

FERC No. 70. In order to optimize the economic advantage to both PECO and PS, PECO requests that the Commission waive its customary notice period and permit the agreement to become effective on January 1, 1995.

PECO states that a copy of this filing has been sent to PS and will be furnished to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission.

*Comment date:* January 24, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### 23. Altresco-Pittsfield, L.P.

[Docket No. QF88-21-005]

On December 30, 1994, Altresco-Pittsfield, L.P. (Applicant) submitted for filing an amendment to its filing in this docket.

The amendment provides additional information pertaining to the ownership of its cogeneration facility. No determination has been made that the submittal constitutes a complete filing.

*Comment date:* January 30, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### 24. Morro Energy L.P., S.E.

[Docket Nos. QF95-121-000]

On December 28, 1994, Morro Energy L.P., S.E. (Applicant), c/o NP Morro Inc., General Partner of 2101 Webster Street, Suite 1700, Oakland, California 94612-3049, submitted for filing an application for certification of a facility as a qualifying small power production facility pursuant to Section 292.207(b) of the Commission's Regulations. No determination has been made that the submittal constitutes a complete filing.

According to the Applicant, the facility is located at #28, KM2 Luchetti Industry Park, Bayamo'n, Puerto Rico 00961, and will consist of two circulating fluidized bed boilers and a condensing steam turbine generator. The maximum net electric power production capacity of the facility will be 235 MW. The primary energy sources will be pitch and petroleum coke, by-products of an oil refining process. Construction of the facility is expected to begin in late 1996.

*Comment date:* January 30, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### Standard Paragraphs

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR

385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-1237 Filed 1-18-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

### [Docket No. EG95-19-000, et al.]

### LG&E-Westmoreland Hopewell, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

January 12, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

#### 1. LG&E-Westmoreland Hopewell

[Docket No. EG95-19-000]

On January 3, 1995, LG&E-Westmoreland Hopewell ("Hopewell"), a California general partnership with its principal place of business at 12500 Fair Lakes Circle, Suite 350, Fairfax, Virginia 22033-3804, filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's regulations.

Hopewell is engaged directly and exclusively in the business of owning or operating, or both owning and operating, a coal-fired cogeneration facility with a maximum net power production capacity of 62.7 MW which is an eligible facility. All of the facility's electric power net of the facility's operating electric power is or will be purchased at wholesale by Virginia Electric & Power Company. Steam from the cogeneration facility, which is a by-product of electric generation, may be sold incidental to the sale of electric power at wholesale.

*Comment date:* January 30, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that concern the adequacy or accuracy of the application.

#### 2. LG&E-Westmoreland Southampton

[Docket No. EG95-20-000]

On January 3, 1995, LG&E-Westmoreland Southampton ("Southampton"), a California general partnership with its principal place of

business at 12500 Fair Lakes Circle, Suite 350 Fairfax, Virginia 22033-3804, filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's regulations.

Southampton is engaged directly and exclusively in the business of owning or operating, or both owning and operating, a coal-fired cogeneration facility with a maximum net power production capacity of 62.64 MW which is an eligible facility. All of the facility's electric power net of the facility's operating electric power is or will be purchased at wholesale by Virginia Electric & Power Company. Steam from the cogeneration facility, which is a by-product of electric generation, and tall oil, a supplementary fuel, may be sold incidental to the sale of electric power at wholesale.

*Comment date:* January 30, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that concern the adequacy or accuracy of the application.

#### 3. LG&E-Westmoreland Altavista

[Docket No. EG95-21-000]

On January 3, 1995, LG&E-Westmoreland Altavista ("Altavista"), a California general partnership with its principal place of business at 12500 Fair Lakes Circle, Suite 350, Fairfax, Virginia 22033-3804 filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission an application for determination or exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's regulations.

Altavista is engaged directly and exclusively in the business of owning or operating, or both owning and operating, a coal-fired cogeneration facility with a maximum net power production capacity of 62.7 MW which is an eligible facility. All of the facility's electric power net of the facility's operating electric power is or will be purchased at wholesale by Virginia Electric & Power Company. Steam from the cogeneration facility, which is a by-product of electric generation, and wood may be sold incidental to the sale of electric power at wholesale.

*Comment date:* January 30, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that concern the adequacy or accuracy of the application.

#### 4. LG&E-Westmoreland Rensselaer

[Docket No. EG95-22-000]

On January 3, 1995, LG&E-Westmoreland Rensselaer

("Rensselaer"), a California general partnership, with its principal place of business at 12500 Fair Lakes Circle, Suite 350, Fairfax, Virginia 22033-3804, filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's regulations.

