1995. The marketing orders require that the rates of assessment apply to all assessable limes and avocados handled during the fiscal year. In addition, handlers are aware of this action which was recommended by the Committees at public meetings and published in the **Federal Register** as an interim final rule. No comments were received concerning the interim final rule that is adopted in this action as a final rule without change.

List of Subjects

7 CFR Part 911

Limes, Marketing agreements, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

7 CFR Part 915

Avocados, Marketing agreements, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR parts 911 and 915 are amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for both 7 CFR parts 911 and 915 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 601-674.

PART 911—LIMES GROWN IN FLORIDA

Accordingly, the interim final rule amending 7 CFR part 911 which was published at 60 FR 8523 on February 15, 1995, is adopted as a final rule without change.

PART 915—AVOCADOS GROWN IN SOUTH FLORIDA

Accordingly, the interim final rule amending 7 CFR part 915 which was published at 60 FR 8523 on February 15, 1995, is adopted as a final rule without change.

Dated: May 3, 1995.

Sharon Bomer Lauritsen,

Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division. [FR Doc. 95–11307 Filed 5–8–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

7 CFR Part 958

[Docket No. FV95-958-1IFR]

Idaho-Eastern Oregon Onions; Expenses and Assessment Rate

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Interim final rule with request for comments.

SUMMARY: This interim final rule authorizes expenditures of \$1,111,447

and establishes an assessment rate of \$0.10 per hundredweight of onions under Marketing Order No. 958 for the 1995–96 fiscal period. Authorization of this budget enables the Idaho-Eastern Oregon Onion Committee (Committee) to incur expenses that are reasonable and necessary to administer the program. Funds to administer this program are derived from assessments on handlers.

DATES: Effective July 1, 1995, through June 30, 1996. Comments received by June 8, 1995, will be considered prior to issuance of a final rule.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit written comments concerning this action. Comments must be sent in triplicate to the Docket Clerk, Fruit and Vegetable Division, AMS, USDA, P.O. Box 96456, room 2523–S, Washington, DC 20090–6456, FAX 202–720–5698. Comments should reference the docket number and the date and page number of this issue of the **Federal Register** and will be available for public inspection in the Office of the Docket Clerk during regular business hours.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Martha Sue Clark, Marketing Order Administration Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Division, AMS, USDA, P.O. Box 96456, room 2523–S, Washington, DC 20090–6456, telephone number 202–720–9918, or Robert J. Curry, Northwest Marketing Field Office, Fruit and Vegetable Division, AMS, USDA, Green-Wyatt Federal Building, room 369, 1220 Southwest Third Avenue, Portland, OR 97204, telephone number 503–326–2724

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This rule is issued under Marketing Agreement No. 130 and Marketing Order No. 958, both as amended (7 CFR part 958), regulating the handling of onions grown in designated counties in Idaho, and Malheur County, Oregon. The marketing agreement and order are effective under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674), hereinafter referred to as the Act.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (Department) is issuing this rule in conformance with Executive Order 12866.

This interim final rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12778, Civil Justice Reform. Under the marketing order now in effect Idaho-Eastern Oregon onions are subject to assessments. Funds to administer the Idaho-Eastern Oregon onion marketing order are derived from such assessments. It is intended that the assessment rate as issued herein will be applicable to all assessable onions during the 1995–96 fiscal period which

begins July 1, 1995, and ends June 30, 1996. This interim final rule will not preempt any State or local laws, regulations, or policies, unless they present an irreconcilable conflict with this rule.

The Act provides that administrative proceedings must be exhausted before parties may file suit in court. Under section 8c(15)(A) of the Act, any handler subject to an order may file with the Secretary a petition stating that the order, any provision of the order, or any obligation imposed in connection with the order is not in accordance with law and request a modification of the order or to be exempted therefrom. Such handler is afforded the opportunity for a hearing on the petition. After the hearing the Secretary would rule on the petition. The Act provides that the district court of the United States in any district in which the handler is an inhabitant, or has his or her principal place of business, has jurisdiction in equity to review the Secretary's ruling on the petition, provided a bill in equity is filed not later than 20 days after the date of the entry of the ruling.

Pursuant to requirements set forth in the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), the Administrator of the Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS) has considered the economic impact of this rule on small entities.

The purpose of the RFA is to fit regulatory actions to the scale of business subject to such actions in order that small businesses will not be unduly or disproportionately burdened. Marketing orders issued pursuant to the Act, and the rules issued thereunder, are unique in that they are brought about through group action of essentially small entities acting on their own behalf. Thus, both statutes have small entity orientation and compatibility.

There are approximately 450 producers of Idaho-Eastern Oregon onions under the marketing order and approximately 35 handlers. Small agricultural producers have been defined by the Small Business Administration (13 CFR 121.601) as those having annual receipts of less than \$500,000, and small agricultural service firms are defined as those whose annual receipts are less than \$5,000,000. The majority of Idaho-Eastern Oregon onion producers and handlers may be classified as small entities.

