

project to supply up to 4.0 mg/30 days of water to the applicant's Ferndale Water District from Well Nos. 1, 2 and 3. Commission approval on February 28, 1990 was limited to five years. The applicant requests that the total withdrawal from all wells remain limited to 4.0 mg/30 days. The project is located in the Town of Liberty, Sullivan County, New York.

6. *Township of Greenwich D-94-51 CP*. An application for approval of a ground water withdrawal project to supply up to 43.2 mg/30 days of water to the applicant's distribution system from new Well No. 4A, and to retain the existing withdrawal limit from all wells of 46.8 mg/30 days. The project is located in Greenwich Township, Gloucester County, New Jersey.

7. *Harcros Pigments, Inc. D-94-75*. A project to upgrade the applicant's existing 1.29 mgd industrial wastewater treatment plant (IWTP) by the installation of a mechanical draft cooling tower, which is designed to enable the IWTP effluent to meet revised temperature limits.

Consumptive water loss will increase slightly to a maximum of 7,200 gpd due to the effluent cooling process. The IWTP will continue to serve only the applicant's pigment manufacturing operations and discharge to the Bushkill Creek at the City of Easton, approximately 2.5 miles upstream of its confluence with the Delaware River in Northampton County, Pennsylvania. No increased water withdrawal is proposed.

8. *Integrated Health Services at Penn, Inc. D-95-4*. A project to modify the applicant's existing 12,500 gpd sewage treatment plant (STP) by improving the treatment processes and facilities, including the tertiary filtration system; ultraviolet disinfection is also proposed. The STP serves the applicant's nursing home and is located in Lake Township, Wayne County, Pennsylvania just northeast of Lake Ariel and south of Route 191. With no increase in permitted flow, the discharge will continue to an unnamed tributary of Ariel Creek which flows to Lake Wallenpaupack.

9. *Holman Enterprises-RMP Facility D-95-5*. A ground water remediation project which entails withdrawal and treatment of approximately 74,000 gpd of contaminated ground water for discharge to South Branch Pennsauken Creek in Pennsauken Township, Camden County, New Jersey. The project treatment facility will be located at the applicant's engine rebuilding plant site just east of Haddonfield Road and south of Route 73 in Pennsauken Township, Camden County, New Jersey.

10. *Valley Forge Sewer Authority D-95-6 CP*. A project to expand the applicant's existing 8.0 mgd secondary sewage treatment plant (STP) to 8.99 mgd by rerating the existing facilities after minor modifications. The STP service area will continue to include East Pikeland, Schuylkill, and Charleston Townships; the Borough of Malvern; and portions of Easttown, Tredyffrin, East Whiteland, and Willistown Townships, all in Chester County, Pennsylvania. The STP will continue to discharge treated effluent to the Schuylkill River in Schuylkill Township, Chester County, Pennsylvania.

Documents related to these items may be examined at the Commission's offices. Preliminary dockets are available in single copies upon request. Please contact George C. Elias concerning docket-related questions. Persons wishing to testify at this hearing are requested to register with the Secretary prior to the hearing.

Dated: March 7, 1995.

Susan M. Weisman,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-6447 Filed 3-15-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6360-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Extension of Public Comment Period for the Environmental Management Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement

AGENCY: U.S. Department of Energy.

ACTION: Extension of public comment period.

SUMMARY: On October 22, 1990, the Department of Energy issued a Notice of Intent to prepare the Environmental Restoration and Waste Management Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS). (55 FR 42633). In the Notice of Intent and in an Implementation Plan issued in January 1994, the Department identified the proposed action as follows: "to formulate and implement an integrated environmental restoration and waste management program in a safe and environmentally sound manner and in compliance with applicable laws, regulations and standards." A notice was issued on January 24, 1995, inviting the public to provide written comments on a proposed modification to the scope and name of the PEIS. (60 FR 4607). In the notice, the Department proposed to modify the proposed action to eliminate the analysis of environmental restoration alternatives. As modified,

the PEIS would consider how to manage certain types of radioactive and hazardous waste, and analyze alternative sites at which the wastes could be managed in the future. The PEIS would focus its programmatic evaluations on waste management facilities, and would henceforth be known as the "Waste Management Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement."

INVITATION TO COMMENT: In response to a request from the public, the Department is extending for 30 days, until April 10, 1995, the written comment period for the proposed modification to the Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement. A summary of the comments received in response to this notice will be contained in an appendix to the draft Waste Management Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement.

ADDRESSES AND FURTHER INFORMATION: Written comments and requests for further information on the Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement should be directed to: James A. Turi, Office of Waste Management (EM-33), U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20585-0002, (301) 903-7147. For information on the Department's National Environmental Policy Act process, contact: Carol M. Borgstrom, Director, Office of NEPA Policy and Assistance (EH-42), U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20585, (202) 586-4600 or leave a message at 1-800-472-2756.

Issued in Washington, D.C., this 10th day of March 1995.

Thomas P. Grumbly,

Assistant Secretary for Environmental Management.

[FR Doc. 95-6520 Filed 3-15-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

Pittsburgh Energy Technology Center; Notice of Unsolicited Financial Assistance Award

AGENCY: U.S. Department of Energy, Pittsburgh Energy Technology Center.

ACTION: Acceptance of an unsolicited proposal application of a grant award with the foundation at NJIT and the New Jersey Institute of Technology.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), Pittsburgh Energy Technology Center, announces that pursuant to 10 CFR 600.14 (D) and (E), it intends to award a Grant through the Pittsburgh Energy Technology Center (PETC) to The Foundation at NJIT and The New Jersey Institute of Technology

for "Experimental Investigation of Vibration-Induced Bulk Solids Transport and Segregation".

