

ISU has also submitted to the NRC, written responses from the Division of Environmental Quality, Idaho Department of Health and Welfare; the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region X Office; and the U.S. Department of Agriculture, U.S. Forest Service. All three agencies responded in writing that they have no objections to the proposed ISU study. In addition, the U.S. Forest Service has made available in November, 1994, the submitted EA, for a 45 days public review and comment period.

Conclusions

Based on the foregoing assessment, the NRC staff concludes that the environmental effects of using C-14 in the proposed ISU stream ecosystems study are expected to be extremely small. Authorizing the study will help to better understand how to preserve our ecosystems. Since ISU's proposed study will be conducted in a predominately remote Federal land administered by the U.S. Forest Service, there is no environmental justice issue in this EA.

Therefore, in accordance with 10 CFR 51.31, a Finding of No Significant Impact is considered appropriate for this proposed action.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In performing this assessment and in accordance with the NRC's Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards procedure 1-48, the staff consulted with Mr. Steve Oberg, State Liaison Officer with the NRC, Division of Environmental Quality, State of Idaho, on March 17, 1995, at (208) 334-0436. The State of Idaho has no objection to the proposed action. The staff also contacted Mr. Lee Leffert of the U.S. Forest Service, Department of Agriculture, on March 21, 1995, at (208) 236-7534. The U.S. Forest Service informed the NRC that based on comments received on its **Federal Register** Notice, it is preparing a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) and will issue a Decision Notice authorizing ISU's project implementation as proposed.

Finding of No Significant Impact

The Commission has determined under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, and the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR Part 51, that the proposed action to amend Byproduct Material License No. 11-27380-01 to permit the introduction of C-14 into two streams, if granted, would not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment and that an environmental impact

statement is not required. This determination is based on the foregoing environmental assessment performed in accordance with the procedures and criteria in 10 CFR Part 51, "Environmental Protection Regulations for Domestic Licensing and Related Regulatory Functions."

For further details on this action, see ISU's letter, dated December 9, 1995, and the attached EA, requesting amendment of License No. 11-27380-01 and related correspondence. These documents (in Docket No. 030-32322) may be examined or copied for a fee in the Commission's Region IV Walnut Creek Field Office's Public Document Room, 1450 Maria Lane, Suite 210, Walnut Creek, California 94596.

Notice of Opportunity for a Hearing

Any person whose interest may be affected by the issuance of this license amendment may file a request for a hearing. Any request for a hearing must be filed with the Office of the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, within 30 days of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** and must be served on the NRC staff by mail addressed to the Executive Director for Operations, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, or be delivery to the Executive Director for Operations, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852; and must be served on the applicant by mail or delivery to Idaho State University, Technical Safety Office, Physical Science 103, 785 South Eighth Avenue, Campus Box 8106, Pocatello, ID 83209. The request for a hearing must comply with the requirements set forth in the Commission's regulations, 10 CFR Part 2, Subpart L, "Informal Hearing Procedures for Adjudications in Material Licensing Proceedings." Subpart L of 10 CFR Part 2, may be examined or copied for a fee in the Commission's Region IV Walnut Creek Field Office's Public Document Room, 1450 Maria Lane, Suite 210, Walnut Creek, CA 94596 or in the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street (Lower Level), NW, Washington, DC 20555.

As required by Part 2, Subpart L (10 CFR 2.1205), the request for hearing must describe in detail: (1) The interest of the requestor in the proceeding; (2) how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding, including the reasons why the requestor should be permitted a hearing, with particular reference to the factors set out in paragraph (g) of 10 CFR 2.1205; (3) the requestor's areas of concern about the licensing activity that is the subject

matter of the proceeding; and (4) the circumstances establishing that the request for a hearing is timely in accordance with paragraph (c) of 10 CFR 2.1205(c).

The factors in 10 CFR 2.1205(g), which must be addressed in the request for hearing include: (1) The nature of the requestor's right under the Act to be made a party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the requestor's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order that may be entered in the proceeding upon the requestor's interest.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 19th day of April 1995.

