Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and to the attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for a hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

## Pennsylvania Power and Light Company, Docket No. 50-388, SusquehannaSteam Electric Station, Unit 2, Luzerne County, Pennsylvania

Date of application for amendment: February 7, 1995

Brief description of amendment: The amendment changed the Technical Specifications to allow continued operation with one neutron flux monitor system channel (≥B" channel) inoperable and should the remaining channel become inoperable to allow continued plant operation for 7 days to restore one of the two inoperable channels.

Date of issuance: March 1, 1995 Effective date: March 1, 1995 Amendment No.: 115

Facility Operating License No. NPF-22: Amendment revised the Technical Specifications. Public comments requested as to proposed no significant hazards consideration: No. On February 8, 1995, the staff issued a Notice of Enforcement Discretion, which was immediately effective and remained in effect until this amendment was issued.

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendments, finding of emergency circumstances, consultation with the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and final no significant hazards considerations determination are contained in a Safety Evaluation dated March 1, 1995.

Attorney for licensee: Jay Silberg, Esq., Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge 2300 N Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20037

Local Public Document Room location: Osterhout Free Library, Reference Department, 71 South Franklin Street, Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania 18071.

NRC Project Director: John F. Stolz Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 8th day of March 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission

### Elinor G. Adensam,

Acting Director, Division of Reactor Projects - III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation [Doc. 95-6207 Filed 3-14-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590-01-F

[Docket No. 50-346]

# Toledo Edison Company, et al.; Notice of Withdrawal of Applications for Amendments to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission (the Commission) has
granted the request of the Toledo Edison
Company, Centerior Service Company,
and the Cleveland Electric Illuminating
Company (the licensees) to withdraw its
March 13, 1992, September 11, 1992,
and February 17, 1993, applications for
proposed amendments to Facility
Operating License No. NPF–3 for the
Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, Unit
No. 1, located in Ottawa County, Ohio.

The proposed amendments would have revised the facility technical specifications by changing the venting requirements for the Reactor Coolant System, deleting figures in Section 5.1, "Design Features—Site," and revising the Safety Features Actuation System and Steam and Feedwater Rupture Control System Instrumentation Setpoints.

The Commission had previously issued Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment published in the **Federal Register** on March 23, 1992 (57 FR 10050), for the March 13, 1992, application; January 6, 1993 (58 FR 600) for the September 11, 1992, application, and June 23, 1993 (58 FR 34096), for the February 23, 1993, application. However, by letter dated February 10, 1995, the licensee withdrew the proposed changes.

For further details with respect to this action, see the applications for amendment dated March 13, 1992, September 11, 1992, and February 17, 1993, and the licensee's letter dated February 10, 1995, which withdrew the applications for license amendments. The above documents are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the University of Toledo Library, Documents Department, 2801 Bancroft Avenue, Toledo, Ohio 43606.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 9th day of March, 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

### Jon B. Hopkins,

Senior Project Manager, Project Directorate III-3, Division of Reactor Projects III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95–6340 Filed 3–14–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–M

# OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE

# Notice of Meeting of the Industry Policy Advisory Committee

**AGENCY:** Office of the United States Trade Representative.

AGENCY: Notice that the March 22, 1995 meeting of the Industry Policy Advisory Committee will be held from 9:30 a.m. to 2:30 p.m. The meeting will be closed to the public from 9:30 to 1:00 p.m. The meeting will be open to the public from 1:00 p.m. to 2:30 p.m.

**SUMMARY:** The Industry Policy Advisory Committee will hold a meeting on March 22, 1995 from 9:30 a.m. to 2:30 p.m. The meeting will be closed to the public from 9:30 to 1:00 p.m. The meeting will include a review and discussion of current issues which influence U.S. trade policy. Pursuant to Section 2155(f)(2) of Title 19 of the United States Code, I have determined that this portion of the meeting will be concerned with matters the disclosure of which would seriously compromise the development by the United States Government of trade policy, priorities, negotiating objectives or bargaining positions with respect to the operation of any trade agreement and other matters arising in connection with the development, implementation and administration of the trade policy of the United States. The meeting will be open to the public and press from 1:00 p.m. to 2:30 p.m. when trade policy issues will be discussed. Attendance during this part of the meeting is for observation only. Individuals who are not members of the committee will not be invited to comment.

**DATES:** The meeting is scheduled for March 22, 1995, unless otherwise notified.

ADDRESSES The meeting will be held at the Madison Hotel, located at 15th and M streets, NW., Washington, DC., unless otherwise notified.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michaelle Burstin, Director of Public Liaison, Office of the United States Trade Representative, (202) 395–6120. Michael Kantor,

United States Trade Representatives. [FR Doc. 95–6316 Filed 3–14–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3190–01–M

## **POSTAL RATE COMMISSION**

### **Notice of Facility Visit**

March 10, 1995.

