

**Meeting of the Judicial Conference
Advisory Committee on Rules of Civil
Procedure**

AGENCY: Judicial Conference of the United States; Advisory Committee on Rules of Civil Procedure.

ACTION: Notice of open meeting.

SUMMARY: The Advisory Committee on Rules of Civil Procedure will hold a three-day meeting. The meeting will be open to public observation but not participation and will start each day at 8:30 a.m.

DATE: November 9–11, 1995.

ADDRESSES: University of Alabama School of Law, Hayes Conference Room 344, 101 Paul Bryant Drive, Tuscaloosa, Alabama.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

John K. Rabiej, Chief, Rules Committee Support Office, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, D.C. 20544, telephone (202) 273–1820.

Dated: July 26, 1995.

John K. Rabiej,

Chief, Rules of Committee Support Office.
[FR Doc. 95–18973 Filed 8–1–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 2210–01–M

**Meeting of the Judicial Conference
Advisory Committee on Rules of
Criminal Procedure**

AGENCY: Judicial Conference of the United States; Advisory Committee on Rules of Criminal Procedure.

ACTION: Notice of open meeting.

SUMMARY: The Advisory Committee on Rules of Criminal Procedure will hold a two-day meeting. The meeting will be open to public observation but not participation and will start each day at 8:30 a.m.

DATE: October 16–17, 1995.

ADDRESSES: The Equinox Hotel, Historic Route 7A, Manchester Village, Vermont.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

John K. Rabiej, Chief, Rules Committee Support Office, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, D.C. 20544, telephone (202) 273–1820.

Dated: July 26, 1995.

John K. Rabiej,

Chief, Rules Committee Support Office.

[FR Doc. 95–18974 Filed 8–1–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 2210–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

**United States v. American Bar
Association; Proposed Final Judgment
and Competitive Impact Statement**

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 16(b)–(h), that a proposed Final Judgment, Stipulation, and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in *United States of America v. American Bar Association*, Civil Action No. 95–1211.

The Complaint in this case alleges that the defendant conspired to violate Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1, allowing the law school accreditation process to be captured by those with a direct interest in its outcome. Among other things, the ABA adopted and enforced law school accreditation Standards, Interpretations, and Rules that unreasonably raised salaries paid to law school faculty, deans, and other professional personnel.

The proposed Final Judgment enjoins the defendant from adopting or enforcing any Standard, Interpretation, or Rule that conditions accreditation on salaries and other benefits paid to law school professional personnel and from using compensation data in connection with the accreditation of a law school. It also enjoins the defendant from refusing to accredit proprietary law schools and from prohibiting ABA–accredited law schools from accepting transfer credits from state-accredited law schools.

The proposed Final Judgment requires that the defendant establish a commission to review accreditation standards regarding student/faculty ratios, teaching loads, sabbaticals, and bar preparation courses. It further requires changes in the composition of the defendant's accrediting committees.

Public comment on the proposed Final Judgment is invited within the statutory 60-day comment period. The comments and responses to them will be published in the **Federal Register** and filed with the Court. Comments should be directed to John F. Greaney, Chief, Computers and Finance Section, Room 9903, U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, 555 Fourth Street,

NW., Washington, DC 20001 (telephone: 202/307–6122).

Rebecca P. Dick,

Deputy Director of Operations Antitrust Division.

**United States District Court for the
District of Columbia**

United States of America, Plaintiff, v. American Bar Association, Defendant.

Stipulation

The undersigned parties, by their respective attorneys, stipulate that:

1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and over each of the parties hereto, and venue of this action is proper in the District of Columbia;

2. The parties consent that a Final Judgment in the form hereto attached may be filed and entered by the Court, upon the motion of any party or upon the Court's own motion, at any time after compliance with the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act (15 U.S.C. § 16), and without further notice to any party or other proceedings, provided that plaintiff has not withdrawn its consent, which it may do at any time before the entry of the proposed Final Judgment by serving notice thereof on defendant and by filing that notice with the Court; and

3. Pending approval of the Final Judgment by the Court, defendant agrees to be bound by the provisions of the proposed Final Judgment and to be subject to the jurisdiction of this Court. If plaintiff withdraws its consent, or if the proposed Final Judgment is not entered pursuant to the terms of the Stipulation, this Stipulation shall be of no effect whatsoever, and the making of this Stipulation shall be without prejudice to any party in this or in any proceeding.

For Plaintiff United States:

Anne K. Bingaman,
Assistant Attorney General.

Joel I. Klein,
Deputy Asst. Attorney General.

Rebecca P. Dick,
Asst. Director of Operations.

John F. Greaney,
Chief, Computers & Finance Section.

Scott N. Sacks,
Asst. Chief, Computers & Finance Section.
Antitrust Division, U.S. Department of Justice,

D. Bruce Pearson,

Molly L. Debusschere,

Jessica N. Cohen,

James J. Tierney,

Attorneys, U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, 555 Fourth Street, NW., Room 9901, Washington, DC 20001, Tel: 202/307–0809, Fax: 202/616–5980.

For Defendant American Bar Association: