

Commodity	Parts per million
Poultry, fat	0.01
Poultry, meat	0.01
Poultry, mbyop	0.01
Sheep, fat	3.0
Sheep, meat	0.2
Sheep, mbyop	0.2
Soybeans	0.01
Sorghum, grain	0.2
Sorghum, grain dust	1.5
Sunflower, seeds	0.2
Sunflower, forage	0.20
Tomatoes	0.1
Wheat, grain	0.05
Wheat, forage	2.0
Wheat, hay	2.0
Wheat, straw	2.0
Wheat, grain dust	2.0

PART 185—[AMENDED]

2. In part 185:

a. The authority citation for part 185 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 346a and 348.

b. By redesignating § 185.1310 as § 185.3765, by revising the heading, and by adding new paragraph (c), to read as follows:

§ 185.3765 Lambda-cyhalothrin.

* * * * *

(c) A tolerance, to expire on November 15, 1997, is established for the combined residues of the insecticide lambda-cyhalothrin and its epimer expressed as lambda-cyhalothrin, a 1:1 mixture of (S)-α-cyano-3-phenoxybenzyl-(Z)-(1R,3R)-3-(2-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoroprop-1-enyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate and (R)-α-cyano-3-phenoxybenzyl-(Z)-(1S,3S)-3-(2-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoroprop-1-enyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate and its epimer of lambda-cyhalothrin, a 1:1 mixture of (S)-α-cyano-3-phenoxybenzyl-(Z)-(1S,3S)-3-(2-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoroprop-1-enyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate and (R)-α-cyano-3-phenoxybenzyl-(Z)-(1R,3R)-3-(2-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoroprop-1-enyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate in or on the following food commodities:

Food	Parts per million
Corn, grain flour	0.15
Sunflower, oil	0.30
Wheat, bran	0.2

PART 186—[AMENDED]

3. In part 186:

a. The authority citation for part 186 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 348.

b. By revising § 186.3765, to read as follows:

§ 186.3765 Lambda-cyhalothrin.

A tolerance, to expire on November 15, 1997, is established for the combined residues of the insecticide lambda-cyhalothrin and its epimer expressed as lambda-cyhalothrin, a 1:1 mixture of (S)-α-cyano-3-phenoxybenzyl-(Z)-(1R,3R)-3-(2-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoroprop-1-enyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate and (R)-α-cyano-3-phenoxybenzyl-(Z)-(1S,3S)-3-(2-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoroprop-1-enyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate and its epimer of lambda-cyhalothrin, a 1:1 mixture of (S)-α-cyano-3-phenoxybenzyl-(Z)-(1S,3S)-3-(2-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoroprop-1-enyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate and (R)-α-cyano-3-phenoxybenzyl-(Z)-(1R,3R)-3-(2-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoroprop-1-enyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate in or on the following feed commodities:

Food	Parts per million
Sunflower, hulls	0.50
Tomato pomace (dry or wet)	6.0
Wheat, bran	0.2

[FR Doc. 95-10612 Filed 4-26-95; 3:36 pm]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Chapter I

[CC Docket No. 94-54, FCC 95-149]

Interconnection and Resale Obligations of Commercial Mobile Radio Service Providers

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Second notice of proposed rule making.

SUMMARY: This action invites comment to assist the Commission in formulating broad policy guidelines involving the common carrier obligations of commercial mobile radio service (CMRS) providers with respect to CMRS-to-CMRS interconnection. The NPRM also tentatively concludes that its policy regarding the resale obligations of cellular carriers should be extended to most CMRS providers. This NPRM was issued to continue the proceeding initiated by the Commission last year in a Notice of Inquiry regarding interconnection and resale obligations of CMS providers.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before June 14, 1995, and reply comments on or before July 14, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Judy Argentieri or Barbara Esbin, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, Policy Division, (202) 418-1310.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20554.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Second Notice of Proposed Rule Making (Notice) in CC Docket No. 94-54, FCC 95-149, adopted April 5, 1995, and released April 20, 1995.

The complete text of this Notice is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Dockets Branch (Room 230), 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., and also may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc. (ITS, Inc.), at (202) 857-3800, 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 246, Washington, D.C. 20554.

Synopsis of Notice

1. The Notice concludes that at present it would be premature for the Commission to propose or adopt rules of general applicability requiring direct interconnection arrangements between CMRS providers. The Notice also finds that present market conditions fail to indicate that it is necessary to impose a general CMRS-to-CMRS interconnection obligation at this time. The Notice seeks comment on several potential relevant product and geographic market definitions for purposes of analyzing the interconnection obligations of CMRS providers under Section 201(a) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (the Act). The Notice also seeks comment on criteria to consider in resolving interconnection disputes under Sections 201(a), 208, and 332 of the Act. Finally, the Notice seeks additional comment on the question of preemption of state-imposed interconnection obligations.

