Station by replacing the existing orifice plate in the 6-inch orifice meter run with a larger capacity orifice plate. Northwest states that this change will increase the maximum design delivery capacity of the South Vancouver Meter Station from 14,167 Dths per day to approximately 16,667 Dths per day at a pressure of 400 psig. Northwest states that the South Vancouver Meter Station originally was constructed under certificate authorization in Docket No. G–1429.

Northwest states the IGI, a marketer of natural gas, has requested that Northwest expand the South Vancouver Meter Station to accommodate an additional 2,500 MMBtu per day (at 400 psig) of firm delivery capacity under an existing firm transportation service agreement dated June 29, 1990, or under any other duly authorized transportation agreement.

Northwest states that the total cost of the proposed facility upgrade at the South Vancouver Meter Station is estimated to be approximately \$1,000 which will be reimbursed by IGI.

Comment date: March 24, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

3. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp.

[Docket No. CP95-196-000]

Take notice that on February 2, 1995, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia), 1700 MacCorkle Avenue, S.E., Charleston, West Virginia 25314–1599, filed request with the Commission in Docket No. CP95–196–000 pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for authorization to construct and operate additional points of delivery, authorized in blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP83–76–000, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Columbia proposes to construct and operate new facilities that would establish ten additional points of delivery to existing customers that have asked Columbia to provide firm transportation. Columbia states that the estimated cost would be approximately \$150 per tap which would be treated as a O&M Expense.

Comment date: March 24, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

F. Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before the comment date, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20426, a motion to

intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application, if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate and/or permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–3634 Filed 2–13–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

[Docket No. RP93-198-004]

Alabama-Tennessee Natural Gas Co.; Proposed Change In FERC Gas Tariff

February 8, 1995.

Take notice that on February 3, 1995, Alabama-Tennessee Natural Gas Company (Alabama-Tennessee), filed to revise the filing previously submitted by Alabama-Tennessee on November 29 1994 in Docket No. RP93–198–003 (November 29 Filing). In particular, Alabama-Tennessee states that the instant filing is designed to reflect a dollar-for-dollar refund of \$37,631.73 that Alabama-Tennessee recently received from Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee) relating to a Tennessee billing error.

According to Alabama-Tennessee its November 29 Filing provided for the recovery by Alabama-Tennessee of the net debit balance due and payable by shippers on Alabama-Tennessee's system under Section 33.4(f) of the General Terms and Conditions of Alabama-Tennessee's FERC Gas Tariff. Second Revised Volume No. 1, resulting from a true-up performed by Alabama-Tennessee following the elimination of its Transportation Cost Rate Adjustment. As a further result of the flow-through of the subject refund, however, those shippers which owed Alabama-Tennessee the true-up amounts shown in the November 29 Filing will now receive a credit.

Alabama-Tennessee proposes that the November 29 Filing be deemed revised by the instant filing and that it be permitted to credit the amount due each customer under this revised filing on bills Alabama-Tennessee will be rendering in March 1995, for services provided during February, 1995.

Alabama-Tennessee has requested that the Commission grant such waivers as may be necessary to accept and approve the filing as submitted.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rule 211 of the Commission's rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211). All such protests should be filed on or before February 15, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are

on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell.

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–3572 Filed 2–13–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. RP95-7-003]

Mississippi River Transmission Corp.; Compliance Filing

February 8, 1995.

Take notice that on February 3, 1995, Mississippi River Transmission Corporation (MRT), submitted for filing the following tariff sheets listed below to its FERC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1:

	Proposed effec- tive date
Second Substitute First Revised Sheet No. 127. Second Substitute First Revised Sheet No. 213.	November 1, 1994. November 1, 1994.

MRT states that the purpose of the filing is to comply with the Commission's January 19, 1995, order by revising the tariff language on Sheet Nos. 127 and 224 to conform with the tariff language originally proposed by MRT in its October 7, 1994, filing in this proceeding.

MRT states that a copy of the filing has been mailed to each of its customers and the State Commissions of Arkansas, Illinois and Missouri.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rule 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211). All such protests should be filed on or before February 15, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–3571 Filed 2–13–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. CP95-198-000]

Northern Natural Gas Co.; Request Under Blanket Authorization

February 8, 1995.

