equal to 85 percent of the comparable percentage of parity at which shorn wool is supported for the 1995 marketing year.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 1468

Assistance grant programs—agriculture, Livestock, Mohair, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Wool.

Accordingly, 7 CFR part 1468 is amended as follows:

PART 1468—WOOL AND MOHAIR

1. The authority citation for 7 CFR part 1468 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 1781–1787; 15 U.S.C. 714b and 714c.

2. Section 1468.4 is amended by adding paragraphs (b)(1)(ii)(E), (b)(2)(v), and (b)(3)(v) to read as follows:

§1468.4 Eligibility for payments.

* * * * (b)(1) * * *

(ii) * * *

(E) 1995—\$2.12 per pound.

(2) * * *

(v) 1995—an amount equal to 80 percent of the difference between the national average price received by producers for shorn wool for the 1995 marketing year and the 1995 shorn wool support price, multiplied by 5.

(3) * * *

(v) 1995—\$4.657 per pound.

Signed at Washington, DC, on May 24, 1995.

Bruce R. Weber,

Acting Executive Vice President, Commodity Credit Corporation.

[FR Doc. 95–13383 Filed 5–31–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–05–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 94-NM-176-AD; Amendment 39-9244; AD 95-11-11]

Airworthiness Directives; McDonnell Douglas Model DC-10-10, -15, -30, -40, and KC-10 (Military) Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.
ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain McDonnell Douglas DC–10 and KC–10 series airplanes, that requires repetitive eddy

current inspections to detect fatigue cracking of the pylon aft bulkhead flange, upper pylon box web, fitting radius, and adjacent tangent areas; and repair, if necessary. This amendment is prompted by fatigue cracking found in the wing pylon aft bulkheads on two airplanes. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent failure of the wing pylon aft bulkhead due to fatigue cracking, which could lead to separation of the engine and pylon from the airplane.

DATES: Effective July 3, 1995.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of July 3, 1995. **ADDRESSES:** The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from McDonnell Douglas Corporation, 3855 Lakewood Boulevard, Long Beach, California 90846, Attention: Technical Publications Business Administration, Dept. C1–L51 (2–60). This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW. Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, Transport Airplane Directorate, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Maureen Moreland, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM-120L, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California 90712; telephone (310) 627-5238; fax (310) 627-5210.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain McDonnell Douglas DC–10 and KC–10 series airplanes was published in the Federal Register on January 18, 1995 (60 FR 3590). That action proposed to require repetitive eddy current inspections to detect fatigue cracking of the pylon aft bulkhead flange, upper pylon box web, fitting radius, and adjacent tangent areas; and repair, if necessary.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the comments received.

One commenter supports the proposal.

Another commenter expresses concern that enough spare parts may not be available to affected operators to

comply with the proposed rule. This commenter requests that the FAA require the McDonnell Douglas Corporation to stock enough spare aft bulkheads and attachment hardware prior to release of the final rule in order to accommodate operators that may need to replace cracked parts. The FAA does not concur with this request. The FAA has received no indication that a problem exists with regard to the availability of parts associated with the requirements of this AD action. This AD mandates inspections to detect cracks in various items, and repair of any items that are found to be cracked. Such repair would be required in order to keep the airplane airworthy, regardless of whether or not this AD is issued. If the availability of repair parts should become a problem, paragraph (d) of this final rule provides operators with the opportunity to request use of an alternative method of compliance with the AD until parts can be located.

After careful review of the available data, including the comments noted above, the FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed.

There are approximately 436 Model DC-10 and KC-10 series airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 269 airplanes of U.S. registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 8 work hours per airplane to accomplish the required actions, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$129,120, or \$480 per airplane, per inspection cycle.

The total cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3)

will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this action and it is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. App. 1354(a), 1421 and 1423; 49 U.S.C. 106(g); and 14 CFR 11.89.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

95–11–11 McDonnell Douglas: Amendment 39–9244. Docket 94–NM–176–AD.

Applicability: Model DC-10-10, -15, -30, -40, and KC-10 (military) series airplanes; as listed in McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin A54-106, Revision 2, dated November 3, 1994; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must use the authority provided in paragraph (d) to request approval from the FAA. This approval may address either no action, if the current configuration eliminates the unsafe condition; or different actions necessary to address the unsafe condition described in this AD. Such a request should include an assessment of the effect of the changed configuration on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD. In no case does the presence of any modification, alteration, or repair remove any airplane from the applicability of this AD.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent failure of the wing pylon aft bulkhead due to fatigue cracking, which could lead to separation of the engine and pylon from the airplane, accomplish the following:

- (a) Prior to the accumulation of 1,800 landings after the effective date of this AD, conduct an eddy current inspection to detect fatigue cracks in the pylon aft bulkhead flange, upper pylon box web, fitting radius, and adjacent tangent areas, in accordance with McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin A54–106, Revision 2, dated November 3, 1994. Repeat this inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 1,800 landings.
- (b) If any crack(s) is found during any inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD, prior to further flight, repair in accordance with a method approved by the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate.
- (c) Accomplishment of the gap inspection and necessary shimming in accordance with "Phase III," as specified in McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin A54–106, Revision 2, dated November 3, 1994, constitutes terminating action for the inspections required by paragraph (a) of this AD.
- (d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Los Angeles ACO, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Los Angeles ACO.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Los Angeles ACO.

- (e) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.
- (f) The inspection shall be done in accordance with McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin A54-106, Revision 2, dated November 3, 1994. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from McDonnell Douglas Corporation, 3855 Lakewood Boulevard, Long Beach, California 90846, Attention: **Technical Publications Business** Administration, Dept. C1-L51 (2-60). Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, Transport Airplane Directorate, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.
- (g) This amendment becomes effective on July 3, 1995.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on May 19, 1995.

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 95–12826 Filed 5–31–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 94-NM-194-AD; Amendment 39-9245; AD 95-11-12]

Airworthiness Directives; McDonnell Douglas Model DC-9, DC-9-80, and C-9 (Military) Series Airplanes, and Model MD-88 Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.
ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain McDonnell Douglas Model DC-9, DC-9-80, and C-9 (military) series airplanes, and Model MD-88 airplanes, that requires repetitive replacement of the emergency power switch in the overhead switch panel with a new switch. This amendment is prompted by a report of heavy smoke in the cockpit coming from the overhead switch panel on a Model DC-9-81 series airplane. The actions specified by this AD are intended to ensure replacement of the emergency power switch when it has reached its maximum life limit; an emergency power switch that is not replaced could fail and lead to a short in the electrical circuit, which could result in a fire in the overhead switch panel and smoke in the cockpit.

DATES: Effective July 3, 1995.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of July 3, 1995. **ADDRESSES:** The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from McDonnell Douglas Corporation, 3855 Lakewood Boulevard, Long Beach, California 90846, Attention: Technical Publications Business Administration, Department C1-L51 (2-60). This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, Transport Airplane Directorate, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elvin Wheeler, Aerospace Engineer,