Comment 4—Allocation of Net Variance

The petitioners take exception to the allocation of Kindberg's net variance. Kindberg divided the total of all of its variances by the total tons produced in the POI. This fixed amount per ton was applied as an offset to each specific per unit standard cost reported to the Department.

The petitioners argue that the Department must apply the cost variances to the cost of manufacturing as a percentage, rather than as a fixed amount per ton. The variance must be applied as a percentage in order to obtain an applied variance proportional to the manufacturing costs. The petitioners argue the fixed amount per ton distorts the reported costs, because it understates the variance applied to products with higher manufacturing costs and overstates the variance applied to products with lower manufacturing costs. The petitioners cite Carbon Steel Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Canada, 59 FR 18791 (April 20, 1994), in which the Department disallowed the use of tonnage to allocate melt shop costs, because it resulted in the same cost per ton regardless of steel grade.

DOC Position

We agree with the petitioners. We have recalculated the variance from standard cost as a percentage of the POI cost of manufacturing and applied the rate to each per-unit cost of manufacturing. The petitioners are correct in their assertion that Kindberg's methodology "smooths" costs by applying a smaller proportion of the variance to products with higher production costs. The variance relates to all production costs and should be allocated proportionally among product costs.

Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 733(d)(1) of the Act 19 USC 1673b(d)(1), we directed the Customs Service to suspend liquidation of all entries of OCTG from Austria, as defined in the "Scope of Investigation" section of this notice, that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after February 2, 1995.

Pursuant to the results of this final determination, we will instruct the Customs Service to require a cash deposit or posting of a bond equal to the estimated final dumping margin, as shown below for entries of OCTG from Austria that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption from the date of publication of this notice in

the **Federal Register**. The suspension of liquidation will remain in effect until further notice.

Producer/manufacturer/exporter	Margin per- centage
Voest-Alpine Stahlrohr Kindberg GmbH All Others	12.72 12.72

ITC Notification

In accordance with section 735(d) of the Act, we have notified the ITC of our determination. The ITC will make its determination whether these imports materially injure, or threaten injury to, a U.S. industry within 45 days of the publication of this notice. If the ITC determines that material injury or threat of material injury does not exist, the proceeding will be terminated and all securities posted as a result of the suspension of liquidation will be refunded or cancelled. However, if the ITC determines that such injury does exist, the Department will issue an antidumping duty order.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) in this investigation of their responsibility covering the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Failure to comply is a violation of the APO.

This determination is published pursuant to section 735(d) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673(d)) and 19 CFR 353.20.

Dated: June 19, 1995.

Susan G. Esserman,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 95–15617 Filed 6–27–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[A-475-816]

Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Oil Country Tubular Goods from Italy

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 28, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bill

Crow or Stuart Schaag, Office of Antidumping Investigations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–0116 or (202) 482–0192, respectively.

Final Determination

The Department of Commerce (the Department) determines that oil country tubular goods (OCTG) from Italy are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value, as provided in section 735 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) (19 U.S.C. 1673d). The estimated margins are shown in the Suspension of Liquidation section of this notice.

Scope of the Investigation

For purposes of this investigation, OCTG are hollow steel products of circular cross-section, including oil well casing, tubing, and drill pipe, of iron (other than cast iron) or steel (both carbon and alloy), whether seamless or welded, whether or not conforming to American Petroleum Institute (API) or non-API specifications, whether finished or unfinished (including green tubes and limited service OCTG products). This scope does not cover casing, tubing, or drill pipe containing 10.5 percent or more of chromium. The OCTG subject to this investigation are currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item numbers: 7304.20.10.10, 7304.20.10.20, 7304.20.10.30, 7304.20.10.40,

7304.20.10.50, 7304.20.10.60, 7304.20.10.80, 7304.20.20.10, 7304.20.20.20. 7304.20.20.30. 7304.20.20.40, 7304.20.20.50, 7304.20.20.60, 7304.20.20.80, 7304.20.30.10, 7304.20.30.20, 7304.20.30.30, 7304.20.30.40, 7304.20.30.50, 7304.20.30.60, 7304.20.30.80, 7304.20.40.10, 7304.20.40.20, 7304.20.40.30, 7304.20.40.40, 7304.20.40.50, 7304.20.40.60, 7304.20.40.80, 7304.20.50.15, 7304.20.50.30, 7304.20.50.45, 7304.20.50.60, 7304.20.50.75, 7304.20.60.15, 7304.20.60.30, 7304.20.60.45, 7304.20.60.60, 7304.20.60.75, 7304.20.70.00, 7304.20.80.30, 7304.20.80.45, 7304.20.80.60, 7305.20.20.00, 7305.20.40.00, 7305.20.60.00, 7305.20.80.00, 7306.20.10.30, 7306.20.10.90, 7306.20.20.00, 7306.20.30.00, 7306.20.40.00, 7306.20.60.10, 7306.20.60.50, 7306.20.80.10, and 7306.20.80.50.

After the publication of the preliminary determination, we found that HTSUS item numbers 7304.20.10.00, 7304.20.20.00, 7304.20.30.00, 7304.20.40.00, 7304.20.50.10, 7304.20.50.50, 7304.20.60.10, 7304.20.60.50, and 7304.20.80.00 were no longer valid HTSUS item numbers. Accordingly,

these numbers have been deleted from the scope of this investigation.

Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this investigation is dispositive.

Period of Investigation

The period of investigation (POI) is January 1, 1994, through June 30, 1994.

Case History

Since our preliminary determination (60 FR 6515, February 2, 1995) the following events have occurred. On February 3, 1995, one of the respondents, Dalmine S.p.A. (Dalmine), requested a postponement of the final determination. This request was granted (60 FR 8632, February 15, 1995), and the final was postponed by the Department until no later than June 19, 1995. On May 2, 1995, Dalmine submitted its case brief. On May 3, 1995, petitioner submitted its case brief and on May 10, 1995, petitioner submitted its rebuttal.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Statute and to the Department's regulations are in reference to the provisions as they existed on December 31, 1994.

Best Information Available

In accordance with section 776(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1677e(c)), we have determined that the use of best information available (BIA) is appropriate for all companies. Given that none of the three named companies responded fully to the Department's questionnaire, we find that no respondents have cooperated in this investigation.

In determining what to use as BIA, the Department follows a two-tiered methodology, whereby the Department normally assigns lower margins to those respondents who cooperate in an investigation, and margins based on more adverse assumptions for those respondents who do not cooperate in an investigation. If the Department deems a respondent to be non-cooperative, that respondent's final margin for the relevant class or kind of merchandise is the higher of either (1) the highest margin in the petition, or (2) the highest calculated margin of any respondent (see Antifriction Bearings (Other Than Tapered Roller Bearings) and Parts Thereof From the Federal Republic of Germany: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value (54 FR 18992, 19033, May 3, 1989)). The Department's two-tier methodology for assigning BIA based on the degree of respondents' cooperation has been upheld by the U.S.

Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. (See Allied Signal Aerospace Co. v. United States, 996 F.2d 1185 (Fed. Cir. 1993); see also Krupp Stahl, AG et al. v. United States, 822 F. Supp. 789 (CIT 1993).)

In this investigation, the mandatory respondents have refused to cooperate by failing to respond, either entirely, or in large part, to the Department's questionnaire. Therefore, in accordance with our standard practice, the Department has assigned the highest margin in the petition to all respondents. The assigned BIA margin is the same margin that was assigned for the preliminary determination.

Fair Value Comparisons

To determine whether sales of subject merchandise from Italy to the United States were made at less than fair value, we compared United States price (USP) to foreign market value (FMV) as reported in the petition. See Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigation of Oil Country Tubular Goods Pipe from Argentina, Austria, Italy, Japan, Korea, Mexico, and Spain (59 FR 37962, July 26, 1994).

Comment 1—Comments Regarding Dalmine S.p.A.

Dalmine urges the Department to reverse its November 4, 1994, decision that Dalmine's home market is viable (see November 4, 1995, Memorandum from Richard W. Moreland to Barbara R. Stafford). As a basis for this reversal, Dalmine refers to arguments made in its November 14, 1994, submission. In this submission, Dalmine challenged the legality of the Department's determination that Dalmine's home market is viable. Dalmine asserted that the Department's standing policy is not to use related party sales in its home market viability calculation. Dalmine also requests that the Department take into account its December 1994 announcement concerning the Department's reconsideration of its policy regarding downstream related party sales (see December 27, 1994 Letter from Roland L. MacDonald, Director, Office of Agreements Compliance, to Dofasco Inc.). In the event that the Department reverses its November 4 viability determination, Dalmine urges the Department to request, review, and verify Dalmine's third country sales data. Although such a task would extend past the Department's deadline for the final determination in this investigation, Dalmine argues that the Department's deadlines are hortatory and not mandatory and, therefore, the Department may take the time that is

needed to receive and verify new responses.

Petitioner argues that Dalmine's case brief merely refers to previous submissions that have already been rejected by the Department. Additionally, petitioner argues that downstream sales are not an issue in this investigation and, therefore, Dalmine's request that the Department reconsider its home market viability decision based on the Department's review of its policy regarding the reporting of downstream customers is irrelevant. Petitioner maintains that Dalmine's refusal to comply with the Department's explicit instructions to report home market sales can only be characterized as noncooperative and that the Department has no option but to use the highest margin alleged in the petition as BIA.

DOC Position

We re-affirm our previous decision that Dalmine's home market is viable and that Dalmine's refusal to comply with the Department's request for home market sales information constitutes uncooperative behavior.

In its November 4 determination, the Department decided that the nature of the relationship between Dalmine, its home market customers, and the Government of Italy, was not pertinent to the Department's home market viability analysis. The record contains no information that would cause the Department to change this decision. Additionally, the Department's announcement that it was reviewing its present policy regarding sales to downstream customers has no bearing on its policy to use sales to both related and unrelated parties in its viability analysis.

Comment 2

In order to preserve the viability issue in the event that Dalmine decides to appeal the Department's determination, Dalmine urges the Department to clarify in this notice the extent of Dalmine's cooperation in this investigation and the reasons for Dalmine's decision not to report home market sales data. Specifically, Dalmine requests the Department to acknowledge that Dalmine informed the Department that its home market was not viable and that the Department rejected Dalmine's proposal because it considered Dalmine's home market to be viable. Additionally, Dalmine asks that the Department respond to the legal arguments addressed in Dalmine's November 14 submission and that the Department's analysis take into account the policy announcement that the

Department made on December 27, 1994, regarding the Department's requirement to report downstream related party sales.

Petitioner argues that there is no need for the Department to revisit its decision regarding the viability of Dalmine's home market.

DOC Position

The information regarding the extent of Dalmine's participation in this investigation is already a matter of public record. In the event that Dalmine appeals the Department's actions, the Department's previous decision to request home market information, Dalmine's subsequent arguments concerning the Department's decision, and Dalmine's refusal to supply the Department with requested information are all on record in the official file in the Central Records Unit of the Department.

Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 733(d)(1) of the Act (19 USC 1673b(d)(1)), we directed the Customs Service to suspend liquidation of all entries of OCTG from Italy, as defined in the "Scope of Investigation" section of this notice, that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after February 2, 1995.

Pursuant to the results of this final determination, we will instruct the Customs Service to require a cash deposit or posting of a bond equal to the estimated final dumping margin, as shown below, for entries of OCTG from Italy that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption from the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. The suspension of liquidation will remain in effect until further notice.

Manufacturer/producer/exporter	Weight- ed-aver- age mar- gin per- centage
Dalmine S.p.A. Acciaierie Tubificio Arvedi S.p.A. General Sider Europa S.p.A	49.78 49.78 49.78 49.78

International Trade Commission (ITC) Notification

In accordance with section 735(d) of the Act, we have notified the ITC of our determination. The ITC will make its determination whether these imports materially injure, or threaten injury to, a U.S. industry within 45 days of the publication of this notice. If the ITC determines that material injury or threat of material injury does not exist, the proceeding will be terminated and all securities posted as a result of the suspension of liquidation will be refunded or cancelled. However, if the ITC determines that such injury does exist, the Department will issue an antidumping duty order.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) in this investigation of their responsibility covering the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Failure to comply is a violation of the APO.

This determination is published pursuant to section 735(d) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673d(d)) and 19 CFR 353.20(a)(4).

Dated: June 18, 1995.

Susan G. Esserman,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 95–15618 Filed 6–27–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[A-588-835]

Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Oil Country Tubular Goods From Japan

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** June 28, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Beck or Stuart Schaag, Office of Antidumping Investigations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC, 20230; telephone (202) 482–3646 or (202) 482–0192, respectively.

Final Determination

The Department of Commerce (the Department) determines that oil country tubular goods (OCTG) from Japan are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value, as provided in section 735 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) (19 U.S.C. 1673d). The estimated margins are shown in the Suspension of Liquidation section of this notice.

Scope of the Investigation

For purposes of this investigation, OCTG are hollow steel products of circular cross-section, including oil well casing, tubing, and drill pipe, of iron (other than cast iron) or steel (both carbon and alloy), whether seamless or

welded, whether or not conforming to American Petroleum Institute (API) or non-API specifications, whether finished or unfinished (including green tubes and limited service OCTG products). This scope does not cover casing, tubing, or drill pipe containing 10.5 percent or more of chromium. The OCTG subject to this investigation are currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item numbers: 7304.20.10.10, 7304.20.10.20, 7304.20.10.30, 7304.20.10.40, 7304.20.10.50, 7304.20.10.60, 7304.20.10.80, 7304.20.20.10, 7304.20.20.20, 7304.20.20.30, 7304.20.20.40, 7304.20.20.50, 7304.20.20.60, 7304.20.20.80, 7304.20.30.10, 7304.20.30.20, 7304.20.30.30, 7304.20.30.40, 7304.20.30.50, 7304.20.30.60, 7304.20.30.80, 7304.20.40.10, 7304.20.40.20, 7304.20.40.30, 7304.20.40.40, 7304.20.40.50, 7304.20.40.60, 7304.20.40.80, 7304.20.50.15, 7304.20.50.30, 7304.20.50.45, 7304.20.50.60, 7304.20.50.75, 7304.20.60.15, 7304.20.60.30, 7304.20.60.45, 7304.20.60.60, 7304.20.60.75, 7304.20.70.00, 7304.20.80.30, 7304.20.80.45, 7304.20.80.60, 7305.20.20.00, 7305.20.40.00, 7305.20.60.00, 7305.20.80.00, 7306.20.10.30, 7306.20.10.90, 7306.20.20.00, 7306.20.30.00, 7306.20.40.00, 7306.20.60.10, 7306.20.60.50, 7306.20.80.10, and 7306.20.80.50.

After the publication of the preliminary determination, we found that HTSUS item numbers 7304.20.10.00, 7304.20.20.00, 7304.20.30.00, 7304.20.40.00, 7304.20.50.10, 7304.20.50.50, 7304.20.60.10, 7304.20.60.50, and 7304.20.80.00 were no longer valid HTSUS item numbers. Accordingly, these numbers have been deleted from the scope definition.

Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this investigation is dispositive.

Period of Investigation

The period of investigation (POI) is January 1, 1994, through June 30, 1994.

Case History

There has been no activity in this investigation since the preliminary determination (60 FR 6506, February 2, 1995).

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Statute and to the