

292.207(b) of the Commission's Regulations and Section 3(17)(E) of the Federal Power Act. No determination has been made that the submittal constitutes a complete filing.

According to the applicant, the small power production facility, which is located in Chester, Pennsylvania, consists of a fluidized bed boiler and a steam turbine generator. The maximum net electric power production capacity of the facility will now be approximately 52 MW. The primary energy source of the facility will now be waste in the form of petroleum coke and anthracite culm. In Docket No. QF86-557-000, the facility was granted certification as a cogeneration facility with a power production capacity of 55.2 MW. Thermal energy from the facility was to be used for paper drying purposes [35 FERC ¶ 62,326 (1986)].

Comment date: February 21, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

16. Prairie Wind Energy Partners, L.P.
[Docket No. QF95-198-000]

On December 30, 1994, Prairie Wind Energy Partners, L.P. (Applicant), c/o Prairie Wind Energy, Inc., 1221 Nicollet Mall, Suite 700, Minneapolis, MN 55403, submitted for filing an application for certification of a facility as a small power production facility pursuant to Section 292.207(b) of the Commission's Regulations. No determination has been made that the submittal constitutes a complete filing.

According to the applicant, the small power production facility will be located at Buffalo Ridge near Lake Benton, Minnesota, and will consist of approximately 167 turbines, a 34.5 Kv switchyard and related interconnection equipment. The maximum net power production capacity of the facility will be approximately 80 MW. The primary energy source will be wind. The installation of the facility is scheduled to begin in late 1995.

Comment date: February 21, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in

determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-1297 Filed 1-18-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. ER95-72-000, et al.]

Power Exchange Corp., et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

January 11, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Power Exchange Corp.

[Docket No. ER95-72-000]

Take notice that on December 20, 1994, Power Exchange Corporation tendered for filing an amendment in the above-referenced docket.

Comment date: January 24, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

2. Kimball Power Co.

[Docket No. ER95-232-000]

Take notice that on December 21, 1994, Kimball Power Company tendered for filing an amendment in the above-referenced docket.

Comment date: January 24, 1995, in accordance with Standard paragraph E at the end of this notice.

3. Public Service Co. of New Hampshire

[Docket No. ER95-366-000]

Take notice that on December 30, 1994, Public Service Company of New Hampshire (PSNH), tendered for filing materials to reduce rates under the Total Requirements Resale Service Agreement between PSNH and Citizens Utilities Company (Citizens). PSNH has requested an effective date for the rate reduction of November 1, 1994.

PSNH states that rate reduction relates to reduced charges for post-retirement benefits other than pensions. PSNH further states that a copy of the filing was served on Citizens.

Comment date: January 25, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

4. Public Service Company of New Mexico

[Docket No. ER95-368-000]

Take notice that on December 30, 1994, Public Service Company of New Mexico (PNM), tendered for filing a

Notice of Continuation of Service Under Expiring Hazard Sharing Agreement (the Notice). Under the Notice, PNM agrees to continue in effect, on a month-to-month basis (terminable subject to the requirements of Section 35.15 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, 18 CFR 35.15), by either party upon three (3) months notice, those hazard sharing services presently provided to Plains Electric Generation and Transmission Cooperative, Inc. (Plains) pursuant to Service Schedule J to the PNM/Plains Master Interconnection Agreement (Supplement 36 to PNM Rate Schedule FPC No. 31), which services would otherwise terminate on January 1, 1995.

PNM requests a waiver of the Commission's notice requirements to permit the Notice to be effective for service rendered on and after January 2, 1995.

Copies of the Notice have been mailed to Plains and the New Mexico Public Utility Commission.

Comment date: January 25, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

5. Public Service Company of New Hampshire

[Docket No. ER95-369-000]

Take notice that on December 30, 1994, Public Service Company of New Hampshire (PNSH), tendered for filing changes to rates and amendments (the Amendments) to rate schedules with each of its following wholesale requirements customers (the Customers); The Town of Ashland, New Hampshire (Electric Light Department), and the New Hampshire Electric Cooperative, Inc. (the NHEC). PSNH states that the submitted materials, when permitted to become effective, would decrease two separate components of rates to the Customers, one component reflected in the Amendments and the other to reflect reduced accruals for post-retirement benefits other than pensions (PBOPs). PSNH further states that the component of the rate reduction contained in the Amendments flows through to the Customers the savings that will result from settlements recently reached between PSNH and two New Hampshire independent power producers.

PNSH has requested that the rate reduction for decreased PBOP costs be permitted to become effective November 1, 1994, and that the Amendments be permitted to become effective January 1, 1995. It states that copies of the filing were served on each of the Customers and the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission, which is the only State Commission within whose jurisdiction

the Customers distribute and sell electric energy at retail.

