

information set forth in paragraphs 12 and 13, above, within thirty (30) days of the mailing of this Order.

10. It is Further Ordered, that the parties Shall Address any exceptions to the ALJ's decision in this proceeding to the Commission.

Federal Communication Commission.

**Kathleen M.H. Wallman,**

*Chief, Common Carrier Bureau.*

[FR Doc. 95-16112 Filed 6-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

[CC Docket No. 95-84; FCC 95-221]

**TCA Management Co.; Teleservice Corporation of America; and TCA Cable of Amarillo, Inc. v. Southwestern Public Service Company**

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Hearing Designation Order.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission has adopted an order designating for hearing a pole attachment complaint filed by TCA Management Co., and its affiliates, Teleservice Corporation of America and TCA Cable of Amarillo, Inc. against Southwestern Public Service Company. This action is being done to expedite the resolution of this complaint.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Thaddeus Machcinski, Common Carrier Bureau, Accounting and Audits Division, (202) 418-0808.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a synopsis of the Commission's Order in CC Docket No. 95-84, adopted June 9, 1995 and released June 15, 1995. The complete text of this Order is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Dockets Branch (Room 230), 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington D.C., and may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc., at 2100 M Street, N.W., Suite 140, Washington, D.C. 20037, or call (202) 857-3800.

**Synopsis of Order**

1. This Order designates for hearing a pole attachment complaint filed by TCA Management Co., and its affiliates, Teleservice Corporation of America and TCA Cable of Amarillo, Inc. (collectively, TCA) against Southwestern Public Service Company (SPS). The complaint concerns the pole attachment rates SPS has charged TCA since October 16, 1990.

2. The Order directs the presiding administrative law judge to require the parties to meet prior to hearing to determine whether the case can be

settled. In the event a settlement is not reached, the Order directs the presiding law judge to, if possible, to resolve the case on a paper record. If unable to do so, the Order directs the presiding law judge to conduct such further proceedings as may be necessary to resolve the complaint.

3. This Order also gives the Chief, Common Carrier Bureau the delegated authority to designate other pole attachment complaints for hearing before an administrative law judge and it directs the parties to the complaint to file any exceptions to the administrative law judge's decision to the Commission rather than to the Review Board.

4. The Order was effective upon release.

**Ordering Clauses**

5. Accordingly, it is ordered, pursuant to Sections 4(i), 4(j), and 224 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), 154(j), & 224, that the complaint of TCA Management Co., Teleservice Corporation of America, and TCA Cable of Amarillo, Inc. filed October 16, 1990, against Southwestern Public Service Company is granted to the extent indicated in Parts III and IV of this Order, and to the extent not granted, is referred to an Administrative Law Judge.

6. It is further ordered, pursuant to Sections 4(i), 4(j), and 224 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), 154(j), & 224, that the above-captioned complaint proceeding is designated for hearing in a proceeding to be held before an Administrative Law Judge at a time and place to be specified in a subsequent order upon the following issues:

1. To determine whether SPS charged TCA pole attachment rates that exceeded the maximum amounts allowable under Commission rules during the period covered by the complaint.

2. If SPS had charged TCA excessive pole attachment rates during the period covered by the complaint, to determine the amounts of the refunds SPS must pay TCA.

3. To determine, in view of the evidence adduced on the foregoing issues, whether TCA is entitled to interest on any refund amounts and, if so, the amount of that interest.

7. It is further ordered, that the burden of proof and the burden of proceeding with the introduction of evidence shall be upon complainants.

8. It is further ordered, that the designated parties may avail themselves of an opportunity to be heard by filing with the Commission a Notice of Appearance in accordance with Section 1.221 of the Rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.221,

within twenty (20) days of the mailing of this Order.

9. It is further ordered, that SPS and TCA shall file the information set forth in paragraphs 31 and 32, above, within thirty (30) days of the mailing of this Order.

10. It is further ordered, that the parties shall address any exceptions to the ALJ's decision in this proceeding to the Commission.

11. It is further ordered, that the Chief, Common Carrier Bureau, shall have delegated authority to designate pole attachment complaint cases for hearing before an Administrative Law Judge in appropriate circumstances.

Federal Communications Commission.

**William F. Caton,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-16073 Filed 6-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

[CC Docket No. 95-94; DA 95-1363]

**UACC Midwest, Inc. d/b/a/ United Artists Cable Mississippi Gulf Coast; Telecable Associates, Incorporated; Vickburg Video, Inc.; Mississippi Cablevision, Inc.; and Mississippi Cable Television Association v. South Central Bell Telephone Company**

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Hearing Designation Order.

**SUMMARY:** The Chief, Common Carrier Bureau, pursuant to delegated authority, has designated for hearing a pole attachment complaint filed by UACC Midwest, Inc. d/b/a/ United Artists Cable Mississippi Gulf Coast; Telecable Associates, Incorporated; Vicksburg Video, Inc.; Mississippi Cablevision, Inc.; and Mississippi Cable Television Association (collectively, Complainants) v. South Central Bell Telephone Company (South Central Bell). This action is being done to expedite the resolution of this complaint.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Timothy Peterson, Common Carrier Bureau, Accounting and Audits Division, (202) 418-0847.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a synopsis of the Order in CC Docket No. 95-94, adopted June 15, 1995 and released June 15, 1995. The complete text of this Order is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Dockets Branch (Room 230), 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington D.C., and may also be

purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc., at 2100 M Street, N.W., Suite 140, Washington, D.C. 20037, or call (202) 857-3800.

### Synopsis of Order

1. This Order designates for hearing a pole attachment complaint filed by Complainants v. South Central Bell. The complaint concerns the pole attachment rates South Central Bell has charged Complainants since 1991.

2. The Order directs the presiding administrative law judge to require the parties to meet prior to hearing to determine whether the case can be settled. In the event a settlement is not reached, the Order directs the presiding law judge to, if possible, resolve the case on a paper record. If unable to do so, the Order directs the presiding law judge to conduct such further proceedings as may be necessary to resolve the complaint.

3. This Order also directs parties to file any exceptions to the administrative law judge's decision to the Commission rather than to the Review Board.

4. The Order was effective upon release.

### Ordering Clauses

5. Accordingly, It Is Ordered, pursuant to Sections 4(i), 4(j), and 224 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), 154(j), & 224, and Sections 0.91 and 0.291 of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR 0.91 and 0.291, that the complaints of UACC Midwest, Inc. d/b/a United Artist Cable Mississippi Gulf Coast, Telecable Associates, Incorporated, Vicksburg Video, Inc., Mississippi Cablevision, Inc., and Mississippi Cable Television Association filed between September 10, 1991 and November 19, 1991 against South Central Bell Are Granted to the extent indicated and Are Denied to the extent indicated in Part III of this Order, and to the extent neither granted nor denied, Are Referred to an Administrative Law Judge.

6. It Is Further Ordered, pursuant to Sections 4(i), 4(j), and 224 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), 154(j), & 224, and Sections 0.91 and 0.291 of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR 0.91 and 0.291, that the complaints of UACC Midwest, Inc. d/b/a United Artists Cable Mississippi Gulf Coast, Telecable Associates, Incorporated, Vicksburg Video, Inc., Mississippi Cablevision, Inc., and Mississippi Cable Television Association filed between September 10, 1991 and November 19, 1991 against South Central Bell Are Designated For Hearing in a proceeding to be held

before an Administrative Law Judge at a time and place to be specified in a subsequent order upon the following issues:

1. To determine whether South Central Bell charged Complainants pole attachment rates that exceeded the maximum amounts allowable under Commission rules during the periods covered by the complaints.

2. If South Central Bell charged Complainants excessive pole attachment rates during the periods covered by the complaints, to determine the amounts of the refunds South Central Bell must pay Complainants.

3. To determine, in view of the evidence adduced on the foregoing issues, whether Complainants are entitled to interest on any refund amounts, and, if so, the amount of that interest.

7. It Is Further Ordered, that the burden of proof and the burden of proceeding with the introduction of evidence Shall Be Upon Complainants.

8. It Is Further Ordered, that the designated parties may avail themselves of an opportunity to be heard by filing with the Commission a Notice of Appearance in accordance with Section 1.221 of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR 1.221, within twenty (20) days of the mailing of this Order.<sup>1</sup>

9. It Is Further Ordered, that South Central Bell and Complainants Shall File the information set forth in paragraphs 19, 21 and 22, above, within thirty (30) days of the mailing of this Order.

10. It Is Further Ordered, that the parties Shall Address any exceptions to the ALJ's decision in this proceeding to the Commission.

Federal Communication Commission.

**Kathleen M.H. Wallman,**

*Chief, Common Carrier Bureau.*

[FR Doc. 95-16113 Filed 6-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

## FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

### Information Collection Submitted to OMB for Review

**AGENCY:** Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

**ACTION:** Notice of information collection submitted to OMB for review and approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the FDIC hereby gives

<sup>1</sup>The separated trial staff will file an appropriate Notice of Appearance before participating in the proceedings before the presiding ALJ.

notice that it has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget a request for review of the information collection system described below.

**Type of Review:** Extension of the expiration date of a currently approved collection without any change in the substance or method of collection.

**Title:** Recordkeeping And Disclosure Requirements In Connection With Regulation Z (Truth In Lending).

**Form Number:** None.

**OMB Number:** 3064-0082.

**Expiration Date of OMB Clearance:** October 31, 1995.

**Respondents:** Insured nonmember banks.

**Frequency of Response:** On occasion.

**Number of Respondents:** 7,100.

**Total Annual Responses:** 7,100.

**Total Annual Hours:** 5,587,700.

**OMB Reviewer:** Milo Sunderhauf, (202) 395-7340, Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project 3064-0082, Washington, D.C. 20503.

**FDIC Contact:** Steven F. Hanft, (202) 898-3907, Office of the Executive Secretary, Room F-400, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, 550 17th Street N.W., Washington, D.C. 20429.

**Comments:** Comments of this collection of information are welcome and should be submitted before August 29, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** A copy of the submission may be obtained by calling or writing the FDIC contact listed below.

Comments regarding the submission should be addressed to both the OMB reviewer and the FDIC contact listed above.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Regulation Z (12 CFR 226) prescribes uniform methods of computing the cost of credit, disclosure of credit terms, and procedures for resolving billing errors on certain credit accounts. Regulation Z is issued by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System ("FRB") under the authority of Title I of the Consumer Credit Protection Act (15 U.S.C. 1601 *et seq.*). Section 105 of the Act (15 U.S.C. 1604) designates the FRB as the issuer of the implementing regulations, and section 108(a) of the Act (15 U.S.C. 1607) designates the FDIC as having enforcement responsibilities in the case of insured nonmember banks.

Dated: June 26, 1995.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

**Jerry L. Langley,**

*Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-16084 Filed 6-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6714-01-M