procedures and procedures concerning Prearranged Bidders. In addition, the revised tariff sheets will make the changes in Kern River's tariff, with respect to all firm transportation rate schedules, that are necessary to comply with the Commission's Order No. 577.

Kern River states that copies of the filing were served upon Kern River's jurisdictional customers and all affected state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with 18 CFR 385.214 and 285.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before May 10, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-11328 Filed 5-8-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

Louisiana Gas System Inc. and Conoco Inc., Complainants, vs. Panhandle Eastern Corporation and Centana Energy Corporation, et al., Respondents: Notice of Complaint and Motion for Cease and Desist Order

[Docket No. CP95-349-000]

May 3, 1995.

Take notice that on April 24, 1995, Louisiana Gas System Inc. (LGS), P.O. Box 2197 (CH-1128), Houston, Texas 77252, and Conoco Inc. (Conoco), (jointly Complainants), 600 North Dairy Ashford, Houston, Texas 77079, filed a complaint with the Commission in Docket No. CP95–349–000, pursuant to Rule 206 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, against Panhandle Eastern Corporation (PECO) and Centana Energy Corporation (CECO), et al.,1 (jointly Respondents), alleging efforts to circumvent the Commission's authority under Section 7

of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) through the use of "unregulated" affiliates.

Complainants allege that PECO, through its regulated and unregulated subsidiaries, intends to construct and operate a 70-mile large diameter, highpressure natural gas pipeline from its storage/marketing hub at Spindletop, Jefferson County, Texas,2 to Gillis, Beauregard Parish, Louisiana, where the proposed pipeline would interconnect with existing interstate pipeline affiliates' facilities. Complainants state that as part of this project, CECO has recently filed for and received approval from the State of Louisiana Department of Natural Resources, Office of Conservation, Pipeline Division to construct and operate an "intrastate" pipeline.

CECO's proposed "intrastate" pipeline would begin at Trunkline Gas Company's (Trunkline is a PECO interstate subsidiary) proposed Sabine River Crossing at the Texas-Louisiana border. According to the Complainants, CECO's proposed 24-inch diameter "intrastate" pipeline would then proceed 35.30 miles in a northeasterly direction to interconnections with Trunkline and Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Texas Eastern is also a PECO interstate subsidiary).3 The 35.30-mile Louisiana portion of this pipeline system would complete a chain of affiliated interstate and "intrastate" pipelines from southern Texas to eastern Louisiana and beyond. The Louisiana portion of this proposed pipeline would have a 1,200 psig Maximum Allowable Operating Pressure (MAOP) and a capacity of 450 MMcf of natural gas per day.

Complainants allege that PECO and CECO are constructing the above pipeline with the intent of looping Texas Eastern's interstate mainline system. A map submitted in the complaint filing herein indicates that the combined Centana-Texas to Trunkline to Centana-Louisiana to Texas Eastern's system parallels the existing Texas Eastern mainline, and in fact, shares its right-of-way. Complainants state that the obvious intent is to expand Texas Eastern's mainline without seeking Commission certificate approval. Furthermore, Complainants allege that Centana-Louisiana admits that its system serves as a bridge between Texas Eastern on its

western end and Texas Eastern on its eastern end. Complainants also allege that all of the entities to this transaction are affiliated and shares corporate directors, officers, and addresses.

Complainants, therefore, request that the Commission issue an immediate cease and desist order and direct a show cause order to the Respondents to explain why the proposed facilities do not require NGA certification, and further, that the matter be set for a full evidentiary hearing.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make a protest with reference to LGS and Conoco's complaint should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or protest in accordance with the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 or 385.214). All such motions, together with the answer(s) of Respondents to the motion and to the Complaint, should be filed on or before May 31, 1995. Any person desiring to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Louis D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-11329 Filed 5-8-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. ER95-269-000]

Montana-Dakota Utilities Co., a division of MDU Resources Group, Inc.; Notice of Filing

May 3, 1995.

Take notice on March 20, 1995, Montana-Dakota Utilities Co., a division of MDU Resources Group, Inc. (Montana-Dakota) tendered for filing an amendment to its original filing in this docket.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before May 15, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the

¹ Among these parties are Centana Louisiana Pipeline (Centana Louisiana), a CECO subsidiary which operates as a Louisiana intrastate pipeline, and Centana Intrastate Pipeline Company (Centana Texas), a CECO subsidiary which operates as a Texas intrastate pipeline.

