current sworn forces or to establish a new law enforcement agency, through grants for up to three years. All law enforcement agencies, as well as jurisdictions considering establishing new law enforcement agencies, are eligible to apply for this program. In addition, law enforcement agencies serving specialized jurisdictions, such as transit, housing, college, school, natural resources, and others, are eligible to apply for this program.

Agencies which had submitted letters of intent or initial applications under COPS AHEAD or COPS FAST, but were not approved for funding, will need to submit an application under the COPS Universal Hiring Program because the COPS Office has not had an opportunity to review the community policing plans of these agencies. Agencies which have received grants through the COPS AHEAD or COPS FAST program do not need to submit a new application to be eligible for funding through the COPS Universal Hiring Program. These grantees will be contacted separately by the COPS Office to determine their need for additional resources through this

There are three application deadlines for this program: July 31, 1995, for Round 1; October 15, 1995, for Round 2; and March 15, 1995, for Round 3. Funding for Rounds 2 and 3 are subject to future Congressional appropriations. Departments may apply before any one of the deadlines and equal consideration will be given to applications in any round. Applications which are not funded in Round 1 or 2 will be carried

over to subsequent rounds.

All applicants will be asked to provide basic community policing and planning information for their area of jurisdictions. In addition, new applicants serving jurisdictions of 50,000 and over, as well as all those jurisdictions seeking to establish a department and agencies serving specialized jurisdictions (such as transit, housing, college, school, or natural resources), will be asked to provide additional information relating to the applicant's community policing plan, local community policing initiatives and strategies, local community support for the applicant's community policing plans, and plans for retaining the officers at the end of the grant period. In addition to the requested community policing information, all applicants will be asked to submit a streamlined budget summary containing information relating to planned hiring levels, salary and fringe benefits, and decreasing federal share requirements. The COPS Universal Hiring Program Application

offers two alternative budget worksheets which are tailored to the number of officers requested by each applicant; applicants requesting five or fewer officers will complete one budget worksheet for each officer, while applicants requesting more than five officers will complete a single budget worksheet based on the average yearly cost per officer.

Grants will be made for up to 75 percent of the total entry-level salary and benefits of each officer over three years, up to a maximum of \$75,000 per officer, with the remainder to be paid by state or local funds. Waivers of the nonfederal matching requirement may be requested under this program, but will be granted only upon a showing of extraordinary fiscal hardship. Grant funds may be used only for entry-level salaries and benefits. Funding will begin once the new officers have been hired or on the date of the award, whichever is later, and will be paid over the course

In hiring new officers with a COPS Universal Hiring Program grant, grantees must follow standard local recruitment and selection procedures. All personnel hired under this program will be required to be trained in community policing. In addition, all personnel hired under this program must be in addition to, and not in lieu of, other hiring plans of the grantees.

An award under the COPS Universal Hiring Program will not affect the eligibility of an agency for a grant under any other COPS program.

Dated: June 8, 1995.

#### Joseph E. Brann,

Director.

[FR Doc. 95-14988 Filed 6-19-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

#### Advisory Council on Violence Against Women

**AGENCY:** United States Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Council on Violence Against Women will meet on July 13, 1995, at the White House Conference Center, 726 Jackson Place. The meeting will start at 10:00 a.m. and end at approximately 4:00 p.m. Agenda items to be covered include: Strategies to improve public awareness of violence against women; new public/private alliances to address the problem, and other topics related to violence against

The meeting will be open to the public on a first-come, first-seated basis. Anyone wishing to submit written

questions to this session should notify the Designated Federal Employee, prior to the start of the session. The notification may be by mail, telegram, facsimile, or a hand delivered note. It should contain the requestor's name; corporate designation, consumer affiliation, or Government designation; along with a short statement describing the topic to be addressed. Interested persons are encouraged to attend.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Questions regarding this meeting may be directed to Bonnie Campbell, Director of the Office of Violence Against Women, 10th and Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Room 5302, telephone (202) 616-8894.

Dated: June 14, 1995.

## Bonnie Campbell,

Director, Office of Violence Against Women. [FR Doc. 95-14987 Filed 6-19-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

### **Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response**, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as Amended

In accordance with Departmental policy, 28 C.F.R. 50.7 and pursuant to section 122 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9622, notice is hereby given that a proposed Amendment to Consent Decree in United States v. Agrico Chemical Company, et al., Civil Action No. 93-23-C, was lodged on May 30, 1995, with the United States District Court for the Northern District of Florida, Pensacola Division. The Amendment to Consent Decree modifies the Consent Decree entered by the Court on May 4, 1994, regarding an action brought under Sections 106 and 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9606 and 9607, for implementation of Remedial Action and recovery of response costs incurred and to be incurred by the United States at Operable Unit One of the Agrico Chemical Superfund Site in Pensacola, Florida. This amendment requires implementation of Remedial Design and Remedial Action and recovery of response costs incurred and to be incurred by the United States at Operable Unit Two of the Agrico Chemical Superfund Site in Pensacola, Florida.

This case concerns a former fertilizer manufacturing facility at the intersection of Interstate 110 and Fairfield Drive in Pensacola, Florida,

known as the Agrico Chemical Company Superfund Site (the "Site").

