

The joint relocation project will simplify and improve rail operations in the Green Bay terminal area, permit the removal of unnecessary trackage, and relieve traffic congestion in the surrounding area.

WCL and FVW state that no shippers are located on either of the rail lines to be abandoned and that no shippers will be adversely affected by this joint relocation project or lose access to any rail service currently provided by WCL or FVW. The joint project involves the relocation of existing overhead operations onto nearby, parallel rail lines. It will not change service to shippers, expand the operations of WCL or FVW into new territory, or alter the existing competitive balance.

The Commission will exercise jurisdiction over the abandonment or construction components of a relocation project, and require separate approval or exemption, only where the removal of track affects service to shippers or the construction of new track involves expansion into new territory. See *City of Detroit v. Canadian National Ry. Co., et al.*, 9 I.C.C.2d 1208 (1993). The Commission has determined that line relocation projects may embrace trackage rights transactions such as the one involved here. See *D.T.&I.R.—Trackage Rights*, 363 I.C.C. 878 (1981). Under these standards, the embraced incidental abandonment, construction, and trackage rights components require no separate approval or exemption when the relocation project, as here, will not disrupt service to shippers and thus qualifies for the class exemption at 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(5).

As a condition to the use of this exemption, any employees affected by the trackage rights agreement will be protected by the conditions in *Norfolk and Western Ry. Co.—Trackage Rights—BN*, 354 I.C.C. 605 (1978), as modified in *Mendocino Coast Ry., Inc.—Lease and Operate*, 360 I.C.C. 653 (1980).

Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10505(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not stay the transaction. Pleadings must be filed with the Commission and served on: Janet H. Gilbert, Wisconsin Central Ltd. and Fox Valley & Western Ltd., 6250 North River Road, Suite 9000, Rosemont, IL 60018; and Kevin M. Sheys, 1020 Nineteenth Street, N.W., Suite 600, Washington, DC 20036.

Decided: April 24, 1995.

approximately Elmore Street, where milepost 243.0 and milepost 0.0 designate the same point.

By the Commission, David M. Konschnik,
Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-10491 Filed 4-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment Standards Administration Wage and Hour Division; Minimum Wages for Federal and Federally Assisted Construction; General Wage Determination Decisions

General wage determination decisions of the Secretary of Labor are issued in accordance with applicable law and are based on the information obtained by the Department of Labor from its study of local wage conditions and data made available from other sources. They specify the basic hourly wage rates and fringe benefits which are determined to be prevailing for the described classes of laborers and mechanics employed on construction projects of a similar character and in the localities specified therein.

The determinations in these decisions of prevailing rates and fringe benefits have been made in accordance with 29 CFR Part 1, by authority of the Secretary of Labor pursuant to the provisions of the Davis-Bacon Act of March 3, 1931, as amended (46 Stat. 1494, as amended, 40 U.S.C. 276a) and of other Federal statutes referred to in 29 CFR part 1, appendix, as well as such additional statutes as may from time to time be enacted containing provisions for the payment of wages determined to be prevailing by the Secretary of Labor in accordance with the Davis-Bacon Act. The prevailing rates and fringe benefits determined in these decisions shall, in accordance with the provisions of the foregoing statutes, constitute the minimum wages payable on Federal and federally assisted construction projects to laborers and mechanics of the specified classes engaged on contract work of the character and in the localities described therein.

Good cause is hereby found for not utilizing notice and public comment procedure thereon prior to the issuance of these determinations as prescribed in 5 U.S.C. 553 and not providing for delay in the effective date as prescribed in that section, because the necessity to issue current construction industry wage determinations frequently and in large volume causes procedures to be impractical and contrary to the public interest.

General wage determination decisions, and modifications and

supersedes decisions thereto, contain no expiration dates and are effective from their date of notice in the Federal Register, or on the date written notice is received by the agency, whichever is earlier. These decisions are to be used in accordance with the provisions of 29 CFR parts 1 and 5. Accordingly, the applicable decision, together with any modifications issued, must be made a part of every contract for performance of the described work within the geographic area indicated as required by an applicable Federal prevailing wage law and 29 CFR part 5. The wage rates and fringe benefits, notice of which is published herein, and which are contained in the Government Printing Office (GPO) document entitled "General Wage Determinations Issued Under The Davis-Bacon And Related Acts," shall be the minimum paid by contractors and subcontractors to laborers and mechanics.

Any person, organization, or governmental agency having an interest in the rates determined as prevailing is encouraged to submit wage rate and fringe benefit information for consideration by the Department. Further information and self-explanatory forms for the purpose of submitting this data may be obtained by writing to the U.S. Department of Labor, Employment Standards Administration, Wage and Hour Division, Division of Wage Determinations, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room S-3014, Washington, D.C. 20210.

Withdrawn General Wage Determination Decision

This is to advise all interested parties that the Department of Labor is withdrawing, from the date of this notice, General Wage Determination No. TX950109 dated February 10, 1995.

Agencies with construction projects pending, to which this wage decision would have been applicable, should utilize Wage Decision TX950001. Contracts for which bids have been opened shall not be affected by this notice. Also, consistent with 29 CFR 1.6(c)(2)(i)(A), when the opening of bids is less than ten (10) days from the date of this notice, this action shall be effective unless the agency finds that there is insufficient time to notify bidders of the change and the finding is documented in the contract file.

New General Wage Determination Decisions

The number of the decisions added to the Government Printing Office document entitled "General Wage Determination Issued Under the Davis-

Bacon and Related Acts" are listed by Volume and State:

VOLUME V

Nebraska

NE950059 (APR.28,1995)
NE950060 (APR.28,1995)

Modification to General Wage Determination Decisions

The number of decisions listed in the Government Printing Office document entitled "General Wage Determinations Issued Under the Davis-Bacon and Related Acts" being modified are listed by Volume and State. Dates of publication in the Federal Register are in parentheses following the decisions being modified.

VOLUME I

Massachusetts

MA950001 (FEB.10,1995)
MA950002 (FEB.10,1995)
MA950003 (FEB.10,1995)
MA950009 (FEB.10,1995)
MA950017 (FEB.10,1995)
MA950019 (FEB.10,1995)

New York

NY950077 (FEB.17,1995)

Rhode Island

RI950001 (FEB.10,1995)
RI950002 (FEB.10,1995)
RI950003 (FEB.10,1995)

VOLUME II

Delaware

DE950001 (FEB.10,1995)
DE950002 (FEB.10,1995)
DE950005 (FEB.10,1995)
DE950009 (FEB.10,1995)

Pennsylvania

PA950010 (FEB.10,1995)

VOLUME III

Georgia

GA950040 (FEB.10,1995)

VOLUME IV

Michigan

MI950001 (FEB.10,1995)
MI950002 (FEB.10,1995)
MI950003 (FEB.10,1995)
MI950004 (FEB.10,1995)
MI950005 (FEB.10,1995)
MI950007 (FEB.10,1995)
MI950012 (FEB.10,1995)
MI950017 (FEB.10,1995)

VOLUME V

Iowa

IA950005 (FEB.10,1995)

Kansas

KS950006 (FEB.10,1995)
KS950008 (FEB.10,1995)
KS950012 (FEB.10,1995)

Missouri

MO950003 (FEB.10,1995)
MO950005 (FEB.10,1995)
MO950007 (FEB.10,1995)
MO950010 (FEB.10,1995)
MO950014 (FEB.10,1995)
MO950016 (FEB.10,1995)
MO950020 (FEB.10,1995)
MO950046 (FEB.10,1995)

MO950053 (FEB.10,1995)
MO950056 (FEB.10,1995)
MO950059 (FEB.10,1995)
MO950063 (FEB.10,1995)
MO950064 (FEB.10,1995)
MO950066 (FEB.10,1995)
MO950068 (FEB.10,1995)
MO950069 (FEB.10,1995)
MO950070 (FEB.10,1995)
MO950074 (FEB.10,1995)
MO950075 (FEB.10,1995)
MO950076 (FEB.10,1995)
MO950077 (FEB.10,1995)
MO950078 (FEB.10,1995)

Nebraska

NE950001 (FEB.10,1995)
NE950002 (FEB.10,1995)
NE950007 (FEB.10,1995)

Oklahoma

OK950013 (FEB.10,1995)
OK950018 (FEB.10,1995)

VOLUME VI

None

General Wage Determination Publication

General wage determinations issued under the Davis-Bacon and Related Acts, including those noted above, may be found in the Government Printing Office (GPO) document entitled "General Wage Determinations Issued Under the Davis-Bacon and Related Acts". This publication is available at each of the 50 Regional Government Depository Libraries and many of the 1,400 Government Depository Libraries across the country.

The general wage determinations issued under the Davis-Bacon and related Acts are available electronically by subscription to the FedWorld Bulletin Board System of the National Technical Information Service (NTIS) of the U.S. Department of Commerce at (703) 487-4630.

Hard-copy subscriptions may be purchased from: Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402, (202) 512-1800.

When ordering hard-copy subscription(s), be sure to specify the State(s) of interest, since subscriptions may be ordered for any or all of the six separate volumes, arranged by State. Subscriptions include an annual edition (issued in January or February) which includes all current general wage determinations for the States covered by each volume. Throughout the remainder of the year, regular weekly updates will be distributed to subscribers.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 21st day of April 1995.

Alan L. Moss,

Director, Division of Wage Determinations.

[FR Doc. 95-10353 Filed 4-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-29-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-254 and 50-265]

Commonwealth Edison Co.; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-29 and DPR-30 issued to Commonwealth Edison Company (ComEd, the licensee) for operation of the Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2, located in Rock Island County, Illinois.

The proposed amendments would change the Technical Specifications by: (1) Revising the low pressure value at which the High Pressure Coolant Injection (HPCI) and Reactor Core Isolation Cooling (RCIC) systems can be tested to 150 psig, and (2) to test these systems against a system head corresponding to reactor vessel pressure when steam is supplied to the turbines at 920 psig to 1005 psig for high pressure testing and 150 psig to 325 psig for low pressure testing.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendments, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendments would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

(1) Involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated because:

The proposed changes revise the testing requirements for the low pressure HPCI and RCIC systems, and as such do not affect any accident precursors or initiators. Therefore, the proposed changes do not increase the probability of any previously evaluated accident.

Similarly, the proposed changes implement testing requirements which will