Rensselaer is engaged indirectly, through one or more affiliates, and exclusively in the business of owning or operating, or both owning and operating, a gas-fired cogeneration facility with a maximum net power production capacity of 79 MW which is an eligible facility. All of the facility's electric power net of the facility's operating electric power is or will be purchased at wholesale by Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation. Steam from the cogeneration facility, which is a by-product of electric generation, and natural gas supplies and transportation services, which were contracted for based on the facility's expected fuel supply requirements, may be sold or reassigned incidental to the sale of electric power at wholesale.

*Comment date:* January 30, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that concern the adequacy or accuracy of the application.

#### 5. Boston Edison Company

[Docket No. ER94-1135-000]

Take notice that on December 21, 1994, Boston Edison Company (Edison) tendered for filing an amendment to the Transmission Facilities Support Agreement between Edison and New England Power Company (NEP), dated May 25, 1988. The purpose of the amendment is to adjust the return on equity provision of the facilities Support Agreement.

Edison states that it has served the filing on NEP and on the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities.

Edison requests that the amendment become effective on January 7, 1995.

*Comment date:* January 26, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### 6. Puget Sound Power & Light Company

[Docket No. ER94-1506-000]

Take notice that Puget Sound Power & Light Company on January 5, 1995, tendered for filing an amendment in the above-referenced docket.

*Comment date:* January 26, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### 7. Louisville Gas and Electric Company

[Docket No. ER95-50-000]

Take notice that on December 30, 1994, Louisville Gas and Electric Company tendered for filing an amendment in the above-referenced docket.

*Comment date:* January 26, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### 8. Minnesota Power & Light Company

[Docket No. ER95-163-000]

Take notice that on December 8, 1994, Minnesota Power & Light Company tendered for filing amendments to its November 4, 1994 filing in the above referenced docket.

*Comment date:* January 26, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### 9. Robbins Resource Recovery Partnership, L.P.

[Docket No. ER95-307-000]

Take notice that on December 20, 1994, Robbins Resource Recovery tendered for filing a Notice of Succession in FERC Rate Schedule No. 1.

*Comment date:* January 26, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### 10. Arizona Public Service Company

[Docket No. ER95-359-000]

Take notice that on December 29, 1994, Arizona Public Service Company (APS) tendered for filing a Notice of Cancellation of FERC Rate Schedule No. 165 between APS and San Diego Gas & Electric Company.

*Comment date:* January 26, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### 11. Arkansas Power & Light Company

[Docket No. ER95-363-000]

Take notice that on December 30, 1994, Arkansas Power & Light Company tendered for filing revisions to the rate formulas contained in the agreements with the City of Conway, West Memphis Osceola, Jonesboro and Hope, Arkansas and the Cities of Campbell and Thayer, Missouri.

*Comment date:* January 26, 1995 in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### 12. Century Power Corporation

[Docket No. ER95-367-000]

Take notice that on December 30, 1994, Century Power Corporation (Century Power) tendered for filing a Notice of Cancellation of the following Rate Schedules:

Rate Schedule FERC No. 1

Rate Schedule FERC No. 2

Rate Schedule FERC No. 7

Rate Schedule FERC No. 10

Rate Schedule FERC No. 11

Rate Schedule FERC No. 12

Rate Schedule FERC No. 13

Rate Schedule FERC No. 14

Rate Schedule FERC No. 15

Rate Schedule FERC No. 18

*Comment date:* January 26, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### 13. Peak Energy, Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-379-000]

Take notice that on January 3, 1995, Peak Energy, Inc. (Peak), tendered for filing a petition for waivers and blanket approvals under various regulations of the Commission and for an order accepting its FERC Electric Rate Schedule No. 1 to be effective February 27, 1995.

Peak intends to engage in electric power and energy transactions as marketer and a broker. In transactions where Peak sells electric energy it proposes to make such sales on rates, terms, and conditions to be mutually agreed to with the purchasing party. Neither Peak nor any of its affiliates are in the business of generating, transmitting, or distributing electric power. Rate Schedule No. 1 provides for the sale of energy and capacity at agreed prices.

*Comment date:* January 26, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### 14. UtiliCorp. United Inc.

[Docket No. ES95-19-000]

Take notice that on January 6, 1995, UtiliCorp United Inc. (UtiliCorp), filed an application under § 204 of the Federal Power Act seeking authorization to enter into a loan purchase agreement and to provide a corporate guaranty in an amount not to exceed \$112.5 million to guarantee payment by UtiliCorp South Pacific, Inc. (USP), on a three to five year line of credit. Also, UtiliCorp requests a waiver of the competitive bidding and negotiated placement requirements. USP is a wholly-owned subsidiary of UtiliCorp.

*Comment date:* February 6, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### 15. Scott Paper Company

[Docket No. QF86-557-001]

On December 30, 1994, Scott Paper Company of Scott Plaza One, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, submitted for filing an application for certification of a facility as a qualifying small power production facility pursuant to Section

292.207(b) of the Commission's Regulations and Section 3(17)(E) of the Federal Power Act. No determination has been made that the submittal constitutes a complete filing.