The budget of expenses for the 1995–96 fiscal period was prepared by the Idaho-Eastern Oregon Onion Committee, the agency responsible for local administration of the marketing order, and submitted to the Department for approval. The members of the Committee are producers and handlers

of Idaho-Eastern Oregon onions. They are familiar with the Committee's needs and with the costs for goods and services in their local area and are thus in a position to formulate an appropriate budget. The budget was formulated and discussed in a public meeting. Thus, all directly affected persons have had an opportunity to participate and provide input.

The assessment rate recommended by the Committee was derived by dividing anticipated expenses by expected shipments of Idaho-Eastern Oregon onions. Because that rate will be applied to actual shipments, it must be established at a rate that will provide sufficient income to pay the Committee's expenses.

The Committee met on March 21, 1995, and unanimously recommended a 1995–96 budget of \$1,111,447, \$91,408 more than the previous year. Budget items for 1995-96 which have increased compared to those budgeted for 1994-95 (in parentheses) are: Manager's salary, \$33,472 (\$30,429), office salaries, \$66,222 (\$62,816), payroll taxes, \$9,229 (\$8,642), health and medical insurance, \$9,182 (\$8,700), workman's compensation, \$1,084 (\$929), rent, \$11,000 (\$10,000), property insurance, \$1,700 (\$1,400), miscellaneous, \$12,500 (\$9,000), promotion, \$724,076 (\$668,500), and contingency, \$75,000 (\$50,000). Items which have decreased compared to those budgeted for 1994-95 (in parentheses) are: Salary and disability insurance \$1,072 (\$1,099), research, \$59,340 (\$60,154), and property tax (\$800) for which no funding was recommended this year. All other items are budgeted at last year's amounts.

The Committee also unanimously recommended an assessment rate of \$0.10 per hundredweight, the same as last season. This rate, when applied to anticipated shipments of 8,800,000 hundredweight, will yield \$880,000 in assessment income. This, along with \$45,000 in interest income and \$186,447 from the Committee's authorized reserve, will be adequate to cover budgeted expenses. Funds in the reserve at the end of the 1994–95 fiscal period, estimated at \$921,500, will be within the maximum permitted by the order of one fiscal period's expenses.

While this action will impose some additional costs on handlers, the costs are in the form of uniform assessments on all handlers. Some of the additional costs may be passed on to producers. However, these costs will be offset by the benefits derived by the operation of the marketing order. Therefore, the Administrator of the AMS has determined that this action will not

have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

After consideration of all relevant material presented, including the information and recommendation submitted by the Committee and other available information, it is hereby found that this rule, as hereinafter set forth, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act.

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553, it is also found and determined upon good cause that it is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice prior to putting this rule into effect because: (1) The Committee needs to have sufficient funds to pay its expenses which are incurred on a continuous basis; (2) the fiscal period begins on July 1, 1995, and the marketing order requires that the rate of assessment for the fiscal period apply to all assessable onions handled during the fiscal period; (3) handlers are aware of this action which was unanimously recommended by the Committee at a public meeting and is similar to other budget actions issued in past years; and (4) this interim final rule provides a 30-day comment period, and all comments timely received will be considered prior to finalization of this

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 958

Marketing agreements, Onions, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR Part 958 is amended as follows:

PART 958—ONIONS GROWN IN CERTAIN DESIGNATED COUNTIES IN IDAHO, AND MALHEUR COUNTY, OREGON

1. The authority citation for 7 CFR part 958 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 601-674.

2. A new § 958.239 is added to read as follows:

Note: This section will not appear in the Code of Federal Regulations.

§ 958.239 Expenses and assessment rate.

Expenses of \$1,111,447 by the Idaho-Eastern Oregon Onion Committee are authorized, and an assessment rate of \$0.10 per hundredweight of assessable onions is established for the fiscal period ending June 30, 1996. Unexpended funds may be carried over as a reserve. Dated: May 3, 1995.

Sharon Bomer Lauritsen,

Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division. [FR Doc. 95–11306 Filed 5–8–95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

Rural Housing and Community Development Service

Rural Business and Cooperative Development Service

Rural Utilities Service

Consolidated Farm Service Agency

7 CFR Part 1924

RIN 0575-AA88

Construction and Repair

AGENCIES: Rural Housing and Community Development Service, Rural Business and Cooperative Development Service, Rural Utilities Service, and Consolidated Farm Service Agency; USDA

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Rural Housing and Community Development Service (RHCDS) is amending the regulation on Planning and Performing Site Development Work. RHCDS will no longer review or approve subdivisions, but will review and approve individual sites for its program. This action is consistent with similar actions being proposed or promulgated by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA). This site approval authority will allow RHCDS to bring into the program many low cost homes in subdivisions that have already been developed. Many of these were not previously reached because the seller would not, or could not afford to furnish RHCDS with all the required data for a total subdivision approval.

To assure that eligible individuals are afforded quality sites, subdivisions must meet the community standards and the sites must meet RHCDS site underwriting criteria. Environmental reviews will be required on a site by site basis and cumulative impact will be addressed when indicated.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 8, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Billy Chapman, Senior Loan Specialist, Rural Housing and Community Development Service, USDA, Room 5334–S, South Agriculture Building, 14th and Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20250, Telephone (202) 720–1485.