

Date: May 10–11, 1995.

Time: 8:30 a.m.–5:00 p.m.

Place: Governor's House Hotel, 17th Street and Rhode Island Avenue, NW., Washington, DC, 20036.

Type of Meeting: Open to the public. Persons may participate in the meeting if time and space permit.

Comments: The public may file written comments before or after the meeting by contacting the person below.

Purpose: The council agenda will include: the Forestry Research and Education Initiative; 1995 Farm Bill; National Science and Technology Council strategy; performance measures for research; science planning as it relates to forestry and natural resources; review of the Cooperative Forestry Research Program (McIntire-Stennis); and other current research issues.

Contact Person for Agenda and More Information: Jerry A. SESCO, Forest Service, 14th & Independence SW, P.O. Box 96090, Washington, DC, 20090-6090; telephone (202) 205-1665.

Dated: April 7, 1995.

William D. Carlson,

Administrator, Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service.

[FR Doc. 95-9292 Filed 4-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-22-M

### Data Collection Guidelines To Be Used in Formulating New Crop Insurance Policies

**AGENCY:** Federal Crop Insurance Corporation, Office of Risk Management, Consolidated Farm Service Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice of issuance of guidelines for data collection to assist the Federal Crop Insurance Corporation in researching the feasibility of formulating crop insurance policies for new crops.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Crop Insurance Corporation ("FCIC") publishes this notice to advise all interested parties of FCIC's guidelines for data collection to assist the Corporation in researching the feasibility of formulating crop insurance policies for new crops.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 14, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Research and Evaluation Branch, FCIC, P.O. Box 419293, Kansas City, Missouri 64141.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Vondie W. O'Conner, Jr., Acting Chief, or Floyd Niernberger, Specialty Crop Coordinator, Research and Evaluation Branch, FCIC, P.O. Box 419293, Kansas City, Missouri 64141. Telephone (816) 926-6343.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The purpose of this notice is to outline the data required by FCIC to develop new crop insurance programs. Proposals for new insured crops, along with any of the following supporting data, can be

forwarded to FCIC at the address listed above. The person or organization initiating the new crop program request can facilitate the request by submitting as much of the supporting data as possible. For data not readily available, or for questions or assistance, please contact FCIC at the respective Consolidated Farm Service Agency (CFSA) office or the FCIC Research and Evaluation Branch at the address listed above. Submissions in electronic formats such as ASCII, WordPerfect, or Lotus are encouraged but not required.

This is a summary of the content of the New Crop Program Development Handbook (FCIC 23010). A copy of the Handbook is available by request from the address listed above. This summary of data requirements is organized into five areas and describes the typical information evaluated by FCIC.

#### I. New Crop Program Request Criteria

A. All new crop program requests should include:

(1) A petition or similar request, or recommendation by an FCIC Regional Service Office requesting coverage of a new crop and indicating how a minimum 10% level of participation by growers of the crop in the area of the request will be achieved.

(2) An estimate of the number of acres of the crop grown in the area, and the percentage that crop comprises of all crop acres in the area.

(3) An estimate of the value of the crop grown in the area, and the percentage that crop value comprises of the total crop value of all crops grown in the area.

(4) An estimate of the average percent contribution to individual farm income of the crop for those producers growing the crop in the area.

(5) An estimate of the economic significance of the crop to the area for the next five years, and the reasons for any significant expected changes.

B. In addition, priority will be given to requests that meet at least one of the following criteria:

(1) The annual value of the crop produced exceeds \$3,000,000 in the National Agricultural Statistics Service defined crop reporting district for which coverage is requested.

(2) The annual value of the crop produced exceeds \$9,000,000 within the state for which coverage is requested.

(3) The annual value of the crop produced exceeds \$15,000,000 in all states covered by the affected FCIC Regional Service Office.

(4) At the national level, the annual value of the crop produced exceeds \$30,000,000.

#### II. Risk Profile and Analysis

These data should provide historical information for the crop.

A. Perils affecting the crop:

(1) Natural perils (e.g. fire, drought, hail, etc.);

(2) Economic perils—such as market perils, changes in consumer taste, or marketing orders; and

(3) Human perils—such as risk associated with failure to follow good farming practices, theft, etc.

B. Loss valuation:

(1) How is damage to the crop evaluated?

C. Market requirements and characteristics:

(1) Where is the crop marketed?

(2) How is the crop marketed?

D. Available loss control techniques:

(1) Production risks—For example, can losses be prevented or mitigated by use of irrigation, avoiding varieties susceptible to loss, preventative pest and disease control measures; by use of frost protection methods, site selection, cultivar selection, cultural practices, or pest, weed, and disease control measures; by reconditioning or salvage.

(2) Market risk—Identify forward contracting tools available, or futures or options market tools available.

(3) Problems associated with storage of the crop—Are there geographic price differences?

#### III. Actuarial Sufficiency and Data Availability

Provide data indicating:

A. What producer production records are available to identify yields;

B. As much historical yield data as possible;

C. What production records are available to identify production costs;

D. Farm budgets or other production cost analysis;

E. Marketing data; and

F. Extension farm evaluation information.

#### IV. Agronomic and Horticultural Suitability

These data include written recommendations and supporting documentation from the Extension Service, independent field research facilities, or other experts on acceptable plant varieties for the area. This documentation should cover the following areas:

A. Acceptable plant varieties for the area;

B. Cultural conditions;

C. Grower and industry association records and recommendations;

D. Soil Conservation Service land use capabilities and recommendations; and

E. Other test results or studies.

#### V. Marketing Dynamics

Describe where and how the market operates for the proposed crop.

