

Comment date: April 19, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

4. Northeast Utilities Service Co.

[Docket No. ER95-781-000]

Take notice that on March 21, 1995, Northeast Utilities Service Company (NUSCO), tendered for filing on behalf of The Connecticut Light and Power Company, Western Massachusetts Electric Company, Holyoke Water Power Company (including Holyoke Power and Electric Company), and Public Service Company of New Hampshire (together, the NU System Companies), a Fourth Amendment to System Power Sales Agreement (Amendment) with Bozrah Light and Power Company (BL&P) and a Service Agreement between NUSCO and the NU System Companies for service under NUSCO's Short-Term Firm Transmission Service Tariff No. 5. The transaction extends the System Power Sale from April 1, 1965 through the earlier of June 30, 1995 or the last day of the month in which the acquisition of BL&P by The City of Groton Department of Utilities is complete.

NUSCO requests that the rate schedule become effective on April 1, 1995. NUSCO states that copies of the rate schedule have been mailed or delivered to the parties to the Amendment.

Comment date: April 19, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

5. The Detroit Edison Co.

[Docket No. ES95-27-000]

Take notice that on March 27, 1995, The Detroit Edison Company filed an application under § 204 of the Federal Power Act seeking authorization to issue from time to time, on or before May 31, 1997, in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$1 billion at any one time outstanding, short-term debt securities and promissory notes bearing final maturities not to exceed two years.

Comment date: April 26, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

6. Crockett Cogeneration, A California Limited Partnership

[Docket No. QF84-429-003]

On March 29, 1995, Crockett Cogeneration, A California Limited Partnership (Crockett Cogeneration), 500 N.E. Multnamah, Suite 900, Portland, Oregon 9732, submitted for filing an application for recertification of a facility as a cogeneration facility pursuant to § 292.207(b) of the Commission's Regulations. No

determination has been made that the submittal constitutes a complete filing.

According to the applicant, the 240 MW natural gas-fired facility, now under construction, is located at Crockett, California, and consists of a combustion turbine generator, a separately fired heat recovery boiler, and an extraction/condensing steam turbine generator.

In Docket No. QF84-429-000, Pacific Thermonetics, Inc. was initially granted certification for a 195.8 MW natural gas-fired topping-cycle cogeneration facility to be located in Crockett, California, [29 FERC ¶ 62,044 (1984)]. In Docket No. QF84-429-001, the applicant was granted recertification for the cogeneration facility to reflect changes in the facility's configuration, date of operation, net electric power production capacity, and the transfer of ownership from Pacific Thermonetics to Crockett Cogeneration, [60 FERC ¶ 62,258 (1992)]. In Docket No. QF84-429-002, the applicant filed a notice of self-certification concurrently with the filing of this application. The instant application is submitted to reflect changes in the ownership of the facility and inclusion of a 1.6 mile 230-kV underground transmission line from the plant switchyard to a transition station located at Pacific Gas & Electric Company's overhead transmission line.

Comment date: May 15, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-9203 Filed 4-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-001-P

[Docket No. CP95-240-000]

Columbia Gas Transmission Corp.; Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Assessment for the Proposed Line KA Replacement Project and Request for Comments on Environmental Issues

April 10, 1995.

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) will prepare an environmental assessment (EA) that will discuss the environmental impacts of the construction, operation, and abandonment of the facilities proposed in the Line KA Replacement Project.¹ This EA will be used by the Commission in its decision-making process to determine whether an environmental impact statement is necessary and whether to approve the project.

Summary of the Proposed Project

Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia) proposes to construct and operate approximately 12.1 miles of 24-inch-diameter pipeline to replace approximately 11 miles of its existing 20-inch-diameter Main Line KA in Wyoming and Raleigh Counties, West Virginia. These actions would improve the safety, reliability, and efficiency of Columbia's pipeline system. The replacement would be done in two segments as follows:

Segment 1

- Construct about 7.0 miles of replacement pipeline.
- Abandon 1.9 miles of existing Line KA by removal.
- Abandon in place 3.8 miles of existing Line KA.
- Transfer 0.7 mile of existing Line KA to low-pressure transmission service.
- Construct about 0.1 mile of 8-inch-diameter pipeline to tie the existing Line KA-26 into the replacement pipeline.
- Relocate an existing receipt meter from Cabot Oil and Gas Corporation about 1500 feet to the south to tie it into the replacement pipeline.²

Segment 2

- Construct about 5.1 miles of replacement pipeline.
- Abandon 4.6 miles of existing 20-inch-diameter Line KA by removal

¹ Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation's application was filed with the Commission under Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act and Part 157 of the Commission's regulations.

