have a significant impact on the quality of the human environment. Based on its findings of no significant impact, the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service has determined that environmental impact statements need not be prepared.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the environmental assessments and findings of no significant impact are available for public inspection at USDA, room 1141, South Building, 14th Street and Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC, between 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays. Persons wishing to inspect those documents are requested to call ahead on (202) 690-2817 to facilitate entry into the reading room. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Arnold Foudin, Deputy Director, Biotechnology Permits, BBEP, APHIS, Suite 5B05, 4700 River Road Unit 147, Riverdale, MD 20737-1237; (301) 734-7612. For copies of the environmental

assessments and findings of no significant impact, write to Mr. Clayton Givens at the same address. Please refer to the permit numbers listed below when ordering documents.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The regulations in 7 CFR part 340 (referred to below as the regulations) regulate the introduction (importation, interstate movement, and release into the environment) of genetically engineered organisms and products that are plant pests or that there is reason to believe are plant pests (regulated articles). A permit must be obtained or a notification acknowledged before a regulated article may be introduced into the United States. The regulations set forth the permit application requirements and the notification procedures for the importation, interstate movement, and release into the environment of a regulated article.

In the course of reviewing each permit application, APHIS assessed the impact

on the environment that releasing the organisms under the conditions described in the permit application would have. APHIS has issued permits for the field testing of the organisms listed below after concluding that the organisms will not present a risk of plant pest introduction or dissemination and will not have a significant impact on the quality of the human environment. The environmental assessments and findings of no significant impact, which are based on data submitted by the applicants and on a review of other relevant literature, provide the public with documentation of APHIS' review and analysis of the environmental impacts associated with conducting the field tests.

Environmental assessments and findings of no significant impact have been prepared by APHIS relative to the issuance of permits to allow the field testing of the following genetically engineered organisms:

Permit No.	Permittee	Date is- sued	Organisms	Field test location
95–031–01	Oregon State University	5–25–95	Poplar trees genetically engi- neered to express reproduc- tive sterility and herbicide tolerance.	Oregon
95–065–01	University of Chicago	5–30–95	Atropa belladonna plants ge- netically engineered to ex- press an altered alkaloid profile for insect resistance.	Illinois

The environmental assessments and findings of no significant impact have been prepared in accordance with: (1) The National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA)(42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), (2) Regulations of the Council on Environmental Quality for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of NEPA (40 CFR parts 1500–1508), (3) USDA Regulations Implementing NEPA (7 CFR part 1b), and (4) APHIS' NEPA Implementing Procedures (7 CFR part 372; 60 FR 6000–6005, February 1, 1995).

Done in Washington, DC, this 21st day of June, 1995.

#### Alfred S. Elder,

Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. 95–15861 Filed 6–27–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–34–P

# [Docket No. 95-045-1]

# National Animal Damage Control Advisory Committee; Meeting

**AGENCY:** Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** We are giving notice of a meeting of the National Animal Damage Control Advisory Committee.

PLACE, DATES, AND TIME OF MEETING: The meeting will be held in Ballrooms C and D of the Holiday Inn, 10000 Baltimore Boulevard, College Park, MD 20740, (301) 345–6700. The Committee will meet on September 27–29, 1995. Sessions will be held from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. on September 27 and 28, and from 8 a.m. to noon on September 29.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. William Clay, Director, Operational Support Staff, ADC, APHIS, Suite 6B02, 4700 River Road Unit 87, Riverdale, MD 20737–1234, (301) 734–7921.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The National Animal Damage Control Advisory Committee (Committee) advises the Secretary of Agriculture concerning policies, program issues, and research needed to conduct the Animal Damage Control (ADC) program. The Committee also serves as a public forum enabling those affected by the ADC program to have a voice in the program's policies.

Tentative topics for discussion at the upcoming meeting will include, among other things, research at the Denver Wildlife Research Center (DWRC), status of DWRC's move to Fort Collins, CO, compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act, and follow-up of previous Committee recommendations.

The meeting will be open to the public. However, due to time constraints, the public will not be allowed to participate in the Committee's discussions. Written statements concerning meeting topics may be filed with the Committee before or after the meeting by sending them to Mr. William Clay at the address listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT, or may be filed at the meeting. Please refer to Docket No. 95–045–1 when submitting your statements.

This notice of meeting is given pursuant to section 10 of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463).

Done in Washington, DC, this 20th day of June 1995.