**Defendants Agrico Chemical** Company, a division of Freeport-MacMoRan Resource Partners Limited Partnership, and Conoco, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of E.I. DuPont de Nemours and Company, Inc., (collectively, the "Settling Defendants") have agreed in the proposed Amendment to Consent Decree to pay the United States \$351,234.45 for past response costs incurred at the Site, as well as all future response costs incurred by the United States in connection with this Site, including costs of overseeing the implementation of the Remedial Design and Remedial Action of Operable Unit Two. The Settling Defendants have also agreed to implement the remedy selected by EPA for the Site. EPA issued the Record of Decision ("ROD") for Operable Unit Two on August 18, 1994. The selected remedy provides for natural attenuation of the groundwater contamination, in conjunction with Operable Unit One (which will prevent further contaminant loading to the groundwater), combined with institutional controls to restrict new wells, comprehensive groundwater monitoring, surface-water monitoring of Bayou Texar, and plugging and abandoning any impacted irrigation wells. The estimated present value of the selected remedy for Operable Unit Two is \$1.7 million. The ROD also provides for a contingency remedy. If, in the future, fluoride levels in nearby public water supply wells exceed Florida's secondary drinking water standard of 2 mg/l, EPA will decide whether wellhead treatment or well replacement is needed. The estimated costs of the contingency remedy are \$1 million for well replacement and \$21 million for wellhead treatment.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed Amendment to Consent Decree.

Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to United States v. Agrico Chemical Company, et al., DOJ Ref. #90–11–2–863

The proposed Amendment to Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, Northern District of Florida, 114 East Gregory Street, Pensacola, Florida; the Office of the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region IV, 345 Courtland Street, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120

G Street NW., 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed Amendment to Consent Decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street NW., 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20005. In requesting a copy, please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$38.75 (25 cents per page reproduction costs), payable to the Consent Decree Library for a copy of the Amendment to Consent Decree with attachments (ROD and Statement of Work) or a check in the amount of \$4.25, for a copy of the proposed Amendment to Consent Decree without those attachments.

#### Bruce S. Gelber,

Acting Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 95–14956 Filed 6–19–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–01–M

# Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree in Action Brought Under the Clean Air Act

In accordance with 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that on May 2, 1995, a proposed consent decree in *United States* v. *Nu-West Industries, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 95–0205–S–EJL, was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Idaho.

This action was brought by the United States of America on behalf of the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") pursuant to Section 113(b) of the Clean Air Act ("CCA"), 42 U.S.C. 7413(b) for injunctive relief and assessment of civil penalties against Nu-West Industries, Inc. ("Nu-West"). The complaint alleges that Nu-West violated Section 113 of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. 7413, the conditions and limitations of the Idaho State Implementation Plan ("SIP"), 40 CFR 52.670, and the Performance Standards for Sulfuric Acid Plants, 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart H. The alleged violations occurred at Nu-West's phosphate fertilizer facility located in Conda, Idaho.

Pursuant to the proposed consent decree defendant Nu-West will pay to the United States a civil penalty in the amount of \$150,000 for historical violations of the SIP, will complete two Supplemental Environmental Projects, which are described fully in the consent decree, and will be subject to stipulated penalties for failure to meet the requirements of the consent decree. The consent decree further requires Nu-West to operate in compliance with the Clean Air Act, the Idaho State Implementation Plan, and the Performance Standards for Sulfuric Acid Plants.

The Department of Justice, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, will receive comments relating to the proposed consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resource Division, Department of Justice, P.O. Box 7611, Ben Franklin Station, Washington, DC 20044, and should refer to *United States* v. *Nu-West Industries, Inc.*, DOJ number 90–5–2–1–1922.

Copies of the proposed consent decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, 877 W. Main St., Ste. 201, Boise, Idaho; and the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street NW., 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed consent decree may be obtained by mail or in person from the Consent Decree Library. When requesting a copy of the consent decree, please enclose a check in the amount of \$3.25 (25 cents per page reproduction costs) payable to the "Consent Decree Library". When requesting a copy please refer to United States v. Nu-West Industries, Inc., DOJ number 90-5-2-1-1922.

#### Bruce Gelber,

Acting Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 95–14990 Filed 6–19–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–01–M

# Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Clean Water Act

In accordance with Departmental Policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that a consent decree in *Slagle* v. *United States*, No. 5–90–170 (D. Minn.), was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota on May 24, 1995.

The proposed consent decree constitutes a final settlement of all claims against the defendant Slagle pertaining to unpermitted discharge of pollutants into waters of the United States, in connection with defendant's violations of Clean Water Act ("CWA") sections 301 and 404, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1311 and 1344, and pertaining to civil penalties pursuant to CWA section 309, 33 U.S.C. § 1319, for violations by defendant Slagle at a site located adjacent to Inguadona Lake, Cass County, Minnesota ("the Site").

The proposed consent decree permanently enjoins defendant: (i) From taking any action at the Site which results in the discharge of dredged or fill material into the waters of the United States, (ii) to take all necessary actions to complete a program of restoration and