

shall be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review by the Department, the cash deposit rate will be 129.71 percent, the all other rate established in the LTFV investigation.

These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: August 4, 1995.

Susan G. Esserman,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 95-20206 Filed 8-15-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-401-603]

Stainless Steel Hollow Products From Sweden; Termination of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews, Final Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Revocation In Part of Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of termination of antidumping duty administrative reviews, final results of changed circumstances antidumping duty administrative review, and revocation in part of antidumping duty order.

SUMMARY: On December 3, 1987, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published an antidumping duty order on seamless stainless steel hollow products (SSHP) from Sweden. On November 5, 1992, the Department published an amended antidumping duty order to include welded SSHP in the scope of the order. On January 23, 1992 and on February 23, 1993, the Department initiated administrative reviews of the antidumping duty order

with regard to seamless SSHP, covering the periods December 1, 1990 through November 30, 1991, and December 1, 1991 through November 30, 1992, respectively. We are now revoking the order in part, with regard to seamless SSHP, based on the fact that this portion of the order is no longer of interest to domestic parties. Accordingly, we are now terminating these reviews.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 16, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Amy S. Wei or Zev Primor, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-5253.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 3, 1987, the Department published an antidumping duty order on seamless SSHP from Sweden (52 FR 45985). On December 13, 1991, Sandvik AB, AB Sandvik Steel, and the Sandvik Steel Company (collectively, Sandvik), the respondent, requested the fourth administrative review of the antidumping duty order, covering the period December 1, 1990 through November 30, 1991. On January 23, 1992, the Department initiated the administrative review with regard to seamless SSHP (57 FR 2704). On November 5, 1992, the Department published an amended antidumping duty order to include welded SSHP in the scope of the order (57 FR 52761). On December 4, 1992, Sandvik requested the fifth administrative review of the antidumping duty order, covering the period December 1, 1991 through November 30, 1992. On February 23, 1993, the Department initiated this administrative review with regard to seamless SSHP (58 FR 11026). On February 9, 1995, AL Tech Specialty Steel Corporation (AL Tech) and the United Steelworkers of America (USWA), the only petitioners in this proceeding who are involved in the production of seamless SSHP, submitted a request for a changed circumstances administrative review and partial revocation of the order with regard to seamless SSHP. In addition, AL Tech and USWA requested that the partial revocation be effective retroactive to December 1, 1990, thereby terminating the currently pending fourth and fifth administrative reviews. AL Tech and USWA made this request based on the fact that the order with regard to seamless SSHP is no longer of interest to the petitioners.

We preliminarily determined that AL Tech's and USWA's affirmative statement of no interest constitutes good cause for conducting a changed circumstances review. Consequently, on July 24, 1995, the Department published a notice of initiation and preliminary results of changed circumstances antidumping duty administrative review to determine whether to revoke the order in part (60 FR 37876). We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results of this changed circumstances review. We received no comments.

Scope of Review

The merchandise covered by this changed circumstances review are seamless stainless steel hollow products including pipes, tubes, hollow bars, and blanks of circular cross section, containing over 11.5 percent chromium by weight. This merchandise is currently classified under subheadings 7304.41.00 and 7304.49.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS). The HTS numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

This changed circumstances administrative review covers all manufacturers/exporters of seamless SSHP from Sweden.

Final Results of Review; Partial Revocation of Antidumping Duty Order; Termination of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews

The affirmative statement of no interest by AL Tech and USWA constitutes changed circumstances sufficient to warrant partial revocation of the order. Therefore, the Department is partially revoking the order on SSHP from Sweden, with regard to seamless SSHP, in accordance with sections 751(b) and (d) and 782(h) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and 19 CFR 353.25(d)(1). This partial revocation applies to all entries of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after December 1, 1990. Accordingly, the Department is terminating the fourth and fifth reviews.

The Department will instruct the U.S. Customs Service (Customs) to proceed with liquidation, without regard to antidumping duties, of all unliquidated entries of seamless SSHP entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after December 1, 1990. The Department will further instruct Customs to refund with interest any estimated duties collected with respect to unliquidated entries of seamless SSHP entered, or withdrawn

from warehouse, for consumption on or after December 1, 1990, in accordance with section 778 of the Act.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protection orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This changed circumstances administrative review, partial revocation of the antidumping duty order, termination of the fourth and fifth administrative reviews, and notice are in accordance with sections 751(b) and (d) and 782(h) of the Act and sections 353.22(f) and 353.25(d) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: August 9, 1995.

