9661 Filed 7-8-71;8:46 am]

[14 CFR Part 39]

[Airworthiness Docket No. 71-SW-34]

AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

Piper PA-23 Series Airplanes

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by adding an airworthiness directive applicable to Piper PA-23 series airplanes modified in accordance with Supplemental Type Certificate SA598SW. There has been interference between the left rear nose wheel door hinge and the front elevator control tube assembly on Piper PA-23 airplanes that could result in loss of elevator control. Since this condition is likely to exist or develop in other airplanes of the same design, the proposed airworthiness directive would require replacement of the left rear hinge with a redesigned part on Piper PA-23 series airplanes modified in accordance with STC SA598SW.

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or comments as they may desire. Communications should identify the docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration, Regional Counsel, Post Office Box 1689, Fort Worth, TX 76101. All communications received on or before August 9, 1971, will be considered by the Director before taking action upon the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received. All comments will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, Southwest Region, 4400 Blue Mound Road, Fort Worth, TX, for examination by interested persons.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of sections 313(a), 601, and 603 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423) and of section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed to amend § 39.13 of Part 39 of

the Federal Aviation Regulations by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

PIPER AIRCRAFT CORP.: Applies to Piper PA-23 series airplanes, modified by installing nose wheel well doors in accordance with Supplemental Type Certificate SA598SW, certificated in all categories.

Compliance required within the next 50 hours' time in service after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished.

To prevent interference between the left rear nose wheel door hinge and Piper P/N 17238-00 or -04 tube assembly, accomplish the following:

Replace existing left rear nose wheel door hinge and hinge bracket parts with redesigned parts in accordance with J. W. Miller Aviation, Inc., Engineering Order B1 to Drawing 201, dated June 4, 1969, or with equivalent parts and methods approved by the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration, Fort Worth, Tex.

NOTE: Copies of J. W. Miller Aviation, Inc., Engineering Order B1 to Drawing 201 may be obtained from the company at Post Office Box 16203, San Antonio, TX 78216.

Issued in Fort Worth, Tex., on June 29, 1971.

HENRY L. NEWMAN,
Director, Southwest Region.

[FR Doc.71-9662 Filed 7-8-71;8:46 am]

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 71-CE-74]

TRANSITION AREA

Proposed Alteration

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to alter the transition area at Storm Lake, Iowa.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Central Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, MO 64106. All communications received within 45 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief. Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

A public docket will be available for examination by interested persons in the Office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, MO 64106.

The instrument approach procedure for the Storm Lake, Iowa, Municipal Airport has been altered. Accordingly, it is necessary to alter the Storm Lake transition area to adequately protect aircraft executing the amended approach procedure.

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations as hereinafter set forth:

In § 71.181 (36 F.R. 2140), the following transition area is amended to read:

STORM LAKE, IOWA

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 5-mile radius of Storm Lake Municipal Airport (latitude 42°36'00" N., longitude 95°14'31" W.); and within 3 miles each side of the 142° bearing from Storm Lake Municipal Airport, extending from the 5-mile-radius area to 8 miles southeast of the airport; and that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within 4½ miles southwest and 9½ miles northeast of the 142° and 322° bearings from Storm Lake Municipal Airport extending from 6 miles northwest to 18½ miles southeast of the airport.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348), and section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).

Issued in Kansas City, Mo., on June 18, 1971.

CHESTER W. WELLS,
Acting Director, Central Region.

[FR Doc.71-9663 Filed 7-8-71;8:46 am]

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 71-CE-72]

CONTROL ZONE AND TRANSITION AREA

Proposed Alteration

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to alter the control zone and transition area at Peoria, Ill.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Central Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, MO 64106. All communications received within 45 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendments. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief. Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

A public docket will be available for examination by interested persons in the Office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, MO 64106.

Since designation of controlled airspace at Peoria, Ill., a new instrument approach procedure has been developed for the Ingersoll Airport, Canton, Ill., utilizing the Peoria VORTAC as a navigational aid. In addition, the criteria for the designation of control zones and transition areas have changed. Accordingly it is necessary to alter the Peoria, Ill., control zone and transition area to adequately protect aircraft executing the new procedure and to comply with the new control zone and transition area criteria.

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations as hereinafter set forth:

(1) In § 71.171 (36 F.R. 2055), the following control zone is amended to read:

PEORIA, ILL.

Within a 5-mile radius of the Greater Peoria Airport (40°39'47" N., 89°41'22" W.) and within 4.5 miles each side of the Greater Peoria Airport ILS localizer northwest course, extending from the 5-mile-radius zone to 17.5 miles northwest of the airport.

(2) In § 71.181 (36 F.R. 2140), the following transition area is amended to read:

PEORIA, ILL.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 9-mile radius of the Greater Peoria Airport (40°39'47" N., 89°41'22" W.); within a 7-mile radius of the Ingersoll Airport (40°34'10" N., 90°04'24" W.); within 5 miles northwest and 6.5 miles southeast of the Peoria VORTAC 244° radial extending from the 7-mile-radius area to 34.5 miles southwest of the VORTAC; within 9.5 miles south and 4.5 miles north of the Peoria VORTAC 279° radial, extending from the VORTAC to 18.5 miles west of the VORTAC; within 9.5 miles southwest and 4.5 miles northeast of the Greater Peoria Airport ILS localizer northwest course, extending from 3.5 miles northwest of the airport to 22 miles northwest of the airport; and within 6.5 miles northwest and 5 miles southeast of the Peoria VORTAC 052° radial, extending from the VORTAC to 12 miles northeast of the VORTAC.

These amendments are proposed under the authority of section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348), and of section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).

Issued in Kansas City, Mo., on June 18, 1971.

CHESTER W. WELLS,
Acting Director, Central Region.

[FR Doc.71-9664 Filed 7-8-71;8:46 am]

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 71-CE-71]

TRANSITION AREA

Proposed Designation

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to designate a transition area at Carrollton, Ohio.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Central Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, MO 64106. All communications received within 45 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief. Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

A public docket will be available for examination by interested persons in the Office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, MO 64106.

A new public use instrument approach procedure has been developed for the Carroll County-Tolson Airport, Carrollton, Ohio. Consequently, it is necessary to provide controlled airspace protection for aircraft executing this new approach procedure by designating a transition area at Carrollton, Ohio.

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations as hereinafter set forth:

In § 71.181 (36 F.R. 2140), the following transition area is added:

CARROLLTON, OHIO

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within an 8½-mile radius of the Carroll County-Tolson Airport (latitude 40°33'45" N., longitude 81°04'30" W).

This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348), and of section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).

Issued in Kansas City, Mo., on June 18, 1971.

CHESTER W. WELLS,
Acting Director, Central Region.

[FR Doc. 71-9665 Filed 7-8-71;8:46 am]

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 71-SW-33]

TRANSITION AREA

Proposed Designation

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations to designate a 700-foot transition area at Heber Springs, Ark.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Chief, Air Traffic Division, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration, Post Office Box 1689, Fort Worth, TX 76101. All communications received within 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Chief, Air Traffic Division. Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration, Fort Worth, Tex., An informal docket will also be available for examination at the Office of the Chief, Air Traffic Division.

It is proposed to amend Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations as hereinafter set forth.

In § 71.181 (36 F.R. 2140), the following transition area is added:

HEBER SPRINGS, ARK.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 10.5-mile radius of the Heber Springs, Ark., Airport (latitude 35°30'41" N., longitude 92°00'25" W.).

The proposed transition area will afford controlled airspace for aircraft executing approach/departure procedures at Heber Springs, Ark., Airport.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348) and of section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).

Issued in Fort Worth, Tex., on June 30, 1971.

R. V. REYNOLDS,
Acting Director, Southwest Region.

[FR Doc.71-9666 Filed 7-8-71;8:46 am]

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 71-SW-30]

CONTROL ZONE AND TRANSITION AREA

Proposed Alteration

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations to alter controlled airspace in the Oklahoma City, Okla., terminal area.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Chief, Air Traffic Division, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration, Post Office Box 1689, Fort Worth, TX 76101. All communications received within 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Chief, Air Traffic Division. Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration, Fort Worth, Tex. An informal docket will also be available for examination at the Office of the Chief, Air Traffic Division.

It is proposed to amend Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations as hereinafter set forth.

(1) In § 71.171 (36 F.R. 2055), the Oklahoma City, Okla. (Will Rogers World Airport), control zone is amended to read:

OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLA. (WILL ROGERS WORLD AIRPORT)

Within a 5-mile radius of Will Rogers World Airport (latitude 35°23'45" N., longitude 97°36'30" W.); within 3 miles each side of the Oklahoma City runway 17R ILS localizer north course, extending from the 5-mile-radius zone to the Tulakes, Okla., RBN; within 2 miles southwest and 3.5 miles northeast of the Oklahoma City VORTAC 106° radial extending from the 5-mile-radius zone to the VORTAC; and within 3 miles each side of the Oklahoma City runway 35R ILS localizer south course extending from the 5-mile-radius zone to the LOM (latitude 35°18'36" N., longitude 97°35'17" W.), excluding that portion which coincides with the Oklahoma City (Wiley Post) control zone.

(2) In § 71.181 (36 F.R. 2140), the Oklahoma City, Okla., transition area is amended to read:

OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLA.

That airspace west of longitude 97°10'00" W. extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 23-mile radius of latitude 35°24'25" N., longitude 97°23'50" W.; within 10 miles west and 5 miles east of the Will

Rogers World Airport, runway 35R ILS south course, extending from the LOM to 18.5 miles south of the LOM; and within a 5-mile radius of the Cimarron, Okla., Municipal Airport (latitude 35°29'15" N., longitude 95°49'00" W.).

Alterations in the Oklahoma City, Okla., terminal area are proposed to conform to Terminal Instrument Procedures (TERPs) criteria and provide controlled airspace for aircraft executing instrument approach/departure procedures at Oklahoma City, Okla., Will Rogers World Airport.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348) and of section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).

Issued in Fort Worth, Tex., on June 30, 1971.

R. V. REYNOLDS,
Acting Director, Southwest Region.

[FR Doc.71-9667 Filed 7-8-71;8:46 am]

[14 CFR Part 103]

[Docket No. 9938; Notice No. 71-19]

REPORTS OF INCIDENTS INVOLVING RADIOACTIVE MATERIALS

Notice of Proposed Rule Making

By separate document published on page 12909 the Hazardous Materials Regulations Board issued a notice of proposed rule making (Docket No. 9938; Notice No. 71-19) concerned with the reports on incidents involving radioactive materials. For the reasons stated in that notice, it is proposed to make certain corresponding changes in Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 103 of the Federal Aviation Regulations as set forth below.

Interested persons are invited to give their views on this proposal. Communications should identify the docket number and be submitted in duplicate to the Secretary, Hazardous Materials Regulations Board, Department of Transportation, 400 Sixth Street SW., Washington, DC 20590. Communications received on or before August 31, 1971, will be considered before final action is taken on the proposal. All comments received will be available for examination by interested persons at the Office of the Secretary, Hazardous Materials Regulations Board, both before and after the closing date for comments.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed to amend 14 CFR Part 103 as follows:

(A) In § 103.23, paragraph (b) would be amended to read as follows:

§ 103.23 Special requirements for radioactive materials.

* * * * *

(b) In addition to the reporting requirements of § 103.28, the carrier must also notify the shipper at the earliest practicable moment following any incident in which there has been breakage, spillage, or suspected radioactive contamination involving radioactive materials shipments. Aircraft in which radioactive materials have been spilled may not be again placed in service or routinely occupied until the radiation dose rate at any accessible surface is less than 0.5 millirem per hour and there is no significant removable radioactive surface contamination (see 49 CFR 173.399). In these instances, the package or materials should be segregated as far as practicable from personnel contact. If radiological advice or assistance is needed, the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission should also be notified. In case of obvious leakage, or if it appears likely that the inside container may have been damaged care should be taken to avoid inhalation, ingestion, or contact with the radioactive materials. Any loose radioactive materials should be left in a segregated area pending disposal instructions from qualified persons.

(B) In § 103.28, paragraph (a)(5) would be added to read as follows:

§ 103.28 Reporting certain dangerous article incidents.

(a) * * *

(5) Fire, breakage, or spillage or suspected radioactive contamination occurs involving shipment of radioactive materials (see also § 103.23(b)).

* * * * *

This proposal is made under the authority of title VI and section 902(h) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1421-1430, 1472(h)).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on July 2, 1971.

SAM SCHNEIDER,
Board Member, for the Federal Aviation Administration.

[FR Doc.71-9726 Filed 7-8-71;8:51 am]

Hazardous Materials Regulations Board

[49 CFR Parts 171, 174, 175, 177]

TRANSPORTATION OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

[Docket No. HM-36; Notice No. 71-22]

Reports on Incidents Involving Radioactive Materials

On October 31, 1970, the Hazardous Materials Regulations Board published new hazardous materials incident reporting requirements under Docket No. HM-36 (35 F.R. 16836 and 16837). The purpose of the amendments to the Department's Hazardous Materials Regulations was to establish uniform reporting requirements for incidents occuring as a direct result of hazardous materials in transportation.

Regulations for the reporting of incidents involving shipments of radioactive materials have been in effect for more than 2 years, requiring immediate reports by the carrier to the shipper and to the Department. The purpose of these proposed amendments to §§ 171.15, 174.588, 175.655, and 177.861 is to make the reporting requirements for incidents involving radioactive materials consistent with the more recent general reporting requirements established.

The Board is also of the opinion that the requirement for reporting based on "unusual delay" involving radioactive material shipments should be deleted from §§ 174.588(c)(1), 175.655(j)(3), and 177.861(a). Experience and comments from carriers have indicated that the term lacks precision and is subject to serious variance in interpretation. The Board believes that the requirements in § 171.15(a)(4) which provide for reporting on a judgment basis, are sufficient to include situations involving unusual delay.

A new reporting criterion is proposed to be added to §§ 171.15(a), 174.588(c)(1), 175.655(j)(3), and 177.861(a) relating to incidents involving "suspected radioactive contamination". When compared to other hazardous material hazards, radiation hazards present a special problem in detection. Radiation cannot be detected by the senses, but must be observed by the means of special measuring or detection instruments. For this reason, the Board believes that immediate notification should be made whenever a carrier suspects radioactive contamination.

In consideration of the foregoing, 49 CFR Parts 171, 174, 175, and 177 would be amended as follows:

I. Part 171:

In § 171.15, subparagraph (a)(5) would be added to read as follows:

§ 171.15 Immediate notice of certain hazardous materials incidents.

(a) * * *

(5) Fire, breakage, spillage, or suspected radioactive contamination occurs involving shipment of radioactive material. (See also §§ 174.588(c)(1), 175.655(j)(3), and 177.861(a) of this chapter.)

II. Part 174:

In § 174.588, subparagraph (c)(1) would be amended to read as follows:

§ 174.588 Disposition of damaged or astray shipments.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(1) In addition to the incident reporting requirements of §§ 171.15 and 171.16 of this chapter, the carrier must also notify the shipper at the earliest practicable moment following any incident in which there has been breakage, spillage, or suspected radioactive contamination involving radioactive materials shipments. Vehicles, buildings, areas, or equipment in which radioactive materials have been spilled may not be again placed in service or routinely occupied until the radiation dose rate at any accessible surface is less than 0.5 millirem per hour and there is no significant removable radioactive surface contamination (see § 173.399 of this chapter). (Notes 1 and 2 remain the same.)

* * * * *

III. Part 175:

In § 175.655, subparagraph (j)(3) would be amended to read as follows:

§ 175.655 Protection of packages.

* * * * *

(j) * * *

(3) In addition to the incident reporting requirements of §§ 171.15 and 171.16 of this chapter, the carrier must also notify the shipper at the earliest practicable moment following any incident in which there has been breakage, spillage, or suspected radioactive contamination involving radioactive materials shipments. Vehicles, buildings, areas, or equipment in which radioactive materials have been spilled may not be again placed in service or routinely occupied until the radiation dose rate at any accessible surface is less than 0.5 millirem per hour and there is no significant removable radioactive surface contamination (see § 173.399 of this chapter). (Notes 1 and 2 remain the same.)

IV. Part 177:

In § 177.861, paragraph (a) would be amended to read as follows:

§ 177.861 Accidents; radioactive materials.

(a) In addition to the incident reporting requirements of §§ 171.15 and 171.16 of this chapter, the carrier must also notify the shipper at the earliest practicable moment following any incident in which there has been breakage, spillage, or suspected radioactive contamination involving radioactive materials shipments. Vehicles, buildings, areas, or equipment in which radioactive materials have been spilled may not be again placed in service or routinely occupied until the radiation dose rate at any accessible surface is less than 0.5 millirem per hour and there is no significant removable radioactive surface contamination (see § 173.399 of this chapter). (Notes 1 and 2 remain the same)

* * * * *

Interested persons are invited to give their views on this proposal. Communications should identify the docket number and be submitted in duplicate to the Secretary, Hazardous Materials Regulations Board, Department of Transportation, 400 Sixth Street SW., Washington, DC 20590. Communications received on or before August 31, 1971 will be considered before final action is taken on the proposal. All comments received will be available for examination by interested persons at the Office of the Secretary, Hazardous Materials Regulations Board, both before and after the closing date for comments.

This proposal is made under the authority of sections 831–835 of title 18, United States Code, section 9 of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1657), and title VI and section 902(h) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1421–1430 and 1472(h)).

Issued in Washington, D.C. on July 2, 1971.

W. F. Rea, III,
Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard
By direction of Commandant
U.S. Coast Guard.

Carl V. Lyon,
Acting Administrator,
Federal Railroad Administration.

Kenneth L. Pierson,
Acting Director, Bureau of
Motor Carrier Safety Federal
Highway Administration.

Sam Schneider,
Board Member for the
Federal Aviation Administration.

[FR Doc.71-9725 Filed 7-8-71;8:51 am]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[47 CFR Part 2]

"COMMON SYSTEM" MICROWAVE LANDING SYSTEM

[Docket No. 19280; FCC 71-682]

Notice of Proposed Rule Making

1. In view of the recent increase in experimental and developmental effort in the 5.0–5.25 and 15.4–15.7 GHz bands, the Commission believes that timely notice of plans for use of the bands by the aviation community—national as well as international—should be afforded the public.

2. A special study group of the Radio Technical Commission for Aeronautics, comprised of members from industry, domestic, civil, and military aviation interests, and space, science, and international aeronautical experts, has recently completed the development of a provisional signal format to be recommended for the next generation instrument landing system. This system, to be designated the Microwave Scanning Landing Guidance System (LGS), will be part of the national/international "common system". Because of the importance of the system, it is necessary that spectrum be reserved for its implementation and that development of systems which would be incompatible with the LGS be curtailed.

3. To reserve adequate radio spectrum for the system and to afford notice to potential developers of other systems in the 5.0–5.25 and 15.4–15.7 GHz bands, the Commission is proposing to amend Part 2, § 2.106, the Table of Frequency Allocations, by adding a new footnote to be applied against those bands to read as follows:

US118 In the bands 5.0–5.25 and 15.4–15.7 GHz, a "common system" microwave landing system is planned which is expected to have worldwide application. It is anticipated that operational implementation will begin about 1976. Nationally, such an agreed common system shall have priority over any other system in these bands.

4. Authority for the proposed amendment is contained in sections 4(i) and 303 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

5. Pursuant to applicable procedures set forth in section 1.415 of the Commission's rules, interested parties may file comments on or before August 13, 1971, and reply comments on or before August 24, 1971. All relevant and timely filed comments and reply comments will be considered by the Commission before taking final action in this proceeding. In reaching its decision in this proceeding, the Commission may also take into consideration other relevant information in addition to the specific comments invited by this notice.

6. In accordance with the provisions of § 1.419 of the Commission's rules, an original and fourteen copies of all written comments, replies, statements or briefs shall be furnished the Commission. Responses will be available for public inspection during regular business hours in the Commission's Broadcast and Docket Reference Room at its Headquarters in Washington, D.C.

Adopted: June 30, 1971.

Released: July 6, 1971.

Federal Communications Commission,
[SEAL] Ben F. Waple,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-9722 Filed 7-8-71;8:52 am]

[47 CFR Part 73]

[Docket No. 19249; RM-1608, RM-1667]

FM BROADCAST STATIONS, KINSTON, WILMINGTON, WASHINGTON, MOREHEAD CITY-BEAUFORT, AND FARMVILLE, N.C.

Order Extending Time for Filing Comments and Reply Comments

1. This proceeding was begun by notice of proposed rule making (FCC 71-536) adopted May 19, 1971, released May 21, 1971, and published in the Federal Register May 26, 1971, 36 F.R. 9569. The dates presently designated for filing comments and reply comments are July 9 and July 19, 1971, respectively.

2. On June 24, 1971, Arlington-Fairfax Broadcasting Co. (Arlington-Fairfax), licensee of Station WKLM, filed a request to extend the time for filing comments and reply comments to and including August 9 and August 19, 1971, respectively. Arlington-Fairfax states that the additional time is necessary so that it can complete its preclusion that it is preparing in this proceeding.

3. It appears that the requested extension of time is warranted and would serve the public interest. *Accordingly, it is ordered*, That the request of Arlington-Fairfax Broadcasting Co. is granted to

and including August 9, 1971, for comments and August 19, 1971, for reply comments in RM-1667 only.

4. This action is taken pursuant to authority found in sections 4(i) and 303(r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and section 0.281(d)(8) of the Commission's rules and regulations.

Adopted: July 1, 1971.

Released: July 2, 1971.

[SEAL] FRANCIS R. WALSH,
Chief, Broadcast Bureau.

[FR Doc.71-9723 Filed 7-8-71;8:52 am]

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

[12 CFR Part 222]

BANK HOLDING COMPANIES

Control of a Bank or Other Company

Since its inception in 1956, the Bank Holding Company Act has contained conclusive presumptions that a company is a bank holding company if it directly or indirectly controls 25 percent or more of the voting shares of two or more banks or controls in any manner the election of a majority of the directors of two or more banks. In 1966, Congress, confirming interpretations of the Board, added conclusive presumptions, in section 2(g) (1) and (2), that (1) shares owned or controlled by any subsidiary of a bank holding company are indirectly owned or controlled by such bank holding company and (2) shares held or controlled directly or indirectly by trustees for the benefit of a company, the shareholders or members of a company, or the employees of a company are controlled by such company.

The 1966 legislation also established a rebuttable presumption in section 2(g)(3), that shares transferred by a bank holding company to any transferee that is indebted to the transferor, or has one or more officers, directors, trustees, or beneficiaries in common with or subject to control by the transferor, are controlled by the transferor.

The 1970 amendments to the Act further expanded the Board's authority to determine that a company controls a bank or other company. Under those amendments, any company has control over a bank or over any company if the Board determines, pursuant to section 2(a)(2)(C) of the Act, that the company directly or indirectly exercises a controlling influence over the management or policies of the bank or company.

Whether a company controls another company is significant under the provisions of section 4 of the Act relating to interests in nonbanking organizations, as well as to determinations under section 2 that a company is a bank holding company. Since 1956, section 4 has prohibited a holding company from retaining or acquiring direct or indirect control of any voting shares of a company engaged in nonbanking activities, with certain exceptions.

The question of control by a holding company of nonbanking companies was given added importance by the 1970 amendments to the Act. Under those amendments many one-bank holding companies will be required to divest themselves of certain of their assets. The standard for divestiture is that the divesting company shall not retain direct or indirect ownership or control of any voting shares of the company divested, except to the extent permitted by section 4(c)(6) of the Act.

In view of the increased importance of determinations by the Board that a company controls a bank or another company, the Board proposes, pursuant to sections 2 and 5 of the Act, to establish a series of presumptions regarding control, reflecting its judgments based upon its 15 years of experience in administering the Act.

This would be accomplished by amending § 222.2 of Regulation Y to read as follows:

§ 222.2 Determinations regarding control.

(a) *Conclusive presumptions of control.* Conclusive presumptions that a company controls a bank or another company are established by § 2(a)(2)(A) and (B) and by § 2(g)(1) and (2) of the Act. In addition, the Board has determined that, whenever 25 percent or more of the voting shares of a company are stapled or otherwise joined together, whether pursuant to an agreement, by-law, article of incorporation, or otherwise, with 25 percent or more of the voting shares of another company, all such shares so joined together are under common control by a "corporation" or similar organization for the purposes of the Act.

(b) *Rebuttable presumptions of control.* A rebuttable presumption that a company controls a bank or another company is established by § 2(g)(3) of the Act. In addition, the Board has established, for the purposes of proceedings instituted by the Board in accordance with the procedures of paragraph (c) below, the following rebuttable presumptions:

(1) A company that owns at least 10 percent of the voting shares of each of two banks presumably controls both banks.

(2) A company that controls at least 5 percent of the voting shares of each of three or more banks presumably controls all such banks.

(3)(i) A company that owns more than 5 percent of the voting shares of a bank or other company presumably controls the bank or other company if (A) one or more of the company's directors, officers, trustees, or employees with management functions serves as a director, officer, or employee with management functions of the bank or other company, or if (B) an individual (or member of his immediate family) who is a director, officer, or trustee of the company (or owns more than 25 percent of the voting shares of the company) also owns shares in the bank or other company, and the shares owned by him plus the shares of the bank or other company owned by the company (or by any other company of which the owns more than 25 percent of the voting shares) aggregates 25 percent or more of the voting shares of the bank or other company.

(4) A company that enters into any agreement or understanding under which the rights of a shareholder of a bank or other company are restricted in any manner presumably controls the shares involved, unless the agreement or understanding is incident to a bona fide loan transaction, or the restrictions are on transferability and continue only for time as may reasonably be necessary to obtain Board approval of the acquisition by the company of such shares.

(5) A company that enters into any agreement or understanding with a bank or other company pursuant to which the company or any of its subsidiaries exercises significant influence with respect to the management or overall operations of the bank or other company presumably controls such bank or other company.

(6) A company that owns directly or indirectly securities that are immediately convertible at the option of the holder or owner thereof into voting shares presumably owns the shares into which such securities are convertible.

(7) If a partnership controls a bank, that partnership and all other partnerships in which one of its partners is a partner are presumably under common control by a "partnership" or similiar organization for the purposes of the Act.

(c) *Procedure for determining control.* (1) In any situation in which one of the rebuttable presumptions established by paragraph (b) (1) or (2) applies or in any other situation where the Board believes that a company exercises a controlling influence over the management or policies of a bank or another company without having complied with the provisions of the Act, the Board may inform the company involved, summarizing the facts upon which the preliminary determination is based. Such company shall thereupon either indicate its willingness to terminate the control relationship, comply with the applicable requirements of the Act, or set forth such facts and circumstances as it believes support its contention that there is no control relationship.

(2) In the event a preliminary determination by the Board is contested, the company adversely affected may request a hearing on the matter, pursuant to section 2(c)(2)(C) of the Act. In the event a hearing is held, any applicable rebuttable presumption established by

paragraph (b) (1) or (2) shall be considered in the usual manner in accordance with the rules of evidence. On the basis of the record at the hearing, the Board will by order decide the issues involved and direct such action as may be necessary or appropriate in the circumstances. In the event no hearing is held, but the preliminary determination is contested, the Board will decide the matter on the basis of the evidence available to it, and will by order direct such action as appears necessary or appropriate in the circumstances.

To aid in the consideration by the Board of this matter, interested persons are invited to submit relevant data, views, or arguments. Any such material should be submitted in writing to the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551, to be received not later than August 6, 1971. Such material will be made available for inspection and copying upon request, except as provided in § 261.6(a) of the Board's Rules Regarding Availability of Information.

By order of the Board of Governors, June 28, 1971.

[SEAL] KENNETH A. KENYON,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-9678 Filed 7-8-71;8:47 am]

Notices

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Comptroller of the Currency

INSURED BANKS

Joint Call for Report of Condition

CROSS REFERENCE: For a document relating to a joint call for report of condition of insured banks, see F.R. Doc. 71-9689, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, *infra*.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

YOSEMITE NATIONAL PARK, CALIF.

Notice of Public Hearing Regarding Wilderness Proposal

Notice is hereby given in accordance with the provisions of the Act of September 3, 1964 (78 Stat. 890, 892; 16 U.S.C. 1131, 1132), and in accordance with departmental procedures as identified in 43 CFR 19.5, that public hearings will be held beginning at 9 a.m. on September 11, 1971, at the U.S. Forest Service's Mammoth Visitor Center, located approximately 40 miles north of Bishop, Calif., and reached via U.S. Highway 395, thence west on State Highway 203 for 3 miles; at 9 a.m. on September 14, 1971, at Yosemite Valley Visitor Center, Yosemite National Park, Calif.; and at 9 a.m. on September 16, 1971, at the California Hall Auditorium, 625 Polk Street, San Francisco, Calif., for the purpose of receiving comments and suggestions as to the appropriateness of a proposal for the establishment of wilderness comprising about 624,000 acres within the Yosemite National Park. The park is located in Madera, Mariposa, and Tuolumne Counties, Calif.

A packet containing a map depicting the preliminary boundaries of the proposed wilderness and providing additional information about the proposal may be obtained from the Superintendent, Yosemite National Park, Yosemite National Park, Calif. 95389, or from the Director, Western Region, National Park Service, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102.

A description of the preliminary boundaries and a map of the area proposed for establishment as wilderness are available for review in the above offices; at the Los Angeles Field Office, National Park Service, New Federal Building, Room 2202, 300 North Los Angeles Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012; and in Room 1013 of the Department of Interior Building at 18th and C Streets NW., Washington, DC. The draft master plan for the park likewise may be inspected at these four locations.

Interested individuals, representatives of organizations, and public officials are invited to express their views in person at the aforementioned public hearings, provided they notify the Hearing Officer, in care of the Superintendent, Yosemite National Park, Yosemite National Park, Calif. 95389, by September 9 of their desire to appear. Those not wishing to appear in person may submit written statements on the wilderness proposal to the Hearing Officer, at that address, for inclusion in the official record which will be held open for 30 days following conclusion of the hearings.

Time limitations may make it necessary to limit the length of oral presentations and to restrict to one person the presentation made in behalf of an organization. An oral statement may, however, be supplemented by a more complete written statement which may be submitted to the Hearing Officer at the time of presentation of the oral statement. Written statements presented in person at the hearing will be considered for inclusion in the transcribed hearing record. However, all materials so presented at the hearing shall be subject to determinations that they are appropriate for inclusion in the transcribed hearing record. To the extent that time is available after presentation of oral statements by those who have given the required advance notice, the Hearing Officer will give others present an opportunity to be heard.

After an explanation of the proposal by a representative of the National Park Service, the Hearing Officer, insofar as possible, will adhere to the following order in calling for the presentation of oral statements:

1. Governor of the State or his representative.
2. Members of Congress.
3. Members of the State legislature.
4. Official representatives of the counties in which the proposed wilderness is located.
5. Officials of other Federal agencies or public bodies.
6. Organizations in alphabetical order.
7. Individuals in alphabetical order.
8. Others not giving advance notice, to the extent there is remaining time.

Date: June 24, 1971.

THOMAS FLYNN,
Deputy Director,
National Park Service.

[FR Doc.71-9417 Filed 7-8-71;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Food and Drug Administration

GENERAL MILLS CHEMICALS, INC.

Notice of Filing of Petition for Food Additives

Pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b)(5), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348(b)(5)), notice is given that a petition (FAP 1B2699) has been filed by General Mills Chemicals, Inc., 2010 East Hennepin Avenue, Minneapolis, Minn. 55413, proposed that § 121.2520 *Adhesives* (21 CRF 121.2520) be amended to provide for the safe use of polyamides derived from dimer diamine as components of adhesives for bonding seams of food-packaging material.

Dated: June 30, 1971.

ALBERT C. KOLBYE, JR.,
Acting Director, Bureau of Foods.

[FR Doc.71-9715 Filed 7-8-71;8:51 am]

IMPERIAL CHEMICAL INDUSTRIES, LTD.

Notice of Withdrawal of Petition for Food Additives

Pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348(b)), the following notice is issued:

In accordance with § 121.52 *Withdrawal of petitions without prejudice* of the procedural food additive regulations (21 CFR 121.52), Imperial Chemical Industries Ltd., Plastics Division, Bessemer Road, Welwyn Garden City, Hertfordshire, England, has withdrawn its petition (FAP 0B2559), notice of which was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of July 3, 1970 (35 F.R. 10873), proposing that § 121.2566 *Antioxidants and/or stabilizers for polymers* (21 CFR 121.2566) be amended to provide for the safe use of pentaerythritol as a stabilizer in the manufacture of olefin polymers intended for food-contact use.

Dated: June 30, 1971.

ALBERT C. KOLBYE, JR.,
Acting Director, Bureau of Foods.

[FR Doc.71-9714 Filed 7-8-71;8:51 am]

MONSANTO CO.

Notice of Filing of Petition for Food Additives

Pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b)(5), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348(b)(5)), notice is given that a petition (FAP 1B2697) has been filed by Monsanto Co., 800 North Lindbergh Boulevard, St. Louis, Mo. 63166, proposing that § 121.2526 *Components of paper and paperboard in contact with aqueous and fatty foods* (21 CFR 121.2526) be amended to provide for the safe use of polyamine-epichlorohydrin resin as a wet-strength agent in the manufacture

of paper and paperboard for food-contact use.

Dated: June 30, 1971.

ALBERT C. KOLBYE, Jr.,
Acting Director, Bureau of Foods.

[FR Doc.71-9716 Filed 7-8-71;8:51 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. D-71-115]

DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF COUNSELING AND COMMUNITY SERVICES

Redelegation of Authority

SECTION A. *Authority redelegated.* The Director, Office of Counseling and Community Services, and the Deputy Director, Office of Counseling and Community Services, each is authorized to exercise the power and authority of the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development under sections 101(e) and 106(a) of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1710w and 1701x(a)) and section 237(e) of the National Housing Act (12 U.S.C. 1715z-2(e)), to provide, or contract with public or private organizations to provide information, advice, and technical assistance, including but not limited to counseling on household management, self-help, budgeting, money management, child care, and related counseling services.

SEC. B. *Authority excepted.* There is excepted from the authority redelegated under section A the power to:

1. Exercise the powers under section 402(a) of the Housing Act of 1950 (12 U.S.C. 1749(a)).
2. Sue and be sued.
3. Issue rules and regulations.

(Secretary's delegation of authority effective Mar. 8, 1971 (36 F.R. 5005, Mar. 16, 1971))

Effective date. This redelegation of authority shall be effective as of June 21, 1971.

NORMAN V. WATSON,
Assistant Secretary for Housing Management.

[FR Doc.71-9690 Filed 7-8-71;8:49 am]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket No. 23572]

AERONAVES DEL ECUADOR, S.A. "AERODESA"

Notice of Prehearing Conference and Hearing

Notice is hereby given that a prehearing conference in the above-entitled matter is assigned to be held on July 27, 1971, at 10 a.m. (local time) in Room 503, Universal Building, 1825 Connecticut Avenue NW., Washington, DC, before Examiner Thomas P. Sheehan.

Notice is also given that the hearing may be held immediately following conclusion of the prehearing conference unless a person objects or shows reason for postponement on or before July 20, 1971.

Dated at Washington, D.C., July 2, 1971.

[SEAL] RALPH L. WISER,
Acting Chief Examiner.

[FR Doc.71-9737 Filed 7-8-71;8:53 am]

[Docket No. 23406 etc.]

EASTERN AIR LINES, INC.

Order Denying Petitions for Reconsideration

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 6th day of July 1971.

Round-trip youth excursion fares and Florida promotional fares proposed by Eastern Air Lines, Inc.; Dockets 23406, 23423, 21866-5.

By Order 71-5-74, dated May 17, 1971, the Board suspended a proposal by Eastern Air Lines, Inc. (Eastern), to establish round-trip excursion fares at a 50-percent discount for youths 12 through 21 years of age in the Boston-New York, and New York-Washington Air Shuttle markets. By Order 71-5-100 dated May 21, 1971, the Board suspended a proposal by Eastern to establish round-trip family excursion fares to Florida from 14 northeast and midwest U.S. cities at discounts ranging up to 60 percent from regular family fares depending on the size and composition of the group.

Eastern has petitioned for reconsideration and rescission of the Board's orders suspending the youth and family excursion fares.[1] The basic thrust of the carrier's petitions is that the reasons given for denial of the proposals in question were either inadequate or unsupportable, particularly as they relate to its generation/diversion estimates which allegedly show that the proposals will result in a net revenue increase. Eastern argues that the Board can never be convinced, in advance, of the economic results of promotional fares, and that only in the marketplace can the validity of its estimates be tested. It further alleges that the assumptions (based for the most part on passenger surveys) as to the traffic generating effect of the fares are rational and afford a degree of certitude fully warranting the marketing decision it has made.

National Airlines, Inc. (National) has filed answers to both of Eastern's petitions. National rejects Eastern's argument that estimates should be tested through experimentation, since if carried to its logical extreme this would deny the Board the power to suspend any promotional tariff so long as the proposing carrier puts forth a justification for newly generated traffic.

Delta Air Lines, Inc. (Delta), has filed an answer to Eastern's petition regarding its family excursion fares, asserting that fares with such broad discounts plainly can be presumed to be prima facie unreasonable, and that nothing in Eastern's justification or its petition for reconsideration overcomes this presumption. It further alleges that there is little to support Eastern's expectation that the fares would generate new sales of at least 6 percent of present coach traffic volume, and that Eastern's estimate of $541,000 net contribution to overhead fails to take into account incremental costs that will be incurred.

Upon consideration of all relevant matters, the Board concludes that Eastern's petitions for reconsideration of Orders 71-5-74 and 71-5-100 do not establish error in the Board's decisions, and accordingly the petitions will be denied.

Except for its reference to newly established transatlantic youth and student fares, and a children's fare to Bermuda, Eastern has submitted no facts which were not previously considered. The carrier argues strongly for permitting experimentation with discount fares, and for acceptance by the Board of its marketing judgment (based primarily on passenger surveys) as to the results it expects to achieve with these fares. We believe that, as a general matter, the carriers' marketing judgment should be given considerable weight in evaluating discount fare proposals. By the same token, however, this does not mean that the Board can or should abdicate its suspension powers.

