committee. For further information, please call Michelle Diaz at (703) 695–0781.

Michelle P. Diaz,

Acting Administrative Officer, Army Science Board.

[FR Doc. 95-19563 Filed 8-8-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

Military Traffic Management Command Option To Extend Guaranteed Traffic

AGENCY: Military Traffic Management Command, DOD.

ACTION: Notice (Request for carrier industry comments).

SUMMARY: This notice establishes the procedures the Government will follow to exercise its option to extend the term of a Guaranteed Traffic award.

- 1. The Government may extend the term of awarded Guaranteed Traffic (GT) by written notice to the carrier. Notice of this intent will be sent to the carrier(s) 60 days prior to the original expiration date. The preliminary notice does not commit the Government to an extension.
- a. If the Government exercises this option, the extended GT shall be considered to allow up to three 1-year extensions. All extensions will be in increments up to one year, not to exceed a total of 3 years.
- b. The total duration of the GT, including the exercise of any option under this item, shall not exceed 5 years.
- 2. Rates shall be subject to adjustment in accordance with the following price adjustment procedures:
- a. Increases or decreases in tendered rates shall be automatically made by the MTMC in accordance with the Producer Price Index published by the U.S. Department of Labor. Factors considered will be the Producer Price Index for General Freight, Truckload (PPI–GFTL), and Less than Truckload (PPI–GFLTL). Adjustments may be made for years 3, 4, and 5 of the GT award. No adjustments will be made when the percent of change is less than one percent.

b. For example, on a two-year GT award, the basic index will be that indicated for the month of the original effective date of the tender and at the end of the 21st month (3 months prior to expiration). Subsequent extension options will be based on the index for each of the succeeding 12 months. The net change will be developed by subtracting the latest index from the index in effect at the time of the original award or previous extension. The difference will be divided by the base index at the time of the award/previous extension for the increase authorized.

For example: October 1992=104.9 June 1994=110.1 (21 months) Net Change=5.2 Price adjustment:

Net change/Base Index=5.2/104.9=4.9 This results in a 4.9% increase.

3. The Government will provide the carrier written notification of the price adjustment at least 45 days prior to the effective date thereof.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Franklin Lamm, Military Traffic Management Command, ATTN: MTOP-T-ND, 5611 Columbia Pike, Falls Church, VA 22041–5050; or telephone (703) 681–6103.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Carrier comments or suggestions on these procedures will be considered if received at Headquarters, MTMC, MTOP-T-ND by September 7, 1995.

Gregory D. Showalter,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. 95–19565 Filed 8–8–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710–08–M

Corps of Engineers

Intent to Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIS/R) for the San Pedro Creek Section 205 Flood Control Project

AGENCY: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DOD

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The San Francisco District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and its local sponsor, the City of Pacifica, are planning the construction of a flood control project along San Pedro Creek in San Mateo County, California. The project would provide a 100-year level of flood protection to homes and businesses in the floodplain of the lower portion of the San Pedro Creek drainage. This project would also restore wetlands and riparian habitat along this portion of San Pedro Creek that have been lost due to past development.

The Corps of Engineers is the lead agency for this project under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), and the City of Pacifica is the lead agency under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). The DEIS/R will enable the lead agencies to comply with the requirements of NEPA and CEQA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Bill DeJager at (415) 744–3341, or at the Army Corps of Engineers, San Francisco District, 211 Main Street, Room 918, San Francisco, CA 94105.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The San Pedro Creek Flood Control Project

would be constructed under the authority of Section 205 of the Water Resources Act of 1948. An initial study of potential flood control alternatives along San Pedro Creek was completed in 1988. This study determined that there is a Federal interest in structural flood-control measures in the study area. A detailed project report has subsequently been initiated with the City of Pacifica, to support further Federal participation in the project.

As part of this study, the City of Pacifica has devised a flood control project for San Pedro Creek based on extensive public input. This project would involve construction of an underground bypass channel and an aboveground excavated floodway, both located on the southside of San Pedro Creek. The bypass channel would start at the Adobe Drive Bridge and would extend downstream approximately 2,300 feet to a point midway between the Adobe Drive Bridge and the Highway 1 Bridge. The bypass would be routed almost entirely under city streets, and would discharge into an excavated floodway. The excavated floodway would extend from the downstream end of the bypass west to State Highway 1. The portion of San Pedro Creek alongside the floodway would be rerouted and would meander through the floodway, which would be developed into a riparian forest. From Highway 1 downstream to the Pacific Ocean, the existing stream channel would be widened. In addition, an area north of the creek and west of Highway 1 would be excavated and would serve as a wetland and wildlife habitat.

Other alternatives to be considered in the DEIS/R will include: (1) no action; (2) channelization of the creek; and (3) construction of a floodwall. These alternatives have been considered in the past by both the Corps and the local sponsor.

The Corps of Engineers is requesting public input during the preparation of the DEIS/R for this project. All interested Federal, State, and local agencies, Indian tribes, private organizations, and individuals are invited to participate in the environmental scoping process established by Federal regulations.

