with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made a party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. Since the Commission has made a final determination that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration, if a hearing is requested, it will not stay the effectiveness of the amendment. Any hearing held would take place while the amendment is in effect.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last 10 days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at 1–(800) 248–5100 (in Missouri 1–(800) 342–6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number N1023 and the following message addressed to *(Project Director)*: petitioner's name and telephone number, date petition was mailed, plant name, and publication date and page number of this **Federal Register** notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and to the attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for a hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)–(v) and 2.714(d).

Nebraska Public Power District, Docket No. 50–298, Cooper Nuclear Station, Nemaha County, Nebraska

Date of amendment request: July 26, 1994, as supplemented by letters dated December 27, 1994, and January 27, 1995.

Brief description of amendment: The amendment changed the Technical Specification Section 3/4.12.A to allow for increased flow capacity of the control room emergency filter system. By increasing the maximum allowed makeup capacity of this system, additional margin is provided for the positive pressurization of the control room envelope.

Date of issuance: January 27, 1995.

Effective date: January 27, 1995.

Amendment No.: 167.

Facility Operating License No. DPR–46. Amendment revised the Technical Specifications.

Public comments requested as to proposed no significant hazards consideration: No.

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment, finding of emergency circumstances, and final determination of no significant hazards consideration are contained in a Safety Evaluation dated

Local Public Document Room location: Auburn Public Library, 118 15th Street, Auburn, Nebraska 68305.

Attorney for licensee: Mr. G.D. Watson, Nebraska Public Power District, Post Office Box 499, Columbus, Nebraska 68602–0499.

NRC Project Director: William D. Beckner.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 8th day of February 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Elinor G. Adensam,

Deputy Director, Division of Reactor Projects—III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95–3629 Filed 2–14–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Rel. No. IC–20893; 811–3095]

Pacific American Fund; Notice of Application

February 9, 1995.

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission (''SEC'').

ACTION: Notice of application for deregistration under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the ''Act'').

APPLICANT: Pacific American Fund.

RELEVANT ACT SECTION: Section 8(f).

SUMMARY OF APPLICATION: Applicant seeks an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company.

FILING DATES: The application on Form N–8F was filed on January 11, 1995.

HEARING OR NOTIFICATION OF HEARING: An order granting the application will be issued unless the SEC orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing by writing to the SEC's Secretary and serving applicant with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the SEC by 5:30 p.m. on March 6, 1995, and should be accompanied by proof of service on the applicant, in the form of an affidavit or, for lawyers, a certificate of service. Hearing requests should state the nature of the writer's interest, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons who wish to be notified of a

hearing may request notification by writing to the SEC's Secretary.

ADDRESSES: Secretary, SEC, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549. Applicant, 800 West Sixth Street, Suite 1000, Los Angeles, California 90017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary Kay Frech, Senior Attorney, at (202) 942–0579, or Barry D. Miller, Senior Special Counsel at (202) 942–0564 (Division of Investment Management, Office of Investment Company Regulation).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following is a summary of the application. The complete application may be obtained for a fee at the SEC's Public Reference Branch.

Applicant's Representations

1. Applicant is a diversified open-end management investment company organized as a Massachusetts business trust. On September 24, 1980, applicant filed a notification of registration pursuant to section 8(a) of the Act, and a registration statement on Form N–1 under section 8(b) of the Act and the Securities Act of 1933. Applicant commenced its initial public offering on April 15, 1981.

2. On July 20, 1994, applicant's board of trustees approved an agreement and plan of reorganization (the ''Plan'') between applicant and Pacifica Funds Trust (the ''Trust''), a registered open-end management company. The Plan provided for the reorganization of applicant's Money Market Portfolio and U.S. Treasury Portfolio (the ''Portfolios'') as corresponding new portfolios of the Trust. Under the Plan, all of the assets and liabilities of the Portfolios would be transferred to the corresponding Money Market Portfolio and U.S. Treasury Portfolio of the Trust (the ''New Portfolios'') in exchange for the number of shares of the New Portfolios equal to the number of shares outstanding in the Portfolios.

3. According to applicant's proxy statement dated September 1, 1994, the trustees considered various factors in approving the reorganization, including, (a) the elimination of duplicate costs incurred for services that are performed for both applicant and the Trust separately, (b) the potential improvement of trading and operational efficiencies through the combination of the mutual fund groups, (c) economies of scale to be realized primarily with respect to fixed expenses, (d) the availability of additional investment portfolios of the Trust to applicant's shareholders after the reorganization, and (e) the enhancement of the distribution of the New Portfolio shares to potential investors. Applicant's trustees also determined that the sale of applicant's assets to the New Portfolios of the Trust was in the best interests of applicant's shareholders, and that the interests of the existing shareholders would not be diluted as a result.

4. Proxy materials soliciting shareholder approval of the reorganization were distributed to applicant's shareholders during the first week of September, 1994. Definitive copies of the proxy materials were filed with the SEC on September 6, 1994. Applicant's shareholders approved the reorganization at a special meeting held on September 27, 1994.

5. As of September 30, 1994, applicant's Money Market Portfolio had 565,408,253.15 shares outstanding, having an aggregate net asset value of $565,305,165 and a per share net asset value of $1.00 (based on the amortized cost valuation method), and applicant's U.S. Treasury Portfolio had 690,630,344.65 shares outstanding, having an aggregate net asset value of $690,630,344.65 and a per share net asset value of $1.00. On October 1, 1994, pursuant to the Plan, the assets and liabilities of the Portfolios were transferred to the corresponding New Portfolios. The aggregate net asset value of the New Portfolios' shares received are equal to the net asset value of applicant's shares held. Applicant then distributed the New Portfolios' shares it received *pro rata* to its shareholders, in complete liquidation of applicant.

6. No brokerage commissions were paid in connection with the reorganization. The expenses applicable to the Plan, consisting of legal, state registration, and filing fees and printing expenses, were approximately $70,000 and were allocated to applicant and the New Portfolios.

7. As of the date of the application, applicant had no shareholders, assets, or liabilities. Applicant is not a party to any litigation or administrative proceedings. Applicant is not engaged in, nor does it propose to engage in, any business activities other than those necessary for the winding up of its affairs.

8. Applicant intends to file a certificate of termination with the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

For the SEC, by the Division of Investment Management, under delegated authority.

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–3700 Filed 2–14–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. 94–64; Notice 2]

Accuride Corporation; Grant of Application for Decision of Inconsequential Noncompliance

Accuride Corporation (Accuride) of Henderson, Kentucky, determined that some of its wheels fail to comply with 49 CFR 571.120, Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) No. 120, ''Tire Selection and Rims for Vehicles Other Than Passenger Cars,'' and filed an appropriate report pursuant to 49 CFR part 573, ''Defect and Noncompliance Reports.'' Accuride also applied to be exempted from the notification and remedy requirements of 49 U.S.C. Chapter 301—''Motor Vehicle Safety'' on the basis that the noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety.

Notice of receipt of the application was published on July 28, 1994, and an opportunity afforded for comment (59 FR 38503).

Paragraph S5.2(b) of FMVSS No. 120 requires that each wheel be marked with the rim size designation. On January 11, 1994, Accuride produced an estimated 103 Accu-Forge 22.5 x 9.00 inch, 15 degree drop center, one-piece tubeless dual wheels with incorrect size designations for the rim width. The wheels were incorrectly stamped ''22.5 x 8.25.'' The wheels should have been stamped ''22.5 x 9.00.'' All other stampings and markings required by FMVSS No. 120 are correctly identified on each of the subject wheels.

Accuride supported its application for determination of inconsequential noncompliance with the following arguments:

Accuride has fully analyzed the issues surrounding the incorrect width designation on these wheels and has sought the input of the others with particular expertise on this subject. Based upon all of this analysis and the information obtained, it appears clear that there is no safety-related issue potentially arising from the incorrect width designations indicated on the wheels.

According to the 1994 Tire and Rim Association Yearbook, the permissible tires on a 22.5x9.00 inch rim are the 295/75*22.5 and the 12*22.5. The permissible tires for use on a 22.5x8.25 inch rim are the 265/75*22.5, 295/75*22.5, 11*22.5, and the 12*22.5 size. Because the 12*22.5 and the 295/75*22.5 tires are acceptable on both the 8.25 inch and 9.00 inch rims, these tire combinations are not of concern. The remaining 11*22.5 and 265/75*22.5 tires that are specified only for the 8.25 inch rim have been given particular attention. Accuride has carefully evaluated all of the issues surrounding the possible effect of use of such tires on a wider 9.00