Susan G. Esserman,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 95-20298 Filed 8-15-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[C-357-404]

Certain Apparel From Argentina; Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of preliminary results of countervailing duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the countervailing duty order on certain apparel from Argentina. We preliminarily determine the net bounty or grant to be zero for Agrest, S.A. (Agrest), Comercio Internacional, S.A. (Comercio), IVA, S.A. (IVA), and Leger, S.A. (Leger), 15.87 percent *ad valorem* for Pulloverfin, S.A. (Pulloverfin) and 0.76 percent *ad valorem* for all other companies for the period January 1, 1991 through December 31, 1991. If the final results remain the same as these preliminary results of administrative review, we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to assess countervailing duties as indicated above. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 16, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lorenza Olivas or Judy Kornfeld, Office of Countervailing Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2786.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 12, 1985, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (50 FR 9846) the countervailing duty order on certain apparel from Argentina. On March 5, 1992, the Department published a notice of "Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review" (57 FR 7910) of this countervailing duty order. We received a timely request for review from the Amalgamated Clothing and Textile Workers Union.

We initiated the review, covering the period January 1, 1991 through December 31, 1991 (POR), on April 13, 1992 (57 FR 12797). The review covers 5 manufacturers/exporters of the subject merchandise, which accounted for substantially all exports of certain apparel during the POR, and 10 programs. (See *Memorandum to Barbara E. Tillman from Team Regarding Certain Apparel from Argentina* dated January 14, 1995, on file in the public file of the Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the Department of Commerce).

Applicable Statute and Regulations

The Department is conducting this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute and to the Department's regulations are in reference to the provisions as they existed on December 31, 1994. However, references to the Department's *Countervailing Duties; Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and Request for Public Comments*, 54 FR 23366 (May 31, 1989) (*Proposed Regulations*), are provided solely for further explanation of the Department's countervailing duty practice. Although the Department has withdrawn the particular rulemaking proceeding pursuant to which the *Proposed Regulations* were issued, the subject matter of these regulations is being considered in connection with an ongoing rulemaking proceeding which, among other things, is intended to conform the Department's regulations to the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. See 60 FR 80 (Jan. 3, 1995).

Scope of the Review

The subject merchandise is certain apparel from Argentina. During the

review period, this merchandise was classifiable under the following HTS numbers, which are based on the amended conversion of the scopes of the countervailing duty order. See, *Certain Textile Mill Products From Mexico, Certain Apparel From Argentina, and Certain Apparel From Thailand* (58 FR 4151; January 13, 1993).

6104.41.00, 6104.43.10, 6104.44.10, 6104.51.00, 6104.53.10, 6104.61.00, 6104.63.15, 6105.10.00, 6105.20.20, 6106.10.00, 6106.20.10, 6106.90.10, 6109.90.20, 6110.10.20, 6110.20.20, 6111.10.00, 6112.41.00, 6112.49.00, 6115.20.00, 6115.91.00, 6115.93.10, 6115.99.14, 6116.91.00, 6116.93.15, 6201.12.20, 6202.11.00, 6202.13.30, 6202.91.10, 6202.91.20, 6202.92.20, 6202.93.40, 6203.22.30, 6203.42.40, 6204.11.00, 6204.13.10, 6204.19.10, 6204.21.00, 6204.31.20, 6204.33.40, 6204.39.20, 6204.41.20, 6204.42.30, 6204.43.30, 6204.44.30, 6204.51.00, 6204.53.20, 6204.59.20, 6204.61.00, 6204.63.25, 6204.69.20, 6205.10.20, 6206.20.30, 6206.40.25, 6209.10.00, 6209.20.10, 6209.20.50, 6209.90.30, 6211.12.30, 6211.41.00, 6214.30.00, 6214.40.00.

Best Information Available (BIA) for Pulloverfin

Section 776(c) of the Act requires the Department to use BIA "whenever a party or any other person refuses or is unable to produce information requested in a timely manner and in the form required, or otherwise significantly impedes an investigation"

In this review, Pulloverfin, a producer/exporter of the subject merchandise, did not respond to the Department's initial and supplemental questionnaires; therefore, we are assigning Pulloverfin a rate based on BIA. In determining what rate to use as BIA, the Department follows a two-tiered methodology. The Department normally assigns lower BIA rates to those respondents who cooperated in an administrative review and rates based on more adverse assumptions to respondents who did not cooperate. Since Pulloverfin did not cooperate, we are assigning a BIA rate of 15.87 percent *ad valorem*, which is the highest rate from any prior proceeding of this order and which is the rate Pulloverfin received in the investigation (See, *Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determinations and Countervailing Orders: Certain Textile Mill Products and Apparel from Argentina* (50 FR 9846; March 12, 1985)).