

OH950027 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 OH950028 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 OH950029 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 OH950034 (Feb. 10, 1995)

Volume V

Kansas

KS950023 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 KS950035 (Feb. 10, 1995)

New Mexico

NM950001 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 NM950005 (Feb. 10, 1995)

Texas

TX950002 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 TX950003 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 TX950005 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 TX950007 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 TX950010 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 TX950015 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 TX950018 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 TX950019 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 TX950033 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 TX950034 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 TX950037 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 TX950051 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 TX950053 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 TX950055 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 TX950059 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 TX950060 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 TX950061 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 TX950063 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 TX950069 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 TX950081 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 TX950093 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 TX950096 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 TX950100 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 TX950114 (Feb. 10, 1995)

Volume VI

Alaska

AK950001 (Feb. 10, 1995)

Arizona

AZ950001 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 AZ950002 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 AZ950003 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 AZ950005 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 AZ950006 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 AZ950007 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 AZ950011 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 AZ950013 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 AZ950014 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 AZ950015 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 AZ950016 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 AZ950017 (Feb. 10, 1995)

Colorado

CO950011 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 CO950025 (Feb. 10, 1995)

Idaho

ID950001 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 ID950004 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 ID950013 (Jul. 28, 1995)

Washington

WA950001 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 WA950002 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 WA950003 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 WA950007 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 WA950025 (Feb. 10, 1995)
 WA950027 (Feb. 10, 1995)

General Wage Determination Publication

General wage determinations issued under the Davis-Bacon and related Acts, including those noted above, may be found in the Government Printing Office (GPO) document entitled "General Wage

Determinations Issued Under the Davis-Bacon and Related Acts". This publication is available at each of the 50 Regional Government Depository Libraries and many of the 1,400 Government Depository Libraries across the country.

The general wage determinations issued under the Davis-Bacon and related Acts are available electronically by subscription to the FedWorld Bulletin Board System of the National Technical Information Service (NTIS) of the U.S. Department of Commerce at (703) 487-4630.

Hard-copy subscriptions may be purchased from: Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402, (202) 512-1800.

When ordering hard-copy subscription(s), be sure to specify the State(s) of interest, since subscriptions may be ordered for any or all of the six separate volumes, arranged by State. Subscriptions include an annual edition (issued in January or February) which includes all current general wage determinations for the States covered by each volume. Throughout the remainder of the year, regular weekly updates are distributed to subscribers.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 28th day of July 1995.

Alan L. Moss,

Director, Division of Wage Determinations.

[FR Doc. 95-18982 Filed 8-3-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-27-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-445 and 50-446]

Texas Utilities Electric Company; Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-87 and NPF-89, issued to Texas Utilities Electric Company (TU Electric, the licensee), for operation of the Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station (CPSES), Units 1 and 2, located in Somervell County, Texas.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of Proposed Action

The proposed amendment would consist of revisions to 10 CFR part 20 references to recognize the new section numbers, revise definitions to ensure

consistency with 10 CFR part 20, and change administrative controls for reporting and recordkeeping to maintain compliance with the new 10 CFR part 20. The changes would revise the limitations on concentrations of radioactive material released in liquid effluents and the limitations on the dose rate resulting from radioactive material released in gaseous effluents and reflect the relocation of the prior 10 CFR 20.106 requirements to the new 10 CFR 20.1302. These changes are in response to the licensee's application for amendment dated August 12, 1994, implementing the new 10 CFR part 20.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is needed in order to retain operational flexibility consistent with 10 CFR part 50, appendix I, concurrent with the implementation of the revised 10 CFR part 20.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed action, in regard to the actual release rates as referenced in the Technical Specifications as a dose rate to the maximally exposed member of the public, and concludes that the change will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the CPSES, Units 1 and 2, dated October 1989.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on July 20, 1995, the staff consulted with the Texas State official, Mr. Arthur Tate of the Texas Department of Health, Bureau of Radiation Control, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated August 12, 1994, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the University of Texas at Arlington Library, Government Publications/Maps, 702 College, P.O. Box 19497, Arlington, TX 76019.