2. The Notice makes similar conclusions regarding the prematurity of imposing the sort of general interconnection obligations needed to support roaming services. The Notice seeks comment on several technical issues related to the provision of roaming service.

3. In addition, the Notice seeks comment on whether Section 22.901 of the Commission's Rules, 47 CFR § 22.901 requires cellular carriers to provide service to other CMRS roamers.

4. The Notice also tentatively concludes that the existing obligation

requiring cellular providers not to prohibit resale should be extended to apply to most CMRS providers.

5. Additionally, the Notice tentatively concludes that, as in the case of cellular carriers, a time limitation on the obligation to require resale of the services of one facilities-based CMRS provider to another facilities-based CMRS provider is appropriate. The Notice tentatively concludes that, as in the case of cellular service, once the newer entrant in a market is fully operational the rationale for prohibiting resale restrictions between facilities-based carriers, i.e., to offset any competitive advantage gained as a result of a service provider's "headstart", ceases to exist. The Notice seeks comment on whether, as in the case of cellular, the resale requirement should remain in effect until the termination of the fill-in period of the particular service, which the Commission previously established in its Rules, or whether some other period is appropriate.

6. Finally, the Notice tentatively concludes that the Commission should not impose a general obligation requiring CMRS providers to interconnect with resellers seeking to install their own switching equipment between the CMRS provider's network facilities and the facilities of the local exchange carrier and the interexchange carrier.

Ex Parte Rules

7. This is a non-restricted notice and comment rule making proceeding. *Ex parte* presentations are permitted, except during the Sunshine Agenda period, provided they are disclosed as provided in the Commission's Rules.¹

Regulatory Flexibility Act

8. As required by Section 603 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. § 601 *et seq.* (1981), the Commission has prepared an Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (IRFA) of the expected impact of the policies and rules proposed in this Notice on small entities. The IRFA is contained in Appendix B to the Notice. The Secretary shall cause a copy of this Notice, including the IRFA, to be sent to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration in accordance with Section 603(a) of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

¹ See generally, Section 1.1206(a) of the Commission's Rules, 47 CFR § 1.1206(a).

Authority

9. This action is taken pursuant to Sections 1, 4(i), 4(j), 201, 202, 208, 332, and 403 Communications Act as amended; 47 U.S.C. 154(i), 201, 202, 208, 332, and 403.

10. Accordingly, it is ordered that notice is hereby given of the proposed regulatory changes described above, and that comment is sought on these proposals.

11. It is further ordered that pursuant to applicable procedures set forth in Sections 1.415 and 1.419 of the Commission's Rules, 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.419, comments shall be filed with William F. Caton, Acting Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554 on or before June 14, 1995, and reply comments shall be filed with the Secretary on or before July 14, 1995. To file formally in this proceeding, parties must file an original and five copies of all comments, reply comments, and supporting comments. Parties wishing each Commissioner to receive a personal copy of their comments must file an original plus nine copies.

Federal Communications Commission.

William F. Caton,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-10472 Filed 4-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 95-48, RM-8590]

Television Broadcasting Services; Weaverville, CA

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This document requests comments on a petition for rule making filed by Mark C. Allen, requesting the allotment of UHF television Channel 32 to Weaverville, California, as that community's first local television service. Weaverville is located within the prohibited co-channel minimum distance (174.5 miles) to the Sacramento-Stockton television market, one of the designated markets affected by the Commission's current freeze on allotments and applications pending the outcome of an inquiry into the use of advanced television systems in broadcasting. (*See Order, Advanced*

Television Systems and Their Impact on Existing Television Broadcast Service, 52 Fed. Reg. 28346, July 29, 1987). However, Channel 32 can be allotted to Weaverville in compliance with the terms of the freeze *Order* at a restricted site. Coordinates used for Channel 32 at Weaverville are 40-54-45 and 122-52-15.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before June 15, 1995, and reply comments on or before June 30, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, as follows: Mark C. Allen, 3745 McHale Way, Redding, CA 96001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy Joyner, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's *Notice of Proposed Rule Making*, MM Docket No. 95-48, adopted April 14, 1995, and released April 24, 1995. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC's Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW, Washington, D.C. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractors, International Transcription Service, Inc., (202) 857-3800, 2100 M Street, NW, Suite 140, Washington, D.C. 20037.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Television broadcasting.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 95-10470 Filed 4-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-F