Bacon and Related Acts" are listed by Volume and State:

VOLUME V

Nebraska

NE950059 (APR.28,1995) NE950060 (APR.28,1995)

Modification to General Wage **Determination Decisions**

The number of decisions listed in the Government Printing Office document entitled "General Wage Determinations Issued Under the Davis-Bacon and Related Acts" being modified are listed by Volume and State. Dates of publication in the Federal Register are in parentheses following the decisions being modified.

VOLUME I

Massachusetts

MA950001 (FEB.10,1995) MA950002 (FEB.10,1995) MA950003 (FEB.10,1995)

MA950009 (FEB.10,1995) MA950017 (FEB.10,1995)

MA950019 (FEB.10,1995)

New York

NY950077 (FEB.17,1995)

Rhode Island

RI950001 (FEB.10,1995) RI950002 (FEB.10,1995)

RI950003 (FEB.10,1995)

VOLUME II

Delaware

DE950001 (FEB.10,1995) DE950002 (FEB.10,1995)

DE950005 (FEB.10,1995)

DE950009 (FEB.10,1995)

Pennsylvania

PA950010 (FEB.10,1995)

VOLUME III

Georgia

GA950040 (FEB.10.1995)

VOLUME IV

Michigan

MI950001 (FEB.10,1995) MI950002 (FEB.10,1995) MI950003 (FEB.10,1995)

MI950004 (FEB.10,1995) MI950005 (FEB.10,1995)

MI950007 (FEB.10,1995)

MI950012 (FEB.10,1995)

MI950017 (FEB.10,1995)

VOLUME V

Iowa

IA950005 (FEB.10,1995)

Kansas

KS950006 (FEB.10,1995) KS950008 (FEB.10,1995) KS950012 (FEB.10,1995)

Missouri

MO950003 (FEB.10,1995) MO950005 (FEB.10,1995)

MO950007 (FEB.10,1995) MO950010 (FEB.10,1995)

MO950014 (FEB.10,1995)

MO950016 (FEB.10,1995)

MO950020 (FEB.10,1995)

MO950046 (FEB.10,1995)

MO950053 (FEB.10,1995)

MO950056 (FEB.10.1995) MO950059 (FEB.10,1995)

MO950063 (FEB.10.1995)

MO950064 (FEB.10,1995)

MO950066 (FEB.10,1995) MO950068 (FEB.10,1995)

MO950069 (FEB.10,1995)

MO950070 (FEB.10.1995) MO950074 (FEB.10,1995)

MO950075 (FEB.10,1995)

MO950076 (FEB.10.1995)

MO950077 (FEB.10,1995)

MO950078 (FEB.10,1995)

Nebraska

NE950001 (FEB.10,1995) NE950002 (FEB.10,1995)

NE950007 (FEB.10,1995)

Oklahoma

OK950013 (FEB.10,1995)

OK950018 (FEB.10,1995)

VOLUME VI

None

General Wage Determination Publication

General wage determinations issued under the Davis-Bacon and Related Acts, including those noted above, may be found in the Government Printing Office (GPO) document entitled "General Wage Determinations Issued Under the Davis-Bacon and Related Acts". This publication is available at each of the 50 Regional Government Depository Libraries and many of the 1,400 Government Depository Libraries across the country.

The general wage determinations issued under the Davis-Bacon and related Acts are available electronically by subscription to the FedWorld Bulletin Board System of the National Technical Information Service (NTIS) of the U.S. Department of Commerce at (703) 487 - 4630.

Hard-copy subscriptions may be purchased from: Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402, (202) 512-1800.

When ordering hard-copy subscription(s), be sure to specify the State(s) of interest, since subscriptions may be ordered for any or all of the six separate volumes, arranged by State. Subscriptions include an annual edition (issued in January or February) which includes all current general wage determinations for the States covered by each volume. Throughout the remainder of the year, regular weekly updates will be distributed to subscribers.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 21st day of April 1995.

Alan L. Moss.

Director, Division of Wage Determinations. [FR Doc. 95-10353 Filed 4-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-29-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-254 and 50-265]

Commonwealth Edison Co.; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses, Proposed No Significant **Hazards Consideration Determination,** and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-29 and DPR-30 issued to Commonwealth Edison Company (ComEd, the licensee) for operation of the Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2, located in Rock Island County, Illinois.

The proposed amendments would change the Technical Specifications by: (1) Revising the low pressure value at which the High Pressure Coolant Injection (HPCI) and Reactor Core Isolation Cooling (RCIC) systems can be tested to 150 psig, and (2) to test these systems against a system head corresponding to reactor vessel pressure when steam is supplied to the turbines at 920 psig to 1005 psig for high pressure testing and 150 psig to 325 psig for low pressure testing.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendments, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendments would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

(1) Involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated because:

The proposed changes revise the testing requirements for the low pressure HPCI and RCIC systems, and as such do not affect any accident precursors or initiators. Therefore, the proposed changes do not increase the probability of any previously evaluated accident.

Similarly, the proposed changes implement testing requirements which will reduce unnecessary strain on the HPCI and RCIC systems during testing, and provide added assurance that the HPCI and RCIC will perform their design functions throughout the entire operating pressure range of the equipment. Therefore, the proposed changes enhance the ability of the HPCI and RCIC systems and equipment to mitigate the consequences of an accident.

(2) Create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated because:

The proposed changes do not modify the HPCI or RCIC design or reduce the capability of the systems to perform their design function. The proposed changes will implement testing requirements which will reduce unnecessary strain on the HPCI and RCIC systems during testing, and provide added assurance that the systems are capable of performing their design functions throughout the entire operating pressure range of the equipment. As such, the proposed changes are more conservative than the current requirements. Since the design basis of the HPCI or RCIC system is not changed, there is no possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any previously evaluated.

(3) Involve a significant reduction in the margin of safety because:

The proposed changes implement testing requirements which will reduce unnecessary strain on the HPCI and RCIC systems during testing, and provide added assurance that the HPCI and RCIC systems will perform their design functions throughout the entire operating pressure range of the equipment. The proposed changes will not reduce the availability and capability of the HPCI and RCIC systems to mitigate the consequences of an accident. The proposed changes do not involve a relaxation of the criteria used to establish safety limits, a relaxation of the bases for limiting safety settings, or a relaxation of the bases for limiting conditions of operation. Therefore the proposed changes do not impact the margin of safety

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendments until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendments before the expiration of the

30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the Federal Register a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Rules Review and Directives Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and should cite the publication date and page number of this Federal Register notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D22, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By May 30, 1995, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendments to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Dixon Public Library, 221 Hennepin Avenue, Dixon, Illinois. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) The nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendments under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendments and make them immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendments.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last 10 days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at 1–(800) 248–5100 (in Missouri 1–(800) 342–6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number N1023 and the following message addressed to Mr. Robert A. Capra: petitioner's name and telephone number, date petition was mailed, plant name, and publication date and page number of this Federal Register notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and to Michael I. Miller, Esquire, Sidley and Austin, One First National Plaza, Chicago, Illinois 60603, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)—(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendments dated April 10, 1995, which is available for public inspection

at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Dixon Public Library, 221 Hennepin Avenue, Dixon, Illinois.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 24th day of April 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Robert M. Pulsifer.

Project Manager, Project Directorate III-2, Division of Reactor Projects—III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95–10479 Filed 4–27–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–M

[Docket Nos. 70-7001; 70-7002]

United States Enrichment Corporation: Paducah Gaseous Plant; Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant; Notice of Receipt of Application for Certification for the Gaseous Diffusion Plants and Notice of Comment Period and Notice of Public Meetings

I. Receipt of Application and Availability of Documents

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. **Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC** or the Commission) has received by letter dated April 18, 1995, an application from the United States Enrichment Corporation (USEC) for the initial certification of the gaseous diffusion plants (GDPs) located near Paducah, Kentucky and Piketon, Ohio. The Energy Policy Act of 1992 established the USEC to operate the GDPs under lease from the U.S. Department of Energy and required the NRC to establish a certification process and standards for the GDPs to assure protection of public and workers' health and safety and adequate safeguards and security.

Copies of the application for certification (except for classified and proprietary portions withheld in accordance with 10 CFR 2.790, "Availability of Public Records") are available for public inspection and copying at the Commission's Public Document Room in the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20555 and in the Local Public Document Rooms established for these facilities. A copy of the application for the Paducah plant is available at the Paducah Public Library, 555 Washington Street, Paducah, Kentucky 42003. A copy of the application for the Portsmouth plant is available at the Portsmouth Public Library, 1220 Gallia Street, Portsmouth, Ohio 45662. Copies of related correspondence and staff evaluations (except for portions withheld in

accordance with 10 CFR 2.790) will also be made available at these locations.

II. Notice of Comment Period

Any interested party may submit written comments on the application for certification for either the Paducah plant or the Portsmouth plant for consideration by the staff. To be certain of consideration, comments on the application must be received by June 15, 1995. Comments received after the due date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but the Commission is able to assure consideration only for comments received on or before this date. Written comments on the application should be mailed to the Chief, Rules Review and Directives Branch, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555 or hand delivered to 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852 between 7:45 am and 4:15 pm Federal workdays. Comments should be legible and reproducible, and include the name, affiliation (if any), and address of the commenter. All comments received by the Commission will be made available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room located in Washington, DC and the Local Public Documents Rooms located in Paducah, Kentucky and Portsmouth, Ohio. In accordance with 10 CFR 76.62 and 76.64, a member of the public must submit written comments or provide oral comments at the public meeting described below to petition the Commission requesting review of the Director's decision on certification.

III. Notice of Public Meeting

The NRC will hold two meetings concerning the applications for certification for the Portsmouth and Paducah gaseous diffusion plants. These meetings are being held to solicit public input on the initial certification of these facilities. The meeting on the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant will be held at the Paducah Information Age Park Resource Center, 200 McCracken Boulevard in Paducah, Kentucky on May 23, 1995, 7 pm. The meeting on the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant will be held at the Vern Riffe Joint Vocational School, 23365 State Rt. 124 in Piketon, Ohio on May 24, 1995, 7 pm.

In order to allow a maximum number of speakers, statements by the public will be limited to 5 minutes per individual. Those interested in speaking at the meetings may register in advance or may register at the meeting. Any person interested in registering in advance may do so by sending a written request to Ms. Rocio Castaneira, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission,