Denial of the interim waiver would adversely affect Miele's home office, which employs 58 employees, its 175 independent service agencies, 400 independent retailers, 17 independent sales representatives and 4 regional distributors that carry the Miele product line throughout the country.

Public Policy Merits. The public policy benefits of encouraging business success and fostering innovation in clothes washer design are additional reasons for prompt approval of the requested interim waiver.

Miele clothes washers are innovative and beneficial products.

Miele's water-hearing clothes washers use less than one-third of the water for washing, compared to most clothes washers. This means much less energy for heating wash water.

It also means a substantial reduction in washing chemicals introduced into the environment. Miele's water heating clothes washers are designed to efficiently extract more water from wet clothes by a high speed spin cycle, up to 1600 RPM. Such water extraction is many times more energy efficient than drying the same amount of water. This innovation in clothes washer design does not affect the test method for clothes washers, but does result in increased energy savings. These are additional reasons why the requested interim waive should receive prompt approval.

In that regard, the basic purpose of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act, as amended by the National Appliance Energy Conservation Act, is to foster purchase of energy efficient appliances, not to hinder such purchases. The granting of the waiver and interim waiver will promote this policy and will result in increased energy savings.

Furthermore, continued employment creation and ongoing investments in Miele's marketing, sales and service activities will be fostered by approval of the requested interim waiver. Conversely, denial would harm the company and would be anticompetitive. And, it would be unjust to grant interim waivers and waivers to Asko and New Harmony but deny them to Miele.

In the period between interim waiver and waiver, only a relatively small number of water-heating clothes washers will be sold by Miele. Any difference between the test method approved for interim waiver and that finally approved for the Waiver will have only minimal impact on energy consumption or consumer decisions.

Thank you for your timely attention to this request for interim waiver and waiver.

We hereby certify that all clothes washer manufacturers of domestically-marketed units known to Miele Appliances, Inc. have been notified by letter of this application, copies of which are attached as Appendix 2 hereto.

Sincerely,

Nick Ord,

Vice President and General Manager, Miele Appliances, Inc.

Attachments

[FR Doc. 95-20282 Filed 8-15-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 10625-003 Washington]

Kittitas Reclamation District; Availability of Final Environmental Assessment

August 10, 1995

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission's) Regulations, 18 CFR Part 380 (Order No. 386, 52 FR 47897), the Office of Hydropower Licensing has reviewed the application for minor license for the proposed Taneum Chute Hydroelectric Project, to be located on the Bureau of Reclamation's South Branch Canal in Kittitas County, near Ellensburg, Washington, and has prepared a final Environmental Assessment (EA) for the project.

In the EA, the Commission's staff has analyzed the project and has concluded that approval of the proposed project, with appropriate environmental protection and enhancement measures, would not be a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

Copies of the EA are available for review in the Public Reference Branch, Room 3104, of the Commission's offices at 941 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-20223 Filed 8-15-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. EL79-8-007, et al.]

Texas Utilities Electric Company, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

August 10, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Texas Utilities Electric Company

[Docket No. EL79-8-007]

Take notice that on August 4, 1995, Texas Utilities Electric Company (TU Electric) tendered for filing a compliance filing in the abovereferenced docket. The compliance filing consists of the following: (1) an executed Facilities Charge Agreement among TU Electric, Southwestern Electric Power Company, Central Power and Light Company, and Houston Lighting & Power Company; and (2) cost support for the rates set forth in the agreement. TU Electric requests an effective date of August 5, 1995, and accordingly, seeks waiver of the Commission's notice requirements. Copies of the filing were served upon all parties of record.

Comment date: August 25, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

2. Catex Vitol Electric, L.L.C.

[Docket No. ER94-155-009]

Take notice that on August 3, 1995, Catex Vitol Electric, L.L.C. filed certain information as required by the Commission's January 14, 1994, order in Docket No. ER94–155–009. Copies of Catex Vitol Electric, L.L.C.'s informational filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

3. Direct Electric Inc.

[Docket No. ER94-1161-005]

Take notice that on August 2, 1995, Direct Electric Inc. filed certain information as required by the Commission's July 18, 1994, order in Docket No. ER94–968–000. Copies of Direct Electric Inc.'s informational filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

4. Vesta Energy Alternatives Company

[Docket No. ER94-1168-005]

Take notice that on July 25, 1995, Vesta Energy Alternatives Company tendered for filing certain information as required by the Commission's letter order dated July 8, 1994. Copies of the informational filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

5. Ashton Energy Corporation

[Docket No. ER94-1246-004]