A scoping meeting will be held in the City Council chambers, 2212 Beach Boulevard, Pacifica, California on September 7, 1995 at 7:30 p.m. The purpose of the meeting will be to determine the environmental issues of concern to the public that should be addressed by the DEIS/R. A public comment period for the proposal will open on August 16, 1995 and will close on September 15, 1995. The public will

have an additional opportunity to comment on this proposal after the DEIS/R is released to the public, which is expected to be in January 1996.

The DEIS/R will examine environmental impacts of public concern arising from the scoping process, as well as project impacts already known to the Corps. These impacts will include, but are not limited to: wildlife, fisheries, threatened and endangered species, water quality, recreation, aesthetics, air quality, public safety, transportation, and construction

The DEIS/R will disclose the project's compliance with all other Federal environmental statutes, rules, and regulations. Included will be consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service under the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act and possibly the Endangered Species Act, and consultation with the State of California under the Coastal Zone Management Act, Clean Water Act, and Clean Air Act.

The City of Pacifica is issuing a separate notice regarding compliance with the requirements of CEQA. The aforementioned DEIS scoping meeting will also serve as a scoping meeting for the purposes of CEQA.

Gregory D. Showalter,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. 95-19566 Filed 8-8-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710-19-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Energy Information Administration

Agency Information Collection Under Review by the Office of Management and Budget

AGENCY: Energy Information Administration, Department of Energy. **ACTION:** Notice of requests submitted for review by the Office of Management and Budget.

SUMMARY: The Energy Information Administration (EIA) has submitte- the energy information collection(s) listed at the end of this notice to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review under provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The listing does not include collections of information contained in new or revised regulations which are to be submitted under section 3507 (d)(1)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act, nor management and procurement assistance requirements collected by the Department of Energy (DOE).

Each entry contains the following information: (1) The sponsor of the collection (the DOE component or Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC)); (2) Collection number(s); (3) Current OMB docket number (if applicable); (4) Collection title; (5) Type of request, e.g., new, revision, extension, or reinstatement; (6) Response obligation, i.e., mandatory, voluntary, or required to obtain or retain benefit; (7) Affected public; (8) An estimate of the number of respondents per report period; (9) An estimate of the number of responses per respondent annually; (10) An estimate of the average hours per response; (11) The estimated total annual respondent burden; and (12) A brief abstract describing the proposed collection and the respondents.

DATES: Comments must be filed within 30 days of publication of this notice. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments but find it difficult to do so within the time allowed by this notice, you should advise the OMB DOE Desk Officer listed below of your intention to do so as soon as possible. The Desk Officer may be telephoned at (202) 395-3084. (Also, please notify the EIA contact listed below.)

ADDRESSES: Address comments to the Department of Energy Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 726 Jackson Place N.W., Washington, D.C. 20503. (Comments should also be addressed to the Office of Statistical Standards at the address below.)

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Requests for additional information or copies of the forms and instructions should be directed to Norma White, Office of Statistical Standards, (EI-73), Forrestal Building, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. 20585. Ms. White may be telephoned at (202) 254–5327. **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The first energy information collection submitted to OMB for review was:

- 1. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
- 2. FERC-574
- 3. 1902-0016
- 4. Gas Pipeline Certificates: Hinshaw Exemption
- 5. Business or other for-profit
- 6. Extension
- 7. Mandatory
- 8. 1 respondent
- 9. 1 response
- 10. 245 hours per response
- 11. 245 hours
- 12. FERC-574 data are used by the Commission in assessing applications for exemption from

certain provisions of the Natural Gas Act by companies engaging in the transportation of sale for resale natural gas in interstate commerce.

The second energy information collection submitted to OMB for review

- 1. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
- 2. FPC-14 3. 1902-0027
- 4. Annual Report for Importers and Exporters of Natural Gas
- 5. Business or other for-profit
- 6. Extension
- 7. Mandatory
- 8. 54 respondents
- 9. 1 response
- 10. 2 hours per response
- 11. 108 hours
- 12. The purpose of this report/filing is to collect data used to assist in the monitoring and regulation of natural gas imports and exports in the United States.

The third energy information collection submitted to OMB for review

- 1. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
- 2. FERC-73
- 3. 1902-0019
- 4. Oil Pipeline Service Life Data
- 5. Business or other for-profit
- 6. Extension
- 7. Mandatory
- 8. 10 respondents
- 9. 1 response
- 10. 40 hours per response
- 11. 400 hours
- 12. Data are used by the Commission to determine the depreciating portion of oil pipeline company operating expenses in establishing a company's total cost of service and ultimately the reasonableness of the amount charged to shippers/ customers that is intended to recover the depreciation expense component.

Statutory Authority: Section 3506 (c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. No. 104-13).

Issued in Washington, D.C., Aug. 1, 1995.

Yvonne M. Bishop, Director,

Office of Statistical Standards, Energy Information Administration.

[FR Doc. 95-19690 Filed 8-8-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Notice of Application Filed With the Commission

August 3, 1995.

Take notice that the following hydroelectric application has been filed