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: U.S. Department of Energy, Pittsburgh Energy Technology Center, Acquisition and Assistance Division, P.O. Box 10940, MS 921-143, Pittsburgh, PA 15236-0940, Attn.: Robyn L. Knappek, Telephone: (412) 892-6201.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Grant No. DE-FG22-95PC95203
Title of Research Effort—"Experimental Investigation of Vibration-Induced Bulk Solids Transport Segregation"
Awardee—The Foundation at NJIT and The New Jersey Institute of Technology
Term of Assistance Effort—Twelve (12) Months

Cost of Assistance Effort—The total estimated value is \$67,557,00. There will be cost-sharing involved in this transaction; financial assistance of 53.5% (\$34,451.00) will be provided by the U.S. Department of Energy.

Objective

In accordance with 10 CFR 600.14, The Foundation at NJIT and The New Jersey Institute of Technology has been selected as the grant recipient as the result of the evaluation of an unsolicited proposal.

The objective of this project is to analyze the effects of a number of parameters on the behavior of particles in vibration beds, with particular attention paid to induced flows and their influence on the motion of an embedded large particle. With the help of flow visualization technique and computer simulation proposed, it is anticipated that various flow behavior would be revealed, and this may shed light on the possible mechanisms for particle segregation.

The benefits include a study of the effects (quantitative as well as qualitative) on convective transport and segregation due to various parameters (wall conditions, surface particle properties, size ratio, etc.).

This proposed project, resulting from the submission of an unsolicited proposal, represents a unique or innovative idea, method, or approach which would not be eligible for financial assistance under a recent, current, or planned solicitation. The DOE has determined that a competitive solicitation would be inappropriate. This award will not be made for at least 14 days to allow for public comment.

Dale A. Siciliano,

Contracting Officer.

[FR Doc. 95-6521 Filed 3-15-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Pacific Gas Transmission Co.; Notice of Amendment

[Docket No. CP93-618-004]

March 10, 1995.

Take notice that on March 3, 1995, Pacific Gas Transmission Company (PGT), 160 Spear Street, San Francisco, California, 94105-1570, filed an application in Docket No. CP93-618-004. In this case, PGT is seeking an amendment to a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued in Docket Nos. CP93-618, *et al.* under Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, and Part 157, Subpart A of the commission's Regulations. The Certificate that PGT seeks to amend was issued by the Commission's Order of January 12, 1995, in the above dockets. PGT wants to partially increase the size of the Medford Extension, one of the two pipeline extensions approved by the January 12, 1995, Order. PGT's proposal is more fully set forth in the amendment which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

PGT now seeks to construct and operate about 22 miles of 16-inch pipeline on the Medford Extension, from the interconnection with PGT's mainline to Klamath Falls, Oregon, rather than 12-inch pipeline for that segment. The remainder of the 86.5 miles of the Medford Extension, which continues on to Medford, Oregon, would be a 12-inch pipeline, as previously approved. The increased diameter pipe would allow for additional capacity on the Medford Extension so PGT can provide up to 90,000 MMBtu per day of additional firm transportation service to Diamond Energy, Inc. (Diamond Energy). Diamond Energy, a subsidiary of Mitsubishi Corp., and the City of Klamath Falls have plans to jointly build a gas-fired electric generation station which would be operational in 1998.

PGT states that it seeks to take advantage of the short-term opportunity to install the larger pipe when the Medford Extension is initially constructed this summer. PGT says that this will avoid unnecessary future environmental disruptions and higher project costs associated with the future construction of pipeline looping or compressor facilities in three years time. PGT says that the additional cost of the larger pipe is estimated to be \$2.3 million, including AFUDC. The increased costs of the 16-inch pipe would be held out of the rate base of the

Medford Extension until after service to Diamond Energy begins.

PGT proposes to recover the incremental cost-of-service of the increased pipe size through surcharges to its existing FTS-1 Rate Schedule. Diamond Energy would pay the FTS-1 rate for mainline service and a surcharge for service on the Medford Extension.

PGT says that the surcharge that Diamond Energy would pay is a negotiated rate based on the avoided costs of Diamond Energy; Diamond Energy would not have to build its own pipeline to PGT. The negotiated reservation surcharge is \$0.090388 for the first 45,000 MMBtu per day of service and \$0.035477 for the second 45,000 MMBtu per day of service.

PGT says that incremental revenues from the rates paid by Diamond Energy will reduce costs of WP Natural Gas, a division of The Washington Water Power Company (Wash. Water), the only other customer on the Medford Extension. In the January 12, 1995, Order the Commission approved a special deferred cost recovery account/rate mechanism for Wash. Water. PGT says that Wash. Water's obligations under the deferred cost recovery mechanism will be reduced and shortened in time from 30 years to 18 years. PGT says it will include this revenue crediting information in its annual reports/filings containing the adjusted Wash. Water rate and related information on any deferred balance.

PGT proposes to recover the cost of Medford Extension based upon a straight-line depreciation schedule which is equal to the length of the transportation agreements for each extension. For service to Wash. Water over the Medford Extension the term of the contracts is 30 years, (there are two contracts between PGT and Wash. Water). For service to Diamond Energy over the Medford Extension the term of the contract is 27 years. Diamond Energy would begin service in November, 1998, three years after Wash. Water begins service.

PGT states that copies of its amendment have been sent to all interested state regulatory agencies and all parties on the official service list in Docket No. CP93-618, *et al.* PGT asks for expeditious approval of its proposal by June 1, 1995, so that the Medford Extension can be in-service by November, 1995. Otherwise, it will withdraw its request to install the 22 miles of 16-inch pipe and only build the approved 12-inch pipeline extension.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said amendment should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,