

ensure that the forms are completed accurately and filed on time.

III. Request for Comments

Prospective respondents and other interested parties are requested to comment on the alternatives described above and to prepare modifications or refinements deemed useful. The following general questions provide a framework for the preparation of responses and will be used by EIA in its evaluation of the two alternatives.

For electric control area operators:

1. Do electric control area operators telemeter each utility and nonutility generators 10 megawatts and over? Is output from generators under 10 megawatts telemetered by individual unit or aggregated by type of unit at each power plant? Are power plants under 1 megawatt telemetered?

2. Do electric control area operators acquire monthly data (either electronic or manual) on fuel consumption by power plant or by generating unit? Is fuel consumption by nonutilities collected on any basis?

3. Do electric control area operators acquire data (either electronically or manually) on fuel stocks at power plants?

4. What format(s) would electric control area operators prefer when providing electronic data to EIA? What facilities/equipment do control area operators have to electronically send data to EIA?

5. How soon after the end of each month could electric control area operators provide electronic data to EIA? Manually prepared data?

6. What modifications to electric control area computer systems would be necessary to provide monthly electronic data on generation from all generators 10 megawatts and over? What length of time would be necessary to implement those modifications? How much would these modifications cost?

7. What modifications to systems and/or manual procedures would be necessary to provide monthly data on fuel consumption from all generators 10 megawatts and over? What length of time would be necessary to implement these modifications? How much would these modifications cost?

8. What modifications to systems and/or manual procedures would be necessary to provide monthly data on power plant fuel stocks. What length of time would be necessary to implement these modifications? How much would these modifications cost?

9. What is the estimated monthly burden in person-hours to provide electronic data on electricity output from all generators 10 megawatts and

more? Fuel consumption by generating unit? Plant fuel stocks?

10. Is monthly electricity output by generator, monthly fuel consumption by generating unit, or plant fuel stocks considered confidential? Why?

For nonutility power producers:

11. Do nonutility power producers maintain monthly records on electricity output by generator, fuel consumption by generating unit, and plant fuel stocks? Are these data currently recorded in an electronic format?

12. What format(s) would nonutilities prefer when providing generation, fuel consumption, and fuel stock data to EIA? What facilities/equipment do nonutilities have to electronically send data to EIA?

13. How soon after the end of each month could nonutility power producers provide generation and fuel consumption by unit, and plant fuel stock data to EIA?

14. What modifications to systems and/or manual procedures would be necessary to provide monthly generation and fuel consumption by unit, and plant fuel stock data to EIA?

15. What is the estimated monthly burden in person-hours to provide generation and fuel consumption by unit, and plant fuel stocks data to EIA?

16. Are monthly generation, fuel consumption, or fuel stock data considered confidential? Why?

For data users:

17. Does the lack of monthly electricity output from nonutility producers adversely impact your use of EIA data? Lack of monthly fuel consumption data from nonutilities? Lack of fuel stock data from nonutilities? How?

18. What level of accuracy do you need for monthly U.S. electricity generation and fuel consumption by the electric power industry?

19. Do you need generation, fuel consumption, or fuel stock data by unit, plant, or company? What level(s) of aggregation are useful to you?

20. For what purposes do you or would you use monthly generation, fuel consumption and/or fuel stock data? Please be specific.

21. What are the weaknesses and strengths of the data collection alternatives under consideration by EIA? Please be specific as it relates to your use of the data.

22. Would a determination by EIA that generation, fuel consumption, or fuel stock data by plant are confidential affect your use of the data?

Comments submitted in response to this notice will become a matter of public record.

Statutory Authorities: Section 2(a) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (Pub. L. No. 96-511), which amended Chapter 35 of Title 44 of the United States Code [See 44 U.S.C. § 3506(a) and (c)(1)].

Issued in Washington, DC, April 24, 1995.

Yvonne M. Bishop,

*Director, Office of Statistical Standards,
Energy Information Administration.*

[FR Doc. 95-10517 Filed 4-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER95-748-000, et al.]

Western Gas Resources Power Marketing, Inc., et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

April 21, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Western Gas Resources Power Marketing, Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-748-000]

Take notice that on April 14, 1995, Western Gas Resources Power Marketing, Inc. tendered for filing an amendment in the above-referenced docket.

