

7. Koch Power Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-218-002]

Take notice that on July 31, 1995, Koch Power Services, Inc. tendered for filing certain information as required by the Commission's letter order dated January 4, 1995. Copies of the informational filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

8. Portland General Electric Company

[Docket No. ER95-734-000]

Take notice that on July 26, 1995, Portland General Electric Company tendered for filing an amendment in the above-referenced docket.

Comment date: August 25, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

9. IEP Power Marketing, LLC

[Docket No. ER95-802-001]

Take notice that on August 7, 1995, IEP Power Marketing, LLC tendered for filing certain information as required by the Commission's letter order dated May 11, 1995. Copies of the informational filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

10. Western Regional Transmission Association

[Docket No. ER95-1211-001]

Take notice that on July 24, 1995, Western Regional Transmission Association tendered for filing additional information in the above-referenced docket.

Comment date: August 25, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

11. National Fuel Gas Distribution Corporation

[Docket No. ER95-1374-000]

Take notice that on August 4, 1995, National Fuel Gas Distribution Corporation tendered for filing an amendment in the above-referenced docket.

Comment date: August 25, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be

considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-20250 Filed 8-15-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. CP95-661-000, et al.]**Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings**

August 10, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation

[Docket No. CP95-661-000]

Take notice that on August 4, 1995 Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation ("Texas Eastern"), 5400 Westheimer Court, Houston, Texas 77056-5310, filed in Docket No. CP95-661-000 an abbreviated application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon by sale, to Texaco Pipeline Inc. ("Texaco"), approximately 37.48 miles of 20-inch pipeline ("Line 40-E") and the associated scraper traps for \$7,000,000. Texas Eastern also requests to abandon the Point Au Chien compressor station, certain laterals, meter stations and appurtenant facilities associated with such Line 40-E, all in the Lafourche and Terrebonne Parishes, Louisiana, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Comment date: September 1, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

2. Texas Gas Transmission Corporation

[Docket No. CP95-662-000]

Take notice that on August 4, 1995, Texas Gas Transmission Corporation (Texas Gas), P.O. Box 1160, Owensboro, Kentucky 42302, filed in Docket No. CP95-662-000 a request pursuant to §§ 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.211) for authorization to add a new delivery point in Hopkins County, Kentucky, to serve a customer of Western Kentucky Gas Company (Western), a local distribution company. Texas Gas makes such request, under its

blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-407-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Texas Gas indicates that it will provide a tap, riser and associated valves and fittings, within its existing right-of-way at Texas Gas' Slaughters-Nortonville 10-inch Line near Barnsley, in Hopkins County. It has been averred that this proposal will enable Western to provide, up to a maximum daily quantity of 55 MMBtu, of natural gas service to the new school being constructed by the Hopkins Board of Education. It is stated that the school will use the natural gas for heating and cooking. It has been further stated that Western will serve the new delivery tap with natural gas transported pursuant to its current Firm No-Notice Transportation Agreement with Texas Gas dated November 1, 1993, within the existing contract entitlements.

It is estimated that the new delivery point will cost \$2,500. It is stated that Western will reimburse Texas Gas for the cost of the proposed delivery facility.

Comment date: September 25, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

3. El Paso Natural Gas Company

[Docket No. CP95-663-000]

Take notice that on August 4, 1995, El Paso Natural Gas Company (El Paso), Post Office Box 1492, El Paso, Texas 79978, filed in Docket No. CP95-663-000 an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon a production-area gas exchange service with Tenneco Oil Company (Tenneco), all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

El Paso proposes to abandon the service which was authorized by the Commission in Docket No. CP83-246-000. It is stated that El Paso was authorized to exchange gas with Tenneco, later replaced on the exchange agreement by Amoco Production Company (Amoco), under the terms of a gas exchange agreement dated November 24, 1980, on file with the Commission as El Paso's Special Rate Schedule X-59 of El Paso's FERC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 2. It is stated that El Paso was authorized to receive for Tenneco's account up to 25,000 Mcf of natural gas per day in San Juan and Rio Arriba Counties, New Mexico. It is stated that El Paso would concurrently cause to be delivered equivalent volumes, less 10 percent for

fuel, shrinkage and other losses, to Tenneco at two delivery points in Terrebonne Parish, Louisiana, and Waller County, Texas. It is explained that the exchange agreement expired under its own terms July 1, 1990, and the parties agree that the service is no longer needed. It is asserted that there are no imbalances. It is further asserted that El Paso can render any requested transportation service under its blanket transportation certificate issued in Docket No. CP88-433-000. El Paso states that it does not intend to abandon any facilities and that the proposed abandonment would not result in any interruption in or termination of firm service to its customers.

Comment date: August 31, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

4. Pacific Gas Transmission Company

[Docket No. CP95-666-000]

Take notice that on August 7, 1995, Pacific Gas Transmission Company (PGT), 160 Spear Street, San Francisco, CA 94105, filed in Docket No. CP95-666-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.211) for authorization to install a new tap and meter near milepost 6 of PGT's Coyote Springs Lateral, in Willamette County, Oregon, for delivery of gas to Cascade Specialties, Inc. PGT requests the authorization under its blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-530-000, pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

PGT states that the quantity of gas to be delivered through the facilities is up to 1,000 MMBtu of gas per day. PGT will provide service to this facility on an interruptible basis under the applicable rate schedule for service on the Coyote Springs Lateral. PGT asserts that the proposed service will have no effect on PGT's peak day or annual deliveries. PGT states that it does not anticipate any significant environmental impact from the proposed activity. Additionally, PGT states that the proposed meter facility will be sited adjacent to PGT's newly constructed Coyote Springs Lateral and the customer has received a county site permit for construction on the premises. Therefore, PGT asserts that it does not believe that any further state authorization is necessary and is in the process of confirming this fact with the appropriate agencies.

Comment date: September 25, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

F. Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before the comment date, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate and/or permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to § 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed

for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-20249 Filed 8-15-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Project No. 11435-001 West Virginia]

Hildebrand Hydro Associates; Surrender of Preliminary Permit

August 10, 1995.

Take notice that the Hildebrand Hydro Associates, permittee for the Hildebrand Hydroelectric Project No. 11435, located on the Monongahela River, Monogalia County, West Virginia, has requested that its preliminary permit be terminated. The preliminary permit was issued on January 27, 1994, and would have expired on December 31, 1996. The permittee states that the project would be economically infeasible.

The permittee filed the request on July 25, 1995, and the preliminary permit for Project No. 11435 shall remain in effect through the thirtieth day after issuance of this notice unless that day is a Saturday, Sunday or holiday as described in 18 CFR 385.2007, in which case the permit shall remain in effect through the first business day following that day. New applications involving this project site, to the extent provided for under 18 CFR Part 4, may be filed on the next business day.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-20224 Filed 8-15-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Project No. 11456-001 Pennsylvania]

Point Marion Hydro Associates; Surrender of Preliminary Permit

August 10, 1995.

Take notice that the Point Marion Hydro Associates, permittee for the Point Marion Project No. 11456, located on the Monongahela River in Fayette County, Pennsylvania, has requested that its preliminary permit be terminated. The preliminary permit was issued on June 30, 1994, and would have expired on May 31, 1997. The permittee states that the project would be economically infeasible.

The permittee filed the request on July 25, 1995, and the preliminary permit for Project No. 11456 shall remain in effect through the thirtieth day after issuance of this notice unless that day is a Saturday, Sunday or