Our primary difficulty is not with Eastern's generation/diversion estimates, but with the very low level of the fares and the relatively large number of markets in which it proposes to conduct the experiment. The proposed Florida family fares, which could range down to 2 cents per mile are substantially lower than any fares now in effect. Moreover the markets in which it proposes to conduct this experiment include most of the major population centers on Eastern's system. In our opinion, there is a very real question whether the proposed fares even cover incremental costs, let alone whether they are reasonably related to total costs of service. Moreover, Eastern effect which, if realized, could lead to the need for extra sections if not new flights, with attendant additional costs. For these reasons, we adhere to our view that the proposed Florida family fares may be unreasonably low and should not be permitted without investigation.

Eastern's motion of June 25, which requests that the Board vacate the suspension of its proposed Florida family excursion fares, adverts to recently established youth and student fares for transatlantic travel, and also to newly established children's fares to Bermuda applicable via one cruise ship. In our opinion, availability of these new travel

[1] On June 25, 1971, Eastern filed a motion for leave to file an otherwise unauthorized document. Eastern alleges that subsequent to its earlier petition intervening circumstances have occurred which require prompt Board approval of its Florida family excursion fares. The motion will be granted.

opportunities does not provide an adequate basis for permitting fares which we believe may be unlawful. Accordingly, the motion to vacate the suspension of Florida family fares ordered in Order 71-5-100 is denied.

Turning to the proposed youth excursion fares applicable on the Air Shuttle service, Eastern argues that no additional costs are involved because this is a committed nonreservation service. However, the same contention could be made with respect to any of the shuttle passengers paying regular fares since the youths using the proposed excursion fare would travel under substantially similar conditions.[2] Eastern has not shown any basis upon which to conclude that the costs of transporting the youths would be less than the costs of regular shuttle service, or why the costs of the proposed youth excursion service would be less than carrying youths on a standby basis which presently entails a one-third discount in these markets. Moreover, the very fact that the Air Shuttle guarantees a seat to all but standby passengers would appear to enhance the likelihood that additional flights will be operated as a result of the youth excursion fares. Accordingly, we believe it would be inappropriate to look only at the net revenue impact of the proposal, as Eastern urges. In view of the apparent substantial disparity between the proposed fare and costs of service, we adhere to the the conclusion that these fares should be suspended pending investigation.

Accordingly, pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, and particularly sections 204(a), 403, 404, and 1002 thereof:

It is ordered, That:

1. The petitions of Eastern Air Lines, Inc., filed in Dockets 23406 and 23423 requesting reconsideration of Orders 71-5-74 and 71-5-100 are denied;

2. Eastern's motion to file an otherwise unauthorized document in Docket 23423 is granted;

3. Eastern's motion for the Board to vacate the suspension ordered in Order 71-5-100 is denied; and

4. Copies of this order will be served upon Delta Air Lines, Inc., Eastern Air Lines, Inc., and National Airlines, Inc.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.[3]

[SEAL] HARRY J. ZINK,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-9739 Filed 7-8-71;8:53 am]

[2] The only differences in application from regular shuttle fares are the minimum and maximum stay requirements of 1 day and 60 days, respectively, and the Friday and Sunday blackouts of 3 p.m. to 8 p.m., which we do not consider to be significant limitations on these fares.

[3] Dissenting statement of Members Minetti and Murphy filed as part of original document.

[Docket No. 23428 etc.; Order 71-6-164]

EASTERN AIR LINES, INC.

Order Granting Motion and Denying Petition for Reconsideration

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 30th day of June 1971.

Conditional reservation rule proposed by Eastern Air Lines, Inc.; Dockets 23428, 23454, 23458, 23461, 23462, 23466.

By Order 71-6-120, dated June 24, 1971, the Board dismissed various complaints against a proposal of Eastern Air Lines, Inc. (Eastern), to establish a conditional reservation rule, which is marked to become effective July 1, 1971. On June 30, 1971, Braniff Airways, Inc. (Braniff), Delta Air Lines, Inc. (Delta), and National Airlines, Inc. (National), filed a joint motion for leave to file an unauthorized document requesting the Board to suspend and investigate Eastern's proposal, either on reconsideration of Order 71-6-120, or by treating the request as an independent late filed complaint.

Eastern answered in opposition to the petition alleging that the petitioners have raised no issues not considered by the Board in its earlier order.

The Board finds that the petition does not establish error in its order, or raise any new issues which warrant reversal of its decision, or suspension of Eastern's proposal.[1]

Accordingly, pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, and particularly sections 204(a), 403, 404, and 1002 thereof:

It is ordered, That:

1. The motion of Braniff Airways, Inc., Delta Air Lines, Inc., and National Airlines, Inc., to file an otherwise unauthorized document is granted;

2. The request by Braniff Airways, Inc., Delta Air Lines, Inc., and National Airlines, Inc., for reconsideration of Order 71-6-120 is denied; and

3. Copies of this order will be served upon the Aviation Consumer Action Project, Allegheny Airlines, Inc., American Airlines, Inc., Braniff Airways, Inc., Delta Air Lines Inc., Eastern Air Lines, Inc., National Airlines, Inc., and Northeast Airlines, Inc.

This order shall be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL] HARRY J. ZINK,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-9740 Filed 7-8-71;8:53 am]

[1] The petitioners concurrently filed a request for issuance of an order authorizing immediate industry discussions of the no-show problem, relying as grounds therefor upon the recognition in Order 71-6-120 that industry discussions might be appropriate. We will dispose of that request in due course.

[Docket No. 22628; Order 71-6-143]

INTERNATIONAL AIR TRANSPORT ASSOCIATION

Order Regarding Fare Matters

Issued under delegated authority June 29, 1971.

Agreement adopted by Joint Conferences 2-3 and 1-2-3 of the International Air Transport Association relating to fare matters; Docket 22628, Agreement CAB 22433, R-3.

By Order 71-6-23, dated June 3, 1971, action was deferred, with a view toward eventual approval, on an agreement adopted by Joint Conferences 2-3 and 1-2-3 of the International Air Transport Association (IATA). Insofar as it applies in air transportation, the agreement would provide for increases in certain normal fares applying between points in West/West Central Africa and Guam/Okinawa/American Samoa.

In deferring action on the agreement, 10 days were granted in which interested persons might file petitions in support of or in opposition to the proposed action. No petitions have been received within the filing period and the tentative conclusions in Order 71-6-23 will herein be made final.

Accordingly, it is ordered, That:

Agreement CAB 22433, R-3, be and hereby is approved.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

[SEAL] HARRY J. ZINK,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-9652 Filed 7-8-71;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 20993; Order 71-6-144]

INTERNATIONAL AIR TRANSPORT ASSOCIATION

Order Regarding Specific Commodity Rates

Issued under delegated authority June 29, 1971.

Agreement adopted by the Joint Conferences of the International Air Transport Association relating to specific commodity rates Docket 20993 Agreement CAB 22332 R-12 through R-14.

An agreement has been filed with the Board pursuant to section 412(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (the Act) and Part 261 of the Board's economic regulations, between various air carriers, foreign air carriers, and other carriers, embodied in the resolutions of the Joint Conferences of the International Air Transport Association (IATA), and adopted pursuant to the provisions of Resolution 590 dealing with specific commodity rates.

The agreement, adopted pursuant to unprotested notices to the carriers and promulgated in an IATA letter dated June 16, 1971, names additional specific commodity rates which reflect significant reductions from the general cargo

rates, as set forth in the attachment hereto.[1]

Pursuant to authority duly delegated by the Board in the Board's economic regulations, 14 CFR 385.14, it is not found, on a tentative basis, that the subject agreement is adverse to the public interest or in violation of the Act: *Provided*, That tentative approval thereof is conditioned as hereinafter ordered.

Accordingly, it is ordered, That:

Action on Agreement CAB 22332, R–12 through R–14, be and hereby is deferred with a view toward eventual approval: *Provided*, That approval shall not constitute approval of the specific commodity descriptions contained therein for purposes of tariff publication; *Provided further*, That tariff filings shall be marked to become effective on not less than 30 days' notice from the date of filing.

Persons entitled to petition the Board for review of this order, pursuant to the Board's regulations, 14 CFR 385.50, may, within 10 days after the date of service of this order, file such petitions in support of or in opposition to our proposed action herein.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

[SEAL] HARRY J. ZINK,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-9653 Filed 7-8-71;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 22628; Order 71-6-148]

INTERNATIONAL AIR TRANSPORT ASSOCIATION

Order Regarding Fare Matters

Issued under delegated authority June 29, 1971.

Agreement adopted by Traffic Conference 3 and Joint Conference 3–1 of the International Air Transport Association relating to fare matters; Docket 22628, Agreement CAB 22474.

By Order 71–6–73, dated June 14, 1971, action was deferred, with a view toward eventual approval on an agreement adopted by Traffic Conference 3 and Joint Conference 3–1 of the International Air Transport Association (IATA). The agreement specifies first-class and economy fares between Jogjakarta and Surabaja on the one hand and points in Southeast Asia including Okinawa on the other hand, and common rates Jogjakarta and Surabaja with Djakarta as regards air fares to and from the United States.

In deferring action on the agreement, 10 days were granted in which interested persons might file petitions in support of or in opposition to the proposed action. No petitions have been received within the filing period and the tentative conclusions in Order 71–6–73 will herein be made final.

Accordingly, it is ordered, That:

Agreement CAB 22474 be and hereby is approved.

[1] Attachment filed as part of the original document.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

[SEAL] HARRY J. ZINK,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-9738 Filed 7-8-71;8:53 am]

[Docket No. 20522]

INTERNATIONAL AIR TRANSPORT ASSOCIATION

Agreements Relating to North Atlantic Cargo Rates; Notice of Hearing

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, that a hearing in the above-entitled proceeding will be held on July 27, 1971, at 10 a.m., e.d.s.t., in Room 911, Universal Building, 1825 Connecticut Avenue NW., Washington, DC, before Examiner William F. Cusick.

For information concerning the issues involved and other details in this proceeding, interested persons are referred to the Prehearing Conference Report and other documents which are in the docket of this proceeding on file in the Docket Section of the Civil Aeronautics Board.

Dated at Washington, D.C., July 2, 1971.

[SEAL] WILLIAM F. CUSICK,
Hearing Examiner.

[FR Doc.71-9736 Filed 7-8-71;8:53 am]

[Docket No. 23582; Order 71-7-19]

OVERSEAS NATIONAL AIRWAYS, INC.

Order of Suspension and Investigation

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 2d day of July 1971.

Cargo charter liability limitations and increased excess valuation charges proposed by Overseas National Airways, Inc., Docket 23582.

By tariff revision [1] bearing an issue date of June 7 and marked to become effective July 7, 1971, Overseas National Airways, Inc. (ONA), proposes to revise the liability limits in its domestic cargo charter tariff for loss or damage to an amount not exceeding 50 cents per pound or $50 per aircraft load, whichever is greater, unless the charterer declares a higher value and pays an additional charge at the rate of 15 cents for each $100 by which the value exceeds $50.

ONA has submitted no data or statement in support of its proposal.

Under ONA's current rules, the carrier's liability is limited to $500 per aircraft load, unless the charterer declares a higher value and pays an additional charge at the rate of 10 cents for each $100 by which such value exceeds $500.

By Order 71–2–36, February 8, 1971, the Board suspended pending investigation ONA's proposal to limit its liability to 50 cents per pound of lading or $50 per aircraft load, whichever was greater.[2] No provision was included for greater carrier liability, at the charter's option for an additional charge. The Board stated that it considered a choice of rates and liability essential to the validity of a carrier's limitations on its common carrier responsibilities.

The current proposal provides the same basic liability limits that were suspended. The proposal, however, also provides that shippers may obtain additional carrier liability by paying 15 cents per $100 of the load value in excess of $50. While this proposal provides the charterer with a choice of liability, it contains two aspects about which we are concerned:

(1) The charge of 15 cents per 100 pounds is higher than that levied in connection with charter flights by any supplemental carrier and by any scheduled carrier except American Airlines, Inc. (American) and Eastern Air Lines, Inc. (Eastern). The latter carriers have been permitted by the Board to increase their excess value charges from 10 to 15 cents per $100 for both scheduled and charter shipments on the basis of prima facie showings in support of their proposals (see Order 71–3–108, March 18, 1971).[3] The same proposal by United Air Lines, Inc., however, was suspended by the Board (Order 71–5–8, May 4, 1971) on the ground of inadequate justification. As noted above, ONA submitted absolutely no justification for its 15-cent charge.

(2) ONA's proposal involves charging 15 cents per $100 for the declared value above $50, even though it provides a coverage of 50 cents per pound, which, in the case of the usual charter, would be significantly above $50. The charterer thus would be paying twice for liability coverage, once in the basic charter charge and again in excess value charges. All other domestic charter excess value rates apply to the values in excess of 50 cents per pound.

Upon consideration of all relevant matters, the Board finds that the proposed revision may be unjust, unreasonable, unjustly discriminatory, unduly preferential, unduly prejudicial, or otherwise unlawful, and should be investigated. We further conclude that the proposed tariff should be suspended pending investigation.

Accordingly, pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, and particularly sections 204(a) and 1002 thereof:

It is ordered, That:

1. An investigation be instituted to determine whether the charge and provisions in Rule No. 25(B) on 16th Revised Page 5 of Overseas National Airways, Inc.'s, CAB No. 22 (Overseas National Airways Series), and rules, regulations, or practices affecting such charge and provisions are or will be unjust, unreasonable, unjustly discriminatory, unduly preferential, unduly prejudicial, or

[1] Revision to Overseas National Airways, Inc., Tariff CAB No. 22.

[2] ONA canceled the suspended proposal, and the investigation was dismissed as moot.

[3] A similar increase for Northwest Airlines, Inc., in excess value charges for scheduled transport was also permitted to become effective. The carrier, however, did not file any increase for charter movements.

otherwise unlawful, and if found to be unlawful, to determine and prescribe the lawful charge and provisions, and rules, regulations, or practices affecting such charge and provisions;

2. Pending hearing and decision by the Board, Rule No. 25(B) on 16th Revised Page 5 of Overseas National Airways, Inc.'s, CAB No. 22 (Overseas National Airways Series) is suspended and its use deferred to and including October 4, 1971, unless otherwise ordered by the Board, and that no changes be made therein during the period of suspension except by order or special permission of the Board;

3. The investigation herein, designated Docket 23582, be assigned for hearing before an examiner of the Board at a time and place hereafter to be designated; and

4. Copies of this order shall be filed with the tariff and served upon Overseas National Airways, Inc., who is hereby made a party to this proceeding.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL] HARRY J. ZINK,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-9654 Filed 7-8-71;8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

NOISE ABATEMENT

Notice of Public Hearings

Pursuant to authority contained in title IV, sec. 402(b), Noise Pollution and Abatement Act of 1970, Public Law 91-604, December 31, 1970, notice is given of public hearings on noise abatement to commence on July 8, 1971, in Atlanta, Ga., and continue approximately every 3 weeks thereafter throughout the country during the succeeding 6 months. Hearing locations and agenda items are as follows:

Date	Location	Agenda items
July 8-9	Atlanta, Ga.	Noise in Construction.
July 28-29	Chicago, Ill.	Manufacturing and Transportation Noise (Highway and Air).
Aug. 18-19	Dallas, Tex.	Urban Planning Architectural Design; and Noise in the Home.
Sept. 9-10	San Francisco, Calif.	Standards and Measurement Methods, Legislation and Enforcement Problems.
Sept. 30-Oct. 1	Kansas City, Mo. or Denver, Colo.	Agriculture and Recreational Use Noise.
Oct. 23-24	New York, N.Y.	Transportation (Rail and Other), Urban Noise Problems and Social Behavior.
Oct. 27-28	Boston, Mass.[1]	Physiological and Psychological Effects of Noise.
Nov. 9-10	Washington, D.C.[1]	Technology and Economics of Noise Control; National Programs and their Relation to State and Local Programs.

[1] The Boston hearing may be combined with the Washington hearing.

For those interested in presenting testimony, please contact the EPA regional offices or the Director, Office of Noise Abatement and Control, Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. 20460.

WILLIAM D. RUCKELSHAUS,
Administrator,
Environmental Protection Agency.

JULY 2, 1971.

[FR Doc.71-9696 Filed 7-8-71;8:49 am]

UNDERGROUND MINING OF URANIUM ORE

Radiation Protection Guidance for Federal Agencies

On May 25, 1971, the Environmental Protection Agency published a notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER (36 F.R. 9480) concerning guidance for the protection of underground uranium miners. The notice stated: "The Administrator does not find a basis for modifying the guidance approved by the President that an annual exposure level of 4 WLM be effective as of July 1, 1971." The notice also stated that "All interested persons who desire to submit written comments for consideration in connection with this matter should send them to the Administrator, EPA, Washington, D.C. 20460, within 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Comments received after that period will be considered if it is practicable to do so, but assurance of consideration cannot be given except as to comments filed within the period specified."

All written comments received on or before June 28, 1971, have been reviewed. Letters of comment have been received from industry, other Government agencies and a labor union. These comments are available for inspection at EPA Headquarters, 1626 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20460.

Several questions were raised on the scientific basis for setting the guidance of 4 WLM per year and in particular challenged the validity of the PHS epidemiologic report.

The Environmental Protection Agency has fully considered the methodology of the PHS epidemiologic study on uranium miners as well as the limitations of the study data for the setting of standards for underground uranium miners.

In addition EPA has evaluated a considerable body of other scientific information, both experimental and epidemiologic, available on radiation induced lung cancer for its relevance to establishing radiation protection guidance for uranium miners. EPA has also taken into account reports from several expert and advisory groups[1] established to review and interpret the problem of radiation induced lung cancer.

[1] The DHEW review group, May 1967; (2) NAS/NRC Advisory Committee to FRC 1968; (3) NAS/NRC Advisory Committee to FRC, 1970/71; (4) Subgroups I-A and I-B of the Interagency Uranium Mining Radiation Review Group, 1970/71; (5) NCRP Report 39, 1971; and (6) ICRP Publication 14, 1969.

Based on the reports of these expert groups and the other considerations noted above, EPA concludes that guidance not to exceed 4 WLM per year is warranted in order to afford adequate radiation protection of uranium miners. Furthermore, it is emphasized that the exposure levels of concern are not "low" in the context of usual occupational radiation protection practices; an annual exposure greater than 4 WLM would probably result in a dose in rems to the critical tissue of the lung that exceeds the occupational radiation standard generally accepted in the nuclear industry.

Therefore, it has been concluded that the comments suggesting that EPA should recommend less stringent radiation protection guidance than the present 4 WLM per year do not provide an adequate basis for doing so. Accordingly, EPA does not recommend any change in the guidance approved by the President and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (34 F.R. 576, 35 F.R. 9218) of 4 WLM per year effective July 1, 1971.

Several comments were received which referred to the means of implementing the 4 WLM guidance. As the May 25, 1971, FEDERAL REGISTER notice indicated, decisions concerning the means of implementing the guidance for uranium mines, including any procedures for variances which may be made available to individual mining operators, must be made by the regulatory agencies which adopt this guidance. It should be noted that the Secretary of the Interior on June 30, 1971, signed proposed amendments to regulations under the Federal Metal and Nonmetallic Mine Safety Act. These proposed amendments relate to variances applicable to underground uranium mines. EPA will provide such comments as it deems appropriate on these proposed amendments directly to the Department of the Interior at a later date. Copies of all of the comments which EPA has received in response to the May 25, 1971, FEDERAL REGISTER notice and copies of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice have been sent to the Secretaries of the Interior and Labor under cover of a letter dated July 1, 1971.

Dated: July 1, 1971.

WILLIAM D. RUCKELSHAUS,
Administrator.

[FR Doc.71-9697 Filed 7-8-71;8:49 am]

CHEVRON CHEMICAL CO.

Notice of Filing of Petition Regarding Pesticide Chemical

Pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 408(d)(1), 68 Stat. 512; 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(1)), notice is given that a petition (PP 1F1111) has been filed by Chevron Chemical Co., 940 Hansley Street, Richmond, CA 94804, proposing establishment of a tolerance (21 CFR Part 420) for negligible residues of the insecticide naled (1,2-dibromo-2,2-dichloroethyl dimethyl phosphate) in the raw agricultural commodities eggs;

meat, fat, and meat byproducts of cattle, goats, hogs, horses, poultry, and sheep; and in milk at 0.05 part per million.

The analytical method proposed in the petition for determining residues of the insecticide is a gas chromatographic procedure with thermionic detection.

Dated: June 30, 1971.

WILLIAM M. UPHOLT,
Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticides Programs.

[FR Doc.71-9711 Filed 7-8-71;8:50 am]

ROHM AND HAAS CO.

Notice of Filing of Petition Regarding Pesticide Chemical

Pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 408(d)(1), 68 Stat. 512; 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(1)), notice is given that a petition (PP 1F1139) has been filed by Rohm and Haas Co., Independence Mall West, Philadelphia, PA 19105, proposing establishment of tolerances (21 CFR Part 420) for residues of the herbicide 3,5-dichloro-*N*-(1,1-dimethyl-2-propynyl)benzamide and its metabolites (calculated as the herbicide) in or on the raw agricultural commodities endive (escarole) and lettuce at 2 parts per million.

The analytical method proposed in the petition for determining residues of the herbicide is a procedure in which the sample is refluxed with sulfuric acid and methanol to form the ester methyl 3,5-dichlorobenzoate. The latter is determined by an electron-capture gas chromatographic procedure.

Dated: June 30, 1971.

WILLIAM M. UPHOLT,
Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticides Programs.

[FR Doc.71-9710 Filed 7-8-71;8:50 am]

SHELL CHEMICAL CO.

Notice of Filing of Petition Regarding Pesticide Chemical

Pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 408(d)(1), 68 Stat. 512; 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(1)), notice is given that a petition (PP 1F1023) has been filed by Shell Chemical Co., a Division of Shell Oil Co., 1700 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20006, proposing establishment of tolerances (21 CFR Part 420) for residues of the insecticide 2-chloro-1-(2,4,5-trichlorophenyl) vinyl dimethyl phosphate in or on the raw agricultural commodities cherries, cranberries, and pears at 10 parts per million; plums (fresh prunes) and tomatoes at 5 parts per million; and peaches at 0.1 part per million.

The analytical method proposed in the petition for determining residues of the insecticide is a technique using a gas-liquid chromatograph equipped with a phosphorus-sensitive thermionic emission detector.

Dated: June 30, 1971.

WILLIAM M. UPHOLT,
Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticides Programs.

[FR Doc.71-9709 Filed 7-8-71;8:50 am]

O-(4-BROMO-2,5-DICHLOROPHENYL)-O-METHYL PHENYLPHOSPHOROTHIOATE

Notice of Establishment of Temporary Tolerances

The Velsicol Chemical Corp., 1725 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20006, submitted a petition requesting temporary tolerances for the combined residues of the insecticide *O*-(4-bromo-2,5-dichlorophenyl)-*O*-methyl phenylphosphorothioate, its oxygen analog *O*-(4-bromo-2,5-dichlorophenyl)-*O*-methyl phenylphosphonate), and its phenol hydrolysis product 4-bromo-2,5-dichlorophenol in or on raw agricultural commodities as follows:

5 parts per million in or on broccoli and cabbage.
1 part per million in or on tomatoes.
0.3 part per million in or on cottonseed.
0.15 part per million in or on potatoes.
0.03 part per million in or on corn grain, including field corn and sweet corn (kernels plus cob with husk removed).

The Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. Department of the Interior, advised that it has no objection to these temporary tolerances.

It has been determined that these temporary tolerances are safe and will protect the public health. They are, therefore, established as requested on condition that the insecticide be used in accordance with the temporary permit which is being issued concurrently by the Pesticides Regulation Division of the Environmental Protection Agency and which provides for distribution under the Velsicol Chemical Corp. name.

These temporary tolerances expire June 30, 1972.

This action is taken pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 408(j), 68 Stat. 516; 21 U.S.C. 346a(j)), the authority transferred to the Administrator (35 F.R. 15623), and delegated by the Administrator to the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticides Programs of the Environmental Protection Agency (36 F.R. 9038).

Dated: June 30, 1971.

WILLIAM M. UPHOLT,
Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticides Programs.

[FR Doc.71-9707 Filed 7-8-71;8:50 am]

α-(2,4-DICHLOROPHENYL)-α-PHENYL-5-PYRIMIDINEMETHANOL

Notice of Establishment of Temporary Tolerance

Notice is given that at the request of Elanco Products Co., Division of Eli Lilly and Co., Indianapolis, Ind. 46206, a temporary tolerance of 0.15 part per million is established for negligible residues of the fungicide α-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-α-phenyl-5-pyrimidinemethanol in or on apples and grapes.

The Fish and Wildlife Service of the U.S. Department of the Interior advised that it has no objection to this temporary tolerance.

It has been determined that a temporary tolerance of 0.15 part per million for residues of the fungicide in or on apples and grapes will protect the public health. It is therefore established as requested on condition that the fungicide is used in accordance with the temporary permits being issued concurrently by the Pesticides Regulation Division and which provide for distribution under the Elanco Products Co. name.

This temporary tolerance expires on July 2, 1972.

This action is taken pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 408(j), 68 Stat. 516; 21 U.S.C. 346a(j)), the authority transferred to the Administrator (35 F.R. 15623), and the authority delegated by the Administrator to the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticides Programs of the Environmental Protection Agency (36 F.R. 9038).

Dated: July 2, 1971.

WILLIAM M. UPHOLT,
Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticides Programs.

[FR Doc.71-9731 Filed 7-8-71;8:51 am]

FORMETANATE HYDROCHLORIDE

Notice of Reextension of Temporary Tolerance

Nor-Am Agricultural Products, Inc., 11710 Lake Avenue, Woodstock, IL 60098, was granted a temporary tolerance of 4 parts per million for residues of the insecticide formetanate hydrochloride (*m*-[[(dimethylamino)methylene]amino]phenyl methylcarbamate hydrochloride) in or on citrus fruits on March 21, 1969. (Notice was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of March 28, 1969; 34 F.R. 5857.) At the request of the firm, it was extended to March 21, 1971. (Extension notice was published March 5, 1970; 35 F.R. 4147.)

The firm has requested a second 1-year extension of the temporary tolerance to obtain additional experimental data. It is concluded that such reextension will protect the public health.

A condition under which this temporary tolerance is reextended is that the insecticide will be used in accordance with the temporary permit which is being issued concurrently by the Pesticides Regulation Division of the Environmental Protection Agency and which provides for distribution under the Nor-Am Agricultural Products, Inc., name.

This temporary tolerance will expire March 21, 1972.

This action is taken pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 408(j), 68 Stat. 516;

21 U.S.C. 346a(j)), the authority transferred to the Administrator (33 F.R. 15623), and the authority delegated by the Administrator to the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticides Programs of the Environmental Protection Agency (36 F.R. 9038).

Dated: June 30, 1971.

WILLIAM M. UPHOLT,
Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticides Programs.

[FR Doc.71-9708 Filed 7-8-71;8:50 am]

N'-(4-CHLORO-o-TOLYL)-N,N-DIMETHYLFORMAMIDINE

Notice of Establishment of Temporary Tolerances

The Ciba Agrochemical Co., Vero Beach, Fla. 32960, and Nor-Am Agricultural Products, Woodstock, Ill. 60098, jointly submitted a petition requesting temporary tolerances for residues of the insecticide *N'*-(4-chloro-*o*-tolyl)-*N,N*-dimethyl formamidine and its metabolites containing the 4-chloro-*o*-toluidine moiety (calculated as *N'*-(4-chloro-*o*-tolyl)-*N,N*-dimethylformamidine) in or on cottonseed at 5 parts per million, and in the meat and meat byproducts of cattle, goats, hogs, and sheep at 0.1 part per million.

The Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. Department of the Interior, advised that is has no objection to the temporary tolerances.

It has been determined that temporary tolerances for residues of *N'*-(4-chloro-*o*-tolyl)-*N,N*-dimethylformamidine and its metabolites containing the 4-chloro-*o*-toluidine moiety in or on cottonseed at 5 parts per million, and in meat and meat byproducts of cattle, goats, hogs, and sheep at 0.1 part per million are safe and will protect the public health. The temporary tolerances are therefore established as requested on condition that the insecticide be used in accordance with the temporary permit which is being issued concurrently and which provides for distribution under the Ciba Agrochemical Co. and Nor-Am Agricultural Products names.

These temporary tolerances will expire May 14, 1972.

This action is taken pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 408(j), 68 Stat. 516; 21 U.S.C. 346a(j)), the authority transferred to the Administrator (35 F.R. 15623), and the authority delegated by the Administrator to the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticides Programs of the Environmental Protection Agency (36 F.R. 9038).

Dated: July 2, 1971.

WILLIAM M. UPHOLT,
Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticides Programs.

[FR Doc.71-9706 Filed 7-8-71;8:50 am]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Report 551]

COMMON CARRIER SERVICES INFORMATION [1]

Domestic Public Radio Services Applications Accepted for Filing [2]

JULY 6, 1971.

Pursuant to §§ 1.227(b)(3) and 21.30 (b) of the Commission's rules, an application, in order to be considered with any domestic public radio services application appearing on the list below, must be substantially complete and tendered for filing by whichever date is earlier: (a) The close of business 1 business day preceding the day on which the Commission takes action on the previously filed application, or (b) within 60 days after the date of the public notice listing the first prior filed application (with which subsequent applications are in conflict) as having been accepted for filing. An application which is subsequently amended by a major change will be considered to be a newly filed application. It is to be noted that the cutoff dates are set forth in the alternative—applications will be entitled to consideration with those listed below if filed by the end of the 60-day period, only if the Commission has not acted upon the application by that time pursuant to the first alternative earlier date. The mutual exclusivity rights of a new application are governed by the earliest action with respect to any one of the earlier filed conflicting applications.

The attention of any party in interest desiring to file pleadings pursuant to section 309 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, concerning any domestic public radio services application accepted for filing, is directed to § 21.27 of the Commission's rules for provisions governing the time for filing and other requirements relating to such pleadings.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

[1] All applications listed below are subject to further consideration and review and may be returned and/or dismissed if not found to be in accordance with the Commission's rules, regulations, and other requirements.

[2] The above alternative cutoff rules apply to those applications listed below as having been accepted in Domestic Public Land Mobile Radio, Rural Radio, Point-to-Point Microwave Radio, and Local Television Transmission Services (Part 21 of the rules).

APPLICATIONS ACCEPTED FOR FILING

DOMESTIC PUBLIC LAND MOBILE RADIO SERVICE

File No., applicant, call sign, and nature of application

7453-C2-P-71—Pierre Radio Paging (New), C.P. for a new one-way station to be located at Snake Butte, 2.8 miles north of Butte, Pierre, S. Dak., to operate on frequency 152.24 MHz.

7454-C2-P-(2)71—Racine Private Police, Inc. (KLF464), C.P. to add frequency 152.09 MHz at location No. 1: 2048 Clark Street, Racine, WI, and relocate facilities operating on 152.06 MHz to a new site described as location No. 2: 1801 Phillips Street, Racine, WI.

7455-C2-AL-71—Mobilfone Radio System. Consent to assignment of license from Phone Depots, Inc., doing business as Mobilfone Radio System, Assignor, to Phone Depots of Connecticut, Inc., doing business as Airpage, Assignee. Station: KCC802, Waterbury, Conn.

7456-C2-P-(2)71—Radio Dispatch Co. (KIY504), C.P. for additional facilities to operate on frequencies 454.125 and 454.175 MHz at station located on Third Avenue, Dothan, AL.

7457-C2-P-(6)71—Intermountain Mobilfone, Inc. (KLF596), C.P. to change the antenna system operating on 152.03 MHz; replace the transmitter and change frequency to 459.025 MHz for repeater facilities; add 152.15 MHz base and 459.200 MHz repeater at location No. 1: 14 miles southeast of Idaho Falls, Idaho, and proposes to change the antenna system; replace the transmitter; change frequency to 454.025 MHz and add 454.200 MHz for control facilities at location No. 2: 2065 Turnbull Drive, Idaho Falls, ID.

7470-C2-P-71—F & L Telephone Secretarial Service (KCC480), C.P. to add frequency 454.125 MHz at location No. 1: 160 Highland Avenue, Leominster, MA.

7471-C2-P-71—General Telephone Co. of Indiana, Inc. (KSJ815), C.P. to change the antenna system operating on 35.22 MHz at location No. 1: 303 East Berry Street, Fort Wayne, IN.

7504-C2-MP-71—Mobilfone Corp. (KRS633), Modification of C.P. to change the antenna system operating on 454.250 MHz located at 650 25th Avenue SE., Minneapolis, MN.

7506-C2-P-71—Yakima Telephone Answering Service, Inc. (New), C.P. for a new two-way station to be located south of Yakima, Wash., to operate on frequency 152.150 MHz.

7530-C2-P-(2)71—Telanswer Radiophone Service (New), C.P. for a new one-way station to be located at East Butte, 31 miles west of Idaho Falls, Idaho, to operate on 158.70 MHz.

7531-C2-P-71—Clarks Telephone Co. (New), C.P. for a new two-way station to be located at South Millard and Adams Streets, Clarks, NE, to operate on 152.60 MHz.

7532-C2-P-(2)71—Tel-Page Corp. (KRH676), C.P. for additional facilities to operate on 454.200 and 454.250 MHz at station located at 14 Lafayette Square, Buffalo, NY.

7591-C2-P-71—RCC of Virginia, Inc. (New), C.P. for a new two-way station to be located at the top of Mill Mountain, Roanoke, Va., to operate on 152.03 MHz.

7592-C2-P-71—Santa Cruz Telephone (KMD683), C.P. to change the antenna system, replace the transmitter operating on 152.21 MHz and relocate facilities at location No. 1, to: Lot 3, Summit Park near Empire Grade, 2 miles southwest of Boulder Creek, Calif.

DOMESTIC PUBLIC LAND MOBILE RADIO SERVICE—Continued

Major Amendment

6581–C2–P–71—Airsignal International Inc. (KIF651), Amended to change the antenna system and correct the coordinates for the 35.58 MHz facilities at 305 South Andrews Avenue, Fort Lauderdale, FL. See Public Notice Report No. 546, dated June 1, 1971.

7521–C2–P–70—Ratel Communications Co. (KLF523), Amended to change frequency to 152.09 MHz. See Public Notice dated May 25, 1970.

INFORMATIVE: It appears that the following applications may be mutually exclusive and subject to the Commission's rules regarding ex parte presentations, by reasons of potential electrical interference.

Frequency 152.12 MHz

Virginia

RCC of Virginia, Inc. (KRS676), 4246–C2–MP–71.

Maryland

Radio Communications, Inc. (KGC583), 5011–C2–P–71.
Radio Communications, Inc. (KGC583), 5075–C2–P–71.
Frank A. Del Vecchio (New), 6579–C2–P–71.

RURAL RADIO SERVICE

7458–C1–P/L–71—The Mountain States Telephone & Telegraph Co. (New), C.P. and license for a new rural subscriber station to be located at 7.3 miles north-northwest of Weston, Wyo., to operate on frequency 157.80 MHz communicating with Station KOH274, Gillette, Wyo.

7589–C1–P/L–71—The Mountain States Telephone & Telegraph Co. (New), C.P. and license for a new rural subscriber station to be located at 32.3 miles southwest of Bill, Wyo., to operate on frequency 157.77 MHz communicating with Station KPQ20, Casper, Wyo.

POINT-TO-POINT MICROWAVE RADIO SERVICE (TELEPHONE CARRIERS)

7459–C1–P–71—American Telephone & Telegraph Co. (KLT59), C.P. to add 4070 and 4150 MHz directed toward Tulsa, Okla. Station location: 5.7 miles southwest of Mounds, Okla.

7460–C1–P–71—American Telephone & Telegraph Co. (KLT60), C.P. to add 4110 MHz directed toward Mounds, Okla. Station location: 424 South Detroit Street, Tulsa, OK.

7468–C1–P–71—South Central Bell Telephone Co. (KTF65), C.P. to add 5960.0 and 6049.0 MHz toward Slidell, La. Station location: 410 East Rutland Street, Covington, LA.

7469–C1–P–71—South Central Bell Telephone Co. (KLU71), C.P. to add 6241.7 and 6330.7 MHz directed toward Covington, La. Station location: Cousin and Carey Streets, Slidell, LA.

7505–C1–P–71—General Telephone Co. of the Northwest, Inc. (New), C.P. for a new station. Frequencies 11,405 and 11,645 MHz. Location: Corner of North Arthur and West Clearwater, Kennewick, WA.

7533–C1–P–71—American Telephone & Telegraph Co. (KOB26), C.P. to add 3970 MHz directed toward Riverton, Utah. Station location: 3100 Kennedy Drive, Salt Lake City, UT.

7534–C1–P–71—American Telephone & Telegraph Co. (KPM66), C.P. to add 4010 MHz toward Payson, Utah. Station location: 0.5 mile west of Riverton, Utah.

7535–C1–P–71—American Telephone & Telegraph Co. (KPM67), C.P. to add 3970 MHz toward Levan, Utah. Station location: 5.5 miles northwest of Payson, Utah.

7536–C1–P–71—American Telephone & Telegraph Co. (KPM68), C.P. to add 4010 MHz toward Scipio, Utah. Station location: 5.5 miles north-northwest of Levan, Utah.

7543–C1–P–71—The Chesapeake & Potomac Telephone Co. of Virginia (KYS82), C.P. to add 10,795 and 11,035 MHz directed toward Cauthornville, Va. Station location: 4 miles west of Aylett, Va.

7544–C1–P–71—The Chesapeake & Potomac Telephone Co. of Virginia (KJJ33), C.P. to add 11,245 and 11,485 MHz toward Aylett and add 5982.8 and 11,505 MHz toward Bowling Green, Va. Station location: On State Route 619, 1 mile south-southeast of Cauthornville, Va.

