

**NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION****Documents Containing Reporting or Recordkeeping Requirements: Office of Management and Budget Review**

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of the Office of Management and Budget review of information collection.

**SUMMARY:** The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has recently submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

1. Type of submission, new, revision, or extension: Revision.
2. The title of the information collection: 10 CFR Part 11—Criteria and Procedures for Determining Eligibility for Access to or Control Over Special Nuclear Material.
3. The form number if applicable: Not applicable.
4. How often the collection is required: New applications, certifications, and amendments may be submitted at any time. Applications for renewal are submitted every 5 years.
5. Who will be required or asked to report: Employees (including applicants for employment), contractors and consultants of NRC licensees and contractors whose activities involve access to or control over special nuclear material at either fixed sites or in transportation activities.
6. An estimate of the number of responses: The majority of responses required under part 11 are submitted using Standard Form 86, Personnel Security Packet, OMB Clearance No. 3206-0007, and NRC Form 237, Request for Access Authorization, OMB Clearance No. 3150-0050. The response and burden information for those forms is reported separately under those clearances. The remaining number of responses under Part 11 is estimated to be 5.
7. An estimate of the total number of hours needed to complete the requirement or request: Approximately 0.25 hours annually per response, for an industry total of 1.25 hours annually.
8. An indication of whether Section 3504(h), Pub. L. 96-511 applies: Not applicable.
9. Abstract: NRC regulations in 10 CFR Part 11 establish requirements for access to special nuclear material, and the criteria and procedures for resolving questions concerning the eligibility of

individuals to receive special nuclear material access authorization. Personal history information which is submitted on applicants for relevant jobs is provided to OPM, which conducts investigations. NRC reviews the results of these investigations and makes determinations of the eligibility of the applicants for access authorization.

Copies of the submittal may be inspected or obtained for a fee from the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW. (Lower Level), Washington, DC.

Comments and questions may be directed by mail to the OMB reviewer: Troy Hillier, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150-0062), NEOB-10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments may also be communicated by telephone at (202) 395-3084.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo. Shelton, (302) 415-7233.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 13th day of April 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Gerald F. Cranford,**

*Designated Senior Official for Information Resources Management.*

[FR Doc. 95-9890 Filed 4-20-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

**Documents Containing Reporting or Recordkeeping Requirements: Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Review**

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

**ACTION:** Notice of the OMB review of information collection.

**SUMMARY:** The NRC has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

1. Type of submission, new, revision or extension: Revision.
2. The title of the information collection: 10 CFR Part 19, "Notices, Instructions, and Reports to Workers: Inspections and Investigations."
3. The form number if applicable: Not applicable.
4. How often the collection is required: As necessary in order that adequate and timely reports of radiation exposure be made to individuals involved in NRC-licensed activities.
5. Who will be required or asked to report: Licensees authorized to receive, possess, use, or transfer material licensed by the NRC.
6. An estimate of the number of annual responses: 401,000.

7. An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: 33,417 (an average of 5 minutes per response).

8. An indication of whether Section 3504(h), Pub. L. 96-511 applies: Not applicable.

9. Abstract: Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 19, requires licensees to advise workers on an annual basis of any radiation exposure they may have received as a result of NRC-licensed activities or when certain conditions are met. These conditions apply during termination of the worker's employment, at the request of a worker, former worker, or when the worker's employer (the NRC licensee) must report radiation exposure information on the worker to the NRC. Part 19 also establishes requirements for instructions by licensees to individuals participating in licensed activities and options available to these individuals in connection with Commission inspections of licensees to ascertain compliance with the provisions of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, Title II of the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, and regulations, orders and licenses thereunder regarding radiological working conditions.

The worker should be informed of the radiation dose he or she receives because: (a) That information is needed by both a new employer and the individual when the employee changes jobs in the nuclear industry; (b) the individual needs to know the radiation dose received as a result of an accident or incident (if this dose is in excess of the 10 CFR Part 20 limits) so that he or she can seek counseling about future work involving radiation, medical attention, or both, as desired; and (c) since long-term exposure to radiation may be an adverse health factor, the individual needs to know whether the accumulated dose is being controlled within NRC limits. The worker also needs to know about health risks from occupational exposure to radioactive materials or radiation, precautions or procedures to minimize exposure, worker responsibilities and options to report any licensee conditions which may lead to or cause a violation of Commission regulations, and individual radiation exposure reports which are available to him.