Members of the Commission and its advisory staff will visit the offices of

WEFA in Bala Cynwyd, Pennsylvania on Thursday, March 16, 1995. WEFA officials will explain the process of preparation of the economic model of direct response advertising it developed for the Direct Marketing Association. A report of the visit will be on file in the Docket Room of the Commission.

#### Margaret P. Crenshaw,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–6344 Filed 3–14–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

# Under Review by Office of Management and Budget

Acting Agency Clearance Officer: David T. Copenhafer, (202) 942–8800 Upon written request copy available from: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, D.C. 20549

## Extensions:

Form SE—File No. 270–289 Form ID—File No. 270–291 Form ET—File No. 270–290 Form TH—File No. 270–377

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), that the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") has requested extension of Forms SE, ID, ET, and TH. These forms are used by persons filing information with the Commission electronically on the EDGAR system.

Form SE is used by electronic filers to submit exhibits in paper format. An estimated 2,000 filings on Form SE are made annually at an estimated .10 burden hours per response.

Form ID is used to apply for EDGAR access codes. An estimated 7,000 filings on Form ID are made annually at an estimated .15 burden hours per response.

Form ET is used to transmit electronic format documents. An estimated 120 filings on Form ET are made annually at an estimated .25 burden hours per response.

Form TH is used to provide notification of a filer's reliance on a temporary hardship exemption. An estimated 200 filings on Form ET are made annually at an estimated .33 burden hours per response.

Direct general comments to the Clearance Officer for the Securities and Exchange Commission at the address below. Direct any comments concerning the accuracy of the estimated average burden hours for compliance with SEC rules and forms to David T. Copenhafer, Acting Director, Office of Information Technology, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20549, and SEC Clearance Office, Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (3235–0327, 3235–0328, 3235–0329, and 3235–0425), Room 3208, New Executive Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20543.

Dated: March 7, 1995.

### Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–6355 Filed 3–14–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8010–01–M

[Release No. 34–35462; File No. SR–BSE–95–1]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change by the Boston Stock Exchange, Inc., Relating to the Value Charges on Intermarket Trading System Trades

March 8, 1995.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"), 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1), notice is hereby given that on February 3, 1995, the Boston Stock Exchange, Inc. ("BSE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

# I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange seeks to make permanent the portion of its fee schedule pertaining to value charges on non-specialist Intermarket Trading System ('TTS'') trades and the waiver of ITS user fees. By a filing, which the Commission noticed on November 24, 1992, the Exchange amended its fee schedule to provide for a \$.003 per share user fee on *net* outbound specialist trades, value charges of \$.002 per share for BSE executions up to and including 2,000 shares, and a range of value charges per \$1,000 contract values for all BSE executions over 2,000 shares.<sup>1</sup>

Moreover, the Exchange amended its fee schedule to provide for value

charges, and waive user fees, on all nonspecialist ITS trades for a one-year period.<sup>2</sup>

By a filing, which the Commission noticed on November 10, 1993, the Exchange extended the value charges on non-specialist ITS trades and the waiver of the non-specialist ITS user fees for a six-month period.<sup>3</sup> The Exchange now proposes to amend permanently its fee schedule to impose value charges, and waive user fees, on all non-specialist ITS trades as follows:

### **Value Charges**

First \$10 million per month—\$.16 per \$1,000 contract value Next \$40 million per month—\$.13 per

\$1,000 contract value

Next \$50 million per month—\$.10 per \$1,000 contract value

Next \$100 million per month—\$.08 per \$1,000 contract value

Next \$300 million per month—\$.05 per \$1,000 contract value

\$500.1 + million per month—\$.01 per \$1,000 contract value

Maximum charge per side (non-cross) \$100.00

Maximum charge per side (cross) \$75.00 I.T.S. User Fee—No charge for nonspecialist firms

## II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The self-regulatory organization has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

### 1. Purpose

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to amend permanently the Exchange's fee schedule regarding certain ITS fees. At the request of the Commisson, the Exchange had temporarily amended a portion of its proposed rule filing SR–BSE–92–9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 31515 (Nov. 24, 1992), 57 FR 56937 (notice of immediate effectiveness of File No. SR–BSE–92–9).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The portion of the proposed rule change that was amended for a one-year period was intended to replace the ITS user fee of \$.003 per share on "outbound trades only."

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 33184 (Nov. 10, 1993), 58 FR 60709 (notice of immediate effectiveness of File No. SR–BSE–93–22).