² Complainants state that they have not been able to obtain Texas Railroad Commission filings, if any exist, that would describe the details of the proposed Texas portion of the project.

 $^{^{3}}$ The delivery capacities of the Trunkline and Texas Eastern interconnections in Louisiana would be 250 MMcf and 350 MMcf of natural gas per day, respectively.

Commission and are available for public [Docket No. RP95-260-000] inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-11330 Filed 5-8-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP91-47-016]

National Fuel Gas Supply Corp.; Notice Of Compliance Filing

May 3, 1995.

Take notice that on April 26, 1995, National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation (National) notified the Commission that on April 13, 1995, it made the following Billing Adjustment to its former RQ and CD customers, in accordance with Section 20(f) of the General Terms and Conditions of National's FERC Gas Tariff.

Under Section 20, National is required to make any Billing Adjustments caused by the reallocation of take-or-pay (TOP) charges under the winter requirement quantity allocation method within sixty days of a final Commission order. National states that this Billing Adjustment reflects charges or refunds caused by the reallocation of TOP charges from Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation, Texas Gas Transmission Corporation, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company and CNG Transmission Corporation.

National states that copies of the letter and the attached worksheets were sent to each of National's former RQ and CD customers.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426 in accordance with Rule 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure 18 CFR 385.211. All such protests should be filed on or before May 10, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-11331 Filed 5-8-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

May 3, 1995.

Take notice that on May 1, 1995, Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America (Natural) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Sixth Revised Volume No. 1, Fourth Revised Sheet No. 24, to be effective June 1, 1995.

Natural states that the filing is submitted pursuant to Section 21 of the General Terms and Conditions of Natural's FERC Gas Tariff, Sixth Revised Volume No. 1 (Section 21), as a fourth semiannual limited rate filing under Section 4 of the Natural Gas Act and the Rules and Regulations of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) promulgated thereunder. The rate adjustments filed for are designed to recover Account No. 858 stranded costs incurred by Natural under contracts for transportation capacity on other pipelines. Costs for any Account No. 858 contracts specifically excluded under Section 21 are not reflected in the filing.

Natural requested specific waivers of Section 21 and the Commission's Regulations, including the requirements of Section 154.63, to the extent necessary to permit the tariff sheet to become effective June 1, 1995.

Natural states that copies of the filing are being mailed to Natural's jurisdictional customers and interested state regulatory agencies.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with §§ 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before May 10, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the public reference room. Lois D. Cashell,

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-11332 Filed 5-8-95; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. CP95-373-000]

Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America—Texas and Texas Eastern Transmission Corp.; Notice of Application

May 3, 1995.

Take notice that on April 28, 1995, Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America (Natural), 701 East 22nd Street, Lombard, Illinois, 60148, and Texas **Eastern Transmission Corporation** (Texas Eastern), P.O. Box 1642, Houston, Texas 77251–1642, jointly referred to as Applicants, filed in Docket No. CP95-373-000 an abbreviated application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act, as amended, and §§ 157.7 and 157.18 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) Regulations thereunder, for permission to abandon certain natural gas exchange agreements, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicants state that they propose to abandon (1) An exchange agreement performed under Natural's Rate Schedule X-40 and Texas Eastern's Rate Schedule X–67, which were authorized in Natural's Docket No. CP73-289, as amended and Texas Eastern's Docket No. CP73-297, as amended; (2) an exchange service performed under Natural's Rate Schedule X-82 and Texas Eastern's Rate Schedule X-84, which were authorized in Natural's Docket No. CP77-135, as amended, and Texas Eastern's Docket No. CP77-260, as amended; and (3) an exchange service performed under Natural's Rate Schedule X-89 and Texas Eastern's Rate Schedule X-85, which were authorized jointly in Docket No. CP77-568, as amended.

Applicants further state that under the first arrangement, dated November 17, 1972, Natural made available up to 15,000 Mcf of natural gas per day to Texas Eastern in Lavaca, Harris, and Polk Counties. Texas and Texas Eastern redelivered equivalent volumes of natural gas to Natural in Brazoria, Kenedy, and Wharton Counties, Texas.

Applicants indicate that under the second arrangement, Natural made available up to 7,000 Mcf of natural gas per day to Texas Eastern in Colorado, Goliad, and DeWitt Counties, Texas and Texas Eastern made available equivalent quantities of natural gas to Natural in Brazoria, Kenedy, and Wharton Counties, Texas.

Applicants state that under the third arrangement, Natural made available up to 40,000 Mcf of natural gas per day to Texas Eastern for exchange in