According to the applicant, the small power production facility, which is located in Chester, Pennsylvania, consists of a fluidized bed boiler and a steam turbine generator. The maximum net electric power production capacity of the facility will now be approximately 52 MW. The primary energy source of the facility will now be waste in the form of petroleum coke and anthracite culm. In Docket No. QF86-557-000, the facility was granted certification as a cogeneration facility with a power production capacity of 55.2 MW. Thermal energy from the facility was to be used for paper drying purposes [35 FERC ¶ 62,326 (1986)].

*Comment date:* February 21, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

16. Prairie Wind Energy Partners, L.P.  
[Docket No. QF95-198-000]

On December 30, 1994, Prairie Wind Energy Partners, L.P. (Applicant), c/o Prairie Wind Energy, Inc., 1221 Nicollet Mall, Suite 700, Minneapolis, MN 55403, submitted for filing an application for certification of a facility as a small power production facility pursuant to Section 292.207(b) of the Commission's Regulations. No determination has been made that the submittal constitutes a complete filing.

According to the applicant, the small power production facility will be located at Buffalo Ridge near Lake Benton, Minnesota, and will consist of approximately 167 turbines, a 34.5 Kv switchyard and related interconnection equipment. The maximum net power production capacity of the facility will be approximately 80 MW. The primary energy source will be wind. The installation of the facility is scheduled to begin in late 1995.

*Comment date:* February 21, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### Standard Paragraphs

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in

determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-1297 Filed 1-18-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

#### [Docket No. ER95-72-000, et al.]

#### Power Exchange Corp., et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

January 11, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

##### 1. Power Exchange Corp.

[Docket No. ER95-72-000]

Take notice that on December 20, 1994, Power Exchange Corporation tendered for filing an amendment in the above-referenced docket.

*Comment date:* January 24, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

##### 2. Kimball Power Co.

[Docket No. ER95-232-000]

Take notice that on December 21, 1994, Kimball Power Company tendered for filing an amendment in the above-referenced docket.

*Comment date:* January 24, 1995, in accordance with Standard paragraph E at the end of this notice.

##### 3. Public Service Co. of New Hampshire

[Docket No. ER95-366-000]

Take notice that on December 30, 1994, Public Service Company of New Hampshire (PSNH), tendered for filing materials to reduce rates under the Total Requirements Resale Service Agreement between PSNH and Citizens Utilities Company (Citizens). PSNH has requested an effective date for the rate reduction of November 1, 1994.

PSNH states that rate reduction relates to reduced charges for post-retirement benefits other than pensions. PSNH further states that a copy of the filing was served on Citizens.

*Comment date:* January 25, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

##### 4. Public Service Company of New Mexico

[Docket No. ER95-368-000]

Take notice that on December 30, 1994, Public Service Company of New Mexico (PNM), tendered for filing a

Notice of Continuation of Service Under Expiring Hazard Sharing Agreement (the Notice). Under the Notice, PNM agrees to continue in effect, on a month-to-month basis (terminable subject to the requirements of Section 35.15 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, 18 CFR 35.15), by either party upon three (3) months notice, those hazard sharing services presently provided to Plains Electric Generation and Transmission Cooperative, Inc. (Plains) pursuant to Service Schedule J to the PNM/Plains Master Interconnection Agreement (Supplement 36 to PNM Rate Schedule FPC No. 31), which services would otherwise terminate on January 1, 1995.

PNM requests a waiver of the Commission's notice requirements to permit the Notice to be effective for service rendered on and after January 2, 1995.

Copies of the Notice have been mailed to Plains and the New Mexico Public Utility Commission.

*Comment date:* January 25, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

##### 5. Public Service Company of New Hampshire

[Docket No. ER95-369-000]

Take notice that on December 30, 1994, Public Service Company of New Hampshire (PNSH), tendered for filing changes to rates and amendments (the Amendments) to rate schedules with each of its following wholesale requirements customers (the Customers); The Town of Ashland, New Hampshire (Electric Light Department), and the New Hampshire Electric Cooperative, Inc. (the NHEC). PSNH states that the submitted materials, when permitted to become effective, would decrease two separate components of rates to the Customers, one component reflected in the Amendments and the other to reflect reduced accruals for post-retirement benefits other than pensions (PBOPs). PSNH further states that the component of the rate reduction contained in the Amendments flows through to the Customers the savings that will result from settlements recently reached between PSNH and two New Hampshire independent power producers.

PNSH has requested that the rate reduction for decreased PBOP costs be permitted to become effective November 1, 1994, and that the Amendments be permitted to become effective January 1, 1995. It states that copies of the filing were served on each of the Customers and the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission, which is the only State Commission within whose jurisdiction