

MGE requests an effective date 60 days from the filing date.

Comment date: April 20, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

31. Idaho Power Co.

[Docket No. ER95-795-000]

Take notice that on March 23, 1995, Idaho Power Company (IPC), tendered for filing an agreement dated February 6, 1995 providing for IPC to furnish transmission service to Washington Water Power Company and Sierra Pacific Power Company.

Comment date: April 20, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

32. Northeast Utilities Service Co.

[Docket No. ER95-798-000]

Take notice that on March 27, 1995, Northeast Utilities Service Company (NUSCO), tendered for filing a Service Agreement with Middleborough Gas and Electric Department (Middleborough) under the NU System Companies System Power Sales/Exchange Tariff No. 6.

NUSCO states that a copy of this filing has been mailed to Middleborough.

NUSCO requests that the Service Agreement become effective on April 1, 1995.

Comment date: April 20, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

33. Niagara Mohawk Power Corp.

[Docket No. ER95-799-000]

Take notice that on March 27, 1995, Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (Niagara Mohawk), tendered for filing an agreement between Niagara Mohawk and North American Energy Conservation, Inc. (NAEC) dated March 23, 1995 providing for certain transmission services to NAEC.

Copies of this filing were served upon NAEC and the New York State Public Service Commission.

Comment date: April 20, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be

considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-9202 Filed 4-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. ER95-777-000, et al.]

**Maine Public Service Co., et al.;
Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation
Filings**

April 5, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Maine Public Service Co.

[Docket No. ER95-777-000]

Take notice that on March 21, 1995, Maine Public Service Company (Maine Public), filed an executed Service Agreement with North American Energy Conservation, Inc. Maine Public states that the service agreement is being submitted pursuant to its tariff provision pertaining to the short-term non-firm sale of capacity and energy which establishes a ceiling rate at Maine Public's cost of service for the units available for sale.

Maine Public requests that the service agreement become effective on April 1, 1995 and requests waiver of the Commission's regulations regarding filing.

Comment date: April 19, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

2. Jersey Central Power & Light Co.,
Metropolitan Edison Co. and
Pennsylvania Electric Co.

[Docket No. ER95-779-000]

Take notice that on March 21, 1995, GPU Service Corporation (GPU), on behalf of Jersey Central Power & Light Company, Metropolitan Edison Company and Pennsylvania Electric Company (jointly referred to as the GPU Operating Companies), filed an executed Service Agreement between GPU and PECO Energy Company (PECO), dated March 6, 1995. This Service Agreement specifies that PECO has agreed to the rates, terms and conditions of the GPU Operating Companies' Operating Capacity and/or Energy Sales Tariff (Sales Tariff) designated as FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 1. The Sales Tariff

was accepted by the Commission by letter order issued on February 10, 1995 in *Jersey Central Power & Light Co., Metropolitan Edison Co. and Pennsylvania Electric Co.*, Docket No. ER95-276-000 and allows GPU and PECO to enter into separately scheduled transactions under which the GPU Operating Companies will make available for sale, surplus operating capacity and/or energy at negotiated rates that are no higher than the GPU Operating Companies' cost of service.

GPU requests a waiver of the Commission's notice requirements for good cause shown and an effective date of March 6, 1995 for the Service Agreement.

GPU has served copies of the filing on regulatory agencies in New Jersey and Pennsylvania.

Comment date: April 19, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

3. Jersey Central Power & Light Co.,
Metropolitan Edison Co. and
Pennsylvania Electric Co.

[Docket No. ER95-780-000]

Take notice that on March 21, 1995, GPU Service Corporation (GPU), on behalf of Jersey Central Power & Light Company, Metropolitan Edison Company and Pennsylvania Electric Company (jointly referred to as the GPU Operating Companies), filed an executed Service Agreement between GPU and North American Energy Conservation, Inc. (NAEC), dated March 8, 1995. This Service Agreement specifies that NAEC has agreed to the rates, terms and conditions of the GPU Operating Companies' Operating Capacity and/or Energy Sales Tariff (Sales Tariff) designated as FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 1. The Sales Tariff was accepted by the Commission by letter order issued on February 10, 1995 in *Jersey Central Power & Light Co., Metropolitan Edison Co. and Pennsylvania Electric Co.*, Docket No. ER95-276-000 and allows GPU and NAEC to enter into separately scheduled transactions under which the GPU Operating Companies will make available for sale, surplus operating capacity and/or energy at negotiated rates that are no higher than the GPU Operating Companies' cost of service.

GPU requests a waiver of the Commission's notice requirements for good cause shown and an effective date of March 8, 1995 for the Service Agreement.

GPU has served copies of the filing on regulatory agencies in New Jersey and Pennsylvania.