For The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Larry W. Camper,

Chief, Medical, Academic, and Commercial Use Safety Branch, Division of Industrial and Medical Nuclear Safety, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 95-10126 Filed 4-24-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

Watts Bar Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

[Docket Nos. 50-390 and 50-391]

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering incorporating an exemption from certain requirements of its regulations in the operating licenses for operation of the Watts Bar Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, located in Spring City, Tennessee. Operating licenses have not been issued for Watts Bar; Units 1 and 2 are currently under Construction Permits CPPR-91 and CPPR-92, respectively.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of Proposed Action

10 CFR 73.55(c)(10) requires a license applicant whose application was submitted prior to August 31, 1994, to incorporate a land vehicle bomb control program into the site physical security plan and implement it by the date of receipt of the operating license. Since Watts Bar Unit 1 will seek to obtain an operating license ahead of the schedule by which operating power reactors are required to fully implement the vehicle control measures, the applicant requested, by letter dated November 30, 1994, that Watts Bar be granted the same implementation date (February 29, 1996) imposed on operating reactor licensees to implement the land vehicle bomb control program.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The Commission extended the implementation schedule for operating plants to 18 months from the effective date of the rule, given that it involves a new power for power reactor sites, that some procurement problems may arise and that scheduling problems may occur. Under the present rule and current licensing schedule, the applicant would be required to implement the rule several months (depending on the actual date of operating license issuance) ahead of operating power reactors. The applicant will implement interim compensatory measures to justify the scheduler exemption.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The applicant's request for scheduler exemption involves delaying, by several months, implementation of control measures per the regulation. The proposed action will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, makes no changes in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and does not increase the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action involves features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternative to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the Commission considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action did not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement and Supplement 1 related to operation

of the Watts Bar Nuclear Plant, dated December 1978 and April 1995, respectively.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, the NRC staff consulted with the Tennessee State official regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comment.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the foregoing environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to this action, see the request for exemption dated November 30, 1994, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Chattanooga-Hamilton County Library, 1101 Broad Street, Chattanooga, Tennessee.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 18th day of April 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
Peter S. Tam,
Senior Project Manager, Project Directorate II-3, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95-10124 Filed 4-24-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT**Notice of Request for Expedited Review of Revised Form RI 10-72**

AGENCY: Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (title 44, U.S. Code, chapter 35), this notice announces a request for expedited review of a revised information collection. Form RI 10-72, Client Satisfaction Survey, is used to determine how well the Office of Personnel Management has served federal civil service annuitants and survivor annuitants. An expedited clearance is requested for this survey questionnaire so we can meet our scheduled mailing date of May 1, 1995.

The questionnaire will be sent to approximately 1500 annuitants and will

require approximately 25 minutes to complete, for a total public burden of 625 hours.

A copy of this proposal is appended to this notice.

DATES: Comments on this proposal should be received by April 28, 1995. OMB has been requested to take action within five (5) calendar days from the date of this publication.

ADDRESSES: Send or deliver comments to—

Lawrence P. Holman, Chief, Quality Assurance Division, Retirement and Insurance Group, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street, NW., Room 4316, Washington, DC 20415
and
Joseph Lackey, OPM Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, NW., Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503

FOR INFORMATION REGARDING

ADMINISTRATIVE COORDINATION—CONTACT: Mary Beth Smith-Toomey, Management Services Division, (202) 606-4025.

U.S. Office of Personnel Management.

Lorraine A. Green,
Deputy Director.

Dear Annuitant: We are conducting a survey of people across the country to find out how well the Office of Personnel Management is serving the Federal annuitant population.

You are one of a relatively small number of annuitants randomly selected for this survey, so your answers are very important. This is your chance to tell us how satisfied you are with the service you receive from the Office of Personnel Management.

This survey is completely voluntary. It is your choice whether or not to help us, and your decision will not be recorded or affect your eligibility for any Government benefits. Your answers will be kept confidential. No permanent record will be kept on how you answered any of the questions.

If you have any questions about our survey, please call collect at (202) 606-0283. This is a special telephone number we have established just for this survey. Your questions about your claim or the benefits you are receiving should continue to be referred to OPM's Retirement Information Office at (202) 606-0500.

Please use the addressed, postage-paid envelope to return your questionnaire to us after you have completed it. Remember, your answers will help OPM to improve its services to you and your fellow annuitants.

Thank you for your help.

Sincerely,
James B. King,
Director.

Dear Annuitant: Several weeks ago, we mailed you a questionnaire regarding the