7545–C1–P–71—The Chesapeake & Potomac Telephone Co. of Virginia (KJJ32), C.P. to add 6308.4 and 10,815 MHz directed toward Berea and add 6204.7 and 11,095 MHz toward Cauthornville, Va. Station location: 2.2 miles north of Bowling Green, Va.

7546–C1–P–71—The Chesapeake & Potomac Telephone Co. of Virginia (KJJ31), C.P. to change frequencies 5937.8 and 6056.4 MHz to 6026.7 and 6145.3 MHz and add 5967.4 and 11,225 MHz directed toward Bowling Green and add 5982.8 and 11,285 MHz toward Morrisville, Va. Station location: 0.3 mile southwest of Berea, Va.

POINT-TO-POINT MICROWAVE RADIO SERVICE (TELEPHONE CARRIERS)—Continued

7547–C1–P–71—The Chesapeake & Potomac Telephone Co. of Virginia (KJJ30), C.P. to add 6249.1 and 11,115 MHz toward Nokesville and add 6323.3 and 10,835 MHz toward Berea, Va. Station location: 3.4 miles southwest of Morrisville, Va.

7548–C1–P–71—The Chesapeake & Potomac Telephone Co. of Virginia (KJJ29), C.P. to change frequency to 6145.3 MHz and add 5967.4 and 11,565 MHz toward Morrisville and also add 5982.3 and 11,365 MHz toward Aldie, Va. Station location: 2.4 miles north of Nokesville, Va.

7549–C1–P–71—The Chesapeake & Potomac Telephone Co. of Virginia (KJJ28), C.P. to add 6293.6 and 10,915 MHz toward Nokesville and add 10,795 and 11,035 MHz toward Leesburg, Va. Station location: 5.3 miles northeast of Aldie, Va.

7550–C1–P–71—The Chesapeake & Potomac Telephone Co. of Virginia (WAY42), C.P. to add 11,245 and 11,485 MHz toward Aldie, Va. Station location: 145 East Market Street, Leesburg, VA.

7551–C1–P–71—The Chesapeake & Potomac Telephone Co. of Virginia (KIA32), C.P. to add 6278.8 and 10,795 MHz toward Carter Mountain, Va. Station location: 1430 East High Street, Charlottesville, VA.

7552–C1–P–71—The Chesapeake & Potomac Telephone Co. of Virginia (KIA30), C.P. to add 6130.5 and 11,445 MHz toward Shannon Hill and add 6026.7 and 11,245 MHz toward Charlottesville, Va. Station location: Carter Mountain, 3.5 miles south of Charlottesville, Va.

7553–C1–P–71—The Chesapeake & Potomac Telephone Co. of Virginia (KIA25), C.P. to add 6212.1 and 10,715 MHz toward Ollville and add 6382.6 and 10,995 MHz toward Carter Mountain, Va. Station location: Shannon Hill, 5 miles east-southeast of Percliff, Va.

7554–C1–P–71—The Chesapeake & Potomac Telephone Co. of Virginia (KIA24), C.P. to add 5960.0 and 11,445 MHz toward Richmond and add 5960.0 and 11,645 MHz toward Shannon Hill, Va. Station location: 2.5 miles east-southeast of Ollville, Va.

7555–C1–P–71—The Chesapeake & Potomac Telephone Co. of Virginia (KIR29), C.P. to add 6212.1 and 10,995 MHz directed toward Ollville, Va. Station location: 703 East Grace Street, Richmond, VA.

7556–C1–P–71—American Telephone & Telegraph Co. (KCD65), C.P. to add 3950 MHz toward Francestown, N.H. Station location: 3.5 miles northeast of Ashburnham, Mass.

7557–C1–P–71—American Telephone & Telegraph Co. (KCL73), C.P. to add 3990 MHz toward Ashburnham, Mass., and add 4170 MHz toward Lempster, N.H. Station location: 2 miles north-northeast of Francestown, N.H.

7558–C1–P–71—American Telephone & Telegraph Co. (KCL74), C.P. to add 4130 MHz toward Francestown, N.H., and Brownsville, Vt. Station location: 1.5 miles southeast of Lempster, N.H.

7559–C1–P–71—American Telephone & Telegraph Co. (KCL57), C.P. to add 4170 MHz toward Lempster, and add 4150 MHz toward Meriden, N.H. Station location: 3.6 miles north-northeast of Brownsville, Vt.

7560–C1–P–71—American Telephone & Telegraph Co. (KCL76), C.P. to add 4110 MHz toward Brownsville and White River Junction, Vt. Station location: 2 miles northeast of Meriden, N.H.

7561–C1–P–71—American Telephone & Telegraph Co. (KCL77), C.P. to add 4150 MHz directed toward Meriden, N.H. Station location: 7 Currier Street, White River Junction, VT.

7562–C1–P–71—The Chesapeake & Potomac Telephone Co. of Virginia (KIA95), C.P. to change frequency 6412.2 MHz to 6189.8 MHz toward Bald Knob and add 6352.9 and 11,545 MHz toward Sand Mountain, Va. Correct coordinates to read latitude 37°11'13" N., longitude 80°27'27" W. Station location: Price Mountain, 3.9 miles southwest of Blacksburg, Va.

7563–C1–P–71—The Chesapeake & Potomac Telephone Co. of Virginia (KTG56), C.P. to change frequency 6160.2 MHz to 5937.8 MHz toward Price Mountain, Va. Station location: Bald Knob, 0.4 mile southwest of Mountain Lake, Va.

7564–C1–P–71—The Chesapeake & Potomac Telephone Co. of Virginia (KYZ80), C.P. to add 6071.2 and 11,135 MHz toward Price Mountain, Va. Station location: Sand Mountain, 3 miles south of Wytheville, Va.

7570–C1–P–71—South Central Bell Telephone Co. (KIY24), C.P. to change point of communication from Guston, Ky., to Payneville, Ky. and change power on existing transmitters for frequencies 4030, 4090, 4110, and 4170 MHz. Station location: 5.8 miles northeast of Fordsville, Ky.

7571-C1-P-71—South Central Bell Telephone Co. (KIY25), C.P. to change the antenna system to dual horn operation toward Fordsville, Ky., operating on 4050, 4070, 4130, and 4150 MHz. Station location: 720 Frederica Street, Owensboro, KY.

7537-C1-P-71—The Chesapeake & Potomac Telephone Co. of Virginia (KJK45), C.P. to add 6137.9 MHz toward Drakes Branch, Va. Station location: Near intersection of State Road No. 746 and Southern Railway, within the town of Clover, Va.

7538-C1-P-71—The Chesapeake & Potomac Telephone Co. of Virginia (KJK44), C.P. to add 6360.3 MHz toward Clover, and add 6390.0 MHz toward Keysville, Va. Station location: 0.25 mile west of Drakes Branch, Va.

7539-C1-P-71—The Chesapeake & Potomac Telephone Co. of Virginia (KJK43), C.P. to add 6108.3 MHz toward Drakes Branch and add 6137.9 and 11,115 MHz toward Nutbush, Va. Station location: 0.2 mile northeast of Keysville, Va.

7540-C1-P-71—The Chesapeake & Potomac Telephone Co. of Virginia (KJK42), C.P. to add 6360.3 and 11,565 MHz toward Keysville and add 6390.0 and 11,605 MHz toward Blackstone, Va. Station location: Nutbush, 5 miles northwest of Victoria, Va.

7541-C1-P-71—The Chesapeake & Potomac Telephone Co. of Virginia (KJK41), C.P. to add 6108.3 and 11,155 MHz toward Nutbush and add 6137.9 and 10,975 MHz toward Moseley, Va. Station location: 1 mile north of Blackstone, Va.

7542-C1-P-71—The Chesapeake & Potomac Telephone Co. of Virginia (New), C.P. for a new station to be located at Moseley, 0.7 mile northwest of Skinquarter, Va. Frequencies 6360.3 and 11,385 MHz on azimuth 210°26'.

7594-C1-P-71—American Telephone & Telegraph Co. (KEA77), C.P. to add 10,735 and 10,895 MHz directed toward Mount Airy, N.J. Station location: 0.8 mile north of Cherryville, N.J.

7595-C1-P-71—American Telephone & Telegraph Co. (KYS55), C.P. to add 11,625 and 11,465 MHz toward Cherryville and add 3730 and 3810 MHz toward Trenton, N.J. Station location: 1.5 miles southeast of Mount Airy, N.J.

7596-C1-P-71—American Telephone & Telegraph Co. (KEM50), C.P. to add 4090 and 4170 MHz toward Mount Airy, N.J. Station location: 216 East State Street, Trenton, N.J.

7508-C1-P-71—Western Tele-Communications, Inc. (New), C.P. for a new station 6 miles north of Wells, Nev., at latitude 41°11'52" N., longitude 114°56'33" W. Frequency 5945.2 MHz on azimuth 14°06'.

7509-C1-P-71—Western Tele-Communications, Inc. (New), C.P. for a new station 2.5 miles miles east of Henry, Nev., at latitude 41°41'50" N., longitude 114°46'30" W. Frequency 4150.0 MHz on azimuths 17°01' and 194°13'.

7510-C1-P-71—Western Tele-Communications, Inc. (New), C.P. for a new station 7 miles south-southwest of Rogerson, Idaho, at latitude 42°07'10" N., longitude 114°36'05" W. Frequency 6197.2 MHz on azimuths 321°35' and 197°07'.

7511-C1-P-71—Western Tele-Communications, Inc. (New), C.P. for a new station 8 miles west-southwest of Castleford, Idaho, at latitude 42°30'41" N., longitude 115°01'20" W. Frequency 6063.8 MHz on azimuths 141°18' and 337°54'.

7512-C1-P-71—Western Tele-Communications, Inc. (New), C.P. for a new station 4.5 miles south of Dixie, Idaho, at latitude 43°14'55" N., longitude 115°26'08" W. Frequency 6315.9 MHz on azimuth 315°21' and 157°37'.

7513-C1-P-71—Western Tele-Communications, Inc. (New), C.P. for a new station at Deer Point, 9 miles northeast of Boise, Idaho, at latitude 43°44'37" N., longitude 116°06'32" W. Frequency 5974.8 MHz on azimuths 134°53' and 310°23' and frequency 6093.5 MHz on azimuth 229°34'.

7514-C1-P-71—Western Tele-Communications, Inc. (New), C.P. for a new station 1 mile west of Boise, Idaho, at latitude 43°37'58" N., longitude 116°17'16" W. Frequency 6226.9 MHz on azimuth 49°26'.

7515-C1-P-71—Western Tele-Communications, Inc. (New), C.P. for a new station at Morgan Mountain, 3.2 miles east-northeast of Lime, Oreg., at latitude 44°26'03" N., longitude 117°15'03" W. Frequency 6404.8 MHz on azimuth 129°35' and frequency 4090.0 MHz on azimuth 310°53'.

7516-C1-P-71—Western Tele-Communications, Inc. (New), C.P. for a new station at Lone Pine Mountain, 4.5 miles southeast of Baker, Oreg., at latitude 44°44'20" N., longitude 117°44'46" W. Frequency 3830 MHz on azimuths 130°38' and 351°37'.

7517-C1-P-71—Western Tele-Communications, Inc. (New), C.P. for a new station on Mount Harris near La Grande, Oreg., at latitude 45°26'23" N., longitude 117°53'34" W. Frequency 4030 MHz on azimuths 342°06' and 171°30'.

7518-C1-P-71—Western Tele-Communications, Inc. (New), C.P. for a new station at Spout Springs, Oreg., at latitude 45°44'47" N., longitude 118°02'03" W. Frequency 3830 MHz on azimuths 295°28' and 162°00'.

7519-C1-P-71—Western Tele-Communications, Inc. (New), C.P. for a new station at Jump Off Butte, 6 miles south of Kennewick, Wash., at latitude 46°06'14" N., longitude 119° 07'42" W. Frequency 4030 MHz on azimuth 114°41', frequency 6271.4 MHz on azimuth 76°44', frequency 3790 MHz on azimuth 93°33', and frequencies 3870 and 4110 MHz on azimuth 302°54'.

7520-C1-P-71—Western Tele-Communications, Inc. (New), C.P. for a new station at Main and South Second Avenue in Walla Walla, Wash. at latitude 46°04'01" N., longitude 118°20'17" W. Frequency 3910 MHz on azimuth 274°07'.

7521-C1-P-71—Western Tele-Communications, Inc. (New), C.P. for a new station 5 miles east of Dayton, Wash., at latitude 46°18'09" N., longitude 117°52'12" W. Frequency 6078.6 MHz on azimuth 22°22', and 6139.7 MHz on azimuth 257°38'.

7522-C1-P-71—Western Tele-Communications, Inc. (New), C.P. for a new station 5 miles south of Rosalia, Wash., at latitude 47°09'41" N., longitude 117°21'04" W. Frequency 6330.7 MHz on azimuth 02°00' and frequency 6390.0 MHz on azimuth 202°44'.

7523-C1-P-71—Western Tele-Communications, Inc. (New), C.P. for a new station 1.5 miles southeast of Spokane, Wash., at latitude 47°36'03" N., longitude 117°19'42" W. Frequency 6137.9 MHz on azimuth 182°01' and frequency 11,465 MHz on azimuth 317°00'.

7524-C1-P-71—Western Tele-Communications, Inc. (New), C.P. for a new station at Monroe Street and Maxwell Avenue, Spokane, Wash., at latitude 47°40'15" N., longitude 117° 25'30" W. Frequency 10,855 MHz on azimuth 136°56'.

7525-C1-P-71—Western Tele-Communications, Inc. (New), C.P. for a new station at Rattlesnake Hills, 11 miles north of Sunnyside, Wash., at latitude 46°29'11" N., longitude 119°59'28" W. Frequencies 3830 and 4150 MHz on azimuth 122°17', and 6108.3 MHz on azimuth 288°19', and 6049.0 MHz on azimuth 246°47'.

7526-C1-P-71—Western Tele-Communications, Inc. (New), C.P. for a new station Yakima Avenue and Second Street North, Yakima, WA, at latitude 46°36'06" N., longitude 120°30'13" W. Frequency 6271.4 MHz on azimuth 107°57'.

7527-C1-P-71—Western Tele-Communications, Inc. (New), C.P. for a new station at Satus Peak, 24 miles southwest of Yakima, Wash., at latitude 46°15'27" N., longitude 120°45'08" W. Frequency 6330.7 MHz on azimuth 66°15' and frequency 6390.0 MHz on azimuth 228°20'.

7528-C1-P-71—Western Tele-Communications, Inc. (New), C.P. for a new station at Mount Defiance, 10 miles southwest of Hood River, Oreg., at latitude 45°38'55" N., longitude 121°43'17" W. Frequency 6019.3 MHz on azimuth 47°38' and 11,325 MHz on azimuth 228°20'.

7529-C1-P-71—Western Tele-Communications, Inc. (New), C.P. for a new station 6 miles west-northwest of Scappoose, Oreg., at latitude 45°46'54" N., longitude 122°59'55" W. Frequency 10,835 MHz on azimuth 78°00'.

(INFORMATIVE: Applicant proposes to provide "Low Cost Customized" Interstate Communications System between fixed stations at Salt Lake City, Boise, Walla Walla, Spokane, Yakima, and Portland. This filing will interconnect with applicant's filings Files Nos. 4255/4290-C1-P-70 and 847/871-C1-P-71).

Major Amendments

6605-C1-P-71—The Chesapeake & Potomac Telephone Co. of Virginia (KJG52), Delete frequency 11,305 MHz. Add frequencies 10,955 and 11,035 MHz. Location: Newport News, Va.

6526-C1-P-71—The Chesapeake & Potomac Telephone Co. of Virginia (KJG53), Add frequency 11,405 MHz on azimuth 318°52'. Location: Norfolk, Va.

All other particulars same as reported in Public Notices dated May 24, 1971, and June 1, 1971.

POINT-TO-POINT MICROWAVE RADIO SERVICE (NONTELEPHONE)
Major Amendments

3515-C1-P-71—Western Tele-Communications, Inc. (New), Application amended (a) to change location of receiving station at Dickinson, N. Dak., to latitude 46°55'09" N., longitude 102°43'45" W. and (b) to change azimuth toward Dickinson to 86°01'. Station location: Sentinel Butte, 0.8 mile south-southeast of Beach, N. Dak. Other particulars are same as reported on Public Notice dated Jan. 11, 1971.

609-C1-P-71—Microwave Service Co. (New), Change frequency 6256.5 MHz to 6271.4 MHz toward Barton, Miss. Station location: Memphis, Tenn., latitude 35°08'32" N., longitude 90°03'06" W.

610-C1-P-71—Microwave Service Co. (WAD22), Change frequency 6034.2 MHz to 6049.0 MHz toward Ashland, Miss. Station location: Barton, Miss., latitude 34°58'05" N., longitude 89°41'22" W.

611-C1-P-71—Microwave Service Co. (KUV90), Change frequency 6256.5 MHz to 6271.4 MHz toward Keownville, Miss. Station location: Ashland, Miss., latitude 34°51'28" N., longitude 89°14'20" W.

612-C1-P-71—Microwave Service Co. (KLN74), Change frequency 6034.2 MHz to 6049.0 MHz toward Tupelo, Miss. Station location: Keownville, Miss., latitude 34°35'39" N., longitude 88°54'06" W.

613-C1-P-71—Microwave Service Co. (KLH80), Change frequency 6375.2 MHz to 6390.0 MHz toward Okolona, Miss. Station location: Tupelo, Miss., latitude 34°19'24" N., longitude 88°42'39" W.

614-C1-P-71—Microwave Service Co. (KLV62), Change frequency 6034.2 MHz to 6049.0 MHz toward West Point, Miss. Station location: Okolona, Miss., latitude 33°59'50" N., longitude 88°46'20" W.

615-C1-P-71—Microwave Service Co. (KUV91), Change frequencies 6286.2 and 6404.8 MHz to 6271.4 and 6360.3 MHz toward Columbus, Miss. Station location: West Point, Miss., latitude 33°36'44.5" N., longitude 88°39'42.6" W.

616-C1-P-71—Microwave Service Co. (New), Change frequency 6034.2 MHz to 6108.3 MHz toward Melrose, Ala. Station location: Columbus, Miss., latitude 33°32'24" N., longitude 88°23'38" W.

617-C1-P-71—Microwave Service Co. (New), Change frequency 6286.2 MHz to 6360.3 MHz toward Holman, Ala. Station location: Melrose, Ala., latitude 33°23'55" N., longitude 88°08'47" W.

618-C1-P-71—Microwave Service Co. (New), Change frequency 6123.1 MHz to 6137.9 MHz toward Tuscaloosa, Ala. Station location: Holman, Ala., latitude 33°16'51" N., longitude 87°51'01" W.

619-C1-P-71—Microwave Service Co. (New), Change frequency 6375.2 MHz to 6390.0 MHz toward Woodstock, Ala. Station location: Tuscaloosa, Ala., latitude 33°10'25" N., longitude 87°29'01" W.

620-C1-P-71—Microwave Service Co. (New), Change frequency 6152.8 MHz to 6167.6 MHz toward Birmingham, Ala. Station location: Woodstock, Ala., latitude 33°13'09" N., longitude 87°09'24" W.

All other particulars same as reported in Public Notice dated Aug. 10, 1970, Report No. 504.

The following applicant proposes to establish omnidirectional facilities for the provision of common carrier "Subscriber-Programed" television service.

7461-C1-P-71—American Communications & Electronics Corp. (New), C.P. for a new station to be located at Arlington Building at Charles and Lexington, Baltimore, Md. Frequencies: 2152.325 MHz (visual), 2150.20 MHz (aural) and 2158.50 MHz (visual) 2154.00 MHz (aural) toward various points of the system.

Correction

7269-C1-P-71—Robert L. Mohr, doing business as Radiocall Corp. (New), Correct location to read "temporary fixed locations within the territory of the grantee (Los Angeles County, Calif.) All other terms same as Report No. 550, dated June 28, 1971.

[FR Doc.71-9724 Filed 7-8-71;8:52 am]

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

INSURED BANKS

Joint Call for Report of Condition

Pursuant to the provisions of section 7(a)(3) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, as amended (12 U.S.C. 1817(a)(3)), each insured bank is required to make a Report of Condition as of the close of business June 30, 1971, to the appropriate agency designated herein, within 10 days after notice that such report shall be made: *Provided*, that if such reporting date is a nonbusiness day for any bank, the preceding business day shall be its reporting date.

Each national bank and each bank in the District of Columbia shall make its original Report of Condition on Office of the Comptroller Form, Call No. 478,[1] and shall send the same to the Comptroller of the Currency, and shall send a signed and attested copy thereof to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. Each insured State bank which is a member of the Federal Reserve System, except a bank in the District of Columbia, shall make its original Report of Condition on Federal Reserve Form 105—Call 200,[1] and shall send the same to the Federal Reserve Bank of the District wherein the bank is located, and shall send a signed and attested copy thereof to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. Each insured State bank not a member of the Federal Reserve System, except a bank in the District of Columbia and a mutual savings bank, shall make its original Report of Condition on FDIC Form 64—Call No. 96,[1] and shall send the same to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

The original Report of Condition required to be furnished hereunder to the Comptroller of the Currency and a copy thereof required to be furnished to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation shall be prepared in accordance with "Instructions for preparation of Consolidated Reports of Condition by National Banking Associations," dated November 1970, and any amendments thereto.[1] The original Report of Condition required to be furnished hereunder to the Federal Reserve Bank of the District wherein the bank is located and the copy thereof required to be furnished to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation shall be prepared in accordance with "Instructions for the preparation of Reports of Condition by State Member Banks of the Federal Reserve System," dated December 1970, and any amendments thereto.[1] The original Report of Condition required to be furnished hereunder to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation shall be prepared in accordance with "Instructions for the preparation of Report of Condition on Form 64 by insured State banks not members of the Federal Reserve System," dated December 1970, and any amendments thereto.[1]

Each insured mutual savings bank not a member of the Federal Reserve System shall make its original Report of Condition on FDIC Form 64 (Savings),[1] prepared in accordance with "Instructions for the preparation of Report of Condition on Form 64 (Savings) and Report of Income and Dividends on Form 73 (Savings) by Mutual Savings Banks," dated December 1962, and any amendments thereto,[1] and shall send the same to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

FRANK WILLE,
Chairman, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

WILLIAM B. CAMP,
Comptroller of the Currency.

J. L. ROBERTSON,
Vice Chairman, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

[FR Doc.71-9689 Filed 7-8-71;8:49 am]

[1] Filed as part of original document.

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. CP71-315]

SOUTHERN NATURAL GAS CO.

Notice of Application

JULY 8, 1971.

Take notice that on June 28, 1971, Southern Natural Gas Co. (applicant), Post Office Box 2563, Birmingham, AL 35202, filed in Docket No. CP71-315 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of certain offshore natural gas transmission facilities and the transportation of natural gas, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the

Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, applicant proposes the construction and operation of approximately 7.8 miles of 14-inch pipeline and appurtenances extending in a westerly direction from its South Pass Block 62, 20-inch pipeline, east addition, to the production platform of the Shell Oil Co. (Shell) in Main Pass Block 153, south addition, each of which are located in offshore Louisiana. Applicant states that it has entered into a contract with Shell for the purchase of natural gas from Main Pass Block 153. The facilities proposed herein will be employed to receive natural gas purchased from Shell and to transport it onshore. Applicant proposes to construct and operate various facilities for the delivery of these volumes of natural gas onshore to Shell for processing. Applicant also seeks authorization to transport natural gas for plant use, fuel, loss and shrinkage from the offshore delivery point to the onshore processing plant.

The estimated cost of the facilities proposed herein is $2,768,180, which cost applicant states will be financed by the use of cash on hand. Applicant states that because of the weather conditions prevailing in the Main Pass Area of offshore Louisiana, this proposed pipeline must be constructed prior to October in order to make these additional supplies of natural gas available for the 1971–72 winter heating season.

It appears reasonable and consistent with the public interest in this case to prescribe a period shorter than 15 days for the filing of protests and petitions to intervene. Therefore, any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before July 16, 1971, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-9823 Filed 7-8-71; 10:09 am]

[Docket No. CP71-314]

SOUTHERN NATURAL GAS CO.

Notice of Application

JULY 8, 1971.

Take notice that on June 28, 1971, Southern Natural Gas Co. (applicant), Post Office Box 2563, Birmingham, AL 35202, filed in Docket No. CP71-314 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of certain offshore natural gas transmission facilities and the transportation of natural gas, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, applicant proposes the construction and operation of 7.8 miles of 12¾-inch pipeline and appurtenances extending in a northerly direction from its Main Pass Block 144 Field 12¾-inch pipeline to the production platform of the California Co., a division of Chevron Oil Co. (California), in Main Pass Block 133 each of which are located in offshore Louisiana. Applicant states that it has entered into a contract with California for the purchase of natural gas from Main Pass Blocks 122 and 133. The facilities proposed herein will be employed to receive natural gas purchased from California and to transport it onshore. Applicant proposes to construct and operate various facilities for the delivery of these volumes of natural gas onshore to California for processing, and to accept redelivery of the natural gas after processing. Applicant also seeks authorization to transport natural gas for plant use, fuel, loss and shrinkage from the offshore delivery point to the onshore processing plant.

The estimated cost of the facilities proposed herein is $2,254,930, which cost applicant states will be financed by the use of cash on hand. Applicant states that because of weather conditions prevailing in the Main Pass Area of offshore Lousiana, this proposed pipeline must be constructed prior to October in order to make these additional supplies of natural gas available for the 1971–72 winter heating season.

It appears reasonable and consistent with the public interest in this case to prescribe a period shorter than 15 days for the filing of protests and petitions to intervene. Therefore, any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before July 16, 1971, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-9824 Filed 7-8-71; 10:09 am]

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

ATLANTIC BANCORPORATION

Notice of Application for Approval of Acquisition of Shares of Bank

Notice is hereby given that application has been made, pursuant to section 3(a)(3) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(3)), by Atlantic Bancorporation, which is a bank holding company located in Jacksonville, Fla., for prior approval by the Board of Governors of the acquisition by Applicant of not less than 60 percent of the voting shares of Westside Atlantic Bank of Orlando, Orlando, Fla. (a proposed new bank).

Section 3(c) of the Act provides that the Board shall not approve:

(1) Any acquisition or merger or consolidation under section 3 which would result in a monopoly, or which would be in furtherance of any combination or conspiracy to monopolize or to attempt to monopolize the business of banking in any part of the United States, or

(2) Any other proposed acquisition or merger or consolidation under section 3

whose effect in any section of the country may be substantially to lessen competition, or to tend to create a monopoly, or which in any other manner would be in restraint of trade, unless the Board finds that the anticompetitive effects of the proposed transaction are clearly outweighed in the public interest by the probable effect of the transaction in meeting the convenience and needs of the community to be served.

Section 3(c) further provides that, in every case, the Board shall take into consideration the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the company or companies and the banks concerned, and the convenience and needs of the community to be served.

Not later than thirty (30) days after the publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, comments and views regarding the proposed acquisition may be filed with the Board. Communications should be addressed to the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551. The application may be inspected at the office of the Board of Governors or the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta.

By order of the Board of Governors, July 1, 1971.

[SEAL] KENNETH A. KENYON,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-9669 Filed 7-8-71;8:47 am]

FIRST ARKANSAS BANKSTOCK CORP.

Order Approving Acquisition of Bank Stock by Bank Holding Company

In the matter of the application of First Arkansas Bankstock Corp., Little Rock, Ark., for approval of acquisition of 80 percent or more of the voting shares of The Stephens Security Bank, Stephens, Ark.

There has come before the Board of Governors, pursuant to section 3(a)(3) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(3)), and § 222.3 (a) of Federal Reserve Regulation Y (12 CFR 222.3(a)), an application by First Arkansas Bankstock Corp., Little Rock, Ark., the only registered bank holding company in Arkansas, for the Board's prior approval of the acquisition of 80 percent or more of the voting shares of The Stephens Security Bank, Stephens, Ark.

As required by section 3(b) of the Act, the Board gave written notice of receipt of the application to the State Commissioner of Banking, and requested his views and recommendation. The Commissioner recommended approval unless legislation were to be approved prohibiting holding company expansion.

Notice of receipt of the application was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on December 9, 1970 (35 F.R. 18699), providing an opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views with respect to the proposal. Subsequent to the filing of the application, on February 5, 1971, the State of Arkansas enacted legislation prohibiting the formation and expansion of multibank holding companies (Act 47 of the 78th General Assembly of the State of Arkansas).

The Board, by order dated February 22, 1971 (36 F.R. 3852), denied the application due to the existence of the legislation, without reaching the merits of the application. Subsequent to the Board's action, the State of Arkansas enacted legislation which had the effect of exempting the proposed transaction from the general prohibition and, based upon this factor, First Arkansas Bankstock Corp. petitioned the Board for reconsideration of its denial order. By order dated May 4, 1971 (36 F.R. 8750), the Board granted the petition for reconsideration and provided an opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views with respect to the proposal.

The Board gave written notice of the granting of the petition to the State Commissioner of Banking and requested his views and recommendation with respect to the proposed transaction. The Commissioner stated that he had no objection to approval of the transaction.

Within the time provided for public comment on the proposal, a number of banks located in Arkansas urged denial of the application. Additionally, a number of these banks renewed a petition requesting that the Board conduct a formal hearing, which had not been acted upon due to the Board's original action denying the application. In view of the fact that the State Commissioner of Banking did not recommend disapproval of the application, no hearing on the application is required by the Act. Further, it does not appear to the Board that there are any issues concerning the application on which a formal hearing or oral presentation would be useful. Time for filing comments and views has expired and all those received have been considered.

The Board has considered the application in the light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the Act, including the effect of the proposed acquisition on competition, the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the applicant and the banks concerned, and the convenience and needs of the communities to be served, and finds that:

Applicant is the only registered bank holding company in Arkansas, and the State's largest banking organization, controlling two banks with $247.5 million in deposits. (All banking data are as of December 31, 1970.)

Bank, located in the city of Stephens (population 1,500), controls total deposits of $3.2 million and is the next to the smallest of seven banks in the market area, holding only 4 percent of area deposits. Both of applicant's subsidiaries are located more than 100 miles from bank and consummation of the proposal would eliminate neither present nor potential competition. Neither does it appear that there would be any adverse effects on any bank in the area.

Upon consummation of the proposal, applicant's present 8 percent share of total deposits in the State would be increased by only 0.1 percent, which would not significantly increase statewide concentration of banking resources.

It is true that applicant, under present Arkansas law, will continue to be the only multibank holding company, and that approval of this application would add a third subsidiary. However, as pointed out above, the Arkansas legislature has exempted this acquisition from the general prohibition relating to holding company acquisitions and there would be no adverse competitive effects from consummation of the proposal.

Considerations relating to the financial and managerial resources and prospects of bank lend some weight toward approval of the application in that applicant would provide an assured source of management succession to bank. Considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the communities to be served also lend some weight toward approval of the application in that trust, investment, and computer services would become available to the community through applicant's assistance. It is the Board's judgment that consummation of the proposal would be in the public interest and that the application should be approved.

It is hereby ordered, For the reasons set forth above, that the petition for a hearing be and hereby is denied, and that said application be and hereby is approved: *Provided,* That the acquisition so approved shall not be consummated (a) before the 30th calendar day following the date of this order or (b) later than 3 months after the date of this order, unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board, or by the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis pursuant to delegated authority.

By order of the Board of Governors,[1] July 1, 1971.

[SEAL] KENNETH A. KENYON,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-9673 Filed 7-8-71;8:47 am]

FIRST FINANCIAL CORP.

Order Approving Acquisition of Bank Stock by Bank Holding Company

In the matter of the application of First Financial Corp., Tampa, Fla., for approval of acquisition of not less than 80 percent of the voting shares of The First National Bank of Kissimmee, Kissimmee, Fla.

There has come before the Board of Governors, pursuant to section 3(a)(3) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(3)), and § 222.3 (a) of Federal Reserve Regulation Y (12 CFR 222.3(a)), an application by First Financial Corp., Tampa, Fla. (Applicant), a registered bank holding company, for the Board's prior approval of

[1] Voting for this action: Vice Chairman Robertson and Governors Mitchell, Maisel, Brimmer, and Sherrill. Absent and not voting: Chairman Burns and Governor Daane.

the acquisition of not less than 80 percent of the voting shares of The First National Bank of Kissimmee, Kissimmee, Fla. (Kissimmee Bank).

As required by section 3(b) of the Act, the Board gave written notice of receipt of the application to the Comptroller of the Currency, and requested his views and recommendation. The Comptroller offered no objection to approval of the application.

Notice of receipt of the application was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on May 7, 1971 (36 F.R. 8535), providing an opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views with respect to the proposed transaction. A copy of the application was forwarded to the U.S. Department of Justice for its consideration. The time for filing comments and views has expired and all those received have been considered by the Board.

The Board has considered the application in the light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the Act, including the effect of the proposed acquisition on competition, the financial and managerial resources and prospects of Applicant and the banks concerned, and the convenience and needs of the communities to be served and finds that:

Applicant presently controls eight banks with aggregate deposits of approximately $428 million, representing 3.1 percent of all deposits of commercial banks in Florida. (All banking data are as of December 31, 1970, adjusted to reflect bank holding company formations and acquisitions approved by the Board through May 31, 1971, and also to include a recent Board action approving Applicant's acquisition of Inter City National Bank of Bradenton.) Upon acquisition of Kissimmee Bank ($14 million deposits), Applicant would increase its share of statewide deposits by only 0.1 percent, and it would rank as the sixth largest banking organization and bank holding company in Florida.

Kissimmee Bank is the largest of the three banks operating in Osceola County and holds 48 percent of county deposits. The second largest, a subsidiary of Florida's fifth largest bank holding company, holds $9 million in deposits, representing 31 percent of county deposits. The third largest holds $6 million or approximately 21 percent of such deposits, and received Board approval to become a subsidiary of the State's third largest bank holding company; however, the proposal was not consummated. No significant competition exists between Kissimmee Bank and Applicant's subsidiaries, the nearest of which is located 45 miles from Kissimmee. It appears that the distances involved, the presence of intervening banks and Florida's restrictive branching laws would preclude substantial competition from developing between them. It further appears that competing banks in the area would not be adversely affected by the proposed acquisition. Based upon the foregoing and the record before it, the Board concludes that consummation of the proposed acquisition would not adversely affect competition in any relevant area.

The financial condition and managerial resources of Applicant, its subsidiaries and Kissimmee Bank appear generally satisfactory, and prospects for each appear favorable. Banking factors are consistent with approval of the application, and considerations under the convenience and needs of the communities concerned lend some support thereto. Kissimmee Bank is located only 8 miles from the Disney World project. Although the primary banking needs of the area are being met at the present time, affiliation with Applicant would enable Kissimmee Bank to more effectively satisfy some of the credit needs of the new tourist area and its supporting industries. Applicant has plans to improve Kissimmee Bank's present services and to provide management personnel as needed. It is the Board's judgment that the proposed transaction would be in the public interest, and that the application should be approved.

It is hereby ordered, For the reasons set forth in the findings summarized above, that said application be and hereby is approved: *Provided*, That the acquisition so approved shall not be consummated (a) before the 30th calendar day following the date of this order, or (b) later than 3 months after the date of this order, unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board, or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta pursuant to delegated authority.

By order of the Board of Governors,[1] July 1, 1971.

[SEAL] KENNETH A. KENYON,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-9674 Filed 7-8-71;8:47 am]

FIRST FLORIDA BANCORPORATION

Notice of Application for Approval of Acquisition of Shares of Bank

Notice is hereby given that application has been made, pursuant to section 3(a)(3) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(3)), by First Florida Bancorporation, which is a bank holding company located in Tampa, Fla., for prior approval by the Board of Governors of the acquisition by applicant of 90 percent or more of the voting shares of Marine National Bank of St. Petersburg, St. Petersburg, Fla. (a proposed new bank).

Section 3(c) of the Act provides that the Board shall not approve:

(1) Any acquisition or merger or consolidation under section 3 which would result in a monopoly, or which would be in furtherance of any combination or conspiracy to monopolize or to attempt to monopolize the business of banking in any part of the United States, or

(2) Any other proposed acquisition or merger or consolidation under section 3 whose effect in any section of the country may be substantially to lessen competition, or to tend to create a monopoly, or which in any other manner would be in restraint of trade, unless the Board finds that the anticompetitive effects of the proposed transaction are clearly outweighed in the public interest by the probable effect of the transaction in meeting the convenience and needs of the community to be served.

Section 3(c) further provides that, in every case, the Board shall take into consideration the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the company or companies and the banks concerned, and the convenience and needs of the community to be served.

Not later than thirty (30) days after the publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, comments and views regarding the proposed acquisition may be filed with the Board. Communications should be addressed to the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551. The application may be inspected at the office of the Board of Governors or the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta.

By order of the Board of Governors, July 1, 1971.

[SEAL] KENNETH A. KENYON,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-9670 Filed 7-8-71;8:47 am]

FOURTH NATIONAL CORP.

Order Approving Action To Become Bank Holding Company

In the matter of the application of The Fourth National Corp., Tulsa, Okla., for approval of action to become a bank holding company through the acquisition of 100 percent (less directors' qualifying shares) of the voting shares of the successor by merger to The Fourth National Bank of Tulsa, Okla.

There has come before the Board of Governors, pursuant to section 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(1)) and § 222.3(a) of Federal Reserve Regulation Y (12 CFR 222.3(a)), an application by The Fourth National Corp., Tulsa, Okla. (Applicant), for the Board's prior approval of action whereby Applicant would become a bank holding company through the acquisition of 100 percent (less directors' qualifying shares) of the voting shares of the successor by merger to The Fourth National Bank of Tulsa, Tulsa, Okla. (Bank).

As required by section 3(b) of the Act, the Board gave written notice of receipt of the application to the Comptroller of the Currency and requested his views and recommendation. The Comptroller recommended approval of this application.

[1] Voting for this action: Vice Chairman Robertson and Governors Mitchell, Maisel, Brimmer, and Sherrill. Absent and not voting: Chairman Burns and Governor Daane.

Notice of receipt of the application was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on May 15, 1971 (36 F.R. 8978), providing an opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views with respect to the proposal. A copy of the application was forwarded to the U.S. Department of Justice for its consideration. Time for filing comments and views has expired and all those received have been considered.

The Board has considered the application in the light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the Act, including the effect of the proposed acquisition on competition, the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the Applicant and the banks concerned, and the convenience and needs of the communities to be served, and finds that:

Applicant is a nonoperating corporation formed for the purpose of acquiring Bank ($78.8 million deposits). (All banking data are as of December 31, 1970, and reflect bank holding company applications approved by the Board through May 31, 1971.) Upon consummation of this proposal, Applicant will assume Bank's present position as the third largest bank holding company in the Tulsa market with 5.7 percent of the total deposits in that market. As Applicant has no present operations or subsidiaries, consummation of this proposal would eliminate neither existing nor potential competition. It does not appear that there would be any adverse effects on any bank in the area.

The financial and managerial resources and prospects of Bank are satisfactory and consistent with approval as would be those of Applicant upon approval. Consummation of the proposal would have no immediate effect on the convenience and needs of the community involved, but would enable the Applicant to respond to the increasing needs for a complete line of financial services demanded by an expanding area. Considerations under these factors lend some weight toward approval. It is the Board's judgment that consummation of the proposal would be in the public interest and that the application should be approved.

It is hereby ordered, For the reasons set forth above, that said application be and hereby is approved: *Provided*, That the acquisition so approved shall not be consummated (a) before the 30 calendar day following the date of this order, or (b) later than 3 months after the date of this order, unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City pursuant to delegated authority.

By order of the Board of Governors,[1] July 1, 1971.

[SEAL] KENNETH A. KENYON,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-9675 Filed 7-8-71;8:47 am]

[1] Voting for this action: Vice Chairman Robertson and Governors Mitchell, Maisel, Brimmer, and Sherrill. Absent and not voting: Chairman Burns and Governor Daane.

HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES, INC.

Notice of Application for Approval of Acquisition of Shares of Bank

Notice is hereby given that application has been made, pursuant to section 3(a)(3) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(3)), by Huntington Bancshares, Inc., which is a bank holding company located in Columbus, Ohio, for prior approval by the Board of Governors of the acquisition by Applicant of 80 percent or more of the voting shares of The Portage National Bank, Kent, Ohio.

Section 3(c) of the Act provides that the Board shall not approve:

(1) Any acquisition or merger or consolidation under section 3 which would result in a monopoly, or which would be in furtherance of any combination or conspiracy to monopolize or attempt to monopolize the business of banking in any part of the United States, or

(2) Any other proposed acquisition or merger or consolidation under section 3 whose effect in any section of the country may be substantially to lessen competition, or to tend to create a monopoly, or which in any other manner would be in restraint of trade, unless the Board finds that the anticompetitive effects of the proposed transaction are clearly outweighed in the public interest by the probable effect of the transaction in meeting the convenience and needs of the community to be served.

Section 3(c) further provides that, in every case, the Board shall take into consideration the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the company or companies and the banks concerned, and the convenience and needs of the community to be served.

Not later than thirty (30) days after the publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, comments and views regarding the proposed acquisition may be filed with the Board. Communications should be addressed to the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551. The application may be inspected at the office of the Board of Governors or the Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland.

By order of the Board Governors, July 1, 1971.

[SEAL] KENNETH A. KENYON,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-9671 Filed 7-8-71;8:47 am]

MERCANTILE BANCORPORATION, INC.

Notice of Application for Approval of Acquisition of Shares of Bank

Notice is hereby given that application has been made, pursuant to section 3(a)(3) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(3)), by Mercantile Bancorporation Inc., which is a bank holding company located in St. Louis, Mo., for prior approval by the Board of Governors of the acquisition by applicant of up to 100 percent of the voting shares of Mercantile Bank and Trust Company, Kansas City, Mo.

Section 3(c) of the Act provides that the Board shall not approve:

(1) Any acquisition or merger or consolidation under section 3 which would result in a monopoly, or which would be in furtherance of any combination or conspiracy to monopolize or to attempt to monopolize the business of banking in any part of the United States, or

(2) Any other proposed acquisition or merger or consolidation under section 3 whose effect in any section of the country may be substantially to lessen competition, or to tend to create a monopoly, or which in any other manner would be in restraint of trade, unless the Board finds that the anticompetitive effects of the proposed transaction are clearly outweighed in the public interest by the probable effect of the transaction in meeting the convenience and needs of the community to be served.

Section 3(c) further provides that, in every case, the Board shall take into consideration the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the company or companies and the banks concerned, and the convenience and needs of the community to be served.

Not later than thirty (30) days after the publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, comments and views regarding the proposed acquisition may be filed with the Board. Communications should be addressed to the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551. The application may be inspected at the office of the Board of Governors or the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis.

By order of the Board of Governors, July 2, 1971.

[SEAL] KENNETH A. KENYON,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-9672 Filed 7-8-71;8:47 am]

PAYMENTS MECHANISM

Statement of Policy

The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System issued on June 17, 1971, a policy statement calling for basic changes in the Nation's system for handling money payments. The Board's statement, which was directed to the Presidents of the 12 Federal Reserve Banks, is set forth below.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, July 2, 1971.

[SEAL] KENNETH A. KENYON,
Deputy Secretary.

STATEMENT OF POLICY ON THE PAYMENTS MECHANISM

Increasing the speed and efficiency with which the rapidly mounting volume of checks is handled is becoming a matter of urgency. Until electronic facilities begin to replace check transfer in substantial volume, the present system is vulnerable to serious transportation delays and manpower shortages. Structural changes in the present check clearing system can effect significant savings in manpower and unnecessary handling of checks. These changes will result in faster,

more convenient, and more economical banking services for the public. They will reduce the cost of operations. The Federal Reserve Board therefore states as a matter of policy that it places high priority upon efforts by the Federal Reserve System to improve the nation's means of making payments, initially along the following lines:

1. Extending present clearing arrangements, in cities with Federal Reserve offices, into larger zones of immediate payment, consistent with transportation possibilities, check volumes, and the location of check processing centers.

2. Establishing other regional clearing facilities, in which settlements are made in immediately available funds, located wherever warranted by the need for more expeditious and economical check handling, or other operating and financial conditions.

3. (a) Encouraging banks and their customers to make greater use of the expanded capabilities of the Federal Reserve wire transfer system.

(b) Removing restrictions on third party transfers of demand deposits, and extending the time period in which the wire transfer system can be used.

(c) Expanding facilities at Reserve Bank offices, where justified by traffic potentials, to include high speed tape transmission, and computer-to-computer communications.

Plans for making these basic changes in the present money transfer system should be pursued actively, to achieve as soon as possible an accelerated flow of funds along more optimal routing patterns. These initiatives are generally intended to supplement those efficient direct check exchange programs that are now in existence.

The first objective should be expansion of the geographic area of existing immediate payment zones. This should be accomplished as soon as necessary arrangements can be made. Meantime, studies looking to the establishment of new clearing centers, wherever warranted, should be undertaken promptly by each Federal Reserve Bank, and submitted to the Board for review. Expansion of facilities at Federal Reserve offices for increased access to the Reserve System's wire network should be concluded at the earliest practicable time, generally during the next 12 to 18 months.

[FR Doc.71-9676 Filed 7-8-71;8:47 am]

INSURED BANKS

Joint Call for Report of Condition

CROSS REFERENCE: For a document relating to a joint call for report of condition of insured banks, see F.R. Doc. 71-9689, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, *supra*.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[811-1385]

COMMINGLED INVESTMENT ACCOUNT OF FIRST NATIONAL CITY BANK

Notice of Filing of Application for Order Declaring That Company Has Ceased To Be an Investment Company

JULY 2, 1971.

Notice is hereby given that Commingled Investment Account of First National City Bank (Applicant), 399 Park Avenue, New York, NY 100221, registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (Act) as an open-end diversified management investment company, has filed an application for an order of the Commission pursuant to section 8(f) of the Act declaring that applicant has ceased to be an investment company as defined in the Act. All interested persons are referred to the application on file with the Commission for a statement of the representations therein which are summarized below.

Applicant was organized in 1966 as a collective investment fund under regulations of the Comptroller of the Currency and registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Act. On April 5, 1971, the U.S. Supreme Court handed down its decision in Investment Company Institute v. Camp holding that the Comptroller of the Currency's regulation and the specific approval thereunder pursuant to which Applicant had operated were invalid. On April 6, 1971, Applicant decided that the decision of the Supreme Court was sufficiently adverse as to require the liquidation and termination of Applicant. Accordingly, all portfolio securities were sold and Applicant's expenses and liabilities were satisfied. On April 22, 1971, all remaining assets were distributed to participants pro rata in cash and First National City Bank notified the Comptroller of the Currency that Applicant's operations had ended and its existence had terminated.

Section 8(f) of the Act provides, in pertinent part, that when the Commission, upon application, finds that a registered investment company has ceased to be an investment company, it shall so declare by order, and upon the taking effect of such order the registration of such company shall cease to be in effect.

Notice is further given that any interested person may not later than July 21, 1971 at 5:30 p.m., submit to the Commission in writing a request for a hearing on the matter accompanied by a statement as to the nature of his interest, the reason for such request and the issues, if any, of fact or law proposed to be controverted, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such communication should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request shall be served personally or by mail (airmail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon Applicant at the address stated above. Proof of such service (by affidavit or in case of an attorney at law by certificate) shall be filed contemporaneously with the request. At any time after said date as provided by Rule 0-5 of the rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, an order disposing of the matter herein may be issued by the Commission upon the basis of the information stated in the application, unless an order for hearing upon said proposal shall be issued upon request or upon the Commission's own motion. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered, will receive notice of further developments in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] THEODORE L. HUMES,
Associate Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-9681 Filed 7-8-71;8:48 am]

[24C-3202]

DATACON INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Order Permanently Suspending Regulation Exemption

JULY 2, 1971.

Datacon International, Inc. (issuer), 2745 Bernice Road, Lansing, IL, a Delaware Corporation, filed with the Commission a notification and offering circular, and amendments, for the purpose of obtaining an exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933 pursuant to section 3(b) thereof and Regulation A thereunder, with respect to an offer to rescind the sale of 58,933 shares of its $1 par value common stock. According to the offering circular, such shares "were not distributed pursuant to a registration statement under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and such distribution, therefore, may have been in violation of section 5 of such Act."

The Commission on April 6, 1971, issued an order pursuant to Rule 261 of Regulation A temporarily suspending the exemption. The issuer requested a hearing to determine whether the temporary suspension order should be vacated or an order entered permanently suspending the exemption. At the issuer's request, the time within which to file an answer, as required by the suspension order pursuant to the Commission's rules of practice, was extended to May 26, 1971, but no answer has been filed. On June 4, 1971, the Commission's Division of Corporation Finance filed a formal request to enter default against issuer, a copy of which was duly served on issuer, and to which no response has been received.

Under all the circumstances, it appears that issuer has been given full and adequate opportunity to file an answer in these proceedings, and that it failed to do so as required. Accordingly, pursuant to Rule 7(e) of the Commission's rules of practice, issuer is deemed to be in default and the proceedings may be determined against it upon consideration of the order temporarily suspending the Regulation A exemption, the allegations of which may be deemed to be true.

Therefore, on the basis of the allegations contained in the order temporarily suspending issuer's Regulation A exemption, it is found that issuer has not complied with the terms and conditions of Regulation A. The offering circular is materially deficient in that the financial statements included therein are more

than 6 months old contrary to the requirements of Item 11(a) of Schedule I adopted pursuant to Regulation A; and such statements fail to disclose the method of valuing inventory, include arbitrary dollar values assigned to fixed assets, and omit to disclose a material contingent liability and material facts relating to sources of income and charges against income. In addition, issuer has failed to cooperate with the Commission as required by Rule 261(a)(7).

In view of the foregoing it is appropriate that the exemption of the issuer under Regulation A be permanently suspended.

Accordingly, it is ordered, Pursuant to to Rule 261 of Regulation A under the Securities Act of 1933, that the exemption from registration with respect to the above rescission offer by Datacon International, Inc., be, and it hereby is, permanently suspended.

For the Commission, by the Office of Opinions and Review, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] THEODORE L. HUMES,
Associate Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-9679 Filed 7-8-71;8:48 am]

[File No. 1-4847]

ECOLOGICAL SCIENCE CORP.

Order Suspending Trading

JULY 2, 1971.

The common stock, 2 cents par value, of Ecological Science Corp. being traded on the American Stock Exchange, the Philadelphia-Baltimore-Washington Stock Exchange and the Pacific Coast Stock Exchange, pursuant to provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and all other securities of Ecological Science Corp. being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange; and

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in such security on such exchanges and otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors:

It is ordered, Pursuant to sections 15(c)(5) and 19(a)(4) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities on the above mentioned exchanges and otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period July 4, 1971, through July 13, 1971.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] THEODORE L. HUMES,
Associate Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-9680 Filed 7-8-71;8:48 am]

[File No. 7-3811]

FLYING TIGER CORP.

Notice of Application for Unlisted Trading Privileges and of Opportunity for Hearing

JULY 1, 1971.

In the matter of application of the Philadelphia - Baltimore - Washington Stock Exchange for unlisted trading privileges in a certain security.

The above named national securities exchange has filed an application with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to section 12(f)(1)(B) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 12f-1 thereunder, for unlisted trading privileges in the warrants to purchase common stock of the following company, which security is listed and registered on one or more other national securities exchanges;

Flying Tiger Corp., Warrants (Expiring December 31, 1975) File No. 7-3811.

Upon receipt of a request, on or before July 16, 1971, from any interested person, the Commission will determine whether the application shall be set down for hearing. Any such request should state briefly the nature of the interest of the person making the request and the position he proposes to take at the hearing, if ordered. In addition, any interested person may submit his views or any additional facts bearing on the said application by means of a letter addressed to the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549, not later than the date specified. If no one requests a hearing, this application will be determined by order of the Commission on the basis of the facts stated therein and other information contained in the official files of the Commission pertaining thereto.

For the Commission (pursuant to delegated authority).

[SEAL] THEODORE L. HUMES,
Associate Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-9687 Filed 7-8-71;8:48 am]

[File No. 7-3810, etc.]

FOREST LABORATORIES, INC., ET AL.

Notice of Applications for Unlisted Trading Privileges and of Opportunity for Hearing

JULY 1, 1971.

In the matter of applications of the Philadelphia - Baltimore - Washington Stock Exchange for unlisted trading privileges in certain securities.

The above named national securities exchange has filed applications with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to section 12(f)(1)(B) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 12f-1 thereunder, for unlisted trading privileges in the common stocks of the following companies, which securities are listed and registered on one or more other national securities exchanges:

	File No.
Forests Laboratories, Inc	7-3810
Instrument Systems Corp	7-3812
United States Filter Corp	7-3813

Upon receipt of a request, on or before July 16, 1971, from any interested person, the Commission will determine whether the application with respect to any of the companies named shall be set down for hearing. Any such request should state briefly the title of the security in which he is interested, the natotal assets of GWII as of each of the calture of the interest of the person making the request, and the position he proposes to take at the hearing, if ordered. In addition, any interested person may submit his views or any additional facts bearing on any of the said applications by means of a letter addressed to the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549 not later than the date specified. If no one requests a hearing with respect to any particular application, such application will be determined by order of the Commission on the basis of the facts stated therein and other information contained in the official files of the Commission pertaining thereto.

For the Commission (pursuant to delegated authority).

[SEAL] THEODORE L. HUMES,
Associate Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-9686 Filed 7-8-71;8:48 am]

[Files Nos. 811-903, 811-1622]

GREATER WASHINGTON INVESTORS, INC., AND GREATER WASHINGTON INDUSTRIAL INVESTMENT, INC.

Notice of Issuance of Certificate

JULY 2, 1971.

Greater Washington Investors, Inc. (GWII), and Greater Washington Industrial Investments, Inc. (Greater Washington), 1725 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20036, each a closed-end, nondiversified management investment company registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (Act), have filed applications for an order of this Commission certifying to the Secretary of the Treasury, pursuant to section 851(e) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (Code), that GWII and Greater Washington were, during the year 1970, principally engaged in the furnishing of capital to other corporations which are principally engaged in the development

or exploration of inventions, technological improvements, new processes or products not previously generally available (development corporations).

GWII and Greater Washington each propose to qualify as a "regulated investment company" under section 851(a) of the Code for the year ended December 31, 1970. The certifications requested are a prerequisite to qualification, pursuant to the provisions of section 851(e) of the Code, as a "regulated investment company" under section 851(a).

Greater Washington was organized on July 1, 1968, as a wholly owned subsidiary of GWII and succeeded to GWII's license as a small business investment company. By order dated July 1, 1968 this Commission granted exemptions from the Act which, in effect, permitted Greater Washington to operate as GWII's wholly owned subsidiary.

In support of the applications GWII and Greater Washington have submitted a detail description of each of the companies whose securities are held in their portfolios and have specified those investments which are considered to be development corporations. The following table shows the composition of the endar quarters ended March 31, 1970, June 30, 1970, September 30, 1970, and December 31, 1970.

GWII

Assets	Mar. 31, 1970	June 30, 1970	Sept. 30, 1970	Dec. 31, 1970
Investments representing capital furnished to corporations believed to be development corporations	$11,704,841	$9,023,545	$8,168,689	$7,064,444
Investments in corporations believed not so engaged	547,869	540,891	525,039	523,914
Total (at value)	12,252,710	9,564,436	8,693,728	7,588,358
Investment in subsidiary (not classified between investment in development and other corporations)	6,235,109	3,964,355	2,864,424	2,588,308
Cash, government securities and accrued income	381,437	459,675	736,972	518,625
Other assets	83,861	192,628	199,242	171,495
Total assets	18,953,117	14,181,094	12,494,366	10,866,876

The following table shows the composition of the total assets of Greater Washington as of each of the calendar quarters ended March 31, 1970, June 30, 1970, September 30, 1970, and December 31, 1970.

GREATER WASHINGTON

Assets	Mar. 31, 1970	June 30, 1970	Sept. 30, 1970	Dec. 31, 1970
Investments representing capital furnished to corporations believed to be development corporations	$7,678,984	$6,337,540	$5,518,034	$5,344,489
Investments in corporations believed not so engaged	1,494,550	820,385	782,460	675,580
Total investments (at value)	9,173,534	7,157,925	6,300,494	6,020,069
Cash, government securities and accrued income	375,586	484,204	150,286	235,659
Total assets before reserves	9,549,120	7,642,129	6,450,780	6,255,728
Reserve for possible losses	(150,000)	(530,693)	(1,165,609)	(1,120,982)
Total assets	9,399,120	7,111,436	5,294,171	5,134,746

On the basis of an examination of the reports and information filed by GWII and Greater Washington with the Commission pursuant to the provisions of the Investment Company Act and rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, as well as the data and information set forth in the instant applications, it appears that GWII and Greater Washington were each, for the 12 months ended December 31, 1970, principally engaged in the furnishing of capital to other corporations which were principally engaged in the development or exploitation of inventions, technological improvements, new processes or products not previously generally available within the intent of section 851(e) of the Code.

It is therefore certified to the Secretary of the Treasury, or his delegate, pursuant to section 851(e) of the Code, that Greater Washington Investors, Inc., and Greater Washington Industrial Investments, Inc., each a closed-end, nondiversified management investment company registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, were, for the 12 months ended December 31, 1970, principally engaged in the furnishing of capital to other corporations which were principally engaged in the development or exploitation of inventions, technological improvements, new processes or products not previously generally available.

By the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] THEODORE L. HUMES,
Associate Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-9682 Filed 7-8-71;8:48 am]

[File No. 812-2956]

JEFFERSON STANDARD SEPARATE ACCOUNT A ET AL.

Notice of Application for Exemptions

JULY 2, 1971.

Notice is hereby given that Jefferson Standard Separate Account A (Account A), a unit investment trust registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (Act), Jefferson Standard Life Insurance Co. (Jefferson Standard), and Jefferson-Pilot Equity Sales, Inc. (Equity Sales), 101 North Elm Street, Greensboro, NC 27401 (hereinafter collectively called Applicants) have filed an application pursuant to section 6(c) of the Act for an order exempting applicants, to the extent noted below, from the provisions of sections 22(d), 26(a), and 27(c)(2) of the Act. All interested persons are referred to the application on file with the Commission for a statement of the representations therein which are summarized below.

Account A is a separate account of Jefferson Standard established on April 15, 1971, as the facility for issuing certain variable annuity contracts. Under North Carolina insurance law, that portion of the assets maintained in Account A equal to the reserves and other contract liabilities with respect to the Account may not, to the extent so provided under the applicable contracts, be charged with any liability arising out of any other business conducted by Jefferson Standard. All amounts credited to Account A pursuant to variable annuity contracts will be invested in shares of JP Growth Fund, Inc., a diversified open-end investment company registered under the Act.

Jefferson Standard is a stock life insurance company chartered under the laws of North Carolina. Jefferson Standard is a wholly owned subsidiary of Jefferson-Pilot Corp. organized in January 1968. Equity Sales, a wholly owned subsidiary of Jefferson-Pilot Corp., is a registered broker-dealer under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Section 22(d) provides, in pertinent part, that no registered investment company or principal underwriter thereof shall issue and sell any redeemable security to the public except at a current offering price described in the prospectus. Applicants request an exemption from section 22(d) to permit a beneficiary under a variable annuity contract participating in Account A to elect to have any death proceeds to which he is entitled applied to effect a variable annuity without a sales charge in lieu of payment in a single sum. Applicants state that the imposition of a sales load where the beneficiary elects a variable annuity option would tend in practice to eliminate the election of such option. Applicants further state that no significant selling expenses are anticipated in connection with the election of such option.

Applicants request an additional exemption from section 22(d) to permit the issuance of single payment immediate or single payment deferred individual variable annuity contracts at a reduced sales charge where the single payment consists of proceeds arising from the termination by death or maturity of a life insurance policy or fixed-dollar annuity contract issued by Jefferson Standard. In such circumstances the applicable sales charge will be one-half of the regular sales charge, which ranges from 7

percent of the payment down to 3 percent depending on the amount of the single payment. Applicants state that grant of the requested exemption will not result in disruptive distribution patterns since it is not possible for a secondary market to exist for variable annuity contracts. Applicants further state that there is no unfair discrimination resulting from the proposed reduction in sales charge inasmuch as a sales charge will have previously been included in the premiums on the Jefferson Standard insurance policies and fixed-dollar annuity contracts from which the single payments are derived.

Sections 26(a) and 27(c)(2), as here pertinent, provide in substance that a registered unit investment trust and any depositor of or underwriter for the trust are prohibited from selling periodic payment plan certificates unless the proceeds of all payments, other than the amount deducted for sales load, are deposited with a qualified bank as trustee or custodian and held under an indenture or agreement containing, in substance, the provisions required by sections 26(a) (2) and (3) for trust indentures for unit investment trusts. Section 26(a)(2) requires that the trustee or custodian segregate and hold in trust all securities and cash of the trust, and places certain restrictions on charges which may be made against the trust income and corpus, and excludes from expenses which the trustee or custodian may charge against the trust any payment to the depositor or principal underwriter, other than a fee not exceeding such reasonable amount as the Commission may prescribe for performing bookkeeping and other administrative services delegated to them by the trustee or custodian. Section 26(a)(3) governs the circumstances under which the trustee or custodian may resign. As a life insurance company, Jefferson Standard may not properly place the assets of Account A in trust in the hands of another. Applicants request exemptions from sections 26(a) and 27(c)(2) to permit the net purchase payments under the contracts allocated to Account A to be held by Jefferson Standard rather than providing for the deposit of such payments with a bank as custodian or trustee for holding under an agreement or indenture containing, in substance, the provisions required by sections 26(a) (2) and (3) of the Act.

In support of these requested exemptions, Applicants state that Jefferson Standard is subject to extensive supervision and control by the North Carolina insurance regulatory authorities. It files with such authorities annual statements of financial condition in the form prescribed by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners and is examined periodically as to its financial affairs by such authorities. The supervision and inspection to which Jefferson Standard is subject are applicable to Account A so as to afford protection to variable annuity contract owners and provide assurance of performance by Jefferson Standard of its obligations to such owners. All obligations under the variable annuity contracts participating in Account A are general obligations of Jefferson Standard, and Jefferson Standard may not abrogate its obligations under such contracts. The assets and surplus of Jefferson Standard provide assurance of its financial ability to meet its obligations under the variable annuity contracts participating in Account A.

Applicants have consented that the exemptions requested from sections 26(a) and 27(c)(2) may be made subject to the conditions (1) that the charges under the contracts for administrative services shall not exceed such reasonable amounts as the Commission shall prescribe, jurisdiction being reserved to the Commission for such purpose, and (2) that the payment of sums and charges out of the assets of Account A shall not be deemed to be exempted from regulation by the Commission by reason of the requested order, provided that the applicants' consent to this condition shall not be deemed to be a concession to the Commission of authority to regulate the payments of sums and charges out of such assets other than charges for administrative services, and applicants reserve the right in any proceeding before the Commission or in any suit or action in any court to assert that the Commission has no authority to regulate the payment of such other sums or charges.

Section 6(c) authorizes the Commission conditionally or unconditionally to exempt any person, security, or transaction or any class or classes of persons, securities or transactions from the provisions of the Act or any rule or regulation thereunder, if and to the extent that such exemption is necessary or appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than July 21, 1971, at 5:30 p.m., submit to the Commission in writing a request for a hearing on the matter accompanied by a statement as to the nature of his interest, the reason for such request and the issues of fact or law proposed to be controverted, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission shall order a hearing thereon. Any such communication should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request shall be served personally or by mail (airmail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon applicants at the address stated above. Proof of service (by affidavit or in case of an attorney at law by certificate) shall be filed contemporaneously with the request. At any time after such date as provided by Rule 0-5 of the rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, an order disposing of the matter herein may be issued upon the basis of the information stated in said application, unless an order for hearing upon said application shall be issued upon request or upon the Commission's own motion. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive notice of further developments in this matter including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] THEODORE L. HUMES,
Associate Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-9683 Filed 7-8-71;8:48 am]

[812-2883]

KEYSTONE CUSTODIAN FUND, SERIES K-1, AND KEYSTONE CUSTODIAN FUND, SERIES B-4

Notice of Filing of Application for Order Permitting Proposed Transaction

JULY 2, 1971.

Notice is hereby given that Keystone Custodian Funds, Inc., as trustee of Keystone Custodian Fund, Series K-1 (K-1), 50 Congress Street, Boston, MA 02109, a common law trust registered as an open-end, diversified investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (Act) and Keystone Custodian Fund, Inc., as trustee of Keystone Custodian Fund, Series B-4 (B-4), a common law trust registered as an open-end, diversified investment company under the Act (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Applicant"), has filed an application pursuant to section 17(d) of the Act and Rule 17d-1 promulgated thereunder for an order granting said application with respect to the proposed joint participation of B-4 and K-1 in the transaction described below. All interested persons are referred to the application on file with the Commission for a statement of the representations contained therein, which are summarized below.

In late 1966, B-4 purchased $1 million of senior notes, and K-1 purchased $1 million of junior notes of Santiago Development Co. (Santiago). Concurrently with said purchases Santa Anita Consolidated, Inc. (Santa Anita) purchased $1 million in junior notes identical in terms to those purchased by K-1. The note purchases were undertaken to finance land development by Santiago. B-4, as the holder of the senior note, was to receive interest at the rate of 8 percent per annum. The holders of the junior notes, including K-1 and Santa Anita, were to receive fixed interest at the rate of 5½ percent per annum and, after the senior note was repaid in full, they were to participate to the extent of approximately 25 percent in the net proceeds of Santiago. Thus, the junior note holders including K-1, in exchange for a lower rate of fixed interest, purchased the opportunity to participate in Santiago's net proceeds. Applicant asserts that the obligations of the several purchasers to purchase and pay for the aforementioned securities were several not joint. All of the notes were unsecured. The Applicant did not

apply for or receive an order of the Commission permitting such transaction pursuant to section 17(d) of the Act and Rule 17d–1 thereunder. The present application seeks approval only for the proposed transaction.

Santiago is a California limited partnership in which Waverly Homes, Inc., a California corporation (Waverly) is the general partner. Waverly is a wholly owned subsidiary of GSC Development Corp., a Texas corporation (GSC). GSC is a member of the Penn Central family of corporations.

The application asserts that owing to a variety of factors, Santiago has become unable to meet its obligations under the notes or under the purchase money note and deed of trust for the property being developed. Further, the Applicant claims that in order to salvage some return to the note holders, Santa Anita proposes to undertake continuance of the land development project begun by Santiago and to make payments to the note holders in accordance with the agreement described below.

The details of the transactions have now been incorporated in an Agreement of Purchase and Sale (Agreement) by and between GSC, Santiago, Waverly, Santa Anita, Applicant, and MacArthur & Main Development Co., Inc. (M & M). The Agreement is set forth in detail in the application and the exhibits thereto.

Previously Robert H. Grant Corp. (Grant), a subsidiary of Santa Anita, purchased a portion of the land being developed by Santiago. The Agreement of Purchase and Sale between Santiago and Grant provided that Grant would undertake to develop and/or sell said land and would share the proceeds therefrom with Santiago.

The Agreement provides that M & M purchase the remaining assets of Santiago, including Santiago's right to receive a share of the profits from the development undertaken by Grant. M & M will undertake to develop and market these assets with a view towards generating profits. In exchange for its efforts M & M will pay its related expenses and receive the first $1.32 million in net profits from the project.

As a condition of the sale by Santiago and the release of certain claims against Santiago held by GSC, Santa Anita, K–1, and B–4 agree to suspend the enforcements of the notes and any claims which may have accrued in connection therewith and release from liability GSC, Santiago and the partners of Santiago, from all claims arising with respect to the notes and the note agreements.

In consideration for the agreement of Santa Anita, K–1 and B–4 to suspend enforcement of their claims and grant the releases described above, M & M will (a) pay to Applicant from the gross proceeds realized from the project by M & M, on or before August 31, 1971, the sum of eighty-thousand dollars ($80,000), (b) pay to Applicant twenty-thousand dollars ($20,000) quarterly for the period of one (1) year commencing November 15, 1971, so long as the Agreement remains in effect, (c) divide equally between Applicant and itself net profits from the project in excess of $1.32 million until $1 million has been allocated to Applicant, and (d) divide equally between Applicant and Santa Anita the net profits from the project in excess of $3.32 million until $1 million has been allocated to Applicant.

The Agreement does not distinguish between B–4 and K–1 in providing for payment to Applicant. As between B–4 and K–1, all payments received by Applicant under the Agreement will be divided equally.

Rule 17d–1, adopted under section 17 (d) of the Act, provides, inter alia, that no affiliated person of any registered investment company, and no affiliated person of such affiliated person, shall, acting as principal, participate in, or effect any transaction in connection with, any joint enterprise or other joint arrangement in which such registered company, or a company controlled by such registered company, is a participant, unless an application regarding such joint enterprise or arrangement has been filed with the Commission and has been granted by order, and that in passing upon such application the Commission will consider whether the participation of the registered or controlled company in the joint enterprise or arrangement on the basis proposed is consistent with the provisions, policies, and purposes of the Act and the extent to which such participation is on a basis different from or less advantageous than that of other participants.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than July 15, 1971, at 5:30 p.m., submit to the Commission in writing a request for a hearing on the matter accompanied by a statement as to the nature of his interest, the reason for such request and the issues of fact or law proposed to be controverted, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission shall order a hearing thereon. Any such communication should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request shall be served personally or by mail (airmail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon Applicant at the address set forth above. Proof of such service (by affidavit or in case of an attorney at law certificate) shall be filed contemporaneously with the request. At any time after said date, as provided by Rule 0–5 of the rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, an order disposing of the application herein may be issued by the Commission upon the basis of the information stated in said application, unless an order for hearing upon said application shall be issued upon request or upon the Commission's own motion. Persons who request a hearing, or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered, will receive notice of further developments in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] THEODORE L. HUMES,
Associate Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-9684 Filed 7-8-71;8:48 am]

[File No. 812-2957]

PILOT SEPARATE ACCOUNT A ET AL.

Notice of Application for Exemptions

JULY 2, 1971.

Notice is hereby given that Pilot Separate Account A (Account A), a unit investment trust registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (Act), Pilot Life Insurance Co. (Pilot) 5300 High Point Road, Greensboro, NC 27407, and Jefferson-Pilot Equity Sales, Inc. (Equity Sales), 101 North Elm Street, Greensboro, NC 27401 (hereinafter collectively called "Applicants") have filed an application pursuant to section 6(c) of the Act for an order exempting Applicants, to the extent noted below, from the provisions of sections 22(d), 26(a), and 27(c)(2) of the Act. All interested persons are referred to the application on file with the Commission for a statement of the representations therein which are summarized below.

Account A is a separate account of Pilot established on April 15, 1971, as the facility for issuing certain variable annuity contracts. Under North Carolina insurance law, that portion of the assets maintained in Account A equal to the reserves and other contract liabilities with respect to the Account may not, to the extent so provided under the applicable contracts, be charged with any liability arising out of any other business conducted by Pilot. All amounts credited to Account A pursuant to variable annuity contracts will be invested in shares of JP Growth Fund, Inc., a diversified open-end investment company registered under the Act.

Pilot is a stock life insurance company organized under the laws of North Carolina. Pilot is a wholly owned subsidiary of Jefferson-Pilot Corp. organized in January 1968. Equity Sales, a wholly owned subsidiary of Jefferson-Pilot Corp., is a registered broker-dealer under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Section 22(d) of the Act provides, in pertinent part, that no registered investment company or principal underwriter thereof shall issue and sell any redeemable security to the public except at a current offering price described in the prospectus. Applicants request an exemption from section 22(d) to permit a beneficiary under a variable annuity contract participating in Account A to elect to have any death proceeds to which he is entitled applied to effect a variable annuity without a sales charge in lieu of payment in a single sum. Applicants state that the imposition of a sales load where the beneficiary elects a variable annuity option would tend in practice to eliminate the election of such option. Applicants further state that no significant selling expenses are anticipated in connection with the election of such option.

Applicants request an additional exemption from section 22(d) to permit the issuance of single payment immediate or single payment deferred individual variable annuity contracts at a reduced sales charge where the single payment consists of proceeds arising from the termination by death or maturity of a life insurance policy or fixed-dollar annuity contract issued by Pilot. In such circumstances the applicable sales charge will be one-half of the regular sales charge, which ranges from 7 percent of the payment down to 3 percent depending on the amount of the single payment. Applicants state that grant of the requested exemption will not result in disruptive distribution patterns since it is not possible for a secondary market to exist for variable annuity contracts. Applicants further state that there is no unfair discrimination resulting from the proposed reduction in sales charge inasmuch as a sales charge will have previously been included in the premiums on the Pilot insurance policies and fixed-dollar annuity contracts from which the single payments are derived.

Sections 26(a) and 27(c)(2), as here pertinent, provide in substance that a registered unit investment trust and any depositor of or underwriter for the trust are prohibited from selling periodic payment plan certificates unless the proceeds of all payments, other than the amount deducted for sales load are deposited with a qualified bank as trustee or custodian and held under an indenture or agreement containing, in substance, the provisions required by sections 26(a) (2) and (3) for trust indentures for unit investment trusts. Section 26(a)(2) requires that the trustee or custodian segregate and hold in trust all securities and cash of the trust, and places certain restrictions on charges which may be made against the trust income and corpus, and excludes from expenses which the trustee or custodian may charge against the trust any payment to the depositor or principal underwriter, other than a fee not exceeding such reasonable amount as the Commission may prescribe for performing bookkeeping and other administrative services delegated to them by the trustee or custodian. Section 26(a)(3) governs the circumstances under which the trustee or custodian may resign. As a life insurance company, Pilot may not properly place the assets of Account A in trust in the hands of another. Applicants request exemptions from sections 26(a) and 27(c)(2) to permit the net purchase payments under the Contracts allocated to the Accounts to be held by Pilot rather than providing for the deposit of such payments with a bank as custodian or trustee for holding under an agreement or indenture containing, in substance, the provisions required by sections 26(a)(2) and (3) of the Act.

In support of these requested exemptions, Applicants state that Pilot is subject to extensive supervision and control by the North Carolina insurance regulatory authorities. It files with such authorities annual statements of financial condition in the form prescribed by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners and is examined periodically as to its financial affairs by such authorities. The supervision and inspection to which Pilot is subject are applicable to Account A so as to afford protection to variable annuity contract owners and provide assurance of performance by Pilot of its obligations to such owners. All obligations under the variable annuity contracts participating in Account A are general obligations of Pilot, and Pilot may not abrogate its obligations under such contracts. The assets and surplus of Pilot provide assurance of its financial ability to meet its obligations under the variable annuity contracts participating in Account A.

Applicants have consented that the exemptions requested from sections 26(a) and 27(c)(2) may be made subject to the conditions (1) that the charges under the contracts for administrative services shall not exceed such reasonable amounts as the Commission shall prescribe, jurisdiction being reserved to the Commission for such purpose, and (2) that the payment of sums and charges out of the assets of Account A shall not be deemed to be exempted from regulation by the Commission by reason of the requested order, provided that the Applicants' consent to this condition shall not be deemed to be a concession to the Commission of authority to regulate the payments of sums and charges out of such assets other than charges for administrative services, and Applicants reserve the right in any proceeding before the Commission or in any suit or action in any court to assert that the Commission has no authority to regulate the payment of such other sums or charges.