Take notice that on February 3, 1995, Northern Natural Gas Company

(Northern), P.O. Box 3330, Omaha, Nebraska 68103–0330, filed in Docket No. CP95–198–000 a request pursuant to §§ 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.212) for authorization to upgrade an existing delivery point to accommodate increased natural gas deliveries to Northern States Power (Minnesota) (NSP–M), under the blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-401-000, pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Northern proposes to upgrade an existing town border station (Kandiyohi #1 Town Border Station) located in Kandiyohi County, Minnesota, to accommodate increased natural gas deliveries to NSP-M for commercial, industrial and residential end-use under Northern's currently effective service agreement with NSP-M. Northern estimates increased peak day and annual volumes through the upgraded town border station of 720 Mcf and 91,980 Mcf, respectively. Northern estimates a cost of upgrading the delivery point of \$3,500 and indicates that the costs would be financed in accordance with the General Terms and Conditions of Northern's FERC Gas Tariff, Fifth Revised Volume No. 1.

Northern advises that the total volumes to be delivered to the customer after the request do not exceed the total volumes authorized prior to the request. Also, Northern indicates that the proposed activity is not prohibited by its existing tariff and that it has sufficient capacity to accommodate the changes proposed herein without deteriment or disadvantage of Northern's other customers.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to § 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for

authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell.

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-3574 Filed 2-13-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. MG95-4-000]

Northwest Pipeline Corp.; Filing

February 8, 1995.

Take notice that on February 2, 1995, Northwest Pipeline Corporation ("Northwest"), filed a "Petition of Northwest Pipeline Corporation For Waiver of Regulations." Northwest seeks waiver of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's marketing affiliate regulations described under Order Nos. 497 et seq.1 and Order Nos. 566 et seq.2 Northwest has entered into an agreement with Williams Energy Systems company ("WES") to act as administrator of WES' "Streamline" service which facilities the engagement of buyers and sellers of natural gas at the interface between gas production areas and pipeline interconnections at the Rocky Mountain Market Center located in Opal, Wyoming. Northwest may, in the future, provide similar services for WES at other locations. The requested waiver is limited to Northwest's role, now and in the future. as administrator of this electronic gas trading service.

Northwest states that a copy of this Petition has been served to Northwest's

¹ Order 497, 53 FR 22139 (June 14, 1988), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,820 (1988); Order No. 497-A, order on rehearing, 54 FR 52781 (December 22, 1989), III FERC Stats. & Regs. 30,868 (1989); Order No. 497-B, order extending sunset date, 55 FR 53291 (December 28, 1990), III FERC Stats. & Regs. 30,908 (1990); Order No. 497-C, order extending sunset date, 57 FR 9 (January 2, 1992), III FERC Stats. & Regs. 30,934 (1991), rehearing denied, 57 FR 5815 (February 18, 1992), 58 FERC ¶ 61,139 (1992); Tenneco Gas v. FERC (affirmed in part and remanded in part), 969 F.2d 1187 (D.C. Cir. 1992); Order No. 497-D, order on remand and extending sunset date, III FERC Stats. & Regs. 30,958 (December 4, 1992), 57 FR 58978 (December 14, 1992); Order No. 497-E, order on rehearing and extending sunset date, 59 FR 243 (January 4, 1994), 65 FERC ¶ 61,381 (December 23, 1993); Order No. 497-F, order denying rehearing and granting clarification, 59 FR 15336 (April 1, 1994), 66 FERC ¶ 61,347 (March 24, 1994); and Order No. 497-G, order extending sunset date, 59 FR 32884 (June 27, 1994), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,996 (June 17,

² Standard of Conduct and Reporting Requirements for Transportation and Affiliate Transactions, Order No. 566, 59 FR 32885 (June 27, 1994), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,997 (June 17, 1994); Order No. 566–A, order on rehearing, 59 FR 52896 (October 20, 1994), 69 FERC ¶ 61,044 (October 14, 1994); Order No. 566–B, order on rehearing, 59 FR 65707 (December 21, 1994); 69 FERC ¶ 61,334 (December 14, 1994); appeal docketed, Conoco, Inc. v. FERC, D.C. Cir. No. 94–1745 (December 13, 1994).