time for submission to the objective review group. (The applicants must request a legibly dated U.S. Postal Service postmark or obtain a legibly dated receipt from a commercial carrier or the U.S. Postal Service. Private metered postmarks shall not be acceptable as proof of timely mailing.)

2. Late Applicants: Applications that do not meet the criteria in 1.(a) or 1.(b) above are considered late applications. Late applications will not be considered in the current competition and will be returned to the applicants.

#### Where to Obtain Additional Information

To receive additional written information call (404) 332–4561. You will be asked to leave your name, address, and telephone number and will need to refer to Announcement 528. You will be receive a complete program description, information on application procedures, and application forms.

If you have questions after reviewing the contents of all the documents, business management technical assistance may be obtained from Oppie M. Byrd, Grants Management Specialist, Grants Management Branch, Procurement and Grants Office, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), 255 East Paces Ferry Road, NE., Room 300, Mailstop E-13, Atlanta, GA 30305, telephone (404) 842-6546. Programmatic technical assistance may be obtained from Marie Haring Sweeney, Ph.D., National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Division of Surveillance, Hazard Evaluation and Field Studies, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Mailstop R-13, Robert A. Taft Laboratories, 4676 Columbia Parkway, Cincinnati, OH 45226-1049, telephone (513) 841-4207.

Potential applicants may obtain a copy of Healthy People 2000 (Full Report, Stock No. 017–001–00474–0) or Healthy People 2000 (Summary Report, Stock No. 017–001–00473–1) referenced in the INTRODUCTION through the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402–9325, telephone (202) 512–1800.

Copies of A Framework for Assessing the Effectiveness of Disease and Injury Prevention (CDC, Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, March 27, 1992, Volume 41, Number RR–3, pages 5–11) may be obtained by calling (404) 488–4334.

Dated: April 21, 1995.

Diane D. Porter,

Acting Director, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

[FR Doc. 95–10454 Filed 4–27–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4163-19-P

#### Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. 95C-0091]

#### GNT Gesellshaft für Nahrungsmitteltechnologie mbH; Filing of Color Additive Petition

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration,

HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing that GNT Gesellshaft für Nahrungsmitteltechnologie mbH has filed a petition proposing that the color additive regulations be amended to provide for the safe use of dried fruit juice color additive, dried vegetable juice color additive, and vegetable juice color additive prepared by water infusion of the dried vegetable.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Aydin Örstan, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFS–217), Food and Drug Administration, 200 C St. SW., Washington, DC 20204, 202–418–3076.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 721(b)(5) (21 U.S.C. 379e(b)(5))), notice is given that a color additive petition (CAP 5C0245) has been filed by GNT Gesellshaft für Nahrungsmitteltechnologie mbH, c/o Burditt & Radzius, Chtd., 333 West Wacker Dr., suite 2600, Chicago, IL 60606-1218. The petition proposes to amend the color additive regulations in § 73.250 Fruit juice (21 CFR 73.250) to provide for the safe use of dried fruit juice color additive and in § 73.260 Vegetable juice (21 CFR 73.260) to provide for the safe use of dried vegetable juice color additive, and vegetable juice color additive prepared by water infusion of the dried vegetable.

The agency has determined under 21 CFR 25.24(a)(9) that this action is of a type that does not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. Therefore, neither an environmental assessment nor an environmental impact statement is required.

Dated: April 20, 1995.

Alan M. Rulis,

Acting Director, Office of Premarket Approval, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition.

[FR Doc. 95–10539 Filed 4–27–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-F

#### [Docket No. 93N-0418]

## United Blood Services Blood Systems, Inc.; Revocation of U.S. License No. 0183–020

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration,

HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing the revocation of the establishment license (U.S. License No. 0183-020) and product licenses issued to United Blood Services Blood Systems, Inc. (BSI), for the manufacture of Whole Blood (ACD, CPD, CPDA-1), Red Blood Cells, Red Blood Cells Leukocytes Removed, Plasma, Fresh Frozen Plasma, Cryoprecipitated AHF, Platelets, Platelets Pheresis, and Source Leukocytes. BSI has numerous locations throughout the United States; the licenses have been revoked only at the BSI location at Texarkana, TX. In a letter to FDA dated June 28, 1993, BSI voluntarily requested the revocation of its establishment and product licenses and waived its opportunity for hearing. **DATES:** The revocation of the establishment license (U.S. License No. 0183-020) and the product licenses

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jean M. Olson, Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (HFM–635), Food and Drug Administration, 1401 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852–1448, 301–594–3074.

became effective July 23, 1993.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: FDA is announcing the revocation of the establishment license (U.S. License No. 0183-020) and the product licenses issued to BSI, 1321 College Dr., Texarkana, TX 75503, for the manufacture of Whole Blood (ACD, CPD, CPDA-1), Red Blood Cells, Red Blood Cells Leukocytes Removed, Plasma, Fresh Frozen Plasma, Cryoprecipitated AHF, Platelets, Platelets Pheresis, and Source Leukocytes. The current mailing address is United Blood Services Blood Systems, Inc., c/o Blood Systems, Inc., 6210 East Oak St., P.O. Box 1867, Scottsdale, AZ 85252. BSI has numerous locations throughout the United States. The licenses were revoked for the Texarkana, TX, location only.

