

2. On-site review of Mr. Jones' field activities, and interviews and observations of any selected authorized users (other than Mr. Jones) working at various locations.

D. Mr. Jones shall provide notice to the NRC seven days prior to working in areas of NRC jurisdiction under the provisions of 10 CFR 150.20.

## V

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.202, Mr. Jones must, and any other person adversely affected by this Order may, submit an answer to this Order, and may request a hearing on this Order, within 20 days of the date of this Order.

The answer may consent to this Order. Unless the answer consents to this Order, the answer shall, in writing and under oath or affirmation, specifically admit or deny each allegation or charge made in this order and set forth the matters of fact and law on which Mr. Jones or other person adversely affected relies and the reasons as to why the Order should not have been issued. Any answer or request for a hearing shall be submitted to the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Chief, Docketing and Services Section, Washington, D.C. 20555. Copies also shall be sent to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, to the Assistant General Counsel for Hearings and Enforcement at the same address, to the Regional Administrator, NRC Region IV, 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400, Arlington, Texas 76011-8064, and to Mr. Jones, if the answer or hearing request is by a person other than Mr. Jones. If a person other than Mr. Jones requests a hearing, that person shall set forth with particularity the manner in which his interest is adversely affected by this Order and shall address the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 2.714(d).

If a hearing is requested by Mr. Jones or a person whose interest is adversely affected, the Commission will issue an Order designating the time and place of any hearing. If a hearing is held, the issue to be considered at such hearing shall be whether this Order should be sustained.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202(c)(2)(i), Mr. Otho Jones, Jones Inspection Services, or any other person adversely affected by this Order, may, in addition to demanding a hearing, at the time the answer is filed or sooner, move the presiding officer to set aside the immediate effectiveness of the Order on the ground that the Order, including the need for immediate effectiveness, is not based on adequate evidence but on mere

suspicion, unfounded allegations, or error.

In the absence of any request for hearing, the provisions specified in Section IV above shall be final 20 days from the date of this Order without further order or proceedings. An answer or a request for hearing shall not stay the immediate effectiveness of this order.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 11th day of April 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Hugh L. Thompson, Jr.,**

*Deputy Executive Director for Nuclear Materials Safety, Safeguards, and Operations Support.*

[FR Doc. 95-9506 Filed 4-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

---

## NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD

### Joint Panel Meeting on Perceived Risks and Socioeconomic Impacts

Pursuant to its authority under section 5051 of Public Law 100-203, the Nuclear Waste Policy Amendments Act of 1987, the Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board's (the Board) Panel on the Environment & Public Health and Panel on Risk & Performance Analysis will hold a joint meeting May 23-24, 1995, in Las Vegas, Nevada. The meeting, which is open to the public, will be held at the St. Tropez Hotel, 455 East Harmon, Las Vegas, Nevada 89109; Tel (702) 369-5400; Fax (702) 369-1150. The meeting will begin at 1:00 P.M. on Tuesday, May 23, recess at approximately 5:00 P.M., and continue on Wednesday, May 24, from 8:30 A.M. to noon.

The meeting will consist of a panel discussion by a diverse group of social scientists. The topic for discussion is peoples' beliefs about the risks associated with a potential high-level radioactive waste repository at Yucca Mountain, Nevada, and how those beliefs might result in significant socioeconomic impacts. The Board is looking at this issue because socioeconomic impacts are addressed as part of the Department of Energy's site-suitability guidelines, 10 CFR 960.

As with all the Board's meetings, time is set aside on the agenda for comments and questions from the public. In order to ensure that everyone wishing to speak is offered time to do so, the Board encourages those who have comments to sign the *Public Comment Register* located at the sign-in table. Written comments for the record also may be submitted to the Board staff at the sign-in table.

The Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board was created by Congress in the Nuclear Waste Policy Amendments Act of 1987 to evaluate the technical and scientific validity of activities undertaken by the DOE in its program to manage the disposal of the nation's high-level radioactive waste and spent nuclear fuel. In that same legislation, Congress directed the DOE to characterize a site at Yucca Mountain, Nevada, for its suitability as a potential location for a permanent repository for the disposal of that waste.

Transcripts of the meeting will be available on computer disk or on a library-loan basis in paper format from Davonya Barnes, Board staff, beginning July 10, 1995. For further information, contact Frank Randall, External Affairs, Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board, 1100 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 910, Arlington, Virginia 22209; (703) 235-4473.

Dated: April 13, 1995.

**William Barnard,**

*Executive Director, Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board.*

[FR Doc. 95-9510 Filed 4-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-AM-M

---

## OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

### Notice of Meeting

**AGENCY:** Office of Management and Budget.

**ACTION:** National Industrial Security Program Policy Advisory Committee (NISPPAC) meeting; notice of meeting and invitation for public comments.

**SUMMARY:** The National Industrial Security Program Policy Advisory Committee will hold a meeting that shall serve as a forum to discuss National Industrial Security Program (NISP) policy issues in dispute, and to advise the Chairman on these issues. The agenda will include a discussion of the status of the NISP, the NISP Operating Manual, and accounting for security costs within industry. Written statements from the public will be accepted in lieu of an opportunity for comment at the meeting.

The Information Security Oversight Office (ISOO) will host the meeting. ISOO is part of OMB's Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held on Thursday, April 20, 1995, at 10 a.m., at the Information Security Oversight Office in Washington, DC. The meeting is open to the public; however, due to access procedures, the names and