Comment date: January 25, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

6. Public Service Company of Colorado
[Docket No. ER95-370-000]

Take notice that on December 30, 1994, Public Service Company of Colorado (Public Service), filed the Midway Facilities Service Agreement Between Public Service Company of Colorado and Tri-State Generation and Transmission Association, Inc., dated December 21, 1994 (Midway Facilities Agreement).

Under the Midway Facilities Agreement, Public Service will provide Tri-State Generation and Transmission Association, Inc. (Tri-State), with 55 MW of firm capacity in its Midway Facilities, which consist of its Midway Transmission Substation, including a 100 MVA, 230/115 Kv auto-transformer, and associated 230 Kv and 115 Kv power circuit breakers, switches and bus work. Public Service requests an effective date of April 15, 1992, and requests waiver of the notice requirements, for good cause shown.

Public Service states that copies of the filing were served on Tri-State, its customer, the Colorado Public Utilities Commission, and the Office of Consumer Counsel.

Comment date: January 25, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

7. Commonwealth Edison Co.
[Docket Nos. ER95-371-000]

Take notice that on December 30, 1994, Commonwealth Edison Company (ComEd), tendered for filing a Firm Transmission Service Tariff FTS-1 and a Network Services Tariff NTS-1. By these Tariffs, ComEd offers to provide firm flexible point-to-point transmission service and network transmission service. The FTS-1 Tariff supersedes in its entirety ComEd's TS-1 Tariff currently on file, subject to refund, and set for hearing in Docket No. ER93-777-000. ComEd asks that this filing be consolidated with Docket No. ER93-777-000.

ComEd asks for an effective date of February 28, 1995, for the FTS-1 and NTS-1 Tariffs. ComEd has served copies of the filing on the Illinois Commerce Commission and all parties to Docket No. ER93-777-000. A copy of the filing is also available for public inspection at ComEd's offices in Chicago, Illinois.

Comment date: January 27, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

8. Fitchburg Gas and Electric Light Co.
[Docket No. ER95-372-000]

Take notice that on December 30, 1994, Fitchburg Gas and Electric Light Company (Fitchburg), filed a service agreement between Fitchburg and Green Mountain Power Corporation (Green Mountain). The service agreement provides for the sale by Fitchburg of capacity and associated energy. Also submitted is a notice of cancellation for this service agreement. This is a service agreement under Fitchburg's FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 2, which was accepted for filing in Docket No. ER92-88-000, and is governed by that tariff. Fitchburg requests an effective date of January 1, 1995, and seeks waiver of the Commission's notice requirements for good cause shown.

Fitchburg states that copies of the filing were served on Green Mountain Power and the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities.

Comment date: January 25, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

9. Boston Edison Co.
[Docket No. ER95-373-000]

Take notice that on December 30, 1994, Boston Edison Company (Boston Edison), of Boston, Massachusetts, in connection with its adoption of Financial Accounting Standards No. 106 to recognize Postretirement Benefits Other than Pensions (PBOP) on an accrual basis, tendered for filing rate schedule supplements to its following contracts for the sale of power from the Pilgrim nuclear power plant.

Utility	Rate schedule No.	Entitlement (in percent)
Commonwealth Electric Co	68	11.00000
Montaup Electric Co	69	11.00000
Boylston	77	.07463
Holyoke	79	.89552
Westfield	81	.22388
Hudson	83	.37313
Littleton	85	.14925
Marbelhead	87	.14925
North Attleboro	89	.14925
Peabody	91	.22388
Shrewsbury	93	.37313
Templeton	95	.04478
Wakefield	97	.14925
West Boylston	99	.07463
Middleborough	102	.10448
Reading	113	.74627

The supplements ask the Commission for permission (i) to use the 1993 \$27,788,000 and 1994 \$24,993,000 actuarially determined PBOP costs for purposes of its contract billings in those years; (ii) to use the 1994 cost on an interim basis for 1995 contract year

billing until the 1995 actuarial study is available; (iii) to use 1993-1995 phase-in amounts derived from a Boston Edison settlement before the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities (MDPU); and (iv) to create a regulatory asset to be amortized in future years based on the Pilgrim customers' share of 1993-1995 PBOP costs which is not recovered as an expense or capitalized as part of the cost of plant under construction.

Boston Edison states that it has served the filing on each affected customer and on the MDPU.

Comment date: January 25, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-1238 Filed 1-18-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Project 6939-059; West Virginia]

City of Jackson, Ohio and Certain Ohio Municipalities; Notice of Availability of Draft Environmental Assessment

January 12, 1995.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission's) Regulations, 18 CFR Part 380 (Order 486, 52 F.R. 47897), the Commission's Office of Hydropower Licensing has reviewed a non-capacity related amendment of license for the Belleville Hydroelectric Project, No. 6939-059. The Belleville Hydroelectric Project is located on the Ohio River in Wood county, West Virginia. The application is for the construction of a 138-Kilovolt transmission in Rutland, Ohio. A Draft