conditions: no Fort-Ocean trail would be developed; current size of the Memorial would be maintained at 125 acres; no new coordination initiatives would be undertaken; and staffing levels would not be increased. The Minimum Requirements Alternative would add a Fort-Ocean trail and increase the Memorial's boundary to encompass an additional 963 acres; some additional interpretive coordination activities would be undertaken by virtue of slightly increased staff numbers. The fourth alternative would expand the Memorial's natural resource base to enhance the historic setting of the Memorial; it would add approximately 2,315 acres to the park, develop a Fort-Ocean trail with a commensurate increase in staffing to manage the larger land base.

Major impact topics assessed for the proposed action and the alternatives include cultural and natural resources, visitor use, interpretation, regional cooperation, park facilities/staffing/operations, visual/aural qualities, adjacent land uses and boundary adjustment/land protection.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The noaction period on this final plan and environmental impact statement will end 30 days after the Environmental Protection Agency has published a notice of availability of the GMP/FEIS in the **Federal Register**. For further information, contact: Superintendent, Fort Clatsop National Memorial, Route 3, Box 604–FC, Astoria, OR 97103–9803; telephone (503) 861–2471.

Copies of the GMP/FEIS will be available at Fort Clatsop National Memorial, as well as the following locations: Office of Public Affairs, National Park Service, Department of the Interior, 1849 C Street, NW., Washington, DC; and National Park Service, Columbia Cascades System Support Office, 909 First Ave., Seattle, WA.

Dated: July 25, 1995.

William C. Walters,

Deputy Field Director, Pacific West Area, National Park Service.

[FR Doc. 95–19167 Filed 8–3–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–70–M

Bureau of Reclamation

South Bay Water Recycling Project, San Jose, CA

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Correction notice of availability and notice of public hearings on the

draft environmental impact statement: INT DES-95-36.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969 (as amended), the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) has prepared a draft environmental impact statement (DEIS) for the South Bay Water Recycling Project (SBWRP). The DEIS is based on a 1992 environmental impact report (EIR) prepared by the City of San Jose (City). The SBWRP would divert treated freshwater effluent from South San Francisco Bay through a water reclamation program. This would include construction of pump stations and recycled distribution pipelines. Reclamation would provide a grant of up to 25 percent of the total project cost to the City to support the SBWRP. A public hearing will be held to receive written or verbal comments on the DEIS from interested organizations and individuals on the environmental impacts of the proposal. This notice corrects the date of availability of the draft environmental impact statement published in 60 FR 37902, Jul 24, 1995 to August 2, 1995.

DATES: The DEIS will be available on August 2, 1995 for a 60-day public review period.

A public hearing on the DEIS will be held on August 23, 1995 at 4:00 p.m. at the San Jose Convention Center, First Floor, Room L, 150 West San Carlos Street, San Jose, CA 95113.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on the DEIS and requests for copies of the DEIS should be addressed to Mona Jefferies-Soniea, Bureau of Reclamation, Division of Resources Management Planning, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, CA 95825; telephone: (916) 979–2297.

Copies of the DEIS are also available for public inspection and review at the following locations:

- Bureau of Reclamation, Mid-Pacific Regional Liaison, 1849 C Street NW., Washington, DC 20240; telephone: (202) 208–6274.
- Bureau of Reclamation, Regional Director, Attn: MP–720, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, CA 95825–1898; telephone: (916) 979–2297.
- Bureau of Reclamation, Mid-Pacific Regional Library, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, CA. 95825–1898; telephone: (916) 979–2462.
- City of San Jose, Environmental Services Department, Tech. Support Division, 700 Los Esteros Road, San Jose, CA 95134; telephone: (408) 945– 5300.

Libraries

Copies will also be available for inspection at public libraries located in

San Jose (Main, Alviso, Berryessa, East San Jose, Carnegie, and Empire Branches).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Jefferies-Soniea at the above address and telephone.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The SBWRP, formerly known as the San Jose Nonpotable Reclamation Project, was developed in response to an order from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board in order to reestablish salinity levels of the salt water marsh in the southern tip of San Francisco Bay. In addition to protecting the South Bay habitat, the program also develops nonpotable water supply for the Santa Clara Valley, which can be used in place of potable water for appropriate purposes. Funding will come from loans from the State Water Resources Control Board and EPA, a grant from Reclamation, and local funding.

The SBWRP would be implemented in two phases: Phase I would consist of installing facilities to supply up to 9,000 acre-feet/year of nonpotable water for landscape irrigation, agriculture and industrial uses. Phase II would consist of installing facilities to supply an additional up to 27,000 acre-feet/year for either nonpotable or potable use.

The City completed a final EIR for the SBWRP in November 1992. At that time, Reclamation had not been involved and therefore no compliance with NEPA was needed. The EIS will be based on this final EIR. The EIR analyzed Phase I in detail and analyzed Phase II programmatically.

The proposed action (Phase I) is to construct pump stations, storage tanks, 48.5 miles of 6 to 54-inch diameter pipeline and appurtenant facilities in the cities of San Jose, Santa Clara, and Milpitas. There would also be minor modifications of the existing San Jose/Santa Clara Water Pollution Control Plant to provide additional chlorination.

Alternatives to the proposed action include:

- Pipeline Alignment Alternative, to avoid construction of pipelines near residences.
- Flow Allocation Alternative, which would allocate most of the reclaimed water for potable uses. The water would be used for groundwater recharge, mainly using percolation basins.
- Habitat Enhancement Alternative, to also supply water to riparian restoration areas along creeks and rivers in the study area, as well as for potable and other nonpotable purposes.
 - No Action.

Hearing Process Information

Written comments, for inclusion in the hearing record, from those unable to attend the hearing or wishing to supplement their oral presentation should be received at the Bureau of Reclamation by September 6, 1995.

Note: If special assistance is required, contact Mona Jefferies-Soniea at (916) 979–2297. Please notify Ms. Jefferies-Soniea as far in advance of the hearings as possible and not later than 1 week prior to the hearing date to enable Reclamation to secure the needed services. If a request cannot be honored, the requester will be notified.

Dated: July 28, 1995.

Dan M. Fults,

Acting Regional Director. [FR Doc. 95–19213 Filed 8–3–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–94–P

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Docket No. AB-6 (Sub-No. 366X]

Burlington Northern Railroad Company; Abandonment Exemption; in Buchanan County, MO

Burlington Northern Railroad Company (BN) has filed a notice of exemption under 49 CFR part 1152 Subpart F—Exempt Abandonments to abandon 0.85 miles of rail line between milepost 142.19 and milepost 143.04 in the City of St. Joseph, in Buchanan County, MO.

BN has certified that: (1) No local traffic has moved over the line for at least 2 years; (2) any overhead traffic on the line can be rerouted over other lines: (3) no formal complaint filed by a user of rail service on the line (or by a State or local government entity acting on behalf of such user) regarding cessation of service over the line either is pending with the Commission or with any U.S. District Court or has been decided in favor of the complainant within the 2year period; and (4) the requirements at 49 CFR 1105.7 (environmental report), 49 CFR 1105.8 (historic report), 49 CFR 1105.11 (transmittal letter), 49 CFR 1105.12 (newspaper publication), and 49 CFR 1152.50(d)(1) (notice to governmental agencies) have been met.

As a condition to this exemption, any employee adversely affected by the abandonment shall be protected under *Oregon Short Line R. Co.*— *Abandonment—Goshen,* 360 I.C.C. 91 (1979). To address whether this condition adequately protects affected employees, a petition for partial revocation under 49 U.S.C. 10505(d) must be filed.

Provided no formal expression of intent to file an offer of financial assistance (OFA) has been received, this exemption will be effective on September 3, 1995, unless stayed pending reconsideration. Petitions to stay that do not involve environmental issues,1 formal expressions of intent to file an OFA under 49 CFR 1152.27(c)(2),² and trail use/rail banking requests under 49 CFR 1152.293 must be filed by August 14, 1995. Petitions to reopen or requests for public use conditions under 49 CFR 1152.28 must be filed by August 24, 1995, with: Office of the Secretary, Case Control Branch, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, DC 20423.

A copy of any pleading filed with the Commission should be sent to applicant's representative: Sarah J. Whitley, Assistant General Counsel, Burlington Northern Railroad Company, 3800 Continental Plaza, 777 Main Street, Fort Worth, TX 76102–5348.

If the notice of exemption contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*.

BN has filed an environmental report which addresses the abandonment's effects, if any, on the environmental and historic resources. The Section of Environmental Analysis (SEA) will issue an environmental assessment (EA) by August 9, 1995. Interested persons may obtain a copy of the EA by writing to SEA (Room 3219, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, DC 20423) or by calling Elaine Kaiser, Chief of SEA, at (202) 927-6248. Comments on environmental and historic preservation matters must be filed within 15 days after the EA is available to the public.

Environmental, historic preservation, public use, or trail use/rail banking conditions will be imposed, where appropriate, in a subsequent decision.

Decided: July 28, 1995.

By the Commission, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–19226 Filed 8–3–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7035–01–P

[Docket No. AB-449 (Sub-No. 1X)]

Western Kentucky Railway, L.L.C.— Abandonment Exemption—in Union County, KY

Western Kentucky Railway, L.L.C. (WKR), has filed a notice of exemption under 49 CFR Part 1152 Subpart F— Exempt Abandonments to abandon 20 miles of its line between milepost JE48.0 north of Dekoven, and milepost JE28.0 at Waverly, in Union County, KY.

WKR has certified that: (1) No local traffic has moved over the line for at least 2 years; (2) any overhead traffic that could move over the line can be rerouted over other lines; (3) no formal complaint filed by a user of rail service on the line (or by a state or local government entity acting on behalf of such user) regarding cessation of service over the line either is pending with the Commission or with any U.S. District Court or has been decided in favor of the complainant within the 2-year period; and (4) the requirements at 49 CFR 1105.7 (environmental report), 49 CFR 1105.8 (historic report), 49 CFR 1105.11 (transmittal letter), 49 CFR 1105.12 (newspaper publication), and 49 CFR 1152.50(d)(1) (notice to governmental agencies) have been met.

As a condition to use of this exemption, any employee adversely affected by the abandonment shall be protected under *Oregon Short Line R. Co.—Abandonment—Goshen*, 360 I.C.C. 91 (1979). To address whether this condition adequately protects affected employees, a petition for partial revocation under 49 U.S.C. 10505(d) must be filed.

Provided no formal expression of intent to file an offer of financial assistance (OFA) has been received, this exemption will be effective on September 2, 1995, unless stayed pending reconsideration. Petitions to stay that do not involve environmental issues, ¹ formal expressions of intent to

¹A stay will be issued routinely by the Commission in those proceedings where an informed decision on environmental issues (whether raised by a party or by the Commission's Section of Environmental Analysis in its independent investigation) cannot be made prior to the effective date of the notice of exemption. See Exemption of Out-of-Service Rail Lines, 5 I.C.C.2d 377 (1989). Any entity seeking a stay on environmental concerns is encouraged to file its request as soon as possible in order to permit the Commission to review and act on the request before the effective date of this exemption.

² See Exempt. of Rail Abandonment—Offers of Finan. Assist., 4 I.C.C.2d 164 (1987).

³The Commission will accept a late-filed trail use request as long as it retains jurisdiction to do so.

¹ A stay will be issued routinely by the Commission in those proceedings where an informed decision on environmental issues (whether raised by a party or by the Commission's Section of Environmental Analysis in its independent investigation) cannot be made before the effective date of the notice of exemption. See *Exemption of Out-of-Service Rail Lines*, 5 I.C.C.2d 377 (1989). Any entity seeking a stay on environmental concerns is encouraged to file its request as soon as possible in order to permit the