Take notice that on July 21, 1995, Ashton Energy Corporation tendered for filing certain information as required by the Commission's letter order dated August 10, 1994. Copies of the informational filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

6. KCS Power Marketing, Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-208-002]

Take notice that on August 7, 1995, KCS Power Marketing, Inc. tendered for filing certain information as required by the Commission's letter order dated March 2, 1995. Copies of the informational filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

7. Koch Power Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-218-002]

Take notice that on July 31, 1995, Koch Power Services, Inc. tendered for filing certain information as required by the Commission's letter order dated January 4, 1995. Copies of the informational filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

8. Portland General Electric Company

[Docket No. ER95-734-000]

Take notice that on July 26, 1995, Portland General Electric Company tendered for filing an amendment in the above-referenced docket.

Comment date: August 25, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

9. IEP Power Marketing, LLC

[Docket No. ER95-802-001]

Take notice that on August 7, 1995, IEP Power Marketing, LLC tendered for filing certain information as required by the Commission's letter order dated May 11, 1995. Copies of the informational filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

10. Western Regional Transmission Association

[Docket No. ER95-1211-001]

Take notice that on July 24, 1995, Western Regional Transmission Association tendered for filing additional information in the abovereferenced docket.

Comment date: August 25, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

11. National Fuel Gas Distribution Corporation

[Docket No. ER95-1374-000]

Take notice that on August 4, 1995, National Fuel Gas Distribution Corporation tendered for filing an amendment in the above-referenced docket.

Comment date: August 25, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be

considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–20250 Filed 8–15–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

[Docket No. CP95-661-000, et al.]

Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

August 10, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation

[Docket No. CP95-661-000]

Take notice that on August 4, 1995 Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation ("Texas Eastern"), 5400 Westheimer Court, Houston, Texas 77056-5310, filed in Docket No. CP95-661-000 an abbreviated application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon by sale, to Texaco Pipeline Inc. ("Texaco"), approximately 37.48 miles of 20-inch pipeline ("Line 40–E") and the associated scraper traps for \$7,000,000. Texas Eastern also requests to abandon the Point Au Chien compressor station, certain laterals, meter stations and appurtenant facilities associated with such Line 40-E, all in the Lafourche and Terrebonne Parishes, Louisiana, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Comment date: September 1, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

2. Texas Gas Transmission Corporation

[Docket No. CP95-662-000]

Take notice that on August 4, 1995, Texas Gas Transmission Corporation (Texas Gas), P.O. Box 1160, Owensboro, Kentucky 42302, filed in Docket No. CP95–662–000 a request pursuant to §§ 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.211) for authorization to add a new delivery point in Hopkins County, Kentucky, to serve a customer of Western Kentucky Gas Company (Western), a local distribution company. Texas Gas makes such request, under its

blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82–407–000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Texas Gas indicates that it will provide a tap, riser and associated valves and fittings, within its existing right-of-way at Texas Gas' Slaughters-Nortonville 10-inch Line near Barnsley, in Hopkins County. It has been averred that this proposal will enable Western to provide, up to a maximum daily quantity of 55 MMBtu, of natural gas service to the new school being constructed by the Hopkins Board of Education. It is stated that the school will use the natural gas for heating and cooking. It has been further stated that Western will serve the new delivery tap with natural gas transported pursuant to its current Firm No-Notice Transportation Agreement with Texas Gas dated November 1, 1993, within the existing contract entitlements.

It is estimated that the new delivery point will cost \$2,500. It is stated that Western will reimburse Texas Gas for the cost of the proposed delivery facility.

Comment date: September 25, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

3. El Paso Natural Gas Company

[Docket No. CP95-663-000]

Take notice that on August 4, 1995, El Paso Natural Gas Company (El Paso), Post Office Box 1492, El Paso, Texas 79978, filed in Docket No. CP95–663–000 an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon a production-area gas exchange service with Tenneco Oil Company (Tenneco), all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

El Paso proposes to abandon the service which was authorized by the Commission in Docket No. CP83-246-000. It is stated that El Paso was authorized to exchange gas with Tenneco, later replaced on the exchange agreement by Amoco Production Company (Amoco), under the terms of a gas exchange agreement dated November 24, 1980, on file with the Commission as El Paso's Special Rate Schedule X-59 of El Paso's FERC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 2. It is stated that El Paso was authorized to receive for Tenneco's account up to 25,000 Mcf of natural gas per day in San Juan and Rio Arriba Counties, New Mexico. It is stated that El Paso would concurrently cause to be delivered equivalent volumes, less 10 percent for