

applications: Length of at least 16 feet; outside diameter of standard sizes published in the API or proprietary specifications for OCTG with tolerances of plus  $\frac{1}{8}$  inch for diameters less than or equal to  $8\frac{5}{8}$  inches and plus  $\frac{1}{4}$  inch for diameters greater than  $8\frac{5}{8}$  inches, minimum wall thickness as identified for a given outer diameter as published in the API or proprietary specifications for OCTG; a minimum of 40,000 PSI yield strength and a minimum 60,000 PSI tensile strength; and if with seams, must be electric resistance welded. Furthermore, imports covered by this review include OCTG with non-standard size wall thickness greater than the minimum identified for a given outer diameter as published in the API or proprietary specifications for OCTG, with surface scabs or slivers, irregularly cut ends, ID or OD weld flash, or open seams; OCTG may be bent, flattened or oval, and may lack certification because the pipe has not been mechanically tested or has failed those tests.

This merchandise is currently classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedules (HTS) item numbers 7304.20, 7305.20, and 7306.20. The HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and U.S. Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

#### United States Price

In calculating United States Price (USP), the Department used purchase price, as defined in section 772(b) of the Act. The Department based USP on the packed, delivered price to unrelated purchasers.

The Department made deductions, where appropriate, for foreign inland freight, U.S. duties, and U.S. brokerage fees. Additionally, in accordance with the Court of International Trade's decision in *Federal-Mogul Corp. and The Torrington Co. v. United States*, 834 F. Supp. 1391 (CIT 1993) (*Federal Mogul*), we adjusted USP for taxes that would have been assessed on the merchandise had it been sold in the home market. Where applicable, we also deducted from the USP the portion of the USP tax adjustment attributable to expenses included in the U.S. tax base.

No other adjustments were claimed or allowed.

#### Foreign Market Value

In calculating foreign market value (FMV), we used home market price, as defined in section 773(a) of the Act, since quantities of merchandise sufficient to provide a reasonable basis for comparison were sold in the home market to provide a reasonable basis for comparison. Home market price was based on the FOB stockyard or FOB mill

price to unrelated purchasers in the home market.

The Department made adjustments, where applicable, for discounts, rebates, warranty and servicing expenses, royalty fees, fees for outside inspectors, and for differences in packing material and credit. The Department also made an adjustment to FMV for imputed consumption taxes in accordance with the aforementioned *Federal-Mogul* decision.

No other adjustments were claimed or allowed.

#### Preliminary Results of Review

As a result of our comparison of USP to FMV, the Department preliminary determines that no margin exists for IPSCO for the period June 1, 1993, through May 31, 1994.

Interested parties may request disclosure within 5 days of the date of publication of this notice and may request a hearing within 10 days of publication. Any hearing, if requested, will be held 44 days after the date of publication of this notice, or the first workday thereafter. Case briefs and written comments from interested parties may be submitted not later than 30 days after the date of publication. Rebuttal briefs and rebuttals to written comments, limited to the issues raised in the case briefs and comments, may be filed not later than 37 days after the date of publication. The Department will publish the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of any such written comments or hearing.

The Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appraisal instructions directly to U.S. Customs.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise, entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the reviewed company will be that rate established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) the cash deposit rate for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a previous review or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, will continue to be the rate published in the most recent final results or determination for which the manufacturer or exporter received a company-specific rate; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, earlier reviews, or the original

investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be that established for the manufacturer of the merchandise in these final results of review, earlier reviews, or the original investigation, whichever is the most recent; and (4) the "all others" rate, as determined in the LTFV investigation, will be 16.65 percent.

These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: April 13, 1995.

**Susan G. Esserman,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 95-9938 Filed 4-20-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-475-703]

#### Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin From Italy; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** On December 21, 1994, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published its preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on granular polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) resin from Italy for the period August 1, 1991 through July 31, 1992. The review covers one manufacturer/exporter of this merchandise to the United States, Ausimont S.p.A. We did not receive any comments on our preliminary results, and, therefore, the final results are unchanged from those presented in our preliminary results.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 21, 1995.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Robert Copyak or Richard Herring, Office of Countervailing Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC.; telephone: (202) 482-2786.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On August 12, 1992, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of "Opportunity to Request Administrative Review" (57 FR 36063) of the antidumping order on PTFE resin from Italy (53 FR 33163; August 30, 1988). On August 31, 1992, Ausimont S.p.A. and Ausimont U.S.A. requested an administrative review of the order for the period August 1, 1991 through July 31, 1992. We initiated the review on September 28, 1992 (57 FR 44551). Verifications were conducted in Milan, Italy, September 13-16, 1993, and in Morristown, New Jersey, November 22-23, 1993. On December 21, 1994, the preliminary results of this administrative review were published (59 FR 65753). We have now completed this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

**Scope of the Review**

Imports covered by this review are shipments of PTFE resin which is classifiable under *Harmonized Tariff Schedule* (HTS) item number 3904.61.00. PTFE dispersions in water and fine powders are not covered by this order. The HTS item number is provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive. The order on PTFE resin from Italy also covers PTFE wet raw polymer exported from Italy to the United States (see *Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin from Italy; Final Determination of Circumvention of Antidumping Duty Order* (58 FR 26100; April 30, 1993)). However, because the Department issued its preliminary affirmative determination of circumvention and ordered the suspension of liquidation of wet raw polymer entries after the review period, entries of PTFE wet raw polymer were not subject to this particular review (see *Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin from Italy; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Administrative Review*, 59 FR 65753, and Memorandum to Joseph A. Spetrini, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated September 10, 1993; The Third Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Granular

Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin from Italy, which is on file in the Central Records Unit (room B099 of the Main Commerce Building)). The review covers one manufacturer/exporter of Italian PTFE resin to the United States, Ausimont S.p.A., and the review period is August 1, 1991 through July 31, 1992.

**Final Results of the Review**

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. We did not receive any comments. Therefore, the final results are unchanged from those presented in the preliminary results. As a result of our comparison of United States price with foreign market value, we determine that the following weighted-average dumping margin existed during the period August 1, 1991 through July 31, 1992:

Manufacturer/exporter	Period	Margin (percent)
Ausimont S.p.A. ....	08/01/91-07/31/92	13.31

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of these final results of this administrative review, for all shipments of the subject merchandise, entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the reviewed company will be 13.31 percent; (2) for exporters not covered in this review, but covered in previous reviews or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers and exporters will be 46.46 percent, the "all other" rate established in the original LTFV investigation by the Department (53 FR 26096, July 11, 1988), in accordance with the decisions of the CIT in *Floral Trade Council v. United States*, 822 F. Supp. 766 (1993), and *Federal-Mogul Corporation v. United States*, 822 F. Supp. 782 (1993).

These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility

under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibilities concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Failure to comply is a violation of the APO.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)(B)) and 19 CFR 353.22(c)(5).

Dated: April 7, 1995.

**Paul L. Joffe,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 95-9939 Filed 4-20-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

**National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration**

[I.D. 040495C]

**Endangered and Threatened Wildlife; Notice of Availability of the Sea Turtle/Shrimp Fishery Emergency Response Plan**

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** NMFS announces the availability of an Emergency Response Plan (ERP) for protecting sea turtles in shrimp fishery operations. The ERP provides a general statement of policy with respect to NMFS' enforcement practice and use of future rulemaking in response to elevated sea turtle strandings associated with shrimping effort and ensures compliance with sea turtle conservation regulations.

**DATES:** The Sea Turtle/Shrimp Fishery Emergency Response Plan (ERP) describes NMFS policy as of March 14, 1994.

**ADDRESSES:** Persons wishing to obtain a copy of the ERP should address correspondence to the Protected Species Branch, National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Regional Office, 9721 Executive Center Drive, St. Petersburg, FL, 33702 telephone: 813-570-5312.