#### Dale F. Schwindaman,

Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. 95–15763 Filed 6–27–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–34–P

#### **Forest Service**

## Western Washington Cascades Provincial Interagency Executive Committee (PIEC) Advisory Committee: Meeting

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Western Washington Cascades PIEC Advisory Committee will meet on July 18, 1995 at the Mount Baker-Snoqualmie National Forest Headquarters, 21905 64th Avenue West, in Mountlake Terrace, Washington. The meeting will begin at 9:00 a.m. and continue until 4:30 p.m. Agenda items to be covered include: (1) Discussion of projects subject to watershed analysis and opportunities for exemption of some projects from analysis; (2) discussion of criteria to be used to identify and select watersheds for analysis by the Mt. Baker-Snoqualmie National Forest in fiscal year 1996; (3) additional discussion of the recommendation that the Forest Service pursue the opportunity for watershed analysis in the Cascade watershed in fiscal year 1995; (4) other topics as appropriate; and (5) open public forum. All Western Washington Cascades Province Advisory Committee meetings are open to the public. Interested citizens are encouraged to attend.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Direct questions regarding this meeting to Bob Dunblazier, Province Liaison, USDA, Mt. Baker-Snoqualmie National Forest, 21905 64th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace, Washington 98043, 206–744–3270.

Dated: June 20, 1995.

#### Dennis E. Bschor,

Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 95–15847 Filed 6–27–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

### **COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS**

# Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting of the Louisiana Advisory Committee

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a meeting of the Louisiana Advisory Committee will be held on July 20, 1995, from 6 to 8 p.m., at the Holiday Inn Crowne Plaza, 333 Poydras Street, New Orleans, LA 70130. The purpose of the meeting is to provide orientation for new members and to plan future activities.

Persons desiring additional information, or planning a presentation to the Committee, should contact Melvin L. Jenkins, Director of the Central Regional Office, 913–551–1400 (TTD 913–551–1414). Hearing-impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact the Regional Office at least five (5) working days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, DC, June 21, 1995. Carol-Lee Hurley,

Chief, Regional Programs Coordination Unit. [FR Doc. 95–15771 Filed 6–27–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6335–01–M

### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

## Department of the Navy

Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report for the Disposal and Reuse of the Former Naval Shipyard Hunters Point, San Francisco, CA

Pursuant to Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969 as implemented by the Council on Environmental Quality regulations (40 CFR Parts 1500–1508) and the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), the Department of the Navy in coordination with the City and County of San Francisco is preparing a joint Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)/Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the disposal and potential reuse of the former Naval Shipyard, Hunters Point property and structures located in San Francisco, California. The Navy shall be the EIS lead agency and the City of San Francisco shall be the EIR lead agency. The Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act (Pub. L. 101-510) of 1990, as implemented by the 1993 base closure process, directed the U.S. Navy to close Naval Station Treasure Island and its off-station property, Hunters Point Annex (the former Naval Shipyard, Hunters Point). This EIS/EIR shall be prepared for the disposal and reuse of former Naval Shipyard Hunters Point. A separate EIS/EIR shall be prepared for the disposal and reuse of Naval Station, Treasure Island.

The former Naval Shipyard is within the jurisdiction of the City of San Francisco. It covers approximately 500 acres of the southeast San Francisco waterfront. The property is developed with industrial ship repair facilities and associated buildings, including limited support facilities (residential, recreational). The EIS/EIR will address disposal of the property and the potential impacts associated with potential reuses of the property.

The EIS/EIR will address the potential

significant impacts to the environment that may result from the implementation of two reuse alternatives and a "no action" alternative. The Hunters Point Shipyard Reuse Plan (based on a Hunters Point Land Use Draft Plan dated March 1995 developed by the City and County of San Francisco Planning Department with the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency in conjunction with the Mayor's Citizens Advisory Committee) will constitute the preferred alternative. The preferred alternative has been endorsed by the San Francisco Planning & Redevelopment Commissions and the Citizens Advisory Committee. The preferred reuse alternative would provide approximately 6,500 jobs, 1,300 residential units, 1.1 million square feet of industrial use (such as ship repair, ship maintenance, trucking and courier services, equipment leasing, printing and publishing, motion picture production, etc.), 300,000 square feet of research & development use (such as data processing, telecommunication, etc.) 555,000 square feet of cultural/ institutional use (such as large education and training facilities, museums, theaters, galleries, restaurants, etc.), 1.1 million square feet of mixed use (such as artist studios, live/work space, recording studios, research and development, hotel/ conference facilities, retail, etc.), and 6.1 million square feet of open space. The second alternative would be a reduced development of approximately 5,000 jobs, 600 residential units, 900,000 square feet of industrial use, 250,000 square feet of research & development use, 425,000 square feet of cultural/ institutional use, 850,000 square feet of mixed use, and 6.1 million square feet of open space. The "no action" alternative would have the former Naval Shipyard remain federal government property, in a continuing caretaker status.

Federal, state, and local agencies, and interested individuals are encouraged to participate in the scoping process for the EIS/EIR to determine the range of issues and alternatives to be addressed. A public scoping meeting to receive oral