E, shall be deemed to have waived their rights.

### Elizabeth Sherwood,

Land Law Examiner, Branch of Northern Adjudication. [FR Doc. 95–19210 Filed 8–3–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–JA–P

#### [NV-030-5700-10; Closure Notice No. NV-030-95-05]

## Temporary Closure of Public Lands; Washoe County, Nevada

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Nevada.

**SUMMARY:** The Carson City District Manager announces the temporary closure of selected public lands under his administration. This action is being taken to provide for public safety during the 1995 Reno National Championship Air Races.

**EFFECTIVE DATES:** September 11 through September 17, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James M. Phillips, Lahontan Resource Area Manager, Carson City District Office, 1535 Hot Springs Road, Carson City, Nevada 89706–0638. Telephone (702) 855–6100.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This closure applies to all the public, on foot or in vehicles. The public lands affected by this closure are described as follows:

#### Mt. Diablo Meridian

T.21 N., R. 19 E.

Sec. 8, N1/2NE1/4, SE1/4NE1/4 and E1/2SE1/4 Sec. 16, N1/2 and SW1/4

Aggregating approximately 680 acres.

The above restrictions do not apply to emergency or law enforcement personnel or event officials. The authority for this closure is 43 CFR 8364.1. Persons who violate this closure order are subject to arrest and, upon conviction, may be fined not more than \$1,000 and/or imprisoned for not more than 12 months.

A map of the closed area is posted in the Carson City District Office of Bureau of Land Management.

Dated: July 25, 1995.

#### James M. Phillips,

Area Manager, Lahontan Resource Area. [FR Doc. 95–19229 Filed 8–3–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–HC–M

#### [WY-030-05-1310-01]

## Notice of Availability of Greater Wamsutter Area II Natural Gas Project Final Environmental Impact Statement

**SUMMARY:** The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) announces the

availability of the Greater Wamsutter Area II (GWA II) Natural Gas Project Final Environmental Impact Statement analyzing the environmental consequences of a proposed natural gas exploration, development, and production operation in the Wamsutter Area II of southwestern Carbon and southeastern Sweetwater Counties, Wyoming. The project area encompasses approximately 334,919 acres within portions of Townships 16 through 22 North, Ranges 92 through 95 West. DATES: Comments on the Final Environmental Impact Statement will be accepted for 30 days following the date the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) publishes their Notice of Availability in the Federal Register. The EPA notice is expected to be published on August 4, 1995. There are no plans to hold a public meeting on the Greater Wamsutter Area II Natural Gas Project. Reviewers are encouraged to visit the local BLM offices in Cheyenne and Rawlins to talk with the managers about any concerns.

ADDRESSES: Comments on the Final Environmental Impact Statement should be sent to Mr. John Spehar, Great Divide Resource Area, Bureau of Land Management, P.O. Box 880, Rawlins, Wyoming 82301.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. John Spehar, Great Divide Resource Area, Bureau of Land Management, P.O. Box 880, Rawlins, Wyoming 82301, phone 307–324–4841.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Final Environmental Impact Statement analyzes three project development alternatives and a no action alternative. The proposed project provides a maximum development of 750 wells and 300 locations within the GWA II analysis area in addition to existing operations.

The proposed project would affect 2,416 acres, bringing the total disturbance area within the GWA II area to 14,943 acres of land. The proposed project entails drilling, completion testing, production, abandonment, and reclamation of natural gas operations by Union Pacific Resources Company, Amoco Production Company, and others. Impacts to most resources would be negligible to moderate during the life of the project. The proposed project would have beneficial impacts associated with increased revenues generated by taxes, royalties, and the use of local goods and services. This Final Environmental Impact Statement is in compliance with Section 7(c) of the Endangered Species Act (as amended) and includes a Biological Assessment for the purpose of identifying any

endangered or threatened species which are likely to be affected by the proposed action.

Dated: July 24, 1995.

## Alan Kesterke,

Acting State Director. [FR Doc. 95–19211 Filed 8–3–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–22–P

#### **National Park Service**

## Notice of Availability of the Final General Management Plan and Final Environmental Impact Statement for Fort Clatsop National Memorial, Oregon

SUMMARY: Pursuant to Section 102(2) (C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (P.L. 91–190, as amended), the National Park Service, Department of the Interior, has prepared a Final General Management Plan/ Environmental Impact Statement (GMP/ FEIS) that describes and analyzes a proposal and three alternatives to meet immediate and long-term needs at Fort Clatsop National Memorial; these alternatives address visitor use and the preservation of the natural and cultural resources that provide the environment in which the Lewis and Clark story is presented to the public.

A Notice of Availability of the Draft General Management Plan/ Environmental Impact Statement (GMP/ DEIS) was published in the Federal Register on 3 November 1993 (58 FR 211), with the public comment period to close on 7 January 1994; responding to a request that additional time be provided for review and comment, the review period was extended until 7 February 1994 through notices in the local media and the 5 January 1994 issue of the Federal Register (59 FR 3). During this comment period, three public meetings were held and written comments were also received. The GMP/FEIS contains responses to the comments received and modifications to the document as needed in response to the comments.

The proposal, which was developed in response to public and agency comments on the GMP/DEIS, calls for: (1) Establishment of a trail between the Fort and the Pacific Ocean; (2) addition of approximately 963 acres to the Memorial's boundary; (3) coordination of interpretive activities with other public and private entities throughout the lower Columbia River region; and (4) increasing the Memorial's staffing levels.

Three alternatives were considered, in addition to the proposal. The No-Action Alternative would maintain the existing conditions: no Fort-Ocean trail would be developed; current size of the Memorial would be maintained at 125 acres; no new coordination initiatives would be undertaken; and staffing levels would not be increased. The Minimum Requirements Alternative would add a Fort-Ocean trail and increase the Memorial's boundary to encompass an additional 963 acres; some additional interpretive coordination activities would be undertaken by virtue of slightly increased staff numbers. The fourth alternative would expand the Memorial's natural resource base to enhance the historic setting of the Memorial; it would add approximately 2,315 acres to the park, develop a Fort-Ocean trail with a commensurate increase in staffing to manage the larger land base.

Major impact topics assessed for the proposed action and the alternatives include cultural and natural resources, visitor use, interpretation, regional cooperation, park facilities/staffing/ operations, visual/aural qualities, adjacent land uses and boundary adjustment/land protection.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The noaction period on this final plan and environmental impact statement will end 30 days after the Environmental Protection Agency has published a notice of availability of the GMP/FEIS in the **Federal Register**. For further information, contact: Superintendent, Fort Clatsop National Memorial, Route 3, Box 604–FC, Astoria, OR 97103–9803; telephone (503) 861–2471.

Copies of the GMP/FEIS will be available at Fort Clatsop National Memorial, as well as the following locations: Office of Public Affairs, National Park Service, Department of the Interior, 1849 C Street, NW., Washington, DC; and National Park Service, Columbia Cascades System Support Office, 909 First Ave., Seattle, WA.

Dated: July 25, 1995.

## William C. Walters,

Deputy Field Director, Pacific West Area, National Park Service. [FR Doc. 95–19167 Filed 8–3–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-M

### **Bureau of Reclamation**

# South Bay Water Recycling Project, San Jose, CA

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Correction notice of availability and notice of public hearings on the

draft environmental impact statement: INT DES-95-36.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969 (as amended), the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) has prepared a draft environmental impact statement (DEIS) for the South Bay Water Recycling Project (SBWRP). The DEIS is based on a 1992 environmental impact report (EIR) prepared by the City of San Jose (City). The SBWRP would divert treated freshwater effluent from South San Francisco Bay through a water reclamation program. This would include construction of pump stations and recycled distribution pipelines. Reclamation would provide a grant of up to 25 percent of the total project cost to the City to support the SBWRP. A public hearing will be held to receive written or verbal comments on the DEIS from interested organizations and individuals on the environmental impacts of the proposal. This notice corrects the date of availability of the draft environmental impact statement published in 60 FR 37902, Jul 24, 1995 to August 2, 1995.

**DATES:** The DEIS will be available on August 2, 1995 for a 60-day public review period.

A public hearing on the DEIS will be held on August 23, 1995 at 4:00 p.m. at the San Jose Convention Center, First Floor, Room L, 150 West San Carlos Street, San Jose, CA 95113. **ADDRESSES:** Written comments on the DEIS and requests for copies of the DEIS should be addressed to Mona Jefferies-Soniea, Bureau of Reclamation, Division of Resources Management Planning, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, CA 95825; telephone: (916) 979–2297.

Copies of the DEIS are also available for public inspection and review at the following locations:

• Bureau of Reclamation, Mid-Pacific Regional Liaison, 1849 C Street NW., Washington, DC 20240; telephone: (202) 208–6274.

• Bureau of Reclamation, Regional Director, Attn: MP–720, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, CA 95825–1898; telephone: (916) 979–2297.

• Bureau of Reclamation, Mid-Pacific Regional Library, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, CA. 95825–1898; telephone: (916) 979–2462.

• City of San Jose, Environmental Services Department, Tech. Support Division, 700 Los Esteros Road, San Jose, CA 95134; telephone: (408) 945– 5300.

#### Libraries

Copies will also be available for inspection at public libraries located in

San Jose (Main, Alviso, Berryessa, East San Jose, Carnegie, and Empire Branches).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Jefferies-Soniea at the above address and telephone.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The SBWRP, formerly known as the San Jose Nonpotable Reclamation Project, was developed in response to an order from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board in order to reestablish salinity levels of the salt water marsh in the southern tip of San Francisco Bay. In addition to protecting the South Bay habitat, the program also develops nonpotable water supply for the Santa Clara Valley, which can be used in place of potable water for appropriate purposes. Funding will come from loans from the State Water Resources Control Board and EPA, a grant from Reclamation, and local funding.

The SBWRP would be implemented in two phases: Phase I would consist of installing facilities to supply up to 9,000 acre-feet/year of nonpotable water for landscape irrigation, agriculture and industrial uses. Phase II would consist of installing facilities to supply an additional up to 27,000 acre-feet/year for either nonpotable or potable use.

The City completed a final EIR for the SBWRP in November 1992. At that time, Reclamation had not been involved and therefore no compliance with NEPA was needed. The EIS will be based on this final EIR. The EIR analyzed Phase I in detail and analyzed Phase II programmatically.

The proposed action (Phase I) is to construct pump stations, storage tanks, 48.5 miles of 6 to 54-inch diameter pipeline and appurtenant facilities in the cities of San Jose, Santa Clara, and Milpitas. There would also be minor modifications of the existing San Jose/ Santa Clara Water Pollution Control Plant to provide additional chlorination.

Alternatives to the proposed action include:

• Pipeline Alignment Alternative, to avoid construction of pipelines near residences.

• Flow Allocation Alternative, which would allocate most of the reclaimed water for potable uses. The water would be used for groundwater recharge, mainly using percolation basins.

• Habitat Enhancement Alternative, to also supply water to riparian restoration areas along creeks and rivers in the study area, as well as for potable and other nonpotable purposes.

• No Action.