Section 6(c) authorizes the Commission conditionally or unconditionally to exempt any person, security or transaction, or any class or classes of persons, securities, or transactions from the provisions of the Act or any rule or regulation thereunder, if and to the extent that such exemption is necessary or appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than July 21, 1971, at 5:30 p.m., submit to the Commission in writing a request for a hearing on the matter accompanied by a statement as to the nature of his interest, the reason for such request and the issues of fact or law proposed to be controverted, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission shall order a hearing thereon. Any such communication should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request shall be served personally or by mail (airmail if the persons being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon Applicants at the address stated above. Proof of service (by affidavit or in case of an attorney at law by certificate) shall be filed contemporaneously with the request. At any time after such date as provided by Rule 0-5 of the rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, an order disposing of the matter herein may be issued upon the basis of the information stated in said application, unless an order for hearing upon said application shall be issued upon request or upon the Commission's own motion. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive notice of further developments in this matter including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] THEODORE L. HUMES,
Associate Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-9688 Filed 7-8-71;8:48 am]

[Files Nos. 2-15189 (22-2587)]

PHILIP MORRIS, INC.

Notice of Application and Opportunity for Hearing

JUNE 30, 1971.

Notice is hereby given that Philip Morris, Inc. (the Company) has filed an application under clause (ii) of section 310(b)(1) of the Trust Indenture Act of 1939 (the Act) for a finding by the Commission that the trusteeship of the First National City Bank (the Bank, successor Trustee to First National City Trust Co.) under an indenture dated as of June 1, 1959, as amended and supplemented by a First Supplemental Indenture dated as of July 15, 1968 (the 1959 Indenture), which was heretofore qualified under the Act, and the trusteeship of the Bank under an indenture dated as of June 1, 1971 (the 1971 Indenture), which was not qualified under the Act, is not so likely to involve a material conflict of interest as to make it necessary in the public interest or for the protection of investors to disqualify the Bank from acting as trustee under both of the indentures.

Section 310(b) of the Act provides, in part, that if a trustee under an indenture qualified under the Act has or shall acquire any conflicting interest (as defined in the section), it shall within 90 days after ascertaining that it has such conflicting interest either eliminate such conflicting interest or resign. Subsection (1) of this section provides, with certain exemptions, that a trustee is deemed to have a conflicting interest if it is acting as trustee under another indenture of the same obligor. However, pursuant to clause (ii) of subsection (1), there may be excluded from the operation of this provision another indenture or indentures under which other securities of such obligor are outstanding, if the issuer shall have sustained the burden of proving on application to the Commission, and after opportunity for hearing

thereon, that trusteeship under a qualified indenture and another indenture is not so likely to involve a material conflict of interest as to make it necessary in the public interest or for the protection of investors to disqualify such trustee from acting as trustee under any of such indentures.

The Company alleges that:

1. It has outstanding $14,323,000 principal amount of its 4⅞ percent Sinking Fund Debentures due June 1, 1979, under the 1959 Indenture which has been qualified under the Act.

2. It has formed a wholly owned subsidiary, Philip Morris International Capital N.V. (International), a Netherlands Antilles corporation, which has issued and sold to underwriters who have offered and sold outside the United States, its territories and possessions to nonnationals and nonresidents or Canadian persons, $15 million principal amount of 8½ percent Guaranteed Sinking Fund Debentures due 1986 (the Debentures) issued under the 1971 Indenture between International, the Company, and the Bank.

3. The Debentures are guaranteed (the Guarantees) as to the payment of principal, interest, and premium, if any, by the Company and the Guarantees are endorsed on the Debentures.

4. The 1971 Indenture has not been qualified under the Act.

5. The 1959 and 1971 Indentures are wholly unsecured.

6. The obligations of the Company under the 1959 Indenture and on the Guarantees of the Debentures rank on a parity with each other, all being unsecured senior obligations of the Company.

7. The differences in the provisions of the indentures are unlikely to cause any conflict of interest between the respective trusteeships of the Bank under both indentures.

The Company waives notice of hearing and waives hearing and waives any and all rights to specify procedures under the rules and practices of the Securities and Exchange Commission with respect to the application.

For a more detailed account of the matters of fact and law asserted, all persons are referred to said application, which is a public document on file in the offices of the Commission at 500 North Capitol Street, Washington, DC 20549.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than July 28, 1971, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of law or fact raised by such application which he desires to controvert, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. At any time after said date, the Commission may issue an order granting the application, upon such terms and conditions as the Commission may deem necessary or appropriate in the public interest and the interest of investors, unless a hearing is ordered by the Commission.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporation Finance, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] THEODORE L. HUMES,
Associate Secretary.

[FR Doc.71-9685 Filed 7-8-71;8:48 am]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Notice 54]

MOTOR CARRIER, BROKER, WATER CARRIER AND FREIGHT FORWARDER APPLICATIONS

JULY 2, 1971.

The following applications are governed by Special Rule 100.247[1] of the Commission's general rules of practice (49 CFR, as amended), published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of April 20, 1966, effective May 20, 1966. These rules provide, among other things, that a protest to the granting of an application must be filed with the Commission within 30 days after date of notice of filing of the application is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Failure seasonably to file a protest will be construed as a waiver of opposition and participation in the proceeding. A protest under these rules should comply with section 247(d)(3) of the rules of practice which requires that it set forth specifically the grounds upon which it is made, contain a detailed statement of protestant's interest in the proceeding (including a copy of the specific portions of its authority which protestant believes to be in conflict with that sought in the application, and describing in detail the method—whether by joinder, interline, or other means—by which protestant would use such authority to provide all or part of the service proposed), and shall specify with particularity the facts, matters, and things relied upon, but shall not include issues or allegations phrased generally. Protests not in reasonable compliance with the requirements of the rules may be rejected. The original and one copy of the protest shall be filed with the Commission, and a copy shall be served concurrently upon applicant's representative, or applicant if no representative is named. If the protest includes a request for oral hearing, such requests shall meet the requirements of section 247(d)(4) of the special rules, and shall include the certification required therein.

Section 247(f) of the Commission's rules of practice further provides that each applicant shall, if protests to its application have been filed, and within 60 days of the date of this publication, notify the Commission in writing (1) that it is ready to proceed and prosecute the application, or (2) that it wishes to withdraw the application, failure in which the application will be dismissed by the Commission.

Further processing steps (whether modified procedure, oral hearing, or other procedures) will be determined generally in accordance with the Commission's General Policy Statement Concerning Motor Carrier Licensing Procedures, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of May 3, 1966. This assignment will be by Commission order which will be served on each party of record.

The publications hereinafter set forth reflect the scope of the applications as filed by applicants, and may include descriptions, restrictions, or limitations which are not in a form acceptable to the Commission. Authority which ultimately may be granted as a result of the applications here noticed will not necessarily reflect the phraseology set forth in the application as filed, but also will eliminate any restrictions which are not acceptable to the Commission.

No. MC 1759 (Sub-No. 27), filed June 7, 1971. Applicant: FROEHLICH TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., 31 Victory Street, Stamford, CT 06904. Applicant's representative: Reubin Kaminsky, Post Office Box 17-067, 342 North Main Street, West Hartford, CT 06117. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Pneumatic and solid tires, new*, loose or in packages and *pneumatic rubber tire tubes*, in packages, from the plantsite of Firestone Tire & Rubber Co., at or near Cranberry, N.J., to points in Connecticut and Westchester County, N.Y., with the return of *refused, rejected, and damaged shipments, and used tires*, from the above-named destination territory to the above-named point of origin. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Hartford, Conn., or New York, N.Y.

No. MC 2860 (Sub-No. 99), filed June 4, 1971. Applicant: NATIONAL FREIGHT, INC., 57 West Park Avenue, Vineland, NJ 08360. Applicant's representative: Alvin Altman, 1776 Broadway, New York, NY 10019. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Such commodities* as is dealt in by automotive retail chain stores, from Philadelphia, Pa., to Fort Worth, Dallas, and San Antonio, Tex. NOTE: Applicant states that tacking is possible but not contemplated. Persons interested in the tacking possibilities are cautioned that failure to oppose the application may result in an unrestricted grant of authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 11685 (Sub-No. 2), filed June 7, 1971. Applicant: CREST HAULAGE, INC., 76 Ninth Avenue, Bay 35, New York, NY 10011. Applicant's representative: William D. Traub, 10 East

[1] Copies of Special Rule 247 (as amended) can be obtained by writing to the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C. 20423.

40th Street, New York, NY 10016. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Shoes and handbags*, between the New York, N.Y., commercial zone as defined by the Commission, on the one hand, and, on the other, Totowa, N.J. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. Applicant further states that if the requested authority is granted, it would be willing to surrender so far as it pertinent to this proceeding, its presently-held rights to transport shoes between New York, N.Y., on the one hand, and, on the other, Totowa (Passaic County), N.J. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y.

No. MC 25798 (Sub-No. 226), filed June 10, 1971. Applicant: CLAY HYDER TRUCKING LINES, INC., 502 East Bridgers Avenue, Post Office Box 1186, Auburndale, FL 33823. Applicant's representative: Tony G. Russell (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Prepared flour mixes, frosting, and/or icing mixes*, from Chelsea, Mich., to points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Detroit, Mich., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 27754 (Sub-No. 16), filed June 1, 1971. Applicant: FRANK J. KUBLY TRANSFER, INC., 1202 18th Street, Monroe, WI 53566. Applicant's representative: Rolfe E. Hanson, 121 West Doty Street, Madison, WI 53703. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Cheese and cheese supplies*, from points in Olmsted, Goodhue, Wabasha, McLeod, and Carver Counties, Minn.; Davison and Grant Counties, S. Dak., to Monroe, Wis.; and (2) *materials and supplies* used in the manufacture and distribution of cheese, from Monroe, Wis., to points in the counties named in (1) above. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Madison or Milwaukee, Wis.

No. MC 28142 (Sub-No. 3), filed June 14, 1971. Applicant: SHANAHAN'S EXPRESS, INC., 126 Prospect Street, Merchantville, NJ 08109. Applicant's representative: Raymond A. Thistle, Jr., Suite 1012, 4 Penn Center Plaza, Philadelphia, PA 19103. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Radios, phonographs, tape recorders, televisions, citizen band equipment, stereos, Hi-Fi's, component parts of all of the foregoing, electronic test equipment, records, tapes, tools, and accessories* used in connection with said items, from the plantsite of Allied Radio Shack Division of Tandy Corp., at Delair, N.J., to points in Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, North Carolina, Virginia, New York, and the District of Columbia. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Philadelphia, Pa.

No. MC 30844 (Sub-No. 16) (Amendment), filed May 3, 1971, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of May 27, 1971, and republished as amended, this issue. Applicant: JACK COOPER TRANSPORT CO., INC., 3501 Manchester Trafficway, Kansas City, MO 63011. Applicant's representative: Warren A. Goff, 2111 Sterick Building, Memphis, Tenn. 38103. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Automobiles, trucks and buses* as described in *Description in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, in initial movements, in truckaway service, from the plantsites of General Motors Corp. in Janesville, Wis., to Kansas City, Mo., under contract with General Motors Corp. "NOTE: The initial authority here sought may be used in combination with Applicant's existing secondary authority for the transportation of vehicles moving from Janesville, Wis., to Kansas City, Mo., and subsequently reshipped by General Motors Corp. to destinations beyond Kansas City, Mo. (Applicant presently holds secondary authority between points in the States of New Mexico, Utah, Wyoming, South Dakota, Idaho, Montana, Missouri, Kansas, Nebraska, Iowa, Arkansas, Colorado, Oklahoma, and Texas.)" Common control may be involved. The purpose of this republication is to reflect the changes in the authority sought. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Kansas City, Mo., or Detroit, Mich.

No. MC 35628 (Sub-No. 318), filed June 7, 1971. Applicant: INTERSTATE MOTOR FREIGHT SYSTEM, a corporation, 134 Grandville SW., Grand Rapids, MI 49502. Applicant's representative: Leonard D. Verdier, Jr., 900 Old Kent Building, Grand Rapids, Mich. 49502. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Food, food preparations and foodstuffs* (except commodities in bulk), from Champaign, Ill., to points in Arkansas, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan (Lower Peninsula), Nebraska, Ohio, and Oklahoma. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 42261 (Sub-No. 110), filed June 10, 1971. Applicant: LANGER TRANSPORT CORP., Route 1 and Danforth Avenue, Jersey City, NJ 07303. Applicant's representative: W. C. Mitchell, 370 Lexington Avenue, New York, NY 10017. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Metal containers, container ends and accessories, materials, and equipment and supplies* used in the manufacture, sale, and distribution of containers and container ends, in mixed shipments with containers and container ends, from New York, N.Y., to Cranston, R.I., restricted against the transportation of commodities in bulk. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority can be tacked with its existing authority but indicates that it has no present intention to tack and therefore does not identify the points or territories which can be served through tacking. Persons interested in the tacking possibilities are cautioned that failure to oppose the application may result in an unrestricted grant of authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 44639 (Sub-No. 39), filed June 4, 1971. Applicant: L. & M. EXPRESS CO., INC., 220 Ridge Road, Lyndhurst, NJ 07071. Applicant's representative: Herman B. J. Weckstein, 60 Park Place, Newark, NJ 07102. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Wearing apparel and materials and supplies* used in the manufacture of wearing apparel (other than commodities in bulk), between Narrows, Va., and New York, N.Y. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority can be tacked at points in New York with presently held authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y. or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 45736 (Sub-No. 40), filed June 11, 1971. Applicant: GUIGNARD FREIGHT LINES, INC., North Highway 21, Post Office Box 26067, Charlotte, NC 28213. Applicant's representative: Edward G. Villalon, 1032 Pennsylvania Building, Pennsylvania Avenue and 13th Street NW., Washington, DC 20004. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Petroleum and petroleum products, vehicle body sealer, and sound deadening compounds*, in packages or containers, from points in Hancock County, W. Va., to points in North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, and Florida. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 51146 (Sub-No. 224), filed June 1, 1971. Applicant: SCHNEIDER TRANSPORT & STORAGE, INC., Post Office Box 2298, Green Bay, WI 54306. Applicant's representative: D. F. Martin (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Gems and toys, and advertising and promotional matter* when moving at the same time and in the same vehicle with games and toys, from City of Industry, Compton, San Gabriel, Hawthorne, Santa Ana, and South San Francisco, Calif.; and Seattle, Wash., to

points in Illinois, Iowa, Minnesota, and Wisconsin. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority could be tacked with various subs of MC 51146 and applicant will tack with its MC 51146 where feasible. Applicant has various duplicative items of authority under various subs but does not seek duplicative authority. Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Los Angeles, Calif.

No. MC 6 1592 (Sub-No. 217), filed June 7, 1971. Applicant: JENKINS TRUCK LINE, INC., 3708 Elm Street, Bettendorf, IA 52722. Applicant's representative: Donald W. Smith, 900 Circle Tower Building, Indianapolis, Ind. 46204. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Building and roofing slabs, tile and panels, and related materials, parts, supplies, and accessories*, from Cornell, Wis., to points in Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Maryland, Michigan, New Jersey, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, West Virginia, District of Columbia, Alabama, Arkansas, Connecticut, Florida, Georgia, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Maine, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Texas, Vermont, and Virginia. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 61592 (Sub-No. 218), filed June 7, 1971. Applicant: JENKINS TRUCK LINE, INC., 3708 Elm Street, Bettendorf, IA 52722. Applicant's representative: Donald W. Smith, 900 Circle Tower Building, Indianapolis, Ind. 46204. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Tractors* (except those with vehicle beds, bed frames and fifth wheels); *equipment* designed for use in conjunction with tractors; *agricultural, industrial, and construction machinery and equipment; trailers* designed for the transportation of the above-described commodities (except those trailers designed to be drawn by passenger automobiles); *attachments* for the above-described commodities; *internal combustion engines, and parts of the above-described commodities;* (a) from the plants, warehouse sites, and experimental farms of Deere & Co. in Rock Island County, Ill., to points in Michigan (Upper Peninsula), Minnesota, and Wisconsin; and (b) from the plants, warehouse sites, and experimental farms of Deere & Co. in Polk and Wapello Counties, Iowa, to points in Michigan (Upper Peninsula) and Wisconsin; and (2) *returned shipments of the above-specified commodities*, restricted in (1) above to the transportation of traffic originating at the plantsites, warehouse sites, and experimental farms of Deere & Co. named, and in (2) above to the transportation of traffic destined to said facilities. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 61592 (Sub-No. 219), filed June 9, 1971. Applicant: JENKINS TRUCK LINE, INC., 3708 Elm Street, Bettendorf, IA 52777. Applicant's representative: Jack Davis, 1100 IBM Building, Seattle, Wash. 98107. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Lumber and lumber products, plywood, particle board, wallboard, composition board, molding, and doors*, from points in Washington, Oregon, California, Nevada, Idaho, Utah, Montana, Wyoming, and Colorado, to points in the United States (except Texas, Louisiana, Arkansas, Oklahoma, Hawaii, and Alaska). NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Seattle, Wash.

No. MC 61825 (Sub-No. 39), filed June 2, 1971. Applicant: ROY STONE TRANSFER CORPORATION, V. C. Drive, Collinsville, VA 24078. Applicant's representative: George S. Hales, Post Office Box 872, Martinsville, VA 24112. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Glass*, from Clarksburg, W. Va., Cumberland, Md., Jeannette, Pa., and Fredericktown and Mount Vernon, Ohio, to Gallatin, Tenn., and points in Tennessee located on and east of U.S. Highway 231 and points in Georgia. NOTE: Common control may be involved. Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 62362 (Sub-No. 4), filed May 26, 1971. Applicant: ROYAL F. LYON, doing business as LYON TRANSFER, 1366 32d Avenue, Box 131, Columbus, NE 68601. Applicant's representative: J. Max Harding, 605 South 14th Street, Post Office Box 82028, Lincoln, NE 68501. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and commodities requiring special equipment); (a) between Green Island and Genoa, Nebr., over U.S. Highway 30 to Central City, thence over Nebraska Highway 14 to Fullerton, thence over Nebraska Highway 22 to Genoa, and return over the same route, serving the intermediate point of Fullerton, Nebr.; and (b) serving the off-route point of Greely, Nebr., in connection with applicant's regular route between Albion, Spalding, and Cedar Rapids, Nebr. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Omaha or Lincoln, Nebr.

No. MC 67450 (Sub-No. 40), filed June 3, 1971. Applicant: PETERLIN CARTAGE CO., a corporation, 9651 South Ewing Avenue, Chicago, IL 60617. Applicant's representative: Joseph M. Scanlan, 111 West Washington Street, Chicago, IL 60602. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Soybean products and blends*, from Cedar Rapids, Iowa, to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii). NOTE: Common control may be involved. Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 74321 (Sub-No. 49), filed June 7, 1971. Applicant: B. F. WALKER, INC., 650 17th Street, Denver, CO 80202. Applicant's representatives: Richard P. Kissinger (same address as above) and Jerry C. Prestridge, Post Office Box 1148, Austin, TX 78767. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cooling towers and fluid coolers, parts thereof, and materials and supplies* used or useful in the construction of cooling towers and fluid coolers, from points in San Joaquin County, Calif., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii). NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Denver, Colo.

No. MC 77340 (Sub-No. 4), filed June 7, 1971. Applicant: E. J. DICKIE TRUCKING COMPANY, a corporation, Box 265, Bagdad, AZ 83621. Applicant's representative: Richard Minne, 609 Luhrs Building, Phoenix, AZ 85003. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Copper precipitates*, in bulk, from the plantsite of Shield Development Co., Ltd., near Milford, Utah, to Bagdad, Ariz., under contract with Bagdad Copper Corp. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Phoenix, Ariz.

No. MC 82063 (Sub-No. 34), filed June 7, 1971. Applicant: KLIPSCH HAULING CO., a corporation, 119 East Loughborough, St. Louis, MO 63111. Applicant's representative: Ernest A. Brooks II, 1301 Ambassador Building, St. Louis, MO 63101. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Liquid fertilizer*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Birds Point, Mo., and points in Tennessee; and (2) *liquid sulphurs trioxide* (sulfant), in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Fairmont City, Ill., to points in Alabama, Colorado, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Michigan, Mississippi, Missouri, Ohio, South Carolina, and Wisconsin. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with

its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or New York, N.Y.

No. MC 82079 (Sub-No. 24), filed June 4, 1971. Applicant: KELLER TRANSFER LINE, INC., 1239 Randolph Avenue SW., Grand Rapids, MI 49507. Applicant's representative: J. M. Neath, Jr., 900 One Vandenberg Center, Grand Rapids, MI 49502. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Food, food preparations, and foodstuffs* (except in bulk, in tank vehicles), from Champaign, Ill., to points in Ohio and Michigan; and (2) *returned, rejected, and damaged merchandise*, on return, restricted to the transportation of traffic originating in Champaign, Ill. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., Chicago, Ill., or Lansing, Mich.

No. MC 95540 (Sub-No. 811), filed June 7, 1971. Applicant: WATKINS MOTOR LINES, INC., 1120 West Griffin Road, Lakeland, FL 33801. Applicant's representative: Paul E. Weaver, same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meat, meat products, and meat byproducts* as described in sections A, B, and C of appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carriers Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except commodities in bulk, hides, and skins); (a) from Beardstown, Ill.; Downs, Kans.; St. Joseph and Phelphs City, Mo.; Darr, Lexington, and Minden, Nebr.; Sioux Falls and Madison, S. Dak.; Madison, Wis.; and points in Louisiana and Mississippi; (b) from Omaha, Nebr., to points in Louisiana; and (c) from Sioux Falls and Madison, S. Dak.; Madison, Wis.; and Austin, Minn.; to points in Florida. NOTE: Applicant states it presently holds the authority described in (a), (b), and (c) above by tacking portions of its existing authority at Humbolt or Union City, Tex., and the purpose of the instant application is to eliminate these tacking points on traffic applicant is already handling via somewhat a circuitous route and handle these shipments on a direct basis. Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 100666 (Sub-No. 189), filed May 24, 1971. Applicant: MELTON TRUCK LINES, INC., Post Office Box 7666, 1129 Grimmett Drive, Shreveport, LA 71107. Applicant's representative: Wm. L. Williamson, 280 National Foundation Life Center, 3535 Northwest 58th, Oklahoma City, OK 73112, and Paul Caplinger (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Urethane, urethane products, roofing and roofing materials, insulating materials, composition board, and gypsum products and materials used in the installation thereof*, from the plantsite and warehouse facilities of the Celotex Corp. at or near Charleston, Ill., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Colorado, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Oklahoma, Mississippi, Missouri, New Mexico, Tennessee, and Texas. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 102616 (Sub-No. 860), filed June 9, 1971. Applicant: COASTAL TANK LINES, INC., Post Office Box 7211, 215 East Waterloo Road, Akron, OH 44319. Applicant's representative: Harold G. Hernly, Jr., 2030 North Adams Street, Suite 510, Arlington, VA 22201. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Petroleum products*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Henderson, Ky., to points in Indiana on and south of U.S. Highway 40. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Indianapolis, Ind.

No. MC 103498 (Sub-No. 20), filed June 1, 1971. Applicant: W. D. SMITH TRUCK LINE, INC., Post Office Box 68, De Queen, AR. Applicant's representative: Louis Tarlowski, 914 Pyramid Life Building, Little Rock, Ark. 72201. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Plywood*, from the plantsite and storage facilities of Woodard-Walker and Williamette at or near Minden, La., Santiam Southern Co. at or near Rouston, La., and Louisiana Plywood Corp. at or near Hunt, La., to points in Arkansas, Missouri, Iowa, Nebraska, Kansas, Oklahoma, Texas, Colorado, New Mexico, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Tennessee, Mississippi, Alabama, and Georgia. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Little Rock, Ark.

No. MC 105463 (Sub-No. 7), filed June 10, 1971. Applicant: C. E. HORNBACK, INC., Post Office Box 176, Tama, IA 52339. Applicant's representative: William L. Fairbanks, 900 Hubbell Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50309. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Paper boxes*, from Duluth, Minn., to Des Moines, Eldora, and Madrid, Iowa, under continuing contract with Van Vick Paper Box Co. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 106398 (Sub-No. 526) (Amendment), filed January 25, 1971, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, issue of February 25, 1971, and republished, as amended, this issue. Applicant: NATIONAL TRAILER CONVOY, INC., 1925 National Plaza, Tulsa, Okla. 74151. Applicant's representatives: Irvin Tull (same address as applicant) and Leonard A. Jaskiewicz, 1730 M Street NW., Washington, DC 20036. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Buildings, complete, knocked down, or in sections;* (2) *building sections;* (3) *building panels;* (4) *parts and accessories* used in the installation and completion of commodities described in (1), (2), and (3) above; and (5) *metal prefabricated structural components, and panels, and accessories* used in the installation and completion thereof, from points in Fayette County, Ohio, to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii). NOTE: The purpose of this republication is to amend the commodity description, specifically part (5) above. Common control and dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Columbus or Dayton, Ohio.

No. MC 107012 (Sub-No. 116), filed June 3, 1971. Applicant: NORTH AMERICAN VAN LINES, INC., Lincoln Highway East and Meyer Road, Post Office Box 988, Fort Wayne, IN 46801. Applicant's representatives: Martin A. Weissert and Terry G. Fewell (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *New furniture, and commercial and institutional fixtures*, from points in Clay and Greene Counties, Ark., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii). NOTE: Common control and dual operations may be involved. Applicant states that a limited amount of its existing authority under MC 107012 (Sub-No. 75) could be joined at Greene County, Ark., with the requested authority. Applicant further states the primary purpose of this application, however, is to provide direct service from and to the points and for the commodity description named in this application. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., Indianapolis, Ind., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 107012 (Sub-No. 117), filed June 9, 1971. Applicant: NORTH AMERICAN VAN LINES, INC., Post Office Box 988, Lincoln Highway East and Meyer Road, Fort Wayne, IN 46801. Applicant's representative: Donald C. Lewis, Post Office Box 988, Fort Wayne, IN 46801. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *New furniture*, from Burlington, Iowa, to points in Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Alabama, Tennessee, Kentucky, Mississippi, Louisiana, Iowa, Minnesota, Texas, Oklahoma, Kansas, Nebraska, South Dakota, North Dakota, Montana, Wyoming, Colorado, New Mexico, Arizona, Utah, Idaho, Washington, Oregon, Nevada, and California. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with presently held authority at Burlington, Iowa. Common control and dual operations may be involved. If a hearing

is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 107227 (Sub-No. 121), filed June 1, 1971. Applicant: INSURED TRANSPORTERS, INC., 1944 Willimas Street, San Leandro, CA 94577. Applicant's representative: John G. Lyons, 1418 Mills Tower, San Francisco, CA 94104. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Motor vehicles* (except passenger automobiles) and chassis, in initial movements, in driveaway service, and *bodies, cabs, and parts and accessories* for such vehicles, when moving in connection therewith, from Kansas City, Mo., to points in Arizona, California, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Washington, and Utah. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at San Francisco, Calif.

No. MC 107515 (Sub-No. 760), filed June 11, 1971. Applicant: REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT CO., INC., Post Office Box 308, Forest Park, GA 30050. Applicant's representative: Paul M. Daniell, Post Office Box 872, Atlanta, GA 30301. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Rugs, carpet and tufted textile products*, from La Grange and Columbus, Ga., to points in Florida, Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, Texas, and New Mexico. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 108298 (Sub-No. 32), filed June 1, 1971. Applicant: ELLIS TRUCKING CO., INC., 1205 South Platte River Drive, Denver, CO 80223. Applicant's representative: John T. Coon (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Building materials* as described in appendix VI to *Descriptions of Motor Carrier Certificates*, between West Branch, Mich., and points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii). NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Denver, Colo.

No. MC 109448 (Sub-No. 14), filed June 10, 1971. Applicant: PARKER TRANSFER COMPANY, a corporation, Telegraph Road, Elyria, OH 44035. Applicant's representative: J. A. Kundtz, 1100 National City Bank Building, Cleveland, OH 44114. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Furnace tubes and furnace parts*, from Erie, Pa., to Elyria, Ohio. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. No duplicating authority is sought. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant request it be held at Cleveland, Ohio, or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 110988 (Sub-No. 270), filed June 7, 1971. Applicant: SCHNEIDER TANK LINES, INC., 200 West Cecil Street, Neenah, WI 54956. Applicant's representative: David A. Petersen (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Clay and clay slurry*, in bulk, from points in Georgia, to points in Michigan, Minnesota, and Wisconsin. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. Common control may be involved: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill., or Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 110988 (Sub-No. 271), filed June 8, 1971. Applicant: SCHNEIDER TANK LINES, INC., 200 West Cecil Street, Neenah, WI 54956. Applicant's representatives: David A. Petersen, 200 West Cecil Street, Neenah, WI 54956, and E. Stephen Heisley, 666 11th St. NW., Washington, DC 20001. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid plastic and latex*, in bulk, from Midland, Mich., to Neenah, and Rhinelander, Wis. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Milwaukee, Wis., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 110988 (Sub-No. 272), filed June 9, 1971. Applicant: SCHNEIDER TANK LINES, INC., 200 West Cecil Street, Neenah, WI 54956. Applicant's representative: David A. Petersen (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Spent carbon*, in bulk, from points in Michigan, Indiana, Illinois, and Ohio, to points in Illinois and New York. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 110988 (Sub-No. 273), filed June 9, 1971. Applicant: SCHNEIDER TANK LINES, INC., 200 West Cecil Street, Neenah, WI 54956. Applicant's representative: David A. Peterson (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from plantsite and warehouse facilities of Economics Laboratory, Inc., at or near Joliet, Ill., to points in Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, New York, New Jersey, Ohio, Oklahoma, Tennessee, Texas, and Wisconsin. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 111045 (Sub-No. 82), filed June 1, 1971. Applicant: REDWING CARRIERS, INC., Post Office Box 426, Tampa, FL 33601. Applicant's representative: J. V. McCoy (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Petroleum, petroleum products, and petroleum byproducts*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from points in Duval County, Fla., to points in Georgia. NOTE: Common control may be involved. Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Jacksonville, Fla., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 111812 (Sub-No. 425), filed June 9, 1971. Applicant: MIDWEST COAST TRANSPORT, INC., 405½ East Eighth Street, Post Office Box 1233, Sioux Falls, SD 57101. Applicant's representative: Donald L. Stern, 530 Univac Building, 7100 West Center Road, Omaha, NE 68106. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Food, food preparations, and foodstuffs* (except in bulk, in tank vehicles), from Champaign, Ill., to points in Idaho, Iowa, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota, Wyoming, West Virginia, and points in New York, Pennsylvania, and Maryland on and west of Interstate Highway 81 and Allentown, Pa. NOTE: Applicant states it could tack with various existing authorities at both origin and destination, however, tacking is not intended. Persons interested in the tacking possibilities are cautioned that failure to oppose the application may result in an unrestricted grant of authority. Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant does not specify a location.

No. MC 112049 (Sub-No. 17), filed June 7, 1971. Applicant: McBRIDE'S EXPRESS, INC., 1901 Wabash Avenue, Mattoon, IL 61938. Applicant's representative: R. W. Burgess, 8514 Midland Boulevard, St. Louis, MO 63114. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Food, food preparations, and foodstuffs* (except in bulk, in tank vehicles) from Champaign, Ill., to points in Missouri, Iowa, Nebraska, South Dakota, North Dakota, Indiana, Michigan, Kentucky, Ohio, and points in New York, Pennsylvania, and Maryland on and west of Interstate Highway 81 and Allentown, Pa. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 112822 (Sub-No. 205), filed June 10, 1971. Applicant: BRAY LINES INCORPORATED, 1401 North Little, Post Office Box 1191, Cushing, OK 74023. Applicant's representative: Thos. Lee Allman, Jr. (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier, by* motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Roofing and roofing products, and materials, supplies, and equipment used in the installation*

thereof, from Dallas-Fort Worth, Tex., commercial zone as defined by the Commission, to points in Arkansas, Kansas, Louisiana, Missouri, New Mexico, and Oklahoma. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority can be tacked with its existing authority but indicates that it has no *present* intention to tack and therefore does not identify the points or territories which can be served through tacking. Persons interested in the tacking possibilities are cautioned that failure to oppose the application may result in an unrestricted grant of authority. Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Dallas, Tex., or Oklahoma City, Okla.

No. MC 113267 (Sub-No. 269), filed June 1, 1971. Applicant: CENTRAL & SOUTHERN TRUCK LINES, INC., 312 West Morris Street, Caseyville, IL 62232. Applicant's representative: Lawrence A. Fischer (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat byproducts and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in sections A and C of appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from Ottumwa, Iowa, to points in Virginia and West Virginia, restricted to traffic originating at the plantsite and warehouse facilities used by John Morrell & Co., Ottumwa, Iowa. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at St. Louis, Mo., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 113362 (Sub-No. 216) (Correction), filed May 26, 1971, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of June 17, 1971, and republished in part, as corrected, this issue. Applicant: ELLSWORTH FREIGHT LINES, INC., 310 East Broadway, Eagle Grove, IA 50533. Applicant's representative: James Ellsworth, 4500 North State Line Road, Texarkana, AR 75501. NOTE: The sole purpose of this partial republication is to reflect applicant's representative correct address, inadvertently shown in error in previous publication. The rest of the application remains as previously published.

No. MC 113362 (Sub-No. 218), filed June 8, 1971. Applicant: ELLSWORTH FREIGHT LINES, INC., 310 East Broadway, Eagle Grove, IA 50533. Applicant's representative: Milton D. Adams, 1105½ Eighth Avenue NE., Box 562, Austin, MN 55912. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foods, foodstuffs, and food products* (except commodities in bulk), from St. James, Madelia, Butterfield, Minn., and Estherville, Iowa, to points in Connecticut, District of Columbia, Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wisconsin, on and south of U.S. Highway 18. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 113855 (Sub-No. 243), filed June 10, 1971. Applicant: INTERNATIONAL TRANSPORT, INC., 2450 Marion Road SE., Rochester, MN 55901. Applicant's representative: Alan Foss, 502 First National Bank Building, Fargo, N. Dak. 58102. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Tractors* (except those with vehicle beds, bed frames, and fifth wheels); (2) *equipment* designed for use in conjunction with tractors; (3) *agricultural, industrial, and construction machinery, and equipment;* (4) *trailers* designed for the transportation of the above-described commodities (except those trailers designed to be drawn by passenger automobiles); (5) *attachments* for the above-described commodities; (6) *internal combustion engines;* and (7) *parts* of the above-described commodities when moving in mixed loads with such commodities; (8) *materials, equipment, and supplies* used in the manufacture and distributing of the commodities described in (1) through (7) above, from Grand Island, Nebr., to points in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah, Washington, Wyoming, and to ports of entry on the United States-Canada boundary line located in North Dakota and Minnesota. Restriction: The authority sought above is restricted to traffic originating at Grand Island, Nebr., and movements in interstate commerce are restricted to traffic destined to points in the above-named States. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 113861 (Sub-No. 51), filed June 1, 1971. Applicant: WOOTEN TRANSPORTS, INC., 153 Gaston Avenue, Memphis, TN 38106. Applicant's representative: James N. Clay III, 2700 Sterick Building, Memphis, Tenn. 38103. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Asphalt and asphalt products*, from Memphis, Tenn., to points in Missouri. NOTE: Applicant states it could tack with its Sub-No. 1 at West Memphis, Ark., to points in Missouri. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Memphis, Tenn.

No. MC 114533 (Sub-No. 230), filed June 4, 1971. Applicant: BANKERS DISPATCH CORPORATION, 4970 South Archer Avenue, Chicago, IL 60632. Applicant's representatives: Warren W. Wallin, 330 South Jefferson Street, Chicago, IL 60606, and Arnold Burke, 2220 Brunswick Building, 69 W. Washington Boulevard, Chicago, IL 60602. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Audit media and other business records*, between Flint, Mich., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Cook (except Chicago), Lake, McHenry, Kane, Du Page, Kendall, and Will Counties, Ill. NOTE: Applicant holds contract carrier authority under Docket No. MC 128616, therefore, dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Detroit, Mich., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 115311 (Sub-No. 122), filed June 11, 1971. Applicant: J & M TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., Post Office Box 488, Milledgeville, GA 31061. Applicant's representative: Paul M. Daniell, Post Office Box 872, Atlanta, GA 30301. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cement and lime*, from Atlanta, Ga., to points in South Carolina, North Carolina, Tennessee, Alabama, and Florida. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 115826 (Sub-No. 217) (Amendment), filed May 12, 1971, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of June 4, 1971, and republished as amended, this issue. Applicant: W. J. DIGBY, INC., 1960 31st Street, Post Office Box 5088 T.A., Denver, CO 80217. Applicant's representative: Robert R. Digby, 217 Luhrs Tower, Phoenix, Ariz. 85003. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs*, requiring refrigeration, from Lafayette, Ind., to points in Indiana (south of U.S. Highway 36), Illinois, Iowa, Colorado, Kansas, and Nebraska. NOTE: Applicant states it can tack the requested authority with its existing authority, but applicant does not intend to do so, and therefore does not identify the points or territories which can be served through tacking. Persons interested in the tacking possibilities are cautioned that failure to oppose the application may result in an unrestricted grant of authority. The purpose of this republication is to reflect the change in the tacking information. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Denver, Colo.-Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 116273 (Sub-No. 142), filed June 4, 1971. Applicant: D & L TRANSPORT, INC., 3800 South Laramie Avenue, Cicero, IL. Applicant's representative: William R. Lavery (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid fertilizer*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Rochelle, Ill., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Michigan, Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wisconsin. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority can be tacked with its existing authority, but indicates that it has no present intention to tack, and

therefore, does not identify the territories which can be served. Persons interested in the tacking possibilities are cautioned that failure to oppose the application may result in an unrestricted grant of authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 116763 (Sub-No. 195), filed June 1, 1971. Applicant: CARL SUBLER TRUCKING, INC., North West Street, Versailles, OH 45380, 906 Magnolia Avenue, Auburndale, FL 33823. Applicant's representative: H. M. Richters, North West Street, Versailles, OH 45380. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Prepared animal food* (except in bulk), from the plant-site and warehouse facilities of Lipton Pet Foods, Inc., at or near Golden Meadow, Lockport, and New Orleans, La., to points in Alabama, Georgia, Florida, North Carolina, South Carolina, Virginia, Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, and Ohio. NOTE: Applicant states tacking possibilities may exist, but does not intend to tack, therefore does not identify the points or territories which can be served through tacking. Persons interested in the tacking possibilities are cautioned that failure to oppose the application may result in an unrestricted grant of authority. No duplicating authority is being sought. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New Orleans, La.

No. MC 116763 (Sub-No. 197), filed June 7, 1971. Applicant: CARL SUBLER TRUCKING, INC., North West Street, Versailles, OH 45380, also 906 Magnolia Avenue, Auburndale, FL 33823. Applicant's representative: H. M. Richters, North West Street, Versailles, OH 45380. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Food, food preparations, and foodstuffs* (except in bulk, in tank vehicles), from Champaign, Ill., to points in Iowa, Indiana, Kentucky, Maryland (except points east of Interstate Highway 81), Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, New York (except points east of Interstate Highway 81), Ohio, Pennsylvania (except points east of Interstate Highway 81), West Virginia, and Wisconsin. NOTE: Applicant states tacking possibilities may exist, but does not intend to tack, therefore does not identify the points or territories which can be served through tacking. Persons interested in the tacking possibilities are cautioned that failure to oppose the application may result in an unrestricted grant of authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 116763 (Sub-No. 198), filed June 11, 1971. Applicant: CARL SUBLER TRUCKING, INC., 115 North West Street, Versailles, OH 45380. Applicant's representative: H. M. Richters (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Prepared and preserved foodstuffs* (except frozen and commodities in bulk), from Cade and Lozes, La., to points in Alabama, Connecticut, Delaware, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Vermont, Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia. Restriction: Restricted to traffic originating at Cade and Lozes, La. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New Orleans, La.

No. MC 117465 (Sub-No. 17), filed June 4, 1971. Applicant: BEAVER EXPRESS SERVICE, INC., doing business as BEAVER EXPRESS, Post Office Box 151, Woodward, OK 73801. Applicant's representative: Max G. Morgan, 600 Leininger Building, Oklahoma City, OK 73112. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), moving in express service (Route 1) between Amarillo, Tex., and Portales, N. Mex., from Amarillo, Tex., over U.S. Highway 66 (also Interstate Highway 40) to Tucumcari, N. Mex., thence via New Mexico Highway 18, to its junction with New Mexico Highway 88; thence via New Mexico Highway 88 to Portales and return over the same route serving all intermediate points; (Route 2) between Portales N. Mex., and Amarillo, Tex., from Portales, N. Mex., over U.S. Highway 70 to its junction with U.S. Highway 70 at Clovis, thence over U.S. Highway 60 to Amarillo, Tex., and return over the same route serving all intermediate points; (Route 3) between Dalhart, Tex., and Clayton, N. Mex., from Dalhart over U.S. Highway 87 to Clayton, N. Mex., and return over the same route serving all intermediate points; and (Route 4) between Boise City, Okla., and Clayton, N. Mex., from Boise City, Okla., over U.S. Highway 64 to Clayton, N. Mex., and return over the same route serving no intermediate points, as an alternate route for operating convenience only. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Amarillo, Tex.

No. MC 117940 (Sub-No. 51), filed June 4, 1971. Applicant: NATIONWIDE CARRIERS, INC., Post Office Box 104, Maple Plain, MN 55359. Applicant's representative: Donald L. Stern, 530 Univac Building, Omaha, Nebr. 68106. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Canned and packaged animal and pet food, and canned and packaged foodstuffs*, from Siloam Springs and Gentry, Ark., and from the plant-site of Allen Canning Co. approximately 10 miles northeast of Siloam Springs, Ark., and from Proctor and Kansas, Okla., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, New Jersey, New York, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Texas, Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. Applicant holds contract carrier authority under MC 114789 and Subs thereunder, therefore, dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Dallas, Tex.; Kansas City, Kans.; or New Orleans, La.

No. MC 117940 (Sub-No. 52), filed June 7, 1971. Applicant: NATIONWIDE CARRIERS, INC., Post Office Box 104, Maple Plain, MN 55359. Applicant's representative: Donald L. Stern, 530 Univac Building, Omaha, NE 68106. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, and meat byproducts and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in sections A and C of appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the plant/warehouse facilities of Needham Packing Co., Inc., located at Fargo, West Fargo, N. Dak., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Rhode Island, Vermont, West Virginia, Virginia, and the District of Columbia. Note: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. Applicant holds contract carrier authority under MC 114789 and Subs, therefore, dual operations may be involved. If hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Dallas, Tex.; Kansas City, Kans., or New Orleans, La.

No. MC 118570 (Sub-No. 4), filed May 24, 1971. Applicant DEFAZIO EXPRESS, INC., 1028 Springbrook Avenue, Moosic, PA 18507. Applicant's representative: Christian V. Graf, 407 North Front Street, Harrisburg, PA 17101. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Coconut oil, coconut oil products, lard substitutes or compounds, cooking oils, glycerine, sterine, toilet preparations, soap, soap powder, soap products, premiums and advertising matter* pertaining to these products; *groceries*, from the plantsites, storage facilities, and warehouses of the Procter & Gamble Distributing Co., or the Procter & Gamble Manufacturing Co., in the counties of Bronx, Kings, Nassau, New York, Queens, Richmond (except Clifton and Port Ivory), Rockland, and Westchester, N.Y., and the counties of Bergen, Essex (except Port Newark), Hudson (except Kearny), Middlesex, Morris, Passaic, Somerset, and Union, N.J., to points in the counties of Berks, Carbon, Columbia, Lackawanna, Lehigh, Luzerne, Monroe, Montour, Northampton, Northumberland, Pike, Schuylkill, Snyder, Susquehanna, Union, Wayne, and Wyoming, Pa., and those in Sussex, Warren, and Hunterdon Counties, N.J. Restriction: The operations authorized under the commodity description immediately above are limited

to a transportation service to be performed under a continuing contract or contracts with the Procter & Gamble Distributing Co. NOTE: Applicant holds common carrier authority under MC 44302 and subs, therefore, dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Harrisburg, Pa.

No. MC 119302 (Sub-No. 14), filed June 1, 1971. Applicant: MILLER TRANSFER AND RIGGING CO., a corporation, Post Office Box 6077, Edinburgh, Ohio, Akron, Ohio 44312. Applicant's representative: A. David Millner, 744 Broad Street, Newark, NJ 07102. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Copper sheets*, in coils, from the plantsite of Olin Corp. at East Alton, Ill., to the plantsites at Chase Brass & Copper Co., Inc., at Cleveland, Ohio, limited to a transportation service to be performed under a continuing contract or contracts with Chase Brass & Copper Co., Inc., Cleveland, Ohio. NOTE: Applicant holds common carrier authority under MC 87103 and subs, therefore, common control and dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Cleveland, Ohio, or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 119493 (Sub-No. 73), filed June 9, 1971. Applicant: NONKEM COMPANY, INC., West 20th Street Road, Post Office Box 1196, Joplin, MO 64801. Applicant's representative: Ray F. Kempt, Post Office Box 1196, Joplin, MO 64801. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Plastic containers*, from Atlanta, Ga., and Little Rock, Ark., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida (on and west of U.S. Highway 231), Kansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, Oklahoma, Tennessee (on and west of U.S. Highway 41), and Texas (on and east of U.S. Highways 283 and 277). NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Little Rock, Ark.

No. MC 119493 (Sub-No. 74), filed June 9, 1971. Applicant: MONKEM COMPANY, INC., West 20th Street Road, Post Office Box 1196, Joplin, MO 64801. Applicant's representative: Ray F. Kempt, Post Office Box 1196, Joplin, MO 64801. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Petroleum products*, in packages or containers (except liquid in bulk, in tank vehicles) from the plantsites and storage facilities of Gulf Oil Co.-U.S. at Beaumont, Tex. (which includes Orange, and West Port Arthur and Houston, Tex., to points in Arkansas, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, Oklahoma, South Dakota, and Wisconsin; (2) *fertilizer and fertilizer materials*, dry, in bulk, or in packages; insecticides, fungicides, and herbicides, in packages or containers (except liquid in bulk), also in mixed shipments with manufactured fertilizer and fertilizer materials, from points on the Arkansas River and Verdigris River in Oklahoma to points in Arkansas, Colorado, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Texas, and Wisconsin; and (3) *weed killing compounds* (herbicides) in packages, from Military, Kans., to points in Idaho, Minnesota, Montana, North Dakota, and Washington. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Kansas City, Mo.

No. MC 119531 (Sub-No. 152), filed June 7, 1971. Applicant: DIECKBRADER EXPRESS, INC., 5391 Wooster Road, Cincinnati, OH 45226. Applicant's representative: Charles W. Singer, 33 North Dearborn Street, Suite 1625, Chicago, IL 60602. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Materials and supplies used in the manufacture, sale, and distribution of meat products* (except material and supplies requiring temperature control), from points in Illinois, Michigan, Ohio, and Wisconsin, to Fort Wayne, Ind., Fremont, Ohio, and Kalamazoo, Mich. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. Applicant further states no duplicating authority sought. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill., or Fort Wayne, Ind.

No. MC 119619 (Sub-No. 56), filed June 10, 1971. Applicant: DISTRIBUTORS SERVICE CO., a corporation, 2000 West 43d Street, Chicago, IL 60609. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Piken, One Lefrak City Plaza, Flushing, NY 11368. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meat, meat products, meat byproducts, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses* as described in sections A and C of appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the plantsites and facilities of Swift & Co., at or near St. Charles, Ill., to points in Indiana, Ohio, Michigan, Pennsylvania, New York, Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Rhode Island, Massachusetts, Connecticut, New Jersey, Maryland, Delaware, District of Columbia, Virginia, West Virginia, and Louisville, Ky., restricted to traffic originating at the plantsites and facilities of Swift & Co. at or near St. Charles, Ill. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 119619 (Sub-No. 57), filed June 10, 1971. Applicant: DISTRIBUTORS SERVICE CO., a corporation, 2000 West 43d Street, Chicago, IL 60609. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Piken, One Lefrak City Plaza, Flushing, N.Y. 11368. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Food, food preparations, and foodstuffs* (except in bulk, in tank vehicles), from Champaign, Ill., to points in Indiana, Michigan, Ohio, West Virginia, Kentucky, Wisconsin, Minnesota, Nebraska, Iowa, and Missouri; and points in New York, Pennsylvania, and Maryland on and west on Interstate Highway 81 and Allentown, Pa. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 119641 (Sub-No. 101), filed June 3, 1971. Applicant: RINGLE EXPRESS, INC., 450 East Ninth Street, Fowler, IN 47944. Applicant's representative: Robert C. Smith, 711 Chamber of Commerce Building, Indianapolis, Ind. 46204. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Iron and steel articles*, from the plantsites and warehouse facilities of Republic Steel Corp., located at or near Niles, Warren, Youngstown, Canton, Massillon, and Cleveland, Ohio, to points in Iowa and Missouri, restricted to traffic originating at the plant and warehouse facilities of Republic Steel Corp., as set forth above and destined to points in Iowa and Missouri. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 119767 (Sub-No. 272), filed June 7, 1971. Applicant: BEAVER TRANSPORT CO., a corporation, I-94 and County Highway C, Bristol, WI. Applicant's representative: Allan B. Torhorst, Post Office Box 307, Burlington, WI 53105. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Food, food preparations, and foodstuffs* (except in bulk, in tank vehicles), from Champaign, Ill., to points in Iowa, Minnesota, Missouri, North Dakota, South Dakota, Wisconsin, and points in Nebraska on and west of U.S. Highway 183. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 119767 (Sub-No. 273), filed June 7, 1971. Applicant: BEAVER TRANSPORT CO., a corporation, Post Office Box 188, Pleasant Prairie, WI 53158. Applicant's representative: Allan B. Torhorst, Post Office Box 307, Burlington, WI 53105. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs*, from Ortonville, Minn., to points in Ohio, Illinois, Missouri, Indiana, Michigan, and Iowa. NOTE: Common control may be involved. Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 119789 (Sub-No. 68), filed June 1, 1971. Applicant: CARAVAN REFRIGERATED CARGO, INC., Post Office Box 6188, Dallas, TX 75222. Applicant's representative: James T. Moore (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Canned goods*, from Nottingham, Kelton, Westgrove, and Kennett Square, Pa., to points in California, Arizona, Texas, Louisiana, Kansas, Tennessee, Illinois, Mississippi, New Mexico, Arkansas, Utah, and Nevada. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Philadelphia, Pa., Dallas, Tex., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 119815 (Sub-No. 10), filed June 4, 1971. Applicant: INTERSTATE HIGHWAY EXPRESS, INC., 814 Norton Avenue, Bedford, IN 47421. Applicant's representative: Walter F. Jones, Jr., Chamber of Commerce Building, Indianapolis, Ind. 46204. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Fabricated structural steel*, from Bedford, Ind., to points in Kentucky, Ohio, Illinois, and Michigan; and (2) *overhead cranes*, from Bedford Ind., to points in Illinois, Wisconsin, Iowa, Missouri, Kentucky, Ohio, Michigan, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, and Alabama, under contract with Indiana Steel & Engr. Corp., Bedford, Ind. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Indianapolis, Ind.

No. MC 123565 (Sub-No. 2), filed June 16, 1971. Applicant: HAYNES, INC., 4151 Federal Way, Post Office Box 101, Boise, ID 83701. Applicant's representative: Kenneth G. Bergquist, Post Office Box 1775, Boise, ID 83701. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods and dry vegetable products*, between Ontario, Oreg., and Weiser, Buckingham, Nampa, Boise, Burley, and Borah, Idaho, restricted to shipments originating at or destined to plantsites or warehouse facilities of Ore-Ida Foods, Inc. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Boise, Idaho.

No. MC 123634 (Sub-No. 9), filed June 10, 1971. Applicant: K. N. DISTRIBUTORS, INC., 360 Park Avenue South, New York, NY 10010. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Piken, One Lefrak City Plaza, Flushing, NY 11368. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General department store merchandise*, from trailer or flat car facilities at Elizabethport and Jersey City, N.J., to the warehouse and storage facilities and storage locations of S. Klein Department Stores, Inc., its subsidiaries and concessionnaires, located at East Farmingdale, Long Island, N.Y., restricted to traffic having a prior movement by rail, under contract with S. Klein Department Stores, Inc., and its subsidiaries and affiliate corporations and concessionnaires. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y.

No. MC 124070 (Sub-No. 24), filed June 8, 1971. Applicant: CHEMICAL HAULERS, INC., Post Office Box 2038, Hammond, IN 46323. Applicant's representative: Chester A. Zyblut, 1522 K Street NW., Washington, DC. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Spent silica gel cracking catalyst*, in bulk, from Pine Bend, Minn., to ports of entry on the international boundary line between the United States and Canada located in Washington, Montana, North Dakota, Minnesota, Idaho, Michigan, New York, Maine, New Hampshire, and Vermont. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 126473 (Sub-No. 17), filed June 10, 1971. Applicant: HAROLD DICKEY TRANSPORT, INC., Packwood, Iowa 52580. Applicant's representative: Kenneth F. Dudley, 611 Church Street, Post Office Box 279, Ottumwa, IA 52501. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat byproducts and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, except hides and commodities in bulk, as described in sections A and C of appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, from points in Illinois and Indiana to the plantsite of Armour-Dial, Inc., at or near Fort Madison, Iowa. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill., or Des Moines, Iowa.

No. MC 127505 (Sub-No. 43), filed June 7, 1971. Applicant: RALPH H. BOELK, doing business as BOELK TRUCK LINES, Route 2, Mendota, IL 61342. Applicant's representative: Walter Kobos, 1016 Kehoe Drive, St. Charles, IL 60174. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Aluminum articles*, from St. Charles, Ill., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Ohio, Michigan, and Pennsylvania; and (2) *aluminum scrap*, from destinations named in (1) above to St. Charles, Ill., restricted against commodities in bulk in (1) and (2) above). NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. Applicant further states that no duplicating authority is sought. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 128247 (Sub-No. 13) (Correction), filed June 1, 1971, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of June 24, 1971, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: BURSAL TRANSPORT, INC., Rural Route 1, Bunder Hill, IN 46914. Applicant's representative: Warren C. Moberly, 77 Chamber of Commerce Building, Indianapolis, Ind. 46204. NOTE: The purpose of this republication is to show the correct docket number assigned thereto, as shown above, in lieu of No. MC 128237 (Sub-No. 13), which was in error. The rest of the notice of filing remains as previously published.

No. MC 128279 (Sub-No. 16), filed June 8, 1971. Applicant: ARROW FREIGHTWAYS INC., Post Office Box 3783, 4800 Jefferson NE., Albuquerque, NM 87110. Applicant's representative: Olif Q. Boyd, Post Office Box 3783, Albuquerque, NM 87110. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Gypsum wallboard and gypsum products, materials, and supplies* used in the installation and distribution thereof, from Florence, Colo., to points in New Mexico and the Navajo Indian Reservation in Arizona. NOTE: Applicant states that although tacking possibilities exists in its Sub-No. 3, from Rosario, N. Mex., to points in Wyoming, Oklahoma, Texas, and Arizona, it has no present intention to tack. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Albuquerque or Santa Fe, N. Mex.

No. MC 128325 (Sub-No. 2), filed June 9, 1971. Applicant: RICHARD J. CODY, doing business as "MERIT" TRUCK WRECKER SERVICE, 2901 West 73d Avenue, Westminister, CO 80030. Applicant's representative: John H. Lewis, The 1650 Grant Street Building, Denver, CO 80203. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Wrecked and disabled motor vehicles and operative motor vehicle replacement units* (except trailers designed to be drawn by passenger automobiles), between points in Colorado on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Arizona, Idaho, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Texas, Utah, and Wyoming. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Denver, Colo.

No. MC 129645 (Sub-No. 36), filed June 9, 1971. Applicant: BASIL SMEESTER AND JOSEPH G. SMEESTER, a partnership, doing business as SMEESTER BROTHERS TRUCKING, 1330 South Jackson Street, Iron Mountain, MI 49801. Applicant's representative: Louis J. Amato, Post Office Box E, Bowling Green, KY 42101. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Gypsum products;* (2) *composition board;* (3) *insulating materials;* (4) *roofing and*

roofing materials; (5) *urethane and urethane products;* and (6) *related materials, supplies, and accessories* used in the installation of the commodities named in 1 through 5 above (except commodities in bulk), from Marrero, La., to points in Iowa, Michigan, Minnesota, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, Wisconsin, points in Illinois on and north of U.S. Highway 136 and points in Indiana on and north of U.S. Highway 40. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant does not specify a location.

No. MC 129657 (Sub-No. 8), filed June 4, 1971. Applicant: KEN McCARVILLE DISTRIBUTING COMPANY, INC., 436 Rainbow Road, Spring Green, WI 53588. Applicant's representative: Michael J. Wyngaard, 125 West Doty Street, Madison, WI 53705. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Malt beverages and advertising equipment, premiums, material, and supplies* when shipped therewith, from the plants and warehouse facilities utilized by Meister-Brau, Inc., at Chicago, Ill., to points in Wisconsin. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Madison or Milwaukee, Wis.

No. MC 129809 (Sub-No. 7), filed June 9, 1971. Applicant: A & H, INC., Footville, Wis. 53537. Applicant's representative: David J. MacDougall, 1 East Milwaukee Street, Janesville, WI 53545. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs*, from points in Wisconsin to points in Massachusetts, New York, Pennsylvania, Connecticut, New Jersey, and Rhode Island, under a continuing contract or contracts with Universal Foods Corp. of Milwaukee, Wis. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Milwaukee or Madison, Wis.

No. MC 133076 (Sub-No. 3), filed June 7, 1971. Applicant: P. STANLEY COBANE, doing business as COBANE AIR FREIGHT, Box 3, Rural Route 1, Deer Grove, IL 61243. Applicant's representative: George S. Mullins, 4704 West Irving Park Road, Chicago, IL 60641. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), between points in Dubuque County, Iowa, and Jo Daviess County, Ill., on the one hand, and, on the other, O'Hare International Airport, Midway Airport, and Meigs Field, at or near Chicago, Ill., restricted to the transportation of traffic having a prior or subsequent movement by air and/or substituted truck-for-air service and to interline with air freight carriers operating out of the named airports. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 134145 (Sub-No. 5), filed June 1, 1971. Applicant: NORTH STAR TRANSPORT, INC., Post Office Box 51, Thief River Falls, MN 56701. Applicant's representative: Jon Miller (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Motorbikes, lawnmowers and attachments, snowmobiles*, from Omaha, Nebr., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii); and (2) *parts, materials, supplies, and equipment* used in the manufacture of motorbikes, lawnmowers, and attachments and snowmobiles, from points in Alabama, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, New Hampshire, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Washington, and Wisconsin to Omaha, Nebr., under contract with General Leisure Products Corp. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Omaha, Nebr., or St. Paul, Minn.

No. MC 134388 (Sub-No. 3), filed June 1, 1971. Applicant: HENRY G. HARLOW, 3 East Washington Street, Jamestown, OH 45335. Applicant's representative: James Muldoon, 50 West Broad Street, Columbus, OH 43215. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Buildings*, complete, knocked down, or in sections, and *component parts, materials, supplies, and fixtures* used in the erection or assembly thereof; (a) from Jamestown, Ohio, to points in Indiana and Kentucky; (b) from Wampum, Pa., to points in Indiana, Kentucky, and Ohio, under contract with Ryan Homes, Inc. NOTE: Applicant states it presently has authority to perform service described in (a) above. The purpose of this application is to amend permit No. MC 134388 (Sub-No. 2) by adding service described in (b) above. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Columbus, Ohio, or Pittsburgh, Pa.

No. MC 134405 (Sub-No. 4), filed May 28, 1971. Applicant: BACON TRANSPORT COMPANY, a corporation, Post Office Box 1134, Ardmore, OK 73401. Applicant's representative: Wilburn L. Williamson, Suite 280, National Foundation Life Center, 3535 Northwest 58th, Oklahoma City, OK 73112. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Fertilizer and fertilizer materials*, dry, in bulk or in packages; *insecticides, fungicides, and herbicides*, except liquid in bulk, also in mixed shipments with manufactured fertilizer and fertilizer materials, from points on the Arkansas and Verdigris Rivers in Oklahoma, to points in Arkansas, Colorado, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Texas, and Wisconsin. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Kansas City, Mo., or Oklahoma City, Okla.

No. MC 134901 (Sub-No. 2), filed June 7, 1971. Applicant: UNITED TRUCKING CORP., 499 Ocean Parkway, Brooklyn, NY. Applicant's representative: Bert Collins, 140 Cedar Street, New York, NY 10006. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Air cleaners, air coolers, air conditioners, heaters, and parts thereof*, between Carteret, N.J., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Connecticut, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, New York on and east of U.S. Highway 14, Pennsylvania on and east of U.S. Highway 15. Restriction: The proposed service under contract with American Standard, Inc. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y.

No. MC 134922 (Sub-No. 13), filed June 7, 1971. Applicant: B. J. McADAMS, INC., Route 6, Box 15, North Little Rock, AR 72118. Applicant's representative: William J. Boyd, 29 South La Salle Street, Chicago, IL 60603. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Yarn*, from Rome, Ga., to points in Oklahoma and Texas. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga., or Little Rock, Ark.

No. MC 134922 (Sub-No. 14), filed June 7, 1971. Applicant: B. J. McADAMS, INC., Route 6, Box 15, North Little Rock, AR 72118. Applicant's representatives: William J. Boyd, 29 South La Salle Street, Chicago, IL 60603, and George Harris c/o B. J. McAdams (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat byproducts and articles distributed by meat packinghouses* as described in appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, from Sioux City and Mason City, Iowa, and Worthington, Minn., to points in North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Alabama, Florida, Mississippi, Kentucky, Tennessee, Virginia, and West Virginia. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Little Rock, Ark., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 135100 (Sub-No. 5), filed June 7, 1971. Applicant: SIGNAL TRANSPORT, INC., Post Office Box 681,

LaPorte, IN 46350. Applicant's representative: Robert H. Levy, 29 South La Salle Street, Chicago, IL 60603. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, and meat byproducts*, from points in Illinois, Indiana, and Missouri to Fort Madison, Iowa. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 135153 (Sub-No. 6), filed June 1, 1971. Applicant: GREAT OVERLAND, INC., Fort Dodge Road, Dodge City, KS 67801. Applicant's representative: Harley E. Laughlin, Post Office Box 1417, Dodge City, KS 67801. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat byproducts, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses* as defined by the Commission, between Dodge City, Kans., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Oklahoma on and west of U.S. Highway 81. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Denver, Colo.

No. MC 135185 (Sub-No. 4), filed June 10, 1971. Applicant: COLUMBINE CARRIERS, INC., 4971 South Emporia, Englewood, CO 80110. Applicant's representative: J. Max Harding, 605 South 14th Street, Post Office Box 82028, Lincoln, NE 68501. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Razors and razor blades, toilet articles and toilet preparations, and ball point and felt tip pens*, from Andover, Mass., to points in Pennsylvania, Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, Lower Michigan, Wisconsin, Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, and points in New York on and west of a north-south line through Albany, N.Y.; (2) *materials, supplies, and equipment* utilized in the manufacture, sale, and distribution of the commodities named in (1) above from the destination area in (1) above to Andover, Mass., and *materials, supplies, and equipment* utilized in the manufacture, sale, and distribution of *razors and razor blades*, from the destination area in (1) above to Boston, Mass.; (3) *toilet articles and toilet preparations and hair curlers*, from St. Paul, Minn., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Newark, N.J., Washington, D.C., and Baltimore, Md.; (4) *materials, supplies, and equipment* utilized in the manufacture, sale, and distribution of the commodities named in (3) above, from the destination area in (3) above to St. Paul, Minn.; and, (5) *razors, razor blades, toilet articles and toilet preparations, and ball point and felt tip pens*, from La Grange, Ill., to St. Louis, and Kansas City, Mo. All restricted against the transportation of commodities in bulk, all traffic to either originate or terminate at the plantsites or warehouse facilities utilized by the Gillette Co., under a continuing contract with the Gillette Co. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Denver, Colo.

No. MC 135253 (Sub-No. 2), filed May 20, 1971. Applicant: RALPH NEFF TRUCKING INC., Box 724, Rapid City, SD 57701. Applicant's representative: A. Milton Evans, 428½ St. Joe Street, Box 1286, Rapid City, SD 57701. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Scrap metal*, from points west of the Missouri River in South Dakota and at or near the following points east of the Missouri River in South Dakota, Mobridge, Gettysburg, Pierre, Fort Thompson, Chamberlain, and Lake Andes, to Denver, Colo. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Rapid City or Pierre, S. Dak.

No. MC 135497 (Sub-No. 1) (Clarification), filed May 14, 1971, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of June 10, 1971, and republished as clarified this issue. Applicant: I–5 FREIGHTLINE, INC., 5949 North Basin Avenue, Portland, OR 97217. Applicant's representative: John G. McLaughlin, 726 Blue Cross Building, Portland, Oreg. 97201. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular and irregular routes transporting: *General commodities* (except household goods as defined in 17 M.C.C. 467, commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles, and commodities which because of size or weight require the use of special equipment in transit); (1) over regular routes as follows: (a) Between Portland, Oreg., and Medford, Oreg., from Portland over U.S. Highway 99, 99E, and 99W and Interstate Highway 5 to Eugene, Oreg., thence continue over U.S. Highway 99 (also over Interstate Highway 5) to Medford, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points and all off-route points within 10 miles of the above-described routes (restricted against the movement of traffic originating at or interlining at Portland and destined to points in Oregon north of Salem, Oreg.); (b) between Corvallis, Oreg., and Foster, Oreg., from Corvallis to Lebanon over U.S. Highway 20 (also over Oregon State Highway 34), and return over the same route; from Lebanon to Foster over U.S. Highway 20, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points and off-route points within 10 miles of the described routes; and (2) over irregular routes: Between points in Douglas, Jackson, and Josephine Counties, Oreg. NOTE: The purpose of this republication is to redescribe part (1)(a) above. Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Portland, Eugene, and Medford, Oreg.

No. MC 135529 (Sub-No. 2) (Correction), filed April 12, 1971, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of May 13, 1971, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: COOK TRANSPORTS, INC., 303 East Orleans Street, Box 153, Paxton, IL 60957. Applicant's representative: Charles R. Young, 4 West Seminary Street, Danville, IL 61832. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Anhydrous ammonia, liquid fertilizer solution* (including aqua ammonia solutions and nitrogen fertilizer solutions) in tank type vehicles and *dry fertilizer* in bag and bulk; (1) between points in Illinois and Indiana; and (2) from Danville, Ill., to Cleveland, Cairo, Washington Court House, and Wellington, Ohio. NOTE: The purpose of this republication is to show the correct docket number assigned thereto, MC 135529 (Sub-No. 2), in lieu of MC 135501 which was in error. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Springfield or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 135597 (Sub-No. 2), filed June 1, 1971. Applicant: C. K. BROUGH, doing business as STRAIGHT ARROW TRUCKING COMPANY, 5387 South 5030 West, Kearns, UT 84118. Applicant's representative: Irene Warr, 419 Judge Building, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Component parts, material, and supplies used in the construction of truck bodies and finished truck bodies and accessories*, between points in Utah, Nevada, California, Arizona, Idaho, Oregon, Washington, New Mexico, Montana, Wyoming, Colorado, North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Kansas, Missouri, Iowa, Ohio, Michigan, Illinois, and Indiana, under continuing contracts with Williamsen Body & Equipment Co., Williamsen's Inc., and Williamsen Idaho Equipment Co., Inc. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Salt Lake City, Utah, or Boise, Idaho.

No. MC 135697, filed June 7, 1971. Applicant: CHARLES J. ROUSSEAU, SR., AND CHARLES J. ROUSSEAU, JR., a partnership, doing business as ROUSSEAU'S TOWING, 94 South Main Street, Rochestser, NH 03867. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Wrecked or disabled motor vehicles* by towing, between points in Maine, New Hampshire, and Massachusetts. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Concord, N.H.

No. MC 135695, filed June 1, 1971. Applicant: ANNA GASPERETTI AND JOHN B. GASPERETTI, JR., a partnership, doing business as THE TRI-C TRANSFER AND STORAGE, 111 West 10th Street, Walsenburg, CO 81089. Applicant's representative: Ernest D. Salm, 3846 Evans Street, Los Angeles, CA 90027. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Used household goods*, between points in Alamosa, Baca, Bent, Conejos, Costilla, Crowley, Custer, Huerfano, Kiowa, Las Animas, Mineral, Otero, Prowers, Rio Grande, and Saguach Counties, Colo., restricted to the transportation of traffic having a prior

or subsequent movement, in containers, beyond the points authorized, and further restricted to the performance of pickup and delivery service in connection with packing, crating, and containerization, or unpacking, uncrating, and decontainerization of such traffic. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Colorado Springs or Denver, Colo.

No. MC 135696, filed June 7, 1971. Applicant: LAKE PORT TRUCKING AND LEASING INC., Martin-Williston Road, Genoa, OH 43430. Applicant's representative: A. Charles Tell, 100 East Broad Street, Columbus, OH 43215. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Alfalfa and alfalfa products*, from Oak Harbor and Toledo, Ohio to points in Michigan, Indiana, Kentucky, and Pennsylvania; and (2) *beet pulp*, from Finlay and Fremont, Ohio, to points in Michigan, Indiana, Kentucky, and Pennsylvania. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Columbus, Ohio.

APPLICATIONS OF FREIGHT FORWARDERS

No. FF-407 (PROFIT BY AIR, INC., Freight Forwarder Application) filed June 23, 1971. Applicant: PROFIT BY AIR, INC., Post Office Box 647, John F. Kennedy Airport, Jamaica, NY 11430. Applicant's representative: Louis P. Haffer, 1730 Rhode Island Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20036. Authority sought under section 410, part IV of the Interstate Commerce Act, for a permit to institute operation as a *freight forwarder*, in interstate or foreign commerce, through the use of the facilities of common carriers by railroad, express, water, air, or motor vehicle in the transportation of: *General commodities* (except those requiring special equipment because of size or weight, those in bulk, classes A and B explosives, and household goods as defined by the Commission), restricted to shipments having a prior or subsequent movement by aircraft, between points in the United States, including Alaska and Hawaii.

No. FF-408 (AIR-LAND TRANSPORT, INC., Freight Forwarder Application), filed June 23, 1971. Applicant: AIR-LAND TRANSPORT, INC., 5615 West Marginal Way SW., Seattle, WA 98106. Applicant's representative: James T. Johnson, 1610 IBM Building, 1200 Fifth Avenue, Seattle, WA 98101. Authority sought under section 410, part IV of the Interstate Commerce Act, for a permit to institute operation as a *freight forwarder*, in interstate or foreign commerce, through use of the facilities of common carriers by water and motor vehicle in the transportation of *general commodities*, between points in Oregon and Washington and points in Hawaii.

APPLICATIONS FOR BROKERAGE LICENSES

PROPERTY

No. MC 130147 (Correction), filed May 19, 1971, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of June 17, 1971, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: JOSEPH CAPAK, 17201 Miles Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44128. Applicant's representative: Francis P. Barrett, 60 Adams Street, Milton (Boston), MA 02187. For a license (BMC-4) to engage in operations as a *broker* at Cleveland, Ohio, in arranging for the transportation in interstate or foreign commerce of *foodstuffs and food products*, from points in Ohio to points in the United States (except Hawaii and Alaska). NOTE: The purpose of this republication is to include the name of applicant's representative.

PASSENGERS

No. MC 130148, filed June 7, 1971. Applicant: MRS. RUTH GOODWIN WILSON, doing business as HAPPY TRAVELERS TRAVEL AGENCY, 106 Wiltshire Avenue, St. Matthews, KY. For a license (BMC-5) to engage in operations as a *broker* at St. Matthews, Ky., in arranging for the transportation in interstate or foreign commerce of *passengers and their baggage*, in charter operations, beginning and ending at points in Jefferson County, Ky., and extending to points in the United States.

APPLICATIONS IN WHICH HANDLING WITHOUT ORAL HEARING HAS BEEN REQUESTED

No. MC 135694, filed June 7, 1971. Applicant: ROBERT E. SHREWSBURY, Box 111, Leckie, WV 24856. Applicant's representative: Charles E. Anderson, 1421 Kanawha Valley Building, Charleston, W. Va. 25332. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Stone, crushed limestone, sand, and gravel*, from stone quarries at points in Tazewell County, Va., to points in Mercer, McDowell, and Wyoming Counties, W. Va.

MOTOR CARRIER OF PASSENGERS

No. MC 107135 (Sub-No. 3), filed May 21, 1971. Applicant: O. G. GOLDSTON, doing business as ROSWELL-CARRIZOZO STAGE LINES, Post Office Box 697, Roswell, NM 88201. Applicant's representative: W. D. Benson, Post Office Box 6723, Lubbock, TX 79413. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *Passengers and their baggage, and mail, express, and newspapers* in the same vehicle with the passengers, between Roswell, N. Mex., and Brownfield, Tex., from Roswell over U.S. Highway 380 to Brownfield, Tex., and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.71-9624 Filed 7-8-71;8:45 am]

[Notice 713]

MOTOR CARRIER TRANSFER PROCEEDINGS

JULY 6, 1971.

Synopses of orders entered pursuant to section 212(b) of the Interstate Commerce Act, and rules and regulations prescribed thereunder (49 CFR Part 1132), appear below:

As provided in the Commission's special rules of practice any interested person may file a petition seeking reconsideration of the following numbered proceedings within 20 days from the date of publication of this notice. Pursuant to section 17(8) of the Interstate Commerce Act, the filing of such a petition will postpone the effective date of the order in that proceeding pending its disposition. The matters relied upon by petitioners must be specified in their petitions with particularity.

No. MC-FC-72981. By order of July 1, 1971, the Motor Carrier Board approved the transfer to Fairside Trucking, Inc., Brockton, Mass., of a portion of the operating rights in certificate No. MC-765 issued May 20, 1941, to Mills Transfer Co., a corporation, Boston, Mass., authorizing the transportation of such commodities as heavy machinery and machine parts, and articles requiring specialized handling or rigging because of size or weight, and equipment, materials and supplies as are used with or incidental thereto, over irregular routes between points in Massachusetts and Rhode Island and a described area of Maine, New Hampshire, and Vermont. Francis E. Barrett, Jr., 536 Granite Street, Braintree, MA, attorney for transferee, Kenneth B. Williams, 111 State Street, Boston, MA 02109, attorney for transferor.

No. MC-FC-72982. By order of July 1, 1971, the Motor Carrier Board approved the transfer to Arthur E. Kluver, doing business as Kluver Transfer, Fairfield, Nebr., of the operating rights in certificates No. MC-97764 (Sub-No. 1) and MC-97764 (Sub-No. 2) issued April 5, 1961, and September 20, 1966, respectively to Edwin F. Albrecht, doing business as Albrecht Transfer, Fairfield, Nebr., authorizing the transportation of general commodities between specified points in Nebraska; household goods between Edgar, Nebr., and points within 20 miles thereof, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Colorado, and Illinois; and livestock and agricultural commodities, between Edgar, Nebr., and points within 20 miles thereof, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, South Dakota, and Colorado. Bernard Sprague, 342 North Webster Street, Red Cloud, NE 68970, attorney for applicants.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.71-9735 Filed 7-8-71;8:53 am]

RED BALL MOTOR FREIGHT, INC., ET AL.

Assignment of Hearings

JULY 6, 1971.

Cases assigned for hearing, postponement, cancellation, or oral argument appear below and will be published only once. This list contains prospective assignments only and does not include cases previously assigned hearing dates. The hearings will be on the issues as presently reflected in the Official Docket of the Commission. An attempt will be made to publish notices of cancellation of hearings as promptly as possible, but interested parties should take appropriate steps to insure that they are notified of cancellation or postponements of hearings in which they are interested.

MC 2229 (Sub-No. 157), Red Ball Motor Freight, Inc., now assigned July 12, 1971, at Dallas, Tex., canceled and application dismissed.

MC 133863 Sub 4, Frank Murphy Contract Carrier, Inc., application dismissed.

MC 29886 Sub 269, Dallas & Mavis Forwarding Co., Inc., assigned September 9, 1971, at Washington, D.C., at the offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission.

MC 30837 Sub 421, Kenosha Auto Transport Corp., assigned September 15, 1971, at Washington, D.C., at the offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission.

MC 40915 Sub 44, Boat Transit, Inc., assigned September 13, 1971, at Washington, D.C., at the offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission.

MC-19778 Sub 73, The Milwaukee Motor Transportation Co., now being assigned September 13, 1971, at Helena, Mont., in Montana Highway Commission Auditorium, Corner Sixth and Roberts Street.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.71-9734 Filed 7-8-71; 8:53 am]

CUMULATIVE LIST OF PARTS AFFECTED—JULY

The following numerical guide is a list of parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during July.

3 CFR

	Page
PROCLAMATIONS:	
4062	12671
4063	12673
EXECUTIVE ORDERS:	
9835 (see EO 11605)	12831
10450:	
See EO 11603	12675
Amended by 11605	12831
10924 (see EO 11603)	12675
11041 (superseded by EO 11603)	12675
11223 (see EO 11603)	12675
11248 (amended by 11604)	12725
11250 (superseded by EO 11603)	12675
11390 (amended by EO 11601)	12473
11470 (superseded by EO 11603)	12675
11600	12471
11601	12473
11602	12475
11603	12675
11604	12727
11605	12831
PRESIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS OTHER THAN PROCLAMATIONS AND EXECUTIVE ORDERS:	
Reorganization Plan No. 1 of 1971 (see EO 11603)	12675

5 CFR

	Page
213	12681, 12729, 12893
550	12729
733	12893

7 CFR

	Page
6	12506
32	12681
210	12685
220	12685
250	12686
406	12729
409	12730
717	12730
775	12835
794	12839
908	12507, 12840
910	12687, 12841
911	12507
917	12508, 12841
919	12893

7 CFR—Continued

	Page
945	12894
1063	12895
1434	12842
1464	12509
1474	12509
1488	12595
PROPOSED RULES:	
52	12745, 12746
101	12695
906	12908
911	12748
915	12629
917	12908
922	12863
923	12864
924	12864
932	12864
958	12629
980	12695
989	12696
1079	12534

9 CFR

	Page
76	12510, 12688
331	12596
PROPOSED RULES:	
11	12586
113	12694

10 CFR

	Page
30	12731
40	12731
50	12731, 12733
70	12731
PROPOSED RULES:	
50	12697

12 CFR

	Page
222	12896
745	12688
PROPOSED RULES:	
222	12915
703	12867

13 CFR

	Page
121	12596
PROPOSED RULES:	
107	12630
121	12631, 12749

14 CFR

	Page
39	12688, 12733, 12842
71	12511, 12734, 12843 12896–12897
91	12512
97	12512
121	12512
127	12512
385	12597
399	12513
1208	12597
PROPOSED RULES:	
39	12688, 12910
71	12511, 12864, 12911–12912
91	12865
97	12865
103	12913
207	12748
288	12541
399	12541

15 CFR

	Page
371	12515
379	12515
385	12515

16 CFR

	Page
13	12598, 12600, 12843–12850

18 CFR

	Page
154	12851

19 CFR

	Page
4	12601

20 CFR

	Page
405	12606

21 CFR

	Page
3	12516
121	12692
135a	12691
135b	12608
135c	12608, 12609
135e	12691
146d	12609
148q	12609
301	12734
308	12734
420	12517, 12692, 12898–12901

LIST OF FEDERAL REGISTER PAGES AND DATES—JULY

Pages	*Date*
12465–12588	July 1
12589–12664	2
12665–12718	3
12719–12824	7
12825–12887	8
12889–12959	9

federal register

FRIDAY, JULY 9, 1971
WASHINGTON, D.C.
Volume 36 ■ Number 132

PART II

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Fiscal Service, Bureau of Accounts

■

CIRCULAR 570; 1971 REVISION

Surety Companies Acceptable on Federal Bonds

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Fiscal Service, Bureau of Accounts

[Dept. Circular 570; 1971 Rev.]

COMPANIES HOLDING CERTIFICATES OF AUTHORITY AS ACCEPTABLE SURETIES ON FEDERAL BONDS AND AS ACCEPTABLE REINSURING COMPANIES

JULY 1, 1971

This circular is published annually, as of July 1, solely for the information of Federal bond-approving officers and persons required to give bonds to the United States. Copies of this circular may be obtained from: Audit Staff, Bureau of Accounts, Treasury Department, Washington, D.C. 20226. Telephone: (202) WO-4-5284. Interim changes in this circular are published in the FEDERAL REGISTER as they occur.

The following companies, except where otherwise noted, have complied with the law and the regulations of the Treasury Department and are acceptable as sureties on Federal bonds, to the extent and with respect to the localities indicated opposite their respective names.

[SEAL]

Fiscal Assistant Secretary.

COMPANIES HOLDING CERTIFICATES OF AUTHORITY FROM SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY UNDER SECTIONS 6 TO 13 OF TITLE 6 OF THE UNITED STATES CODE AS ACCEPTABLE SURETIES ON FEDERAL BONDS (a)

Names of companies and locations of principal executive offices	Underwriting limitations (net limit on any one risk) See footnote (b) (In thousands of dollars)	States and other areas in which licensed to transact a fidelity and surety business. See footnote (c)	State or other area in which incorporated and judicial districts in which process agents have been appointed. (State or other area of incorporation in capitals. Letters preceding names of States indicate judicial districts.) See footnote (d)
The Aetna Casualty and Surety Company, Hartford, Conn.	29,789	All	CONN.—All.
Aetna Fire Underwriters Insurance Company, Hartford, Conn.	651	All except Ala., C.Z., Del., Kans., La., Oreg., S.C., Wis.	CONN.—D.C., Md., wPa.
Aetna Insurance Company, Hartford, Conn.	12,903	All except C.Z.	CONN.—All except C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, Virgin Islands.
Aetna Life and Casualty Company, Hartford, Conn.	83,740	Conn.	CONN.—D.C.
Agricultural Insurance Company, Watertown, N.Y.	1,916	All except Alaska, C.Z., Guam, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.	N.Y.—All except Alaska, C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, sIll, Ind., Ky., Md., Miss., N.C., Okla., Puerto Rico, Tenn., Virgin Islands, W. Va.
Allegheny Mutual Casualty Company, Meadville, Pa.	103	Alaska, Fla., Ill., Ind., La., Md., Mich., N.J., Ohio, Pa., Wis.	PA.—D.C., sFla., nIll., sInd., Md., eMich., N.J., Ohio, eVa., eWis.
Allied Fidelity Insurance Co., Indianapolis, Ind.	66	Ind., Ky.	IND.—D.C.
Allied Insurance Company, Los Angeles, Cal.	506	Cal., N.Y., Tex., Wash.	CAL.—D.C., Tex.
Allied Mutual Insurance Company, Des Moines, Iowa.	2,111	Ariz., Colo., Idaho, Ill., Ind., Iowa, Kans., Minn., Mo., Mont., Nebr., N. Dak., Okla., S. Dak., Tex., Utah, Wis., Wyo.	IOWA—Ariz., Colo., D.C., Idaho, Kans., Minn., Nebr., N. Dak., Okla., Oreg., S. Dak., Utah, Wyo.
Allstate Insurance Company, Northbrook, Ill.	57,453	All except C.Z., Guam, Virgin Islands	ILL.—eCal., Colo., Conn., D.C., nFla., nGa., sInd., Kans., eMich., sMiss., N.J., eN.Y., wN.C., nOhio, ePa., sTex., wVa., wWash., eWis.
American Automobile Insurance Company, San Francisco, Cal.	5,276	All except C.Z., Guam, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands	MO.—All except C.Z., Guam, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.
American Bonding Company, Los Angeles, Cal.	73	Alaska, Ariz., Ark., Cal., Colo., D.C., Idaho, Iowa, Kans., Mo., Mont., Nebr., Nev., N. Mex., Oreg., Utah.	NEBR.—Alaska, Ariz., Ark., Cal., Colo., D.C., Idaho, Iowa, wMo., Nev., N. Mex., Oreg., wWash.
American Casualty Company of Reading, Pennsylvania, Chicago, Ill.	3,661	All except C.Z., Guam, Virgin Islands.	PA.—All except Guam, Virgin Islands.
American Credit Indemnity Company of New York, Baltimore, Md.	2,434	Cal., Colo., Conn., Del., Ill., Ind., Iowa., Ky., Me., Md., Mass., Minn., Mo., N.H., N.J., N. Mex., N.Y., N.C., Ohio, Okla., Pa., R.I., Vt., Wash., W. Va.	N.Y.—D.C.
American Economy Insurance Company, Indianapolis, Ind.	1,442	All except C.Z., Conn., Del., Guam, Hawaii, Mass., Minn., Miss., N.H., N.J., N.Y., N.C., N. Dak., Puerto Rico, R.I., S.C., S. Dak., Va., Virgin Islands.	IND.—
American Employers' Insurance Company, Boston, Mass.	4,636	All except Guam	MASS.—All except Guam.
American Fidelity Company, Manchester, N.H.	491	Conn., Iowa, Me., Mass., Miss., N.H., R.I., Vt.	VT.—All except C.Z., Guam, Kans., Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.
American Fidelity Fire Insurance Company, Westbury, Long Island, N.Y.	387	All except Alaska, C.Z., Colo., Guam, Hawaii, Kans., Mo., Nebr., Virgin Islands.	N.Y.—Ariz., Cal., D.C., La., Mich., Nev., Oreg., Puerto Rico.
American Fire and Casualty Company, Hamilton, Ohio.	738	Ala., Ark., Colo., D.C., Fla., Ga., Kans., Ky., La., Md., Miss., Mo., N.C., Okla., S.C., Tenn., Tex., Va.	FLA.—Ala., Ark., Colo., D.C., Ga., Kans., Ky., La., Md., Miss., Mo., N.C., Okla., S.C., Tenn., Tex., Va.
American and Foreign Insurance Company, New York, N.Y.	1,402	All except C.Z., Del., Guam, La., Oreg., Puerto Rico, S.C., Va., Virgin Islands.	N.Y.—D.C., Tex.
American General Insurance Company, Houston, Tex.	29,391	Mich., Pa., Tex.	TEX.—All except Guam, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.
American Guarantee and Liability Insurance Company, Chicago, Ill.	1,187	All except C.Z., Del., Guam, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, S.C.	N.Y.—Alaska, Cal., Conn., D.C., nFla., nGa., nIll., nInd., Me., Md., Mass., eMich., Minn., Mo., N.H., N.J., N. Mex., Ohio, Pa., nswTex., Vt.
American Home Assurance Company, New York, N.Y.	3,355	All except Ark., C.Z., Guam, Mo., Oreg., Puerto Rico., Virgin Islands.	N.Y.—D.C.
American Indemnity Company, Galveston, Tex.	460	Ala., Cal., Colo., D.C., Fla., Ga., Ill., Ind., Iowa, Kans., Ky., La., Miss., Mo., Mont., N. Mex., N.C., Ohio, Okla., S.C., Tenn., Tex., Va., Wis., Wyo.	TEX.—All except Alaska, wArk., C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, wMich., nOkla., Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, wVa.
The American Insurance Company, Principal Office: Newark, N.J. Home Office: San Francisco, Cal.	12,237	All except C.Z., Guam, Virgin Islands	N.J.—All except C.Z., Guam, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.
American International Insurance Company, New York, N.Y.	296	All except C.Z., Del., Guam, Hawaii, N.H., Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.	N.Y.—
American Manufacturers Mutual Insurance Company, Chicago, Ill.	1,260	All except C.Z., Guam Hawaii, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.	N.Y.—All except nAla., Ark., C.Z., Conn., Del., nGa., Guam, Hawaii, Idaho, sIowa, Kans., La., Me., Md., Mo., Nebr., Nev., Oreg., mPa., Puerto Rico, S.C., S. Dak., Tenn., Tex., Utah, Va., Virgin Islands, wWis.
American Motorists Insurance Company, Chicago, Ill.	1,750	All except Guam, Oreg., Virgin Islands	ILL.—All excepts Alaska, Ark., C.Z., Del., Guam, Hawaii, Idaho, Nev., N. Mex., Oreg., Tenn., Virgin Islands, Wyo.
American Mutual Liability Insurance Company, Wakefield, Mass.	4,336	All	MASS.—D.C.
American National Fire Insurance Company, New York, N.Y.	1,020	All except C.Z., Conn., Guam, La., Me., Mich., N.J., Puerto Rico, S.C., Virgin Islands.	N.Y.—All.

See footnotes at end of table.

COMPANIES HOLDING CERTIFICATES OF AUTHORITY FROM SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY UNDER SECTIONS 6 TO 13 OF TITLE 6 OF THE UNITED STATES CODE AS ACCEPTABLE SURETIES ON FEDERAL BONDS (a)—Continued

Names of companies and locations of principal executive offices	Underwriting limitations (net limit on any one risk) See footnote (b) (In thousands of dollars)	States and other areas in which licensed to transact a fidelity and surety business. See footnote (c)	State or other area in which incorporated and judicial districts in which process agents have been appointed. (State or other area of incorporation in capitals. Letters preceding names of States indicate judicial districts.) See footnote (d)
American Re-Insurance Company, New York, N.Y.	7,048	All except C.Z., Guam, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands	N.Y.—All except Guam.
American States Insurance Company, Indianapolis, Ind.	4,991	All except C.Z., Conn., Del., Guam, Hawaii, Mass., N.H., N.Y., N.C., Puerto Rico, R.I., S.C., Va., Virgin Islands.	IND.—Alaska, Ariz., Cal., Colo., D.C., Idaho, Ill., Iowa, Kans., Ky., Mich., Mo., Mont., N. Mex., Ohio, Okla. Oreg., Pa., Tenn., Tex., Utah, eVa., Wash., W. Va., Wis.,
Argonaut Insurance Company, Menlo Park, Cal.	3,149	All except C.Z., Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands	CAL.—D.C., nGa., Idaho, eLa., Mont.
Associated Indemnity Corporation, San Francisco, Cal.	1,452	All except C.Z., Guam, Virgin Islands	CAL.—All except Alaska, C.Z., Colo., Guam, Hawaii, Idaho, Iowa, La., Minn., Miss., N. Mex., newN.Y., N. Dak., neOkla., Puerto Rico, S. Dak., Vt., wVa., Virgin Islands, W. Va., wWis., Wyo.
Atlantic Insurance Company, Dallas, Tex.	1,182	All except C.Z., Colo., Conn., Del., Guam., Hawaii, Idaho, Iowa, La., Me., Mass., Nebr., N.H., N.Y., N.Dak., Oreg., Puerto Rico, R.I., Vt., Va., Virgin Islands, Wash., Wis., Wyo.	TEX.—All except Alaska, C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, eN.Y., Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.
Atlantic Mutual Insurance Company, New York, N.Y.	4,931	All except Ala., C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, Virgin Islands	N.Y.—D.C.
Auto-Owners Insurance Company, Lansing, Mich.	3,460	Ala., Fla., Ga., Ill., Ind., Iowa, Kans., Ky., Mich., Minn., Mo., Nebr., N.C., N. Dak., Ohio, Pa., S.C., S. Dak., Tenn., Wis.	MICH.—D.C., nsFla., Ill., Ind., Iowa, Minn., Mo., N. Dak. Ohio, S. Dak.
Balboa Insurance Company, Newport Beach, Cal.	1,192	All except Ala., Ark., C.Z., Guam., Kans., La., Me., Mass., Miss., Nebr., N.H., N.J., N.C., N. Dak., Oreg., Puerto Rico, R.I., S.C., S. Dak., Tenn., Vt., Va., Virgin Islands, W. Va., Wis.	CAL.—D.C.
Bankers Multiple Line Insurance Company, Chicago, Ill.	626	All except C.Z., Del., Ga., Guam, Idaho, Kans., La., Me., Oreg., Puerto Rico, S.C., Tenn., Virgin Islands.	IOWA.—D.C.
Bankers and Shippers Insurance Company of New York, New York, N.Y.	517	All except C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.	N.Y.—mAla., Ariz., Ark., Del., D.C., nFla., nGa., sInd., sIowa, eKy., Me., Mass., Mich., Minn., sMiss., wMo., N.H., N.J., sOhio, wOkla., R.I., S. Dak., nwTex., Wyo.
Boston Old Colony Insurance Company, New York, N.Y.	2,744	All except C.Z., Guam	MASS.—Ala., Alaska, Ark., neCal., Conn., Del., D.C., sFla., Ga., Hawaii, Idaho, Kans., La., Me., Md., Minn., Miss., eMo., Mont., Nebr., N. Mex., wseN.Y., N.C., S.C., Wyo.
The Buckeye Union Insurance Company, Columbus, Ohio.	4,804	D.C., Fla., Ill., Ind., Kans., Ky., Mich., Mo., N.Y., Ohio, Pa., Va., W. Va.	OHIO.—D.C., Ill., Ind., Ky., Mich., Minn., Pa., eTenn. Va., W. Va.
The Camden Fire Insurance Association, Philadelphia, Pa.	4,103	Ala. (fidelity only), Alaska, Ariz., Ark., Cal., C.Z., Colo., Conn., D.C., Ill., Ind., Iowa, Kans., Ky., Md., Mass., Mich., Minn., Mo., Nev., N.H., N.J., N. Mex., N.Y., N.C., N. Dak., Ohio, Okla., Pa., R.I., S.C. (fidelity only), Utah, Vt., Va., W. Va., Wyo.	N.J.—D.C.
Capitol Indemnity Corporation, Madison, Wis.	154	Ariz., Ill., Iowa, Mich., Minn., N. Mex., Wis	WIS.—D.C., nGa., Ill., sInd., Iowa., Mich., Minn., wMo.
Cascade Insurance Company, Tacoma, Wash.	708	Alaska, Ariz., Cal., Colo., D.C., Hawaii, Idaho, Ind., Minn., Miss., Mont., Nev., Oreg., Utah, Wash.	WASH.—All except C.Z., Guam, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.
The Celina Mutual Insurance Company, Celina, Ohio.	458	Ill., Ind., Ky., Mich., Ohio, Pa., W. Va	OHIO.—D.C.
Centennial Insurance Company, New York, N.Y.	1,545	All except Ala., C.Z., Guam, Virgin Islands	N.Y.—D.C.
Century Indemnity Company, Hartford, Conn.	562	All except Ala., C.Z., Del., Kans., Nebr.	CONN.—D.C., Md., wPa.
The Charter Oak Fire Insurance Company, Hartford, Conn.	1,609	All except C.Z., Guam, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands	CONN.—Ariz., Ark., neCal., D.C., msFla., sGa., nIll., Iowa, Kans., wKy., eLa., Mass., Mich., nMiss., wMo., Neb., N.J., nesN.Y., mN.C., sOhio, ePa., S.C., meTenn., nwTex., Utah, eVa., nW. Va., eWis., Wyo.
The Cincinnati Insurance Company, Cincinnati, Ohio.	1,064	Ala., Ariz., Fla., Ga., Ill., Ind., Ky., Mich., Ohio., Pa., Tenn.	OHIO—mAla., D.C., sFla., nGa., sInd., Ky.
Citizens Insurance Company of New Jersey, Hartford, Conn.	857	All except C.Z., Guam, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands	N.J.—All except C.Z., Guam, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.
Colonial Surety Company, Philadelphia, Pa.	254	Del., N.J., Pa	PA.—
Commercial Insurance Company of Newark, N.J., New York, N.Y.	2,744	All except C.Z., Guam, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands	N.J.—All except Guam.
Commercial Standard Insurance Company, Fort Worth, Tex.	414	All except Alaska, C.Z., Conn., Del., Ga., Guam, Me., Mass., Mich., Mo., N.H., N. Mex., Ohio., Pa., Puerto Rico, R.I., S.C., Vt., Wash.	TEX.—All except Alaska, C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, Minn., Miss., Puerto Rico, S. Dak., Virgin Islands.
The Connecticut Indemnity Company, Hartford, Conn.	926	All except Alaska, C.Z., Del., Guam, Hawaii, Oreg., Puerto Rico, S.C., Virgin Islands.	CONN.—All except Alaska, eesCal. C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, Nev. Oreg., Virgin Isalnds, Wash.
Consolidated Insurance Company, Indianapolis, Ind.	208	Ill., Ind., Ky., Mich., Ohio	IND.—D.C., Ill., Ky., Mich., Ohio.
Consolidated Mutual Insurance Company, Brooklyn, N.Y.	1,487	All except Ala., Alaska, C.Z., Del., Guam, La	N.Y.—D.C.
Continental Casualty Company, Chicago, Ill.	25,248	All except Guam	ILL.—All except C.Z., Guam, Virgin Islands.
The Continental Insurance Company, New York, N.Y.	40,487	All	N.Y.—All except Guam.
Cornhusker Casualty Company, Omaha, Nebr.	162	Nebr	NEBR.—D.C.
Cosmopolitan Mutual Insurance Company, New York, N.Y.	835	All except Alaska, Ariz., C.Z. Colo., Del., Guam, Hawaii, Idaho, Iowa, Kans., Minn., Miss., Mont., Nebr., Nev., N. Mex., N. Dak., Ohio, Oreg., S. Dak., Utah, Virgin Islands, Wash., Wyo.	N.Y.—D.C.
Cumis Insurance Society, Inc., Madison, Wis.	431	All except C.Z., Conn., Guam, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.	WIS.—nsAla., Colo., D.C., Fla., Ill., Md., Mich., Nev., Utah.
Dependable Insurance Company, Inc., Jacksonville, Fla.	109	Fla., Ga., Miss., Va	FLA.—
Enumco Insurance Company, South Bend, Ind.	2,068	All except C.Z., Colo., Conn., Guam, Mass., Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.	IND.—D.C.
Empire Fire and Marine Insurance Company, Omaha, Nebr.	122	Ala., Alaska, Ariz., Colo., Ga., Hawaii, Idaho, Ill., Ind., Iowa, Kans., Minn., Miss., Mo., Mont., Nebr., Nev., N. Mex., N. Dak., Okla., S. Dak., Utah, Vt., Wash., Wyo.	NEBR.—D.C.
Employers Casualty Company, Dallas, Tex.	1,946	Ariz., Ark., Cal., Colo., Ill., Ind., Iowa, Kans., Ky., Minn., Miss., Mo., Mont., Nebr., Nev., N. Mex., Tex., Utah, Wash., Wis.	TEX.—D.C.
Employers Commercial Union Insurance Company, Boston, Mass.	13,336	All except Guam	MASS.—All except C.Z., Guam.
The Employers' Fire Insurance Company, Boston, Mass.	1,930	All except Guam	MASS.—All except C.Z., Guam.

See footnotes at end of table.

COMPANIES HOLDING CERTIFICATES OF AUTHORITY FROM SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY UNDER SECTIONS 6 TO 13 OF TITLE 6 OF THE UNITED STATES CODE AS ACCEPTABLE SURETIES ON FEDERAL BONDS (a)—Continued

Names of companies and locations of principal executive offices	Underwriting limitations (net limit on any one risk) See footnote (b) (In thousands of dollars)	States and other areas in which licensed to transact a fidelity and surety business. See footnote (c)	State or other area in which incorporated and judicial districts in which process agents have been appointed. (State or other area of incorporation in capitals. Letters preceding names of States indicate judicial districts.) See footnote (d)
Employers Mutual Casualty Company., Des Moines, Iowa.	1,842	All except Ala., C.Z., Del., Guam, La., Oreg., Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, W. Va.	IOWA—Alaska, Colo., D.C., Ill., Ind., Kans., Md., Minn., Miss., Mo., Nebr., N.C., N. Dak., Ohio, Okla., Oreg., Pa., S.C., S. Dak., Wis.
Employers Mutual Liability Insurance Company of Wisconsin, Wausau, Wis.	14,403	All except C.Z., Virgin Islands	WIS.—D.C.
Employers Reinsurance Corporation, Kansas City, Mo.	4,740	All except C.Z., Hawaii, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands	MO.—All except Guam.
Equitable Fire and Marine Insurance Company, Hartford, Conn.	2,300	All except C.Z., Guam, La., Oreg	R.I.—All except Alaska, C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.
Farmers Alliance Mutual Insurance Company, McPherson, Kans.	771	Colo., Kans., Mo., Nebr., N. Mex., Okla., Tex., (reinsurance only in Ark., Idaho, Ill., Iowa, Md., Mass., Mich., N.H., N.J., N.Y., N.C., Ohio, Vt., W. Va., Wyo.).	KANS.—Colo., D.C., Mo., Nebr., N. Mex., Okla., nwTex.
Farmers Elevator Mutual Insurance Company, Des Moines, Iowa.	569	Colo., Ill., Iowa, Kans., Minn., Mo., Nebr., N. Dak., Okla., S. Dak., Tex., Wyo.	IOWA—Colo., D.C., Ill., Kans., Nebr., Okla., S. Dak.
Farmers Home Mutual Insurance Company, Minneapolis, Minn.	721	Cal., Colo., Iowa, Minn., Nev., Wash., Wis., Wyo	MINN.—Cal., D.C.
Farmers Mutual Hail Insurance Company of Iowa, Des Moines, Iowa.	1,591	Iowa	IOWA—D.C.
Federal Insurance Company, New York, N.Y.	15,794	All	N.J.—All.
Federated Mutual Insurance Company, Owatonna, Minn.	2,042	All except Alaska, C.Z., Conn., Del., Guam, Me., Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.	MINN.—Ala., Ark., D.C., Fla., Ga., Ill., Ind., Iowa, Kans., Ky., Miss., Mo., Mont., Nebr., N.C., N. Dak., Okla., S.C., S. Dak., Tenn., Va., W. Va., Wis.
The Fidelity and Casualty Company of New York, New York, N.Y.	6,004	All except Guam, Virgin Islands	N.Y.—All except Guam, Hawaii, Virgin Islands.
Fidelity and Deposit Company of Maryland, Baltimore, Md.	8,971	All except Guam	MD.—All except Guam.
Fireman's Fund Insurance Company, San Francisco, Cal.	38,648	All except C.Z	CAL.—All.
Firemen's Insurance Company of Newark, New Jersey, New York, N.Y.	12,350	All except C.Z., Guam, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands	N.J.—All except C.Z.
First Insurance Company of Hawaii, Ltd., Honolulu, Hawaii.	971	Cal., Guam, Hawaii, Oreg	HAWAII—D.C.
First National Insurance Company of America, Seattle, Wash.	1,444	All except C.Z., Conn., Del., Guam, Hawaii, La., Me., N.H., Puerto Rico, Vt.	WASH.—All except C.Z., Del., Guam, Hawaii, La., Me., N.H., Puerto Rico, Vt., Virgin Islands.
General Fire and Casualty Company, Carle Place, N.Y.	641	All except C.Z., Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands	N.Y.—D.C.
General Insurance Company of America, Seattle, Wash.	16,952	All except Virgin Islands	WASH.—All except Virgin Islands.
General Reinsurance Corporation, New York, N.Y.	14,428	All except C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.	N.Y.—All except C.Z., Guam, Virgin Islands.
The Glens Falls Insurance Company, New York, N.Y.	5,506	All except C.Z., Guam, Virgin Islands	N.Y.—D.C.
Globe Indemnity Company, New York, N.Y.	6,040	All except C.Z., Guam, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands	N.Y.—All except Alaska, Guam, Virgin Islands.
Grain Dealers Mutual Insurance Company, Indianapolis, Ind.	762	All except Ala., Alaska, C.Z., Conn., Del., D.C., Fla., Guam, Hawaii, Idaho, Me., Md., Mass., Mont., N.H., N.Dak., Oreg., Puerto Rico, R.I., S.C., Tenn., Vt., Virgin Islands.	IND.—eArk., Colo., D.C., Ill., Iowa, Kans., Nebr., Ohio, wOkla.
Granite State Insurance Company, Manchester, N.H.	449	All except C.Z., Conn., Del., Guam, Hawaii, Idaho, Oreg., Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.	N.H.—All except Guam, Puerto Rico.
Great American Insurance Company, Los Angeles, Cal.	7,802	All except C.Z	N.Y.—All.
Great Northern Insurance Company, Minneapolis, Minn.	773	Ariz., Colo., Ill., Ind., Iowa, Minn., Mo., Mont., Nebr., Nev., N. Mex., N. Y., N. Dak., S. Dak., Vt., Wis., Wyo.	MINN.—D.C., nsIll., Iowa, Mo., Mont., N. Dak., S. Dak., Wis.
Greater New York Mutual Insurance Company, New York, N.Y.	3,282	All except Alaska, Ark., C.Z., Del., Guam, Hawaii, La., Miss., S.C., Tenn., Virgin Islands.	N.Y.—D.C.
Gulf American Fire and Casualty Company, Montgomery, Ala.	167	Ala., Fla., Ga., La., Miss., S.C., Tenn	ALA.—Alaska, D.C., mnGa., sMiss.
Gulf Insurance Company, Dallas, Tex.	1,539	All except C.Z., Conn., Del., Guam, Idaho, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.	MO.—All except C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, N.J., eN.Y., Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.
The Hamilton Mutual Insurance Company of Cincinnati, Ohio, Cincinnati, Ohio.	397	Ind., Ky., Mich., Ohio	OHIO—D.C.
The Hanover Insurance Company, Worcester, Mass.	4,373	All except C.Z., Guam, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands	N.Y.—All except Guam.
Hartford Accident and Indemnity Company, Hartford, Conn.	19,459	All except Guam	CONN.—All except Guam, Virgin Islands.
Hartford Fire Insurance Company, Hartford, Conn.	60,888	All except C.Z	CONN.—Ariz., Cal., D.C., Guam, Hawaii, La., N.Y., Va.
Hawkeye-Security Insurance Company, Des Moines, Iowa.	944	Ariz., Colo., D.C., Fla., Idaho, Ill., Ind., Iowa, Kans., Md., Mich., Minn., Mo., Mont., Nebr., Nev., N. Mex., Ohio, Pa., S. Dak., Tex., Utah, Va., Wis., Wyo.	IOWA—Colo., D.C., nsFla., Ill., sInd., Kans., wMich., Mo., Nebr., N. Mex., S. Dak., Wyo.
Highlands Insurance Company, Houston, Tex.	2,206	All except C.Z., Conn., Guam, Hawaii, N.H., Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.	TEX.—mAla., Alaska, Ariz., eArk., neCal., Colo., D.C., mFla., nGa., Idaho, nIll., sInd., sIowa, Kans., La., Me., Md., eMich., Minn., sMiss., eMo., Mont., Nebr., N.J., N.Mex., sN.Y., N.Dak., Ohio, wOkla. Oreg., wePa., S.C., S.Dak., eTenn., Utah, Vt., eVa., wWash., sW.Va., wWis., Wyo.
Highlands Underwriters Insurance Company, Houston, Tex.	232	Ark., Cal., La., Tex	TEX.—D.C.
The Home Indemnity Company, New York, N.Y.	3,429	All except Alaska, C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.	N.Y.—All except Alaska, Guam, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.
The Home Insurance Company, New York, N.Y.	25,315	All except C.Z	N.Y.—Alaska, D.C., Guam, wPa., Puerto Rico, S.C.
Hudson Insurance Company, New York, N.Y.	410	N.Y	N.Y.—D.C.
Illinois National Insurance Co., Springfield, Ill.	771	Ill., Ind., Iowa, Ky., Mo., Nebr., N. Mex., Ohio, Tex	ILL.—All except C.Z., Guam, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.
Imperial Insurance Company, Los Angeles, Cal.	251	Ariz., Cal., Hawaii, Ind., La., Minn., Mont., Nev., N.Y., Okla., Oreg., Wash.	CAL.—

See footnotes at end of table.

COMPANIES HOLDING CERTIFICATES OF AUTHORITY FROM SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY UNDER SECTIONS 6 TO 13 OF TITLE 6 OF THE UNITED STATES CODE AS ACCEPTABLE SURETIES ON FEDERAL BONDS (a)—Continued

Names of companies and locations of principal executive offices	Underwriting limitations (net limit on any one risk) See footnote (b) (In thousands of dollars)	States and other areas in which licensed to transact a fidelity and surety business. See footnote (c)	State or other area in which incorporated and judicial districts in which process agents have been appointed. (State or other area of incorporation in capitals. Letters preceding names of States indicate judicial districts.) See footnote (d)
Indiana Bonding and Surety Company, Indianapolis, Ind.	92	Ind.	IND.—D.C.
Indiana Insurance Company, Indianapolis, Ind.	1,278	Ill., Ind., Ky., Mich., Ohio	IND.—D.C., Ill., Ky., Mich., Ohio.
Industrial Indemnity Company, San Francisco, Cal.	3,203	All except C.Z., Conn., N.Y., N. Dak., Ohio, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, W. Va.	CAL.—Alaska, Ariz., eArk., Colo., D.C., sFla., nGa., Hawaii, Idaho, nIll., sInd., eLa., Md., eMich., eMo., Mont., Nebr., Nev., N.J., N. Mex., mN.C., wOkla., Oreg., S. Dak., eTenn., Tex., Utah, Wash., Wyo.
Inland Insurance Company, Lincoln, Nebr.	433	Colo., Iowa, Kans., Minn., Nebr., Okla., S. Dak., Wyo.	NEBR.—Ariz., Ark., Colo., D.C., Ill., Iowa, Kans., Ky., Minn., eMo., Mont., Nev., N. Mex., N. Dak., Ohio, Okla., Oreg., S. Dak., Tex., Utah, Wash., Wyo.
Insurance Company of North America, Philadelphia, Pa.	47,518	All.	PA.—All.
The Insurance Company of the State of Pennsylvania, New York, N.Y.	681	Ala. (except official), Alaska, Ariz., Cal., Colo., Conn., Del., D.C., Fla., Ga., Hawaii, Ill., Ind., Iowa, Kans., Ky., La., Md., Mass., Mich., Minn., Miss., Mo., Mont., Nebr., Nev., N.H., N.J., N. Mex., N.Y., N.C., N. Dak., Ohio, Okla., Pa., R.I., S.C. (fidelity only), S. Dak., Tenn., Tex., Utah, Vt., Va., Wash., W. Va., Wis., Wyo.	PA.—D.C.
Integrity Mutual Insurance Company, Appleton, Wis.	172	Minn., Wis.	WIS.—D.C., Minn.
International Fidelity Insurance Company, Newark, N.J.	69	Alaska, Ariz., Ill., Mass., Mich., Nev., N.J., N. Mex., N.Y., Okla., Oreg., Pa., Tex.	N.J.—Ariz., D.C., Ga., nIll., sInd., nIowa, Mass., Minn. Nev., seN.Y., N. Dak., nwOkla., S. Dak., nTex., Wyo.
International Insurance Company, New York, N.Y.	1,305	All except Ala., C.Z., Del., Guam, La., Miss., Oreg., S.C., Virgin Islands.	N.Y.—All except Alaska, C.Z., Conn., Del., Guam, Me., Md., Mass., N.H., N.J., Ohio, Pa., Puerto Rico, R.I., eTenn., Vt., Virgin Islands, W. Va.
International Service Insurance Company, Forth Worth, Tex.	680	Alaska, Cal., C.Z., Nebr., N. Mex., Tex.	TEX.—D.C.
Iowa Mutual Insurance Company, De Witt, Iowa.	643	Colo., Ill., Iowa, Kans., Minn., Mo., Mont., Nebr., N.C., N. Dak., Okla., S.C., S. Dak., Wis., Wyo.	IOWA—nAla., Colo., D.C., sIll., Kans., Minn., Mont., Nebr., wN.C., wOkla., Oreg., S. Dak.
Jersey Insurance Company of New York, New York, N.Y.	1,031	All except Ariz., C.Z., Del., Guam., Hawaii, N.H., N. Mex., Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, Wyo.	N.Y.—mAla., Ariz., Ark., D.C., nFla., nGa., sInd., sIowa, eKy., Mass., Mich., Minn., sMiss., wMo., N.J., Ohio, wOkla., R.I., S. Dak., nwTex.
John Deere Insurance Company, Moline, Ill.	343	Ala. (except official), Alaska, Ariz., Ark., Cal., Colo., Conn., D.C., Fla., Ga., Hawaii, Idaho, Ill., Ind., Iowa, Kans., Ky., La., Me., Md., Mass., Mich., Minn., Miss., Mo., Mont., Nebr., Nev., N.H., N.J., N. Mex., N.Y., N.C., N. Dak., Ohio, Okla., Oreg., Pa., Puerto Rico, R.I., S.C., S. Dak., Tenn., Tex., Utah, Vt., Va., Wash., W. Va., Wis., Wyo.	N.Y.—All except Ala., C.Z., Del., Guam, Idaho, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, sW. Va.
The Kansas Bankers Surety Company, Topeka, Kans.	86	Kans.	KANS.—D.C.
Kansas City Fire and Marine Insurance Company, New York, N.Y.	580	All except C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.	MO.—Ala., Alaska, Ark., Colo., D.C., nsFla., Ga., Ill., Iowa, Kans., Minn., Nebr., Okla., S.C., Tex., Va., Wis. Wyo.
Lawyers Surety Corpocation, Dallas, Tex.	106	Tex.	TEX.—D.C.
Liberty Mutual Insurance Company, Boston, Mass.	17,540	All except Guam, Virgin Islands.	MASS.—All except C.Z., Guam.
London Guarantee & Accident Company of New York, New York, N.Y.[3]	1,447	All except Alaska, Ariz., C.Z., Conn., Guam, Idaho, Kans., La., N. Dak., Oreg., Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.	N.Y.—D.C.
Lumbermens Mutual Casualty Company, Chicago, Ill.	9,636	All except C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.	ILL.—All except C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, wLa., Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.
Maine Bonding and Casualty Company, Portland, Me.	690	Me., Mass., N.H., R.I., Vt.	ME.—Conn., D.C., Mass., N.H., R.I., Vt.
The Manhattan Fire and Marine Insurance Company, Stamford, Conn.	372	All except Ala., C.Z., Conn., Del., Guam, N. Dak., Ohio, Oreg., Puerto Rico, S.C., Tenn., Virgin Islands.	N.Y.—D.C.
Maryland American General Insurance Company, Houston, Tex.	1,102	N. Mex., Tex.	TEX.—D.C., La., N. Mex., Okla.
Maryland Casualty Company, Baltimore, Md.	10,688	All except Guam.	MD.—All except Guam.
Massachusetts Bay Insurance Company, Worcester, Mass.	386	All except Ala., Alaska, Ariz., Ark., C.Z., Conn., Del., Guam, Hawaii, Idaho, Ky., La., Miss., Mont., Nev., N. Mex., N.C., N. Dak., Oreg., Puerto Rico, S. Dak., Utah, Va., Virgin Islands, W. Va.	MASS.—Colo., D.C., nFla., Ga., Ind., Iowa, Kans., Ky., Me., Md., wMich., N.H., Okla., wePa., R.I., S.C., Tenn., Tex., Vt., Wash., Wis., Wyo.
Merchants Mutual Bonding Company, Des Moines, Iowa.	40	Ariz., Iowa, Mont., Nebr., N.C., N. Dak., Okla., S. Dak., Tex.	IOWA.—D.C., sIll., Nebr., wOkla.
Michigan Millers Mutual Insurance Company, Lansing, Mich.	1,359	All except Ala., Alaska, Ariz., C.Z., Ga., Guam, Hawaii, Idaho, La., Nev., N. Mex., Oreg., Puerto Rico, S.C., Virgin Islands, Wyo.	MICH.—eArk., Cal., Colo., D.C., Ill., Ind., Iowa., Kans., eKy., Minn., Miss., Mo., Mont., Nebr., nwN.Y., N. Dak., Ohio, wOkla., S. Dak., wmTenn., Utah, wWash.
Michigan Mutual Liability Company, Detroit, Mich.	2,592	Ala., Alaska, Ariz., Ark., Cal., Colo., Conn., D.C., (Fidelity only), Fla., Ga., Idaho, Ill., Ind., Iowa, Kans., Ky., La., Me., Md., Mass., Mich., Minn., Miss., Mo., Mont., Nebr., Nev., N.H., N.J., N. Mex., N.Y., N.C., N. Dak., (Fidelity only) Ohio, Okla., Pa., R.I., S.C., S. Dak., Tenn., Tex., Utah, Vt., Va., Wash., W. Va., Wis., Wyo.	MICH.—D.C.
Mid-Century Insurance Company, Los Angeles, Cal.	1,360	All except Ala., Alaska, C.Z., Conn., Del., D.C., Guam, Hawaii, Ky., La., Me., Md., Mass., Miss., N.H., N.J., N.Y., N.C., Pa., Puerto Rico, R.I., S.C., Tenn., Va., Virgin Islands, W. Va.	CAL.—Ariz., Ark., Colo., D.C., Idaho, Ill., Ind., Iowa, Kans., Mich, Minn., Mo., Mont., Nebr., Nev., N. Mex., N. Dak., Okla., Oreg., S. Dak., Tex., Utah, Wash., Wis., Wyo.
Midland Insurance Company, New York, N.Y.	724	All except Ariz., C.Z., Conn., Guam, Hawaii, Mass., Virgin Islands, W. Va., Wis.	N.Y.—D.C., Kans.
Mid-States Insurance Company, Chicago, Ill.	263	Ala., Ariz., Cal., Colo., Ga., Idaho, Ill., Ind., Ky., La., Mich., Minn., Miss., Nebr., Nev., N. Mex., N.C., Ohio, Okla., S.C., Tenn., Tex., Utah, Wash., Wis.	ILL.—
Midwestern Casualty & Surety Company, Des Moines, Iowa.	77	Iowa.	IOWA—D.C.
The Millers Casualty Insurance Company of Texas, Fort Worth, Tex.	136	Ark., Colo., D.C., Idaho, La., Miss., Mo., Mont., N. Mex., Okla., Tex.	TEX.—Ark., D.C., Fla., La., Miss., Mo., N. Mex., Okla.
The Millers Mutual Insurance Company, Harrisburg, Pa.	237	Ga., Ind., Ky., Mo., N.Y., N.C., Pa., R.I., S.C., Tex., Vt., W. Va.	PA.—D.C.

See footnotes at end of table.

COMPANIES HOLDING CERTIFICATES OF AUTHORITY FROM SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY UNDER SECTIONS 6 TO 13 OF TITLE 6 OF THE UNITED STATES CODE AS ACCEPTABLE SURETIES ON FEDERAL BONDS (a)—Continued

Names of companies and locations of principal executive offices	Underwriting limitations (net limit on any one risk) See footnote (b) (In thousands of dollars)	States and other areas in which licensed to transact a fidelity and surety business. See footnote (c)	State or other area in which incorporated and judicial districts in which process agents have been appointed. (State or other area of incorporation in capitals. Letters preceding names of States indicate judicial districts.) See footnote (d)
The Millers Mutual Fire Insurance Company of Texas, Fort Worth, Tex.	752	Ala., Ariz., Ark., Cal., Colo., D.C., Fla., Ga., Idaho, Ill., Ind., Iowa, Kans., Ky., La., Mass., Mich., Minn., Miss., Mo., Mont., Nebr., N.H. (Reinsurance), N.J., N. Mex., N.Y., N. Dak., Ohio, Okla., Oreg., Pa., S. Dak., Tenn., Tex., Utah, Va. (Reinsurance), Wash., Wis.	TEX.—All except Ala., Alaska, C.Z., Conn., Del., Guam, Hawaii, Idaho, Me., Md., Nev., N.H., N.C., Puerto Rico, R.I., S.C., Vt., Va., Virgin Islands, Wash., W. Va., Wyo.
Millers' Mutual Insurance Association of Illinois, Alton, Ill.	2,041	All except Ala., Alaska, Ariz., Cal., C.Z., Conn., Del., D.C., Guam, Hawaii, Idaho, Ky., La., Me., Mass., Miss., Nebr., Nev., N.H., N. Mex., Oreg., Puerto Rico, R.I., Tenn., Utah, Virgin Islands.	ILL.—nmAla., Ark., Colo., D.C., Ind., Iowa, Kans., Minn., Mo., Mont., N. Dak., S. Dak.
Millers National Insurance Company, Chicago, Ill.	490	All except Alaska, C.Z., Colo., Conn., Del., Guam, Hawaii, La., Me., Miss., Puerto Rico, Vt., Virgin Islands, Wyo.	ILL.—Ariz., sCal., Colo., D.C., Ind., Iowa, Kans., Ky., Mass., Mich., Minn., Mo., Mont., Nev., N. Mex., N. Dak., R.I., S. Dak., nwsTex., Utah, wWis., Wyo.
Mutual Boiler and Machinery Insurance Company, Waltham, Mass.	1,613	Alaska, Ariz., Colo., Conn., D.C., Ind., Iowa, Ky., Mass., Mich., Minn., Mont., Nev., N.H., N.J., N. Mex., N.Y., N.C., R.I., Tex., Utah, W. Va., Wis., Wyo.	MASS.—D.C.
National Automobile and Casualty Insurance Company, Los Angeles, Cal.	361	Alaska, Ariz., Cal., Colo., Idaho, Ill., Ind., Kans., Ky., La., Mich., Mo., Mont., Nev., N. Mex., Okla., Oreg., Tenn., Tex., Utah, Wash., Wyo.	CAL.—All except C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.
National-Ben Franklin Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pa., New York, N.Y.	2,363	All except C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, Oreg., Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.	PA.—D.C., Md., W. Va.
National Casualty Company, Detroit, Mich.	1,000	All except C.Z., Guam, Me., Miss., Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.	MICH.—All except Alaska, C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.
National Fire Insurance Company, of Hartford, Chicago, Ill.	9,725	All except C.Z., Guam, Virgin Islands	CONN.—All except Ariz., C.Z., Guam, Nev., Virgin Islands.
National Grange Mutual Insurance Company, Keene, N.H.	2,388	Conn., Del., D.C., Ill., Ind., Me., Md., Mass., Mich., N.H., N.J., N.Y., N.C., Ohio, Pa., R.I., S.C., Tenn., Vt., Va., W. Va., Wis.	N.H.—All except Alaska, C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, Virgin Islands.
National Indemnity Company, Omaha, Nebr.	1,453	All except C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, Me., Mass., N.H., N.J., N.Y., Puerto Rico, S.C., Vt., Virgin Islands.	NEBR.—All except Alaska, C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.
The National Reinsurance Corporation, New York, N.Y.	2,535	All except Ala., C.Z., Conn., Fla., Ga., Guam, La., Me., Miss., Mo., N.C., Oreg., Puerto Rico, S.C., S. Dak., Tenn., Va., Virgin Islands.	N.Y.—D.C., sOhio.
National Standard Insurance Company, Houston, Tex.	281	La., N. Mex., Tex	TEX.—D.C.
National Surety Corporation, Principal Office: New York, N.Y., Home Office: San Francisco, Cal.	6,550	All except Guam, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands	N.Y.—All except Guam.
National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pa., New York, N.Y.	2,000	All except C.Z., Guam, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands	PA.—All except Alaska, C.Z., Guam, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.
National Union Indemnity Company, Philadelphia, Pa.	253	All except Ark., C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, Me., Oreg., Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.	PA.—All except Alaska, C.Z., Guam, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.
Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company, Columbus, Ohio.	10,491	All except Cal., C.Z., Guam, Hawaii	OHIO.—D.C.
New Hampshire Insurance Company, Manchester, N.H.	4,045	All except C.Z., Guam, Virgin Islands	N.H.—All except Guam.
New York Underwriters Insurance Company, Hartford, Conn.	2,175	All except C.Z., Guam, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands	N.Y.—All except C.Z., Guam, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.
Newark Insurance Company, New York, N.Y.	1,732	All except C.Z., Guam, Oreg., Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.	N.J.—All except Alaska, nCal., C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, Idaho, Virgin Islands, Wyo.
Niagara Fire Insurance Company, New York, N.Y.	2,149	All except C.Z., Guam	N.Y.—All except C.Z., Guam.
North American Reinsurance Corporation, New York, N.Y.	4,729	All except C.Z., Guam, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands	N.Y.—All except C.Z., Guam, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.
The North River Insurance Company, New York, N.Y.	4,322	All except C.Z., Guam, Virgin Islands	N.Y.—All except Alaska, C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.
Northeastern Insurance Company of Hartford, Des Moines, Iowa.	1,049	Cal., Colo., Conn., Ill., Iowa, Kans., La., Mich., Nev., N.H., N.J., N.Y., Ohio, Okla., Tex., W. Va.	CONN.—D.C.
The Northern Assurance Company of America, Boston, Mass.	1,374	All except Guam	MASS.—All except C.Z., Guam, Virgin Islands, sW. Va.
Northern Insurance Company of New York, Baltimore, Md.	4,501	All except C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, La., Oreg., Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.	N.Y.—D.C., Me.
Northwestern National Casualty Company, Milwaukee, Wis.	942	All except Alaska, Ark., C.Z., Conn., Del., Guam, Hawaii, Idaho, La., Me., Mass., Miss., Nev., N.H., N.J., N.Y., N.C., N. Dak., Oreg., Puerto Rico, S.C., Tenn., Utah, Vt., Va., Virgin Islands.	WIS.—nsAla., Ariz., Cal., Colo., D.C., Fla., Ga., Ill., Ind., Iowa, Kans., Ky., Md., Mich., Minn., Mo., Mont., Nebr., N. Mex., Ohio, Okla., Pa., R.I., S. Dak., nesTex., Wash., W. Va., Wyo.
Northwestern National Insurance Company of Milwaukee, Wisconsin, Milwaukee, Wis.	3,673	All except C.Z., Guam, Virgin Islands	WIS.—All except C.Z., Guam, Virgin Islands.
The Ohio Casualty Insurance Company, Hamilton, Ohio.	4,269	All except C.Z., Guam, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands	OHIO—All except C.Z., Guam.
Ohio Farmers Insurance Company Le Roy, Ohio.	2,593	All except Alaska, C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, Kans., La., Me., Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.	OHIO—All except Alaska, C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.
Oklahoma Surety Company, Tulsa, Okla.	61	Okla.	OKLA.—D.C.
Oregon Automobile Insurance Company, Portland, Oreg.	1,020	Cal., Hawaii, Idaho, Nev., Oreg., Utah, Wash	OREG.—Cal., D.C., Hawaii, Idaho, Nev., Utah, Wash.
Pacific Employers Insurance Company, Los Angeles, Cal.	2,761	Ariz., Cal., Colo., Idaho, Ill., Ind., Iowa, Kans., Me., Miss., Mo., Mont., Nebr., Nev., N. Mex., N.Y., Ohio, Okla., Oreg., S. Dak., Tenn., Tex., Utah, Wash., Wis., Wyo.	CAL.—Ariz., Conn., Del., D.C., sFla., wKy., Md., Mass., N. Mex., N.Y., Ohio, R.I., wTex., W. Va., Wis.
Pacific Indemnity Company, Los Angeles, Cal.	5,456	All except C.Z., Guam, Virgin Islands	CAL.—All except Conn., Guam, Me., N.H., Vt., Virgin Islands.
Pacific Insurance Company, New York, N.Y.	3,066	Alaska, Ariz., Ark., Cal., Colo., D.C., Fla., Hawaii, Idaho, Ill., Ind., Iowa, Mich., Mont., Nev., N.J., N. Mex., N.Y., N.C., Okla., Tex., Utah, Va., Wash., Wyo.	CAL.—D.C.
Pacific Insurance Company, Limited, Honolulu, Hawaii.	1,120	Hawaii, Guam	HAWAII—D.C.
Peerless Insurance Company, Keene, N.H.	830	All except C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.	N.H.—All except Guam, Hawaii, Virgin Islands.
Pekin Insurance Company, Pekin, Ill.	154	Ill., Ind., Iowa, Mo.	ILL.—D.C., Ind., Iowa.

See footnotes at end of table.

COMPANIES HOLDING CERTIFICATES OF AUTHORITY FROM SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY UNDER SECTIONS 6 TO 13 OF TITLE 6 OF THE UNITED STATES CODE AS ACCEPTABLE SURETIES ON FEDERAL BONDS (a)—Continued

Names of companies and locations of principal executive offices	Underwriting limitations (net limit on any one risk) See footnote (b) (In thousands of dollars)	States and other areas in which licensed to transact a fidelity and surety business. See footnote (c)	State or other area in which incorporated and judicial districts in which process agents have been appointed. (State or other area of incorporation in capitals. Letters preceding names of States indicate judicial districts.) See footnote (d)
Pennsylvania Manufacturers' Association Insurance Company, Philadelphia, Pa.	2,947	Del., D.C., Md., N.J., N.Y., Ohio, Pa., W. Va	PA.—D.C.
Pennsylvania Millers Mutual Insurance Company, Wilkes-Barre, Pa.	1,138	D.C., Pa	PA.—D.C.
Pennsylvania National Mutual Casualty Insurance Company, Harrisburg, Pa.	1,628	All except Alaska, Ariz., Ark., Cal., C.Z., Colo., Conn., Guam, Hawaii, Idaho, Ill., La., Me., Mass., Mont., Nev., N.H., N. Mex., N.Y., N. Dak., Oreg., Puerto Rico, S. Dak., Virgin Islands, Wash., Wyo.	PA.—D.C., Kans., Md., Mo., N.J., N.C., Okla., Tenn., Va.
Phoenix Assurance Company of New York, New York, N.Y.	2,865	All except C.Z., Guam, Virgin Islands	N.Y.—All except Alaska, C.Z., Guam, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.
The Phoenix Insurance Company, Hartford, Conn.	15,900	All except C.Z., Guam, Puerto Rico	CONN.—All except C.Z., Guam, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.
Planet Insurance Company, Philadelphia, Pa.	2,360	All except C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.	WIS.—All except C.Z., Guam, Virgin Islands.
Potomac Insurance Company, Philadelphia, Pa.	7,339	Ala. (fidelity only), Ariz., Cal., Colo., Conn., D.C., Fla., Ga., Ill., Ind., Iowa, Kans., Ky., La., Md., Mass., Mich., Minn., Miss., Mo., Nebr., N.J., N. Mex., N.Y., N.C., Ohio, Okla., Oreg., Pa., R.I., S.C. (fidelity only), Tenn., Tex., Utah, Va., Wash., W. Va., Wis., Wyo.	PA.—All except Ala., Alaska, Ark., C.Z., Del., Guam, Hawaii, Idaho, Me., Mont., Nev., N.H., N. Dak., Oreg., Puerto Rico, S. Dak., Vt., Virgin Islands.
Protective Insurance Company, Indianapolis, Ind.	420	All except Alaska, Ark., C.Z., Conn., Del., Guam, Hawaii, La., N. Mex., N.Y., Puerto Rico, S.C., Virgin Islands.	IND.—D.C.
Providence Washington Insurance Company, Providence, R.I.	2,302	All except C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, Oreg., Virgin Islands	R.I.—Ala., Cal., Conn., D.C., Fla., Ga., Me., Mass., N.H., N.J., N.Y., N.C., nwOkla., Pa., S.C., Tex., Vt., Va.
The Prudential Insurance Company of Great Britain Located in New York, New York, N.Y.	882	Cal., N.Y.	N.Y.—D.C.
Public Service Mutual Insurance Company, New York, N.Y.	1,480	Conn., Del., D.C., Fla., Ga., Idaho, Ill., Iowa, Me., Md., Mass., Mich., N.H., N.J., N.Y., N.C., Pa., R.I., Vt., Va., W. Va., Wis.	N.Y.—D.C., sFla., N.J.; ePa., wTex.
Puerto Rican-American Insurance Company, San Juan, Puerto Rico.	660	Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands	PUERTO RICO—D.C.
Queen Insurance Company of America, New York, N.Y.	4,446	All except C.Z., Guam, Oreg., Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.	N.Y.—All except Alaska, C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, Idaho, Virgin Islands, Wyo.
The Reinsurance Corporation of New York, New York, N.Y.	2,967	All except C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands. (In Fla., Mass., Va., licensed for co-surety only.)	N.Y.—D.C.
Reliance Insurance Company, Philadelphia, Pa.	19,345	All except Guam	PA.—All except Guam.
Republic Insurance Company, Dallas, Tex.	3,121	All except Ala., Alaska, C.Z., Fla., Guam, Hawaii, Me., Mass., Mont., Nev., N.H., N. Dak., R.I., S.C., S. Dak., Vt., Virgin Islands, Wyo.	TEX.—D.C.
Reserve Insurance Company, Chicago, Ill.	1,521	All except C.Z., Conn., Guam, N.Y., Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.	ILL.—All except C.Z., Conn., Guam, Hawaii, N.Y., Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.
Resolute Insurance Company, Hartford, Conn.	1,399	All except C.Z., Guam, N.Y., Pa., Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.	R.I.—All except wArk., C.Z., mGa., Guam, Hawaii, La., Me., wMich., nMiss., nwN.Y., N.C., Oreg., Puerto Rico, S.C., S. Dak., weTenn., Utah, Vt., wVa., Virgin Islands, nW. Va., wWis.
Royal Indemnity Company, New York, N.Y.	4,756	All	N.Y.—All except Guam, Virgin Islands.
Safeco Insurance Company of America, Seattle, Wash.	10,138	Ala. (fidelity only), Ariz., Ark., Cal., Colo., Conn., D.C. (fidelity only), Idaho, Ill., Ind., Iowa, Kans., Md. (fidelity only), Mich., Minn., Miss. (fidelity only), Mo., Mont., Nebr., Nev., N.H., N.J., N. Mex., N.C., N. Dak., Okla., Oreg., Pa., R.I., S. Dak., Tex., Utah, Wash., W. Va., Wis., Wyo.	WASH.—All except Alaska, C.Z., Del., Fla., Ga., Guam, Hawaii, Ky., La., Me., Md., Mass., Miss., N.Y., Ohio, Puerto Rico, S.C., Tenn., Vt., Va., Virgin Islands.
Safeguard Insurance Company, New York, N.Y.	1,651	All except Del., Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands	CONN.—All except C.Z., Guam, eLa., Miss., wN.C., wOkla., Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, wVa., W. Va.
St. Paul Fire and Marine Insurance Company, St. Paul, Minn.	19,331	All except C.Z., Guam	MINN.—All except Guam.
Seaboard Surety Company, New York, N.Y.	3,350	All	N.Y.—All.
Security Insurance Company of Hartford, Hartford, Conn.	2,787	All except C.Z., Guam, Virgin Islands	CONN.—All except Alaska, cesCal., C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, seIll., sIowa, Nev., neN.Y., N. Dak., Tenn., Virgin Islands, eWash., sW. Va.
Security Mutual Casualty Company, Chicago, Ill.	878	All except Alaska, C.Z., Conn., Guam, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.	ILL.—D.C.
Security National Insurance Company, Dallas, Tex.	399	Ala., Ark., Cal., Colo., Ill., Ind., Kans., Ky., La., Mich., Ohio, Okla., Oreg., Tex., Wash., Wis.	TEX.—All except C.Z., Guam, Mont.
Select Insurance Company, Dallas, Tex.	529	All except Ariz., Ark., C.Z., Conn., Del., Guam, Hawaii, Kans., Ky., La., Me., Md., Mass., N.H., N.Y., N. Dak., Pa., Puerto Rico., R.I., S.C., Tenn., Utah, Va., Virgin Islands, Wis.	TEX.—All except C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.
Sentry Insurance a Mutual Company, Stevens Point, Wis.[4]	4,023	All except C.Z., Idaho, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands	WIS.—
Signal Insurance Company, Los Angeles, Cal.	170	Cal., Idaho, Nev., Oreg., Wash	CAL.—
South Carolina Insurance Company, Columbia, S.C.	312	Ala., Ariz., Cal., Colo., Fla., Ga., Ind., Iowa, Ky., Md., Mich., Minn., Miss., Mo., Mont., Nebr., Nev., N.H., N.J., N.Y., N.C., Okla., Oreg., S.C., Tenn., Tex., Va., (reinsurance only in Conn., Ohio).	S.C.—nmAla., D.C., Fla., Ga., N.C., Va.
Southern General Insurance Company, Allentown, Pa.	205	Ark., Cal., Colo., Del., D.C., Fla., Ga., Idaho, Ill., Ind., Md., Miss., Mo., Nev., N.J., N.C., Pa., R.I., S.C., Tex., Utah, Wash., Wis.	GA.—Ariz., Cal., D.C., nsFla., nInd., Md., sMiss., N.J., nwN.C., wePa., enTex.
The Standard Fire Insurance Company, Hartford, Conn.	2,944	All except Ala., C.Z., Del., Guam, La., N.J., Puerto Rico, Tenn., Virgin Islands, W. Va.	CONN.—All.
State Automobile Mutual Insurance Company, Columbus, Ohio.	2,304	Ala., Fla., Ga., Ind., Kans., Ky., Md., Mich., Miss., Mo., N.J., N.C., Ohio, Pa., S.C., Tenn., Va., W. Va.	OHIO—Ala., D.C., Fla., Ga., Ky., Md., Mich., Miss., eMo., N.C., Pa., S.C., Tenn., Va., W. Va.
State Farm Fire and Casualty Company, Bloomington, Ill.	12,528	All except C.Z., Guam, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands	ILL.—eCal., Colo., D.C., mGa., Minn., wOkla., mPa.

See footnotes at end of table.

COMPANIES HOLDING CERTIFICATES OF AUTHORITY FROM SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY UNDER SECTIONS 6 TO 13 OF TITLE 6 OF THE UNITED STATES CODE AS ACCEPTABLE SURETIES OF FEDERAL BONDS (a)—Continued

Names of companies and locations of principal executive offices	Underwriting limitations (net limit on any one risk) See footnote (b) (In thousands of dollars)	States and other areas in which licensed to transact a fidelity and surety business. See footnote (c)	State or other area in which incorporated and judicial districts in which process agents have been appointed. (State or other area of incorporation in capitals. Letters preceding names of States indicate judicial districts.) See footnote (d)
State Surety Company, Des Moines, Iowa.	90	Colo., D.C., Iowa, Kans., Minn., Mo., Nebr., S. Dak.	IOWA—eArk., Colo., D.C., sFla., Ill., Kans., eLa., wMich., Minn., sMiss., Mo., Nebr., sN.Y., N. Dak., nOhio, wnOkla., S. Dak.
Statesman Insurance Company, Indianapolis, Ind.	268	Ala., Fla., Ill., Ind., Iowa, Kans., Ky., La., Md., Minn., Miss., Mont., N. Mex., N. Dak., Pa., S. Dak., Tenn.	IND.—Ariz., eCal., Colo., D.C., Ill., nIowa, Kans., eLa., Minn., wMo., Mont., Nebr., N. Mex., N. Dak., nwOkla., wmPa., S. Dak., nesTex., Wyo.
The Stuyvesant Insurance Company, Allentown, Pa.	903	All except C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, Virgin Islands	N.Y.—All except Alaska, C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, Virgin Islands.
Sun Insurance Company of New York, New York, N.Y.	330	All except Ala., Alaska, Ariz., Ark., C.Z., Colo., Fla., Ga., Guam, Hawaii, Idaho, Ind., Kans., La., Miss., Nebr., Nev., N.C., N. Dak., Puerto Rico, S.C., S. Dak.	N.Y.—All except Alaska, C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.
Surety Company of the Pacific, Los Angeles, Cal.	54	Cal.	CAL.—D.C.
Surety Insurance Company of California, La Habra, Cal.	45	Alaska, Cal., Colo., N. Mex., Tex.	CAL.—Alaska, Colo., D.C., N. Mex., Tex.
Traders & General Insurance Company, Dallas, Tex.	302	Colo., Kans., La., Miss., Mo., N. Mex., Okla., Tex.	TEX.—D.C.
Transamerica Insurance Company, Los Angeles, Cal.	7,270	All except Guam	CAL.—All except C.Z., Guam, Virgin Islands.
Transcontinental Insurance Company, Chicago, Ill.	2,325	All except C.Z., Del., Guam, Hawaii, La., Oreg., Virgin Islands.	N.Y.—All except Alaska, C.Z., Del., nsGa., Guam, Hawaii, La., Miss., Oreg., Puerto Rico, S.C., Vt., Virgin Islands.
Transport Indemnity Company, Los Angeles, Cal.	483	All except C.Z., Guam, Virgin Islands	CAL.—All except Alaska, C.Z., Guam, eKy., eLa., Nev., nwN.Y., eOkla., Puerto Rico, mTenn., wVa., Virgin Islands, nW. Va.
Transportation Insurance Company, Chicago, Ill.	1,033	All except C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, S.C., Virgin Islands.	ILL.—All except Alaska, nCal., C.Z., Conn., sFla., Guam, Hawaii, eKy., Minn., wMo., Nev., N.H., wN.Y., Ohio, ePa., Puerto Rico, S. Dak., Virgin Islands, wWash., nW. Va., Wis.
The Travelers Indemnity Company, Hartford, Conn.	21,900	All except Guam	CONN.—All except Guam.
Trinity Universal Insurance Company, Dallas, Tex.	1,778	All except Alaska, C.Z., Conn., Del., Fla., Guam, Hawaii, Me., Md., Mass., Mont., Nev., N.H., N.J., N.Y., Puerto Rico, R.I., Tenn., Utah, Vt., Va., Virgin Islands, W.Va., Wyo.	TEX.—All except Guam.
Tri-State Insurance Company, Tulsa, Okla.	272	All except Cal., C.Z., Conn., Del., D.C., Guam, Hawaii, Me., Md., Mass., Mich., N.H., N.J., N.Y., N.C., Ohio, Oreg., Pa., Puerto Rico, R.I., S.C., Vt., Va., Virgin Islands, W.Va., Wis.	OKLA.—All except Cal., C.Z., Conn., Del., Guam, Hawaii, Me., Md., Mass., Mich., N.H., N.J., N.Y., N.C., Ohio, Oreg., Pa., Puerto Rico, R.I., S.C., Vt., Va., Virgin Islands, W. Va., Wis.
Twin City Fire Insurance Company, Hartford, Conn.	925	All except C.Z., Guam, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands	MINN.—sCal., Conn., D.C., La., Va.
United Fire & Casualty Company, Cedar Rapids, Iowa.	271	Ariz., Colo., Ill., Ind., Iowa, Kans., Minn., Mo., Nebr., N. Dak., S. Dak., Wis., Wyo.	IOWA—D.C., nsIll., Minn., Mo., Nebr., S. Dak., Wis.
United Pacific Insurance Company, Tacoma, Wash.	2,145	All except C.Z., Conn., Del., Ga., Guam, Me., Md., Mass., Pa., Puerto Rico, R.I., Vt., Virgin Islands.	WASH.—All except C.Z., Guam, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.
United States Fidelity and Guaranty Company, Baltimore, Md.	42,904	All except Guam	MD.—All except Guam.
United States Fire Insurance Company, New York, N.Y.	8,617	All except C.Z., Guam, Virgin Islands	N.Y.—All except Alaska, C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, Virgin Islands.
Universal Surety Company, Lincoln, Nebr.	200	Ariz., Ark., Colo., Ill., Iowa, Kans., Minn., Mo., Mont., Nebr., N. Mex., N. Dak., Ohio, Okla., S. Dak., Utah, Wash., Wis., Wyo.	NEBR.—Ariz., Colo., D.C., Iowa, Kans., Minn., Mo., Mont., N. Mex., N. Dak., wOkla., S. Dak., nTex., Utah, Wyo.
Utica Mutual Insurance Company, Utica, N.Y.	2,210	All except C.Z., Guam, Kans., La., Virgin Islands	N.Y.—All except Alaska, C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, Mo., Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.
Valley Forge Insurance Company, Chicago, Ill.	1,004	All except Alaska, Cal., C.Z., Del., Fla., Guam, Hawaii, Idaho, Kans., Ky., La., Nebr., N.H., N. Mex., N.C., Oreg., Puerto Rico, S. Dak., Tenn., Virgin Islands, Wyo.	PA.—All except Guam, Virgin Islands, Wis.
Vigilant Insurance Company, New York, N.Y.	1,689	All except Alaska, C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, Puerto Rico	N.Y.—All except Alaska, Guam, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.
West American Insurance Company, Hamilton, Ohio.	1,410	All except Ala., Alaska, C.Z., Conn., Del., Guam, Hawaii, Idaho, Me., Mass., Mont., N.H., N.C., Puerto Rico, R.I., S. Dak., Vt., Virgin Islands, W. Va.	CAL.—Ala., Colo., D.C., nsFla., Ga., Ill., Ind., Iowa, Kans., Ky., eLa., Md., Mich., Minn., Mo., Nev., N. Mex., N. Dak., Ohio, nOkla., Oreg., Pa., mTenn., Tex., Utah, Va., Wash., Wis., Wyo.
Westchester Fire Insurance Company, New York, N.Y.	4,265	All except C.Z., Guam, Virgin Islands	N.Y.—All except Alaska, C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.
The Western Casualty and Surety Company, Fort Scott, Kans.	4,725	All except Alaska, C.Z., Conn., Del., Guam, Hawaii, Me., Mass., N.H., N.Y., N.C., Puerto Rico, R.I., Vt., Virgin Islands.	KANS.—All except Guam, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.
The Western Fire Insurance Company, Fort Scott, Kans.	2,867	All except Alaska, C.Z., Del., Guam, Hawaii, Me., Md., Mass., N.H., N.C., Pa., Puerto Rico, R.I., Vt., Va., Virgin Islands, W. Va.	KANS.—All except Guam, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.
Western Surety Company, Sioux Falls, S. Dak.	1,342	All except Alaska, C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, N.Y., Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.	S. DAK.—All except Alaska, C.Z., Guam, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.
Westfield Insurance Company, LeRoy, Ohio.[1]	1,174	All except Ala., Alaska, Ark., C.Z., Fla., Ga., Guam, Hawaii, La., Me., Miss., Mo., N.H., N. Mex., N. Dak., Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands.	OHIO—All except Alaska, eCal., C.Z., Del., mFla., Guam, Hawaii, Idaho, nsIll., eKy., Me., Mass., Mo., Mont., N.J., eN.Y., Oreg., Pa., Puerto Rico, S.C., sTex., wVa., Virgin Islands.
Wilshire Insurance Company, Los Angeles, Cal.	135	Ariz., Cal., Hawaii, Idaho, Iowa, Mont., Nev., Oreg., N. Mex., Utah, Wash.	CAL.—
Wisconsin Surety Corporation, Madison, Wis.	87	Alaska, Cal., D.C., Ill., Iowa, Minn., Mo., Nev., Pa., S. Dak., Tex., Wis.	WIS.—D.C., Iowa, Minn., wmPa., S. Dak., nTex.
Wolverine Insurance Company, Battle Creek, Mich.	1,901	Ark., Cal., Fla., Ga., Ill., Ind., Iowa, Kans., Mich., Minn., Nebr., Nev., N. Mex., N. Dak., Ohio, Pa., S. Dak., Vt., W. Va., Wyo.	MICH.—D.C., Ga., Ill., Ind., Iowa, Minn., Ohio, S. Dak.

NOTES

(a) All certificates of authority expire June 30, and are renewable July 1, annually.

(b) Treasury requirements do not limit the penal sum of bonds which surety companies may execute. The net retention, however, cannot exceed the underwriting limitation and excess risks must be protected by coinsurance, reinsurance, or other methods in accordance with Treasury Circular 297, Revised January 2, 1970 (31 CFR § 223.10, § 223.11). When excess risks on bonds in favor of the United States are protected by reinsurance, such reinsurance is to be effected by use of a Treasury reinsurance form to be filed with the bond or within 45 days thereafter. Risks in excess of limit fixed herein must be reported for quarter in which they are executed. In protecting such excess, the rating in force on the date of the execution of the risk will govern absolutely. This limit applies until a new rating is established by the Treasury Department.

(c) A surety company must be licensed in the State or other area in which it executes (signs) the bond, but need not be licensed in the State or other area in which the principal resides or where the contract is to be performed (28 Op. Atty. Gen. 127, Dec. 24, 1909; 31 CFR §223.5(b)). The term "other areas" includes the Canal Zone, District of Columbia, Guam, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands.

(d) Abbreviated capital letters preceding judicial districts indicate State or other area in which the company is incorporated. Process agents are required in the following districts: Where principal resides; where obligation is to be performed; and where the bond is returnable or filed. No process agent required in State or other area wherein company is incorporated. Letters "n, s, e, m, c, and w" preceding names of States indicate respectively the Northern, Southern, Eastern, Middle, Central, and Western judicial districts of States indicated. If letters do not precede names of States, process agents have been appointed in all judicial districts of such States.

COMPANIES HOLDING CERTIFICATES OF AUTHORITY FROM THE SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY AS ACCEPTABLE REINSURING COMPANIES UNDER TREASURY CIRCULAR NO. 297, REVISED JANUARY 2, 1970, AS SUPPLEMENTED

Name of companies	Underwriting limitations (net limit on any one risk) [In thousands of dollars]	Judicial Districts in which process agents have been appointed
Accident and Casualty Insurance Company of Winterthur, Switzerland (U.S. Office, New York, N.Y.)	2,258	D.C.
Alliance Assurance Company, Limited, London, England (U.S. Office, New York, N.Y.)	758	D.C.
Atlas Assurance Company, Limited, London, England (U.S. Office, New York, N.Y.)	817	D.C.
Constellation Reinsurance Company, New York, N.Y.	1,981	D.C.
ELAC Insurance Company, Limited, London, England (U.S. Office, Boston, Mass.)	6,549	
General Accident Fire and Life Assurance Corporation, Limited, Perth, Scotland (U.S. Office, Philadelphia, Pa.)	11,077	D.C.
The London Assurance, London, England (U.S. Office, New York, N.Y.)	1,448	D.C.
The London & Lancashire Insurance Company, Limited, London, England (U.S. Office, New York, N.Y.)	657	D.C.
The Marine Insurance Company, Limited, London, England (U.S. Office, New York, N.Y.)	472	D.C.
Metropolitan Fire Assurance Company, Hartford, Conn.	615	D.C.
Munich Reinsurance Company, Munich, Germany (U.S. Office, New York, N.Y.)	977	D.C.
The Netherlands Insurance Company, Est. 1845, The Hague, Holland (U.S. Office, Keene, N.H.)	685	D.C.
Rochdale Insurance Company, New York, N.Y.	263	D.C.
Royal Insurance Company, Limited, Liverpool, England (U.S. Office, New York, N.Y.)	3,247	D.C.
The Sea Insurance Company, Limited, Liverpool, England (U.S. Office, New York, N.Y.)	730	D.C.
The Skandia Insurance Company, Stockholm, Sweden (U.S. Office, New York, N.Y.)	1,082	D.C.
Sun Insurance Office, Limited, London, England (U.S. Office, New York, N.Y.)	1,356	D.C.
Swiss Reinsurance Company, Zurich, Switzerland (U.S. Office, New York, N.Y.)	4,985	D.C.
Transatlantic Reinsurance Company, New York, N.Y.	237	D.C.
The Unity Fire and General Insurance Company, New York, N.Y.	463	D.C.
Zurich Insurance Company, Zurich, Switzerland (U.S. Office, Chicago, Ill.)	8,251	D.C.

[1] Formerly Employers Commercial Union Insurance Company of America. Name changed effective March 31, 1971. (See Federal Register May 4, 1971, Page 8331 for details.)

[2] Formerly Fidelity-Phenix Insurance Company. Name changed effective January 1, 1971. (See Federal Register of March 30, 1971, Page 5868 for details.)

[3] Assumed business of United States Branch of London Guarantee & Accident Company, Ltd., effective January 1, 1970. (See Federal Register of December 10, 1970, Page 18752 for details.)

[4] Formerly Hardware Mutual Casualty Company. Name changed effective July 1, 1971.

[5] Formerly Superior Risk Insurance Company. Name changed effective December 15, 1970.

[FR Doc.71-9456 Filed 7-8-71;8:45 am]