Dated Rockville, Maryland, this 27th day of July 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Chandu P. Patel,

Project Manager, Division of Reactor Projects III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.
[FR Doc. 95-19197 Filed 8-3-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket Nos. STN 50-456 and STN 50-457]

Commonwealth Edison Company (Braidwood Station, Units 1 and 2); Exemption

I

The Commonwealth Edison Company (ComEd, the licensee) is the holder of Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-72 and NPF-77, which authorize operation of Braidwood Station, Units 1 and 2 (the facilities). The licenses provide, among other things, that the facilities are subject to all the rules, regulations, and orders of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) now or hereafter in effect.

The facilities are pressurized water reactors located at the licensee's site in Will County, Illinois.

II

In 10 CFR 73.55, "Requirements for Physical Protection of Licensed Activities in Nuclear Power Reactors Against Radiological Sabotage," paragraph (a), in part, states that "the licensee shall establish and maintain an onsite physical protection system and security organization which will have as its objective to provide high assurance that activities involving special nuclear material are not inimical to the common defense and security and do not constitute an unreasonable risk to the public health and safety."

In 10 CFR 73.55(d), "Access Requirements," paragraph (1), it specifies that "the licensee shall control all points of personnel and vehicle access into a protected area." Also, 10 CFR 73.55(d)(5) requires that "A numbered picture badge identification system shall be used for all individuals who are authorized access to protected areas without escort." It further states that individuals not employed by the licensee (e.g., contractors) may be authorized access to protected areas without escort provided that the individual, "receives a picture badge upon entrance into a protected area which must be returned upon exit from the protected area * * *."

The licensee proposes to implement an alternative unescorted access system which would eliminate the need to issue and retrieve picture badges at the entrance/exit location to the protected area and would allow all individuals, including contractors, to keep their picture badges in their possession when departing the Braidwood site.

III

Pursuant to 10 CFR 73.5, "Specific exemptions," the Commission may, upon application of any interested person or upon its own initiative, grant such exemptions from the requirements of the regulations in this part as it determines are authorized by law and will not endanger life or property or the common defense and security, and are otherwise in the public interest. According to 10 CFR 73.55, the Commission may authorize a licensee to provide alternative measures for protection against radiological sabotage provided the licensee demonstrates that the alternative measures have the same "high assurance" objective, that the proposed measures meet the general performance requirements of the regulation, and that the overall level of system performance provides protection against radiological sabotage equivalent to that which would be provided by the regulation.

Currently, unescorted access into the protected area for both employee and contractor personnel into the Braidwood Station, Units 1 and 2, is controlled through the use of picture badges. Positive identification of personnel which are authorized and request access into the protected area is established by security personnel making a visual comparison of the individual requesting access and that individual's picture badge. In accordance with 10 CFR 73.55(d)(5), contractor personnel are not allowed to take their picture badges off site. In addition, in accordance with the plant's physical security plan, the licensee's employees are also not allowed to take their picture badges off site.

The proposed system will require that all individuals with authorized unescorted access have the physical characteristics of their hand (hand geometry) registered with their picture badge number in a computerized access control system. Therefore, all authorized individuals must not only have their picture badge to gain access to the protected area, but must also have their hand geometry confirmed. All individuals, including contractors, who have authorized unescorted access into the protected area will be allowed to keep their picture badges in their possession when departing the Braidwood site.

All other access processes, including search function capability and access revocation, will remain the same. A security officer responsible for access control will continue to be positioned within a bullet-resistant structure. It should also be noted that the proposed system is only for individuals with authorized unescorted access and will not be used for those individuals requiring escorts.

Sandia National Laboratories conducted testing which demonstrated that the hand geometry equipment possesses strong performance characteristics. Details of the testing performed are in the Sandia report, "A Performance Evaluation of Biometric Identification Devices," SAND91-0276 UC-906 Unlimited Release, June 1991. Based on the Sandia report and the licensee's experience using the current photo picture identification system, the false acceptance rate for the proposed hand geometry system would be at least equivalent to that of the current system. To assure that the proposed system will continue to meet the general performance requirements of 10 CFR 73.55(d)(5), the licensee will implement a process for testing the system. The site security plans will also be revised to allow implementation of the hand