Comment date: May 5, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

2. Washington Water Power Co.

[Docket No. ER95-806-000]

Take notice that on March 27, 1995, The Washington Water Power Company (WWP), tendered for filing Service Agreements previously accepted under Electric Tariff No. 4 as unsigned service agreements: Associated Power Services, Inc., Citizens Power & Light Corporation, Electric Clearinghouse, Inc., Enron Power Marketing, Inc., InterCoast Power Marketing Company, LG&E Power Marketing, Inc., National Electric Associates (L.P.) and Power Exchange Corporation.

Comment date: May 5, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

3. CNG Power Services Corporation

[Docket No. ER95-840-000, Docket No. ER95-846-000]

Take notice that on April 6, 1995, CNG Power Services Corporation filed a letter withdrawing its filings in the above-referenced dockets.

Comment date: May 5, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

4. Florida Power Corp.

[Docket No. ER95-848-000]

Take notice that on March 28, 1995, Florida Power Corporation tendered for filing an amendment to its agreement with Seminole Electric Cooperative for supplemental resale service and distribution service.

Comment date: May 5, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

5. UtiliCorp United Inc.

[Docket No. ES95-29-000]

Take notice that on April 13, 1995, UtiliCorp United Inc. filed an application under § 204 of the Federal Power Act seeking authorization to issue, from time to time, unsecured notes and other obligations, including guarantees of securities issued by subsidiaries or affiliates, up to and including \$400 million in the aggregate at any one time outstanding, during the period commencing July 1, 1995 and ending June 30, 1997, with a final maturity date not later than June 30, 1998.

Comment date: May 12, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-10532 Filed 4-27-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. EL95-40-000 and Docket No. QF89-58-001]

Mega-Racine Associates, Inc.; Notice of Filing

April 24, 1995

On April 21, 1995, Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (Niagara Mohawk)

filed a petition for a declaratory order revoking the qualifying status of a topping-cycle cogeneration facility owned by Megan-Racine Associates, Inc. in Canton, New York. The facility was granted certification as a qualifying cogeneration facility in an order dated January 27, 1989. *Mega-Racine Associates, Inc.*, 46 FERC ¶ 62,074, (1989). Niagara Mohawk claims in its petition that for the years 1991, 1992, 1993, and 1994 the facility did not meet the applicable operating and efficiency standards applicable to natural gas-fired, topping-cycle qualifying cogeneration facilities under section 292.205 of the Commission's regulations. 18 CFR 292.205. Niagara Mohawk asks the Commission to declare that the facility was not a qualifying facility for the years 1991, 1992, 1993, and 1994 and asks the Commission to revoke certification for the years 1991, 1992, 1993 and 1994.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 285.211 and 385.214 (1992)). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before May 22, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-10482 Filed 4-27-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[RP95-31-000]

National Fuel Gas Supply Corp.; Notice of Informal Settlement Conference

April 24, 1995.

Take notice that an informal settlement conference will be convened in these proceedings on May 3, 1995 at 10 a.m. at the offices of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 810 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C., 20426, for the purpose of exploring the possible settlement of the issues in this proceeding.

Any party, as defined by 18 CFR 385.102(c), or any participant as defined by 18 CFR 385.102(b), is invited to attend. Persons wishing to become a

party must move to intervene and receive intervenor status pursuant to the commission's Regulations (18 CFR 385.214).

For additional information, contact Marc G. Denkinger (202) 208-2215 or Arnold H. Meltz (202) 208-2161.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-10451 Filed 4-27-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL 5196-7]

Sole Source Aquifer Designation for the Broad Brook Basin of the Barnes Aquifer

AGENCY: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In response to a petition from the Barnes Aquifer Protection Advisory Committee and the Town of Easthampton, Massachusetts, notice is hereby given that the Regional Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency—New England (EPA) has determined that the Broad Brook Basin of the Barnes Aquifer satisfies all determination criteria for designation as a sole source aquifer, pursuant to Section 1424(e) of the Safe Drinking Water Act, 42 U.S.C., section 300h-3(e). The following findings were made in accordance with the designation criteria: the Broad Brook Basin of the Barnes Aquifer supplies more than 50% of the water needs for the communities within the service area boundaries; there are no viable alternative sources of sufficient supply; the boundaries of the designated area and the project review area have been reviewed and approved by the EPA; and, if contamination were to occur, it would pose a significant public hazard and a serious financial burden to the communities within the aquifer service area. As a result of this action, the EPA may review, suggest modifications to, or withhold funding for, any federally financially assisted projects proposed for construction within the Broad Brook Basin of the Barnes Aquifer that may pose an adverse risk of ground water contamination.

DATES: This determination shall become effective May 12, 1995.

ADDRESSES: The data upon which these findings are based are available to the public and may be inspected during normal business hours at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency—New