

be rerouted over other lines; (3) no formal complaint filed by a user of rail service on the Line (or by a State or local government entity acting on behalf of such user) regarding cessation of service over the Line either is pending with the Commission or with any U.S. District Court or has been decided in favor of the complainant within the 2-year period; and (4) the requirements at 49 CFR 1105.7 (environmental reports), 49 CFR 1105.8 (historic reports), 49 CFR 1105.11 (transmittal letter), 49 CFR 1105.12 (newspaper publication), and 49 CFR 1152.50(d)(1) (notice to governmental agencies) have been met.

As a condition to use of this exemption, any employee adversely affected by the abandonment shall be protected under *Oregon Short Line R. Co.—Abandonment—Goshen*, 360 I.C.C. 91 (1979). To address whether this condition adequately protects affected employees, a petition for partial revocation under 49 U.S.C. 10505(d) must be filed.

Provided no formal expression of intent to file an offer of financial assistance (OFA) has been received, this exemption will be effective on April 19, 1995, unless stayed pending reconsideration. Petitions to stay that do not involve environmental issues,² formal expressions of intent to file an OFA under 49 CFR 1152.27(c)(2),³ and trail use/rail banking requests under 49 CFR 1152.29⁴ must be filed by March 30, 1995. Petitions to reopen or requests for public use conditions under 49 CFR 1152.28 must be filed by April 10, 1995, with: Office of the Secretary, Case Control Branch, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, DC 20423.

A copy of any pleading filed with the Commission should be sent to applicant's representative: Gary A. Laakso, Southern Pacific Building, One Market Plaza, Room 846, San Francisco, CA 94105.

If the notice of exemption contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*.

² A stay will be issued routinely by the Commission in those proceedings where an informed decision on environmental issues (whether raised by a party or by the Commission's Section of Environmental Analysis in its independent investigation) cannot be made before the effective date of the notice of exemption. See *Exemption of Out-of-Service Rail Lines*, 5 I.C.C.2d 377 (1989). Any entity seeking a stay involving environmental concerns is encouraged to file its request as soon as possible in order to permit this Commission to review and act on the request before the effective date of this exemption.

³ See *Exempt. of Rail Abandonment—Offers of Finan. Assist.*, 4 I.C.C.2d 164 (1987).

⁴ The Commission will accept a late-filed trail use request as long as it retains jurisdiction to do so.

SPT has filed an environmental report which addresses the effects of the abandonment, if any, on the environment and historic resources. The Commission's Section of Environmental Analysis (SEA) will issue an environmental assessment (EA) by March 24, 1995. Interested persons may obtain a copy of the EA by writing to SEA (Room 3219, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, DC 20423) or by calling Elaine Kaiser, Chief of SEA, at (202) 927-6248. Comments on environmental and historic preservation matters must be filed within 15 days after the EA is available to the public.

Environmental, historic preservation, public use, or trail use/rail banking conditions will be imposed, where appropriate, in a subsequent decision.

Decided: March 14, 1995.

By the Commission, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-6886 Filed 3-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-P

[Docket No. AB-435 (Sub-No. 1X)]

**Willamette Valley Railway Company—
Discontinuance of Service
Exemption—in Marion County, OR**

Willamette Valley Railway Company (WVR) has filed a notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1152 Subpart F—*Exempt Abandonments and Discontinuances of Service and Trackage Rights* to discontinue service over approximately 4.71 miles of rail line owned by Southern Pacific Transportation Company (SP) extending between milepost 721.10 at or near Geer rail station and milepost 725.81 at or near Salem rail station, in Marion County, OR.¹

WVR has certified that: (1) No local traffic has moved over the line for at least 2 years; (2) all overhead traffic has been rerouted; (3) no formal complaint filed by a user of rail service on the line (or a State or local government entity acting on behalf of such user) regarding cessation of service over the line either is pending with the Commission or with

¹ WVR was authorized to lease from SP and operate approximate 72.37 miles of rail line, including this line segment, in *Willamette Valley Railway Company—Acquisition, Lease and Operation Exemption—Southern Pacific Transportation Company*, Finance Docket No. 32249 (ICC served Mar. 5, 1993). The lease transaction was consummated on February 22, 1993, as noted in SP's simultaneously filed notice of exemption to abandon this same line segment. See *Southern Pacific Transportation Company—Abandonment Exemption—In Marion County, OR*, Docket No. AB-12 (Sub-No. 183X).

any U.S. District Court or has been decided in favor of the complainant within the 2-year period; and (4) the requirements at 49 CFR 1105.7 (service of environmental report on agencies), 49 CFR 1105.8 (service of historic report on State Historic Preservation Officer), 49 CFR 1105.12 (newspaper publication), and 49 CFR 1152.50(d)(1) (service of verified notice on governmental agencies) have been met.

As a condition to use of this exemption, any employee affected by the discontinuance of service shall be protected under *Oregon Short Line R. Co.—Abandonment—Goshen*, 360 I.C.C. 91 (1979). To address whether this condition adequately protects affected employees, a petition for partial revocation under 49 U.S.C. 10505(d) must be filed.

Provided no formal expression of intent to file an offer of financial assistance has been received, this exemption will be effective on April 19, 1995 (unless stayed pending reconsideration). Petitions to stay that do not involve environmental issues,² and formal expressions of intent to file offers of financial assistance under 49 CFR 1152.27(c)(2),³ must be filed by March 30, 1995. Petitions to reopen must be filed by April 10, 1995, with: Office of the Secretary, Case Control Branch, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, DC 20423.⁴

A copy of any petition filed with the Commission should be sent to applicant's representative: Fritz R. Kahn, Suite 750 West, 1100 New York Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20005-3934.

If the notice of exemption contains false or misleading information, use of the exemption is void *ab initio*.

SP has filed an environmental report in Docket No. AB-12 (Sub-No. 183X) which addresses the abandonment's effects, if any, on the environment or historic resources. WVR has adopted SP's environmental report here. The Section of Environmental Analysis (SEA) will issue an environmental assessment (EA) by March 24, 1995.

² A stay will be issued routinely where an informed decision on environmental issues (whether raised by a party or by the Commission's Section of Environmental Analysis in its independent investigation) cannot be made prior to the effective date of the notice of exemption. See *Exemption of Out-of-Service Rail Lines*, 5 I.C.C.2d 377 (1989). Any entity seeking a stay on environmental grounds is encouraged to file promptly so that the Commission may act on the request before the effective date.

³ See *Exempt. of Rail Abandonment—Offers of Finan. Assist.*, 4 I.C.C.2d 164 (1987).

⁴ Requests for trail use/rail banking or public use conditions will be considered in SP's related abandonment notice of exemption in Docket No. AB-12 (Sub-No. 183X).

Interested persons may obtain a copy of the EA from SEA by writing to it at (Room 3219, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, DC 20423) or by calling Elaine Kaiser, Chief, SEA at (202) 927-6248. Comments on environmental and historic preservation matters must be filed within 15 days after the EA becomes available to the public.

Environmental and historic preservation, public use, or trail use/rail banking conditions will be imposed, where appropriate, in a subsequent decision in Docket No. AB-12 (Sub-No. 183X).

Decided: March 14, 1995.

By the Commission, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-6887 Filed 3-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Cable Television Laboratories, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on September 26, 1994, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Cable Television Laboratories, Inc. ("CableLabs") filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing: (1) The identities of the parties and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties are CableLabs, Louisville, CO and: Adelphia Communications Corporation, Coudersport, PA; ALLTEL Service Corporation, Little Rock, AR; Apollo Cablevision, Inc., Cerritos, CA; Armstrong Utilities, Inc., Butler, PA; Avenue TV Cable Service, Inc., Ventura, CA; Barden Communications, Inc., Detroit, MI; Benchmark Communications, Sterling, VA; Bend Cable Communications, Inc., Eugene, OR; Bresnan Communications Company, White Plains, NY; Buckeye Cablevision, Inc., Toledo, OH; Buford Television, Inc., Dallas, TX; Bluffton Cablevision, Ltd., Bluffton, SC; Cable America Corp., Phoenix, AZ; Cable de

Tula, S.A. de C.V., Col Juarez, DF, Mexico; Cable TV, Inc., Hazleton, PA; Cablevision Industries Corp., Liberty, NY; Cablevision Systems Corporation, Woodbury, NY; CF Cable TV Inc., Montreal, PQ, Canada; Chambers Communications Corp., Eugene, OR; Classic Communications Ltd., Richmond Hill, ON, Canada; Coaxial Communications, Columbus, Ohio; Cogeco Inc., Montreal, PQ Canada; Colony Communications, Inc., Providence, RI; Comcast Corporation, Philadelphia, PA; Continental Cablevision, Inc., Boston, MA; Cox Cable Communications, Inc., Atlanta, GA; Cross Country Cable, Inc., Warren, NJ; Crown Media, Inc., Dallas, TX; C-TEC Cable Systems, Dallas, PA; CUC Broadcasting Limited, Scarborough, ON, Canada; Douglas Communications Corporation II, Ridgewood, NJ; Duhanell Cable, Rapid City, SD; Fairmont Cable TV, Rochester, MN; Fundy Cable Ltd./Ltee, St. John, NB, Canada; Greater Media, Inc., East Brunswick, NJ; Guam Cable TV, Agana, Guam; Helicon Corp., Englewood Cliffs, NJ; Higgins Lake Cable, Inc., Iron Mountain, MI; Hornell Television Service, Inc., Hornell, NY; Insight Communications Company, New York, NY; InterMedia Partners, San Francisco, CA; ITC, Bala Cynwyd, PA; Jones Intercable, Inc., Englewood, CO; Lenfest Group (The), Pottstown, PA; Maclean Hunter Cable TV, Etobicoke, ON, Canada; Monmouth Cablevision Associate, Falls Church, VA; Multimedia Cablevision, Inc., Wichita, KS; New Heritage Associates, Des Moines, IA; Newhouse Broadcasting Corporation, Syracuse, NY; News, Press & Gazette Co. (The), St. Joseph, MO; North Star Cable, Inc., Kingston, WA; Northern Cablevision Ltd., Edmonton, AB, Canada; Paradigm Communications, Inc., Greenwich, CT; Post-Newsweek Cable, Inc., Phoenix, AZ; Prestige Cable TV of Maryland, Inc., Cartersville, GA; Prime Cable, Alutyn, TX; Princetown Cable Company, Schenectady, NY; Regina Cablevision Cooperative, Regina, SK, Canada; Rock Associates, Kirkland, WA; Rogers Cable TV Limited, Toronto, ON, Canada; Sammons Communications, Inc., Dallas, TX; Scripps Howard Cable Company, Cincinnati, OH; Shaw Communications Inc., Edmonton, AB, Canada; Susquehanna Cable Co., York, PA; Tele-Communications, Inc., Englewood, CO; Tele-Media Corporation, Pleasant Gap, PA; TeleCable Corporation, Norfolk, VA; Time Warner Cable, Stamford, CT; Times Mirror Cable Television, Irvine, CA; TKR Cable Company, Warren, NJ; Valley Cable TV Inc., Fort Valley, GA; Viacom International, Inc. (Cable

Division), Pleasanton, CA; Videotron Ltee, Montreal, PQ, Canada; Western Co-Axial Ltd., Hamilton, ON, Canada; WinDBreak Cable, Gering, NE; World Company (The), Fort Collins, CO.

The area of planned activity is researching and developing specifications for equipment that will enable cable television systems to deliver telecommunications services to customers via a hybrid fiber/coax network architecture.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 95-6737 Filed 3-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993; Financial Services Technology Consortium, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on November 22, 1994, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Financial Services Technology Consortium, Inc. (the "Consortium") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, the new Principal Member of the Consortium is: Banc One Services Corporation, Columbus, OH. The following parties were admitted as Associate Members of the Consortium: Motorola, Schaumburg, IL; American Express TRS Co., Inc., Salt Lake City, UT; Visa International, San Francisco, CA; Proprietary and Financial Products, Inc., Charleston, SC; Bank of Montreal, Toronto, Ontario, CANADA; OKI Advanced Products Division, Marlborough, MA; and IBM Corporation, New York, NY.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the Consortium. Membership remains open, and the Consortium intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On October 21, 1993, the Consortium filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on December 14, 1993 (58 Fed. Reg. 65399).

The last notification was filed with the Department on August 15, 1994. A