Comment date: April 19, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

4. Northeast Utilities Service Co.

[Docket No. ER95-781-000]

Take notice that on March 21, 1995, Northeast Utilities Service Company (NUSCO), tendered for filing on behalf of The Connecticut Light and Power Company, Western Massachusetts Electric Company, Holyoke Water Power Company (including Holyoke Power and Electric Company), and Public Service Company of New Hampshire (together, the NU System Companies), a Fourth Amendment to System Power Sales Agreement (Amendment) with Bozrah Light and Power Company (BL&P) and a Service Agreement between NUSCO and the NU System Companies for service under NUSCO's Short-Term Firm Transmission Service Tariff No. 5. The transaction extends the System Power Sale from April 1, 1965 through the earlier of June 30, 1995 or the last day of the month in which the acquisition of BL&P by The City of Groton Department of Utilities is complete.

NUSCO requests that the rate schedule become effective on April 1, 1995. NUSCO states that copies of the rate schedule have been mailed or delivered to the parties to the Amendment.

Comment date: April 19, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

5. The Detroit Edison Co.

[Docket No. ES95-27-000]

Take notice that on March 27, 1995, The Detroit Edison Company filed an application under § 204 of the Federal Power Act seeking authorization to issue from time to time, on or before May 31, 1997, in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$1 billion at any one time outstanding, short-term debt securities and promissory notes bearing final maturities not to exceed two years.

Comment date: April 26, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

6. Crockett Cogeneration, A California Limited Partnership

[Docket No. QF84-429-003]

On March 29, 1995, Crockett Cogeneration, A California Limited Partnership (Crockett Cogeneration), 500 N.E. Multnamah, Suite 900, Portland, Oregon 9732, submitted for filing an application for recertification of a facility as a cogeneration facility pursuant to § 292.207(b) of the Commission's Regulations. No

determination has been made that the submittal constitutes a complete filing.

According to the applicant, the 240 MW natural gas-fired facility, now under construction, is located at Crockett, California, and consists of a combustion turbine generator, a separately fired heat recovery boiler, and an extraction/condensing steam turbine generator.

In Docket No. QF84-429-000, Pacific Thermonetics, Inc. was initially granted certification for a 195.8 MW natural gas-fired topping-cycle cogeneration facility to be located in Crockett, California, [29 FERC ¶ 62,044 (1984)]. In Docket No. QF84-429-001, the applicant was granted recertification for the cogeneration facility to reflect changes in the facility's configuration, date of operation, net electric power production capacity, and the transfer of ownership from Pacific Thermonetics to Crockett Cogeneration, [60 FERC ¶ 62,258 (1992)]. In Docket No. QF84-429-002, the applicant filed a notice of self-certification concurrently with the filing of this application. The instant application is submitted to reflect changes in the ownership of the facility and inclusion of a 1.6 mile 230-kV underground transmission line from the plant switchyard to a transition station located at Pacific Gas & Electric Company's overhead transmission line.

Comment date: May 15, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-9203 Filed 4-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-001-P

[Docket No. CP95-240-000]

Columbia Gas Transmission Corp.; Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Assessment for the Proposed Line KA Replacement Project and Request for Comments on Environmental Issues

April 10, 1995.

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) will prepare an environmental assessment (EA) that will discuss the environmental impacts of the construction, operation, and abandonment of the facilities proposed in the Line KA Replacement Project.¹ This EA will be used by the Commission in its decision-making process to determine whether an environmental impact statement is necessary and whether to approve the project.

Summary of the Proposed Project

Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia) proposes to construct and operate approximately 12.1 miles of 24-inch-diameter pipeline to replace approximately 11 miles of its existing 20-inch-diameter Main Line KA in Wyoming and Raleigh Counties, West Virginia. These actions would improve the safety, reliability, and efficiency of Columbia's pipeline system. The replacement would be done in two segments as follows:

Segment 1

- Construct about 7.0 miles of replacement pipeline.
- Abandon 1.9 miles of existing Line KA by removal.
- Abandon in place 3.8 miles of existing Line KA.
- Transfer 0.7 mile of existing Line KA to low-pressure transmission service.
- Construct about 0.1 mile of 8-inch-diameter pipeline to tie the existing Line KA-26 into the replacement pipeline.
- Relocate an existing receipt meter from Cabot Oil and Gas Corporation about 1500 feet to the south to tie it into the replacement pipeline.²

Segment 2

- Construct about 5.1 miles of replacement pipeline.
- Abandon 4.6 miles of existing 20-inch-diameter Line KA by removal

¹ Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation's application was filed with the Commission under Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act and Part 157 of the Commission's regulations.

² The receipt meter would be relocated pursuant to section 2.55(d) of the Commission's regulations.