Specifically, provide the following:

##### A. Market characteristics:

- (1) Use—fresh or processed;
- (2) If processed, how;
- (3) Market size;
- (4) Market location; and
- (5) Market age;

##### B. Marketing services:

- (1) Pricing—contract or open market;
  - (2) Grading;
  - (3) Marketing Orders;
  - (4) Storage facilities;
  - (5) Processing facilities; and
  - (6) Fresh market packing facilities.
- B. Market competition:
- (1) Domestic market; and
  - (2) International market.

#### Notice

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority contained in the Federal Crop Insurance Act, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1501, *et seq.*), the FCIC herewith gives notice of the availability of the "New Crop Program Development Handbook" containing the data collection guidelines for use in developing new crop insurance programs.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 1506(l).

Done in Washington, D.C. on April 4, 1995.

Suzu Dittrich,

*Acting Manager, Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.*

[FR Doc. 95-9196 Filed 4-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-08-P

#### Forest Service

##### **Cleanup and Rehabilitation of the White King and Lucky Lass Uranium Mines, Fremont National Forest, Lake County, OR**

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Cancellation of an environmental impact statement.

**SUMMARY:** On August 10, 1989, a Notice of Intent (NOI) to prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) for the Cleanup and Rehabilitation of the White King and Lucky Lass Uranium Mines on the Lakeview Ranger District of the Fremont National Forest was published in the Federal Register (54 FR 32837). A revised NOI was published in the Federal Register on February 12, 1991 (56 FR 5676). The draft EIS was released in August 1991, with a Notice of Availability date of August 23, 1991 (56 FR 41842). The Forest Service has decided not to prepare the final EIS on this proposal

because an RI/FS will be prepared; therefore, this Notice of Intent is rescinded.

##### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Direct questions regarding this cancellation to Janine Cannon, Environmental Coordinator, Lakeview Ranger District, Lakeview, Oregon 97630; phone (503) 947-6333.

Dated: March 31, 1995.

Charles R. Graham,

*Forest Supervisor.*

[FR Doc. 95-9235 Filed 4-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

##### **South Manti Timber Salvage; Manti-La Sal National Forest, Sanpete and Sevier Counties, UT**

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Revised Notice of Intent to prepare an environmental impact statement.

**SUMMARY:** The USDA, Forest Service, Manti-La Sal National Forest is preparing an environmental impact statement (EIS) to disclose the environmental effects of timber salvage on the Ferron and Sanpete Ranger Districts. The Notice of Intent to prepare an environmental impact statement published February 28, 1994 (Vol. 59, No. 39, page 9462) indicated the draft EIS would be available for public review June, 1994 and the final EIS released September 16, 1994.

Completion has been delayed and revised dates for filing the draft and final EIS have been developed. The Draft EIS is estimated to be filed with the Environmental Protection Agency and available for public review May, 1995. The final EIS is expected to be released September, 1995. All other information published in the February 28, 1994 Federal Notice remains relevant.

##### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Questions about the proposed action and EIS should be directed to David Hatfield, Interdisciplinary Team Leader, Manti-La Sal National Forest, 599 West Price River Drive, Price, Utah, 84501. Phone (801) 637-2817.

Dated: April 7, 1995.

Aaron L. Howe,

*Acting Forest Supervisor.*

[FR Doc. 95-9240 Filed 4-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

#### **Natural Resources Conservation Service**

##### **Buffalo River Tributaries Watershed, Searcy, Marion, Newton, Pope and Van Buren Counties, AR**

**AGENCY:** Natural Resources Conservation Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of a Finding of No Significant Impact.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations (40 CFR Part 1500); and the Natural Resources Conservation Service Regulations (7 CFR Part 650); the Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, gives notice that an environmental impact statement is not being prepared for the Buffalo River Tributaries Watershed, Searcy, Marion, Newton, Pope, and Van Buren Counties, Arkansas.

##### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Thomas H. Wehri, State Conservationist, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Room 5404, Federal Office Building, 700 West Capitol Avenue, Little Rock, AR, 72201, (501) 324-5445.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The environmental assessment of action indicates that the project will not cause significant local, regional, or national impacts on the environment. As a result of these findings, Thomas H. Wehri, State Conservationist, has determined that the preparation and review of an environmental impact statement are not needed for this project.

The project purpose is watershed protection, (water quality improvement). The planned words of improvement include conservation plans which will provide for land treatment and nonstructural (conservation easements) measures. Land treatment measures include 33,000 acres of pasture improvement, three miles of streambank stabilization, 500 acres of critical area treatment, installation of 25 animal waste management facilities. Nonstructural measures include 820 acres of conservation easements needed to establish filter strips, preserve and reestablish riparian zones.

The Notice of a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) has been forwarded to the Environmental Protection Agency and to various Federal, State, and local agencies and interested parties. A limited number of copies of the FONSI are available to fill single copy requests at the above address. Basic data developed during the environmental assessment are on