Copies of the submittal may be inspected or obtained for a fee from the NRC Public Document Room 2120 L Street, NW. (Lower Level), Washington, DC 20555-0001.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer: Troy Hillier, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150-0044), NEOB-

10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments can also be submitted by telephone at (202) 395-3084.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo. Shelton, (301) 415-7233.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 17th day of April 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Gerald F. Cranford,**

*Designated Senior Official for Information Resources Management.*

[FR Doc. 95-9891 Filed 4-20-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

### Documents Containing Reporting or Recordkeeping Requirements: Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Review

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

**ACTION:** Notice of the OMB review of information collection.

**SUMMARY:** The NRC has recently submitted to the OMB for review the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

1. Type of submission, new, revision or extension: New.
2. The title of the information collection: NRC Survey: Handling of Your Concern.
3. The form number if applicable: NRC Form 592.
4. How often the collection is required: Twice only (initial survey and a followup survey).
5. Who will be required or asked to report: Individuals who have submitted allegations to the NRC.
6. An estimate of the number of responses: 200.
7. An estimate of average burden per response: 20 minutes.
8. An estimate of the total number of hours needed to complete the requirement or request: 67.
9. An indication of whether Section 3504(h), Pub. L. 96-511 applies: Not applicable.
10. Abstract: NRC plans to conduct a voluntary survey of individuals who have submitted allegations to the NRC to determine the effectiveness of its existing program and to develop training to address identified deficiencies.

Copies of the submittal may be inspected or obtained for a fee from the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW. (Lower Level), Washington, DC 20555.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer: Troy

Hillier, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150- ), NEOB-10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments can also be submitted by telephone at (202) 395-3084.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda J. Shelton, (301) 415-7233.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 7th day of April, 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Gerald F. Cranford,**

*Designated Senior Official for Information Resources Management.*

[FR Doc. 95-9892 Filed 4-20-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket No. 50-278]

### PECO Energy Company Public Service Electric and Gas Company Delmarva Power and Light Company, Atlantic City Electric Company, Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Unit 3; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from the requirements of Sections III.D.2.(a) and III.D.3 of Appendix J to 10 CFR Part 50, to PECO Energy Company, Public Service Electric and Gas Company, Delmarva Power and Light Company, and Atlantic City Electric Company (the licensee), for the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station (PBAPS), Unit 3, located at the licensee's site in York County, Pennsylvania.

#### Environmental Assessment

##### Identification of Proposed Action

The proposed action would grant an exemption from 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, Sections III.D.2.(a) and III.D.3. Section III.D.2.(a) states, in part: "Type B tests, except tests for air locks, shall be performed during reactor shutdown for refueling, or other convenient intervals, but in no case at intervals greater than 2 years." Section III.D.3 states: "Type C tests shall be performed during each reactor shutdown for refueling but in no case at intervals greater than 2 years." The exemption would allow a one-time 60-day extension of the 2-year requirement. Hence, this exemption would allow the licensee to perform the testing in Sections III.D.2.(a) and III.D.3 during Unit 3's Cycle 10 refueling outage scheduled to begin no later than September 30, 1995.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for exemption dated February 22, 1995.

#### Need for the Proposed Action

PBAPS, Unit 3 is utilizing a new core design which allows the intervals between reactor shutdowns for refueling to extend beyond the maximum allowable 2-year interval. Prior to the current operating cycle, local leak rate tests were performed in conjunction with an operating cycle of 18 months. Use of extended cycle core designs has been recognized as a growing trend in the industry as discussed in the staff's Generic Letter 91-04, "Changes in Technical Specification Surveillance Intervals to Accommodate a 24-Month Fuel Cycle," dated April 2, 1991. The staff previously granted the licensee license amendments to allow PBAPS, Unit 3 to perform selected surveillances on a 24-month interval (see Amendment 173 dated August 19, 1992, and Amendment 182 dated August 2, 1993). However, the regulations cited by the licensee in the exemption request have not been revised to reflect the use of a 24-month operating cycle. Therefore, the licensee has requested an exemption in order to avoid a premature shutdown which would be needed to accomplish the testing and to properly schedule the testing during the refueling outage.

#### Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has completed the evaluation of the proposed exemption and concludes that this action would not significantly increase the probability or amount of expected primary containment leakage; hence, the containment integrity would be maintained.

Based on the information presented in the licensee's application, the proposed extended test interval would not result in a non-detectable leakage rate in excess of the value established by 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, or in any changes to the containment structure or plant systems. Consequently, the probability of accidents would not be increased, nor would the post-accident radiological releases be greater than previously determined. Neither would the proposed exemption otherwise affect radiological plant effluents. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that this proposed action would result in no significant radiological environmental impact.

With regard to potential non-radiological impacts, the proposed exemption does not affect non-radiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, the Commission concludes that there are no significant non-radiological