

The remaining portions of this meeting from 9:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on May 15–18 and from 9:30 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. on May 19 are for the purpose of panel review, discussion, evaluation, and recommendation on applications for financial assistance under the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, as amended, including information given in confidence to the agency by grant applicants. In accordance with the determination of the Chairman of February 8, 1994, these sessions will be closed to the public pursuant to subsection (c)(4), (6) and (9)(B) of section 552b of Title 5, United States Code.

Any person may observe meetings, or portions thereof, of advisory panels which are open to the public, and may be permitted to participate in the panel's discussions at the discretion of the Panel chairman and with the approval of the full-time Federal employee in attendance.

If you need special accommodations due to a disability, please contact the Office of Special Constituencies, National Endowment for the Arts, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20506, 202/682-5532, TYY 202/682-5496, at least seven (7) days prior to the meeting.

Further information with reference to this meeting can be obtained from Ms. Yvonne M. Sabine, Committee Management Officer, National Endowment for the Arts, Washington, DC 20506, or call 202/682-5433.

Dated: April 17, 1995.

Yvonne M. Sabine,

Director, Office of Council and Panel Operations, National Endowment for the Arts.
[FR Doc. 95-9832 Filed 4-19-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7537-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-416]

Entergy Operations, Inc.; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from certain requirements of its regulations to Facility Operating License No. NPF-29, issued to Entergy Operations, Inc. (the licensee), for operation of the Grand Gulf Nuclear Station, Unit 1 (GGNS), located in Claiborne County, Mississippi.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of Proposed Action

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application dated August 13, 1993, as supplemented by letters dated April 15, May 11, June 24, and July 20, 1994, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12(a), which would exempt Entergy Operations Inc. from Sections III.D.1(a), III.D.2, III.D.2(b)(i), III.D.2.(b)(iii) and III.D.3 of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, to permit the selection of containment leakage rate testing intervals for components on the basis of performance.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is needed to permit the licensee to defer a portion of the Type B and C tests from the April 1995 and September 1996 refueling outages to the April 1998 refueling outage, thereby reducing the occupational radiation exposure received by the plant staff, saving the cost of performing the test, and eliminating the test period from the critical path time of the outage.

Without this exemption, the licensee would incur additional personnel radiation exposure during system reconfigurations, and instrumentation setup and restoration.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the proposed exemption would not increase the probability or consequences of accidents previously analyzed and the proposed exemption would not affect facility radiation levels or facility radiological effluents. The licensee, as a condition of the proposed exemption, will perform the visual containment inspection although it is only required by Appendix J to be conducted in conjunction with Type A tests. The NRC staff considers that these inspections, though limited in scope, provide an important added level of confidence in the continued integrity of the containment boundary.

The change will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed

action involves features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternative to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statements related to operation of Grand Gulf Nuclear Station, Unit 1.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on March 30, 1995 the staff consulted with the Mississippi State official, Mr. Eddie Fuente of the Mississippi State Department of Health, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to this action, see the request for exemption dated August 13, 1993, as supplemented by letters dated April 15, May 11, June 24, and July 20, 1994, which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Judge George W. Armstrong Library, 220 S. Commerce Street, Natchez, Mississippi 39120.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 6th day of April 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Paul W. O'Connor,

Senior Project Manager, Project Directorate IV-1, Division of Reactor Projects III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95-9762 Filed 4-19-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

Licensing Support System Advisory Review Panel

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: The Licensing Support System Advisory Review Panel (LSSARP) will hold its next meeting on May 12, 1995, at the Headquarters Building of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Room T-3 B45, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland. The meeting will be open to the public pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 94-463, 86 Stat. 770-776).

AGENDA: The meeting will be held from 1:00 p.m. to 2:30 p.m. on Friday, May 12, 1995. The agenda will consist of the following topics.

1. Consideration of a Report of the LSS Technical Working Group on Level One Requirements for the LSS Design
2. Current LSS Activity at NRC
3. Future Meeting Topics and Schedule

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) established the LSSARP in 1989 to provide advice and recommendations to the NRC and to the Department of Energy (DOE) concerning the design, development and operation of an electronic information management system, known as the Licensing Support System (LSS), which will contain information relevant to the Commission's future licensing proceeding for a geologic repository for the disposal of high-level radioactive waste. Membership on the Panel consists of representatives of the State and Local Governments of Nevada, the National Congress of American Indians, the nuclear industry, DOE, NRC and other agencies of the Federal government which have experience with large electronic information management systems.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John C. Hoyle, Office of the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555; telephone 301-415-1969.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION: Interested persons may make oral presentations to the Panel or file written statements. Requests for oral presentations should

be made to the contact person listed above as far in advance as practicable so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

Dated: April 14, 1995.

Andrew L. Bates,

Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 95-9761 Filed 4-19-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket Nos. 50-275 and 50-323]

Pacific Gas and Electric Company; Notice of Partial Denial of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses and Opportunity for Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has denied a portion of the request by Pacific Gas and Electric Company (the licensee) for amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-80 and DPR-82 issued to the licensee for operation of the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, located in San Luis Obispo County, California. Notice of Consideration of Issuance of these amendments was published in the **Federal Register** on October 12, 1994 (59 FR 51621).

The purpose of this portion of the license amendment request was to eliminate the minimum refueling water storage tank solution temperature from Technical Specifications (TS) Sections 3/4.1.2.5 and 3/4.5.5.

The NRC staff has concluded that the licensee's request cannot be granted. The licensee was notified of the Commission's denial of the proposed change by a letter dated April 14, 1995. By May 22, 1995, the licensee may demand a hearing with respect to the denial described above. Any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding may file a written petition for leave to intervene.

A request for hearing or petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC by the above date.

A copy of any petitions should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and to Christopher J. Warner, Esq., Pacific Gas and Electric Company, P.O. Box 7442, San Francisco, California 94120, attorney for the licensee.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for

amendment dated August 17, 1994, and (2) the Commission's letter to the licensee dated April 14, 1995.

These documents are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the California Polytechnic State University, Robert E. Kennedy Library, Government Documents and Maps Department, San Luis Obispo, California 93407.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 14th day of April 1995.

For The Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

William H. Bateman,

Director, Project Directorate IV-2, Division of Reactor Projects III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95-9765 Filed 4-19-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket Nos. 50-275 and 50-323]

Pacific Gas and Electric Co., (Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2); Exemption

I

The Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E or the licensee) holds Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-80 and DPR-82, which authorizes operation of the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, respectively. The license provides, among other things, that the facility is subject to all rules, regulations, and orders of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) now or hereafter in effect. The facility consists of two pressurized water reactors located at the licensee's site in San Luis Obispo County, California.

II

Section 50.54(q) of 10 CFR Part 50 requires a licensee authorized to operate a nuclear power reactor to follow and maintain in effect emergency plans which meet the standards of 10 CFR 50.47(b) and the requirements of Appendix E to 10 CFR Part 50. Section IV.F.3 of Appendix E requires that each licensee at each site exercise with offsite authorities such that the State and local government emergency plans for each operating reactor site are exercised biennially, with full or partial participation by State and local governments, within the plume exposure pathway emergency planning zone (EPZ).

The NRC may grant exemptions from the requirements of the regulations which, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12(a), are (1) authorized by law, will not present