FDA conducted an inspection and concurrent investigation of BSI from March 23 through April 14, 1993. The inspection and concurrent inspection revealed serious deviations from the standards established in the applicable Federal regulations and approved license.

The concurrent investigation revealed significant deficiencies that occurred routinely in quality control recordkeeping, as well as in personnel training and supervision. BSI employees, with the knowledge of management, falsified blood storage temperature records whenever the temperature was outside the range specified in written procedures. BSI employees also routinely discarded donor registration forms of temporarily deferred donors because the staff would receive negative performance evaluations if a high number of donors were deferred.

During the inspection, FDA observed deviations that included, but were not limited to, the following: (1) Failure to determine donor suitability, in that BSI accepted donations from individuals who reported disqualifying information (21 CFR 640.3(b)); (2) failure to adequately prepare the donor's phlebotomy site by a method that gives maximum assurance of sterility, in that the site was sometimes repalpated after the arm scrub was performed, and the arm scrubs were performed for less than the 30 seconds required by BSI's standard operating procedures (21 CFR 640.4(f)); and (3) failure to assure that the personnel responsible for the collection of blood on mobile drives were adequate in number, in that it was observed that donors were rushed through medical history questions, were not provided with adequate privacy during medical history interviews, and were only allowed 1 to 2 minutes of recovery time following blood donation (21 CFR 606.20(b)).

The inspection observations and the concurrent investigation showed that BSI knowingly falsified blood storage records. Consequently, FDA determined that BSI willfully failed to comply with the standards established in the approved license and in the applicable regulations. In accordance with that determination, FDA initiated proceedings under 21 CFR 601.5(b) for license revocation without providing BSI with an opportunity to achieve or demonstrate compliance.

In a letter to BSI dated June 1, 1993, FDA delineated the observations listed above and announced its intent to offer an opportunity for a hearing on FDA's proposal to revoke U.S. License 0183–020 issued to BSI. In a letter to FDA

dated June 28, 1993, BSI requested voluntary revocation of its license and waived its opportunity for a hearing under 21 CFR 601.5(a). In a letter dated July 23, 1993, FDA acknowledged voluntary revocation of the establishment license (U.S. License No. 0183–020) and the aforementioned product licenses of BSI at the Texarkana, TX, location.

FDA has placed copies of documents relevant to the license revocation on file under the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this notice with the Dockets Management Branch (HFA–305), Food and Drug Administration, rm. 1–23, 12420 Parklawn Dr., Rockville, MD 20857. These documents are available for public examination in the Dockets Management Branch between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

Accordingly, under 21 CFR 601.5, section 351 of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 262), and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs (21 CFR 5.10) and redelegated to the Director, Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (21 CFR 5.68), the establishment license (U.S. License No. 0183-020) and product licenses for Whole Blood (ACD, CPD, CPDA-1), Red Blood Cells, Red Blood Cells Leukocytes Removed, Plasma, Fresh Frozen Plasma, Cryoprecipitated AHF, Platelets, Platelets Pheresis, and Source Leukocytes issued to BSI at the Texarcana, TX, location were revoked, effective July 23, 1993.

This notice is issued and published under 21 CFR 601.8 and the redelegation at (21 CFR 5.67).

Dated: April 8, 1995.

Kathryn C. Zoon,

Director, Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research.

[FR Doc. 95–10541 Filed 4–27–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4160–01–F

#### [Docket No. 88G-0388]

#### Fuji Oil Co., Ltd.; Filing of Petition for Affirmation of GRAS Status; Amendment

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is amending the filing notice for a petition (GRASP 8G0348) filed by Fuji Oil Co., Ltd., proposing to affirm that cocoa butter substitutes from safflower oil and sunflower oil are generally recognized as safe (GRAS) for use as direct human food ingredients. This amendment is intended to clarify that the sunflower and safflower oils used in the manufacture of the petitioned cocoa butter substitute are the high-oleic rather than the typical high-linoleic varieties.

DATES: Comments by July 12, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments to the Dockets Management Branch (HFA–305), Food and Drug Administration, rm. 1–23, 12420 Parklawn Dr., Rockville, MD 20857.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Nega Beru, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFS–206), Food and Drug Administration, 200 C St. SW., Washington, DC 20204, 202–418–3097.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In a notice published in the Federal Register of January 26, 1989 (54 FR 3853), FDA announced that Fuji Oil Co., Ltd., 6-1, Hachiman-cho, Minami-ku, Osaka 542 Japan, had filed a petition (GRASP 8G0348) proposing to affirm that cocoa butter substitutes from safflower oil and sunflower oil are GRAS for use as direct human food ingredients. The agency recognizes that the terms "safflower oil" and "sunflower oil" normally refer to the traditional high-linoleic varieties. However, the agency has determined that the proposed starting materials for the manufacture of the petitioned cocoa butter substitutes are the high-oleic rather than the typical high-linoleic safflower or sunflower oils. Therefore, the agency is amending the filing notice to make this distinction clear.

Interested persons may, on or before July 12, 1995, submit to the Dockets Management Branch (address above) written comments with respect to the above mentioned change only. Two copies of any comments are to be submitted, except that individuals may submit one copy. Comment are to be identified with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. A copy of the petition and received comments may be seen in the Dockets Management Branch between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

Dated: April 19, 1995.

Alan M. Rulis,

Acting Director, Office of Premarket Approval, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition.

[FR Doc. 95-10540 Filed 4-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-F