² The receipt meter would be relocated pursuant to section 2.55(d) of the Commission's regulations.

except at bored road crossings, where it would be abandoned in place.

- Construct about 0.1 mile of 3-inch-diameter pipeline to tie the existing Line KA-14 into the replacement pipeline.

the location of the project facilities is shown in appendix 1.³

Land Requirements for Construction

Approximately 71 percent of the replacement pipeline would be located in new rights-of-way. The remaining construction right-of-way would partially or fully overlap Columbia's existing right-of-way. Columbia intends to use a 75-foot-wide construction right-of-way. Additional working spaces adjacent to the construction right-of-way (such as for side hill cuts, stream crossings, and staging areas) would be identified during the environmental analysis and approved before use.

Overall, about 173 acres of land would be disturbed by construction and abandonment, including one new access road and 41 staging areas. Columbia would also widen many of the 47 existing access roads to be used for the project. Full control of all disturbed areas outside of the new permanent right-of-way (approximately 67 acres) would revert back to landowners after construction and restoration have been completed.

The EA Process

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requires the Commission to take into account the environmental impacts that could result from an action whenever it considers the issuance of a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity. NEPA also requires us to discover and address concerns the public may have about proposals. We call this "scoping". The main goal of the scoping process is to focus the analysis in the EA on the important environmental issues. By this Notice of Intent, the Commission requests public comments on the scope of the issues it will address in the EA. All comments received are considered during the preparation of the EA. State and local government representatives are encouraged to notify their constituents of this proposed action and encourage them to comment on their areas of concern.

³The appendices referenced in this notice are not being printed in the Federal Register. Copies are available from the Commission's Public Reference and Files Maintenance Branch, Room 3104, 941 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, or call (202) 208-1371. Copies of the appendices were sent to all those receiving this notice in the mail.

The EA will discuss impacts that could occur as a result of the construction and operation of the proposed project under these general headings:

- Geology and soils
- Water resources, fisheries, and wetlands
- Vegetation and wildlife
- Public safety
- Land use
- Endangered and threatened species
- Cultural resources

We will also evaluate possible alternatives to the proposed project or portions of the project, and make recommendations on how to lessen or avoid impacts on the various resource areas.

Our independent analysis of the issues will be in the EA. Depending on the comments received during the scoping process, the EA may be published and mailed to Federal, state, and local agencies, public interest groups, interested individuals, affected landowners, newspapers, libraries, and the Commission's official service list for this proceeding. A comment period will be allotted for review if the EA is published. We will consider all comments on the EA before we recommend that the Commission approve or not approve the project.

Currently Identified Environmental Issues

We have already identified several issues that we think deserve attention based on a preliminary review of the proposed facilities and the environmental information provided by Columbia. Keep in mind that this is a preliminary list. The list of issues may be added to, subtracted from, or changed, based on your comments and our analysis. Issues are:

- Five residences are near the replacement pipeline right-of-way.
- New and retirement construction would cross 28 waterbodies, one of which has been designated as a high quality stream. Some of these waterbodies would be crossed more than once.
- New and retirement construction would cross 21 wetlands.

Public Participation

You can make a difference by sending a letter addressing your specific comments or concerns about the project. You should focus on the potential environmental effects of the proposal, alternatives to the proposal (including alternative routes), and measures to avoid or lessen environmental impact. The more specific your comments, the

more useful they will be. Please follow the instructions below to ensure that your comments are received and properly recorded:

- Address your letter to: Lois Cashell, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol St., N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426;
- Reference Docket No. CP95-240-000;
- Send a copy of your letter to: Ms. Elizabeth Secrest, EA Project Manager, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol St., N.E., Room 7312, Washington, D.C. 20426; and
- Mail your comments so that they will be received in Washington, D.C. on or before May 16, 1995.

If you wish to receive a copy of the EA, you should request one from Ms. Secrest at the above address.

Becoming an Intervenor

In addition to involvement in the EA scoping process, you may want to become an official party to the proceeding or become an "intervenor". Among other things, intervenors have the right to receive copies of case-related Commission documents and filings by other intervenors. Likewise, each intervenor must provide copies of its filings to all other parties. If you want to become an intervenor you must file a motion to intervene according to Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214) (see appendix 2).

The date for filing of timely motions to intervene in this proceeding has passed. Therefore, parties now seeking to file late interventions must show good cause, as required by section 385.214(b)(3), why this time limitation should be waived. Environmental issues have been viewed as good cause for late intervention. You do not need intervenor status to have your scoping comments considered.

Additional information about the proposed project is available from Ms. Elizabeth Secrest, EA Project Manager, at (202) 208-0918.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-9216 Filed 4-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. ER94-478-000, et al.]

Medina Power Co., et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

April 7, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission: