

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Jean L. Lloyd, Nutrition Officer,
Administration on Aging, Office of State
and Community Programs, 330
Independence Avenue S.W.,
Washington, D.C. 20201, 202-619-0011.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

National Nutrition Advisory Council

As a result of the Older Americans Act Amendments of 1992, Public Law 102-375, section 206(g)(2)(A)(i) of the Older Americans Act, 42 U.S.C. 3017(g)(2)(A)(i), mandates the establishment of an advisory council to develop recommendations for guidelines on efficiency and quality in furnishing nutrition services under Title III, Part C of the Act and to assist and advise the Assistant Secretary for Aging.

The charter signed by Secretary Donna E. Shalala states the functions of the National Nutrition Advisory Council as follows:

1. To develop recommendations for guidelines on the efficiency and quality in furnishing nutrition services provided under Title III, Part C of the Older Americans Act;
2. To review and revise these recommendations as needed;
3. To assist and advise the Assistant Secretary for Aging in the areas of nutrition and aging, health, malnutrition, nutrition and home and community-based care, hunger, food security/insecurity, food, community nutrition services, and service delivery, prevention and intervention strategies, special needs of minority elderly, nutrition policy and other matters which affect older individuals;
4. To assist and advise the Assistant Secretary for Aging regarding the Older Americans Act as it relates to nutrition;
5. To provide a public forum for discussion of concerns regarding nutrition and the elderly;
6. To provide a structure for communication and cooperation between the Assistant Secretary for Aging and organizations with an interest in nutrition and aging.

The members of the National Nutrition Advisory Council were

selected from nominations submitted by interested organizations and the public. They are as follows:

Name	City/state	Term of office
Ronni Chernoff ..	Little Rock, AK ..	7/99
Mary Ann Keefe	Alexandria, VA ..	7/97
Patricia Watson .	Ottawa, KS	7/97
Mary Podrabsky	Seattle, WA	7/99
Javier Garza	Austin, TX	7/97
Rita Barreras	Denver, Co	7/97
Arthur Collins	Kansas City, KS	7/99
Carolyn Rackard	Atmore, AL	7/99
Alan Balsam	Boston, MA	7/99
Kathryn Bishirjian	Pittsburgh, PA ...	7/99
Camille Brewer ..	Washington, D.C..	7/97
Elsa Ramirez Brisson.	Salinas, CA	7/99
Audry C. Burkart	New Brunswick, NJ.	7/99
Janice Davis	Cleveland, OH ..	7/97
Robert Heaney, M.D..	Omaha, NB	7/97
Emma Luten	Batltimore, MD ..	7/99
Sudha Reddy	Altanta, GA	7/99
Carol Scroggins .	Oklahoma, OK ..	7/97
Vera Thompson .	Detroit, MI	7/97
Nancy Wellman .	Miami, FL	7/97

The National Nutrition Advisory Council will meet at a minimum two times a year. A notice of each meeting will be placed in the **Federal Register**. Each meeting will be open to the public.

The first meeting will be held on September 12-13, 1995 beginning at 8:30 a.m., at the Doubletree Hotel. The location of the meeting is 300 Army Navy Drive, Arlington, Virginia. The meeting is open to the public.

An annual report of the National Nutrition Advisory Council shall be submitted to the Secretary and the Assistant Secretary for Aging.

This notice is issued under the Federal Advisory Committees Act (5 U.S.C. Appendix 2) and 21 CFR Part 14 relating to advisory committees

Fernando M. Torres-Gil,

Assitant Secretary for Aging.

[FR Doc. 95-20537 Filed 8-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4150-04-M

Administration for Children and Families

Intent to Reallot Part C—Protection and Advocacy Funds to States for Developmental Disabilities Expenditures

AGENCY: Administration on Developmental Disabilities, Administration for Children and Families, Department of Health and Human Services.

ACTION: Notice of Intent to Reallot Fiscal Year 1995 Funds, pursuant to section 125 and section 142 of the Developmental Disabilities Assistance and Bill of Rights Act, as amended (Act).

SUMMARY: The Administration on Developmental Disabilities herein gives notice of intent to reallot funds which were set aside in accordance with section 142(c)(5) of the Act. Of the \$806,682 which was set aside for technical assistance and Indian Consortiums, \$417,180 was utilized for technical assistance and \$136,161 was awarded to an Indian Consortium. Therefore, the balance of \$253,341 was released for reallotment.

Any State or Territory which wishes to release funds or cannot use the additional funds under Part C—Protection and Advocacy program for Fiscal Year 1995 should notify Bettye J. Mobley, Chief, Family Support Branch, Office of Financial Management, Administration for Children and Families, Department of Health and Human Services, 370 L'Enfant Promenade, SW., Washington, DC 20447, in writing within thirty (30) days of the date of this promulgation. This notice is hereby given in accordance with Sections 125 and 142 of the Act.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bettye J. Mobley on (202) 401-6955.

The proposed reallotment for Part C—Protection and Advocacy program are set forth below:

ADMINISTRATION ON DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES, FISCAL YEAR 1995 REALLOTMENT

	Protection & advocacy	Reallotment	Revised allotment
Total	\$26,047,479 *	\$253,341	\$26,300,820
Alabama	443,251	4,311	447,562
Alaska	254,508	2,475	256,983
Arizona	335,949	3,267	339,216
Arkansas	258,562	2,515	261,077
California	2,149,978	20,921	2,170,899
Colorado	271,993	2,645	274,638

ADMINISTRATION ON DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES, FISCAL YEAR 1995 REALLOTMENT—Continued

	Protection & advocacy	Reallotment	Revised allotment
Connecticut	258,610	2,515	261,125
Delaware	254,508	2,475	256,983
Dist. of Columbia	254,508	2,475	256,983
Florida	1,051,765	10,230	1,061,995
Georgia	594,291	5,780	600,071
Hawaii	254,508	2,475	256,983
Idaho	254,508	2,475	256,983
Illinois	911,643	8,867	920,510
Indiana	511,800	4,978	516,778
Iowa	266,337	2,590	268,927
Kansas	254,508	2,475	256,983
Kentucky	405,930	3,948	409,878
Louisiana	467,884	4,551	472,435
Maine	254,508	2,475	256,983
Maryland	337,036	3,278	340,314
Massachusetts	444,313	4,321	448,634
Michigan	845,248	8,221	853,469
Minnesota	358,455	3,486	361,941
Mississippi	317,379	3,087	320,466
Missouri	463,445	4,508	467,953
Montana	254,508	2,475	256,983
Nebraska	254,508	2,475	256,983
Nevada	254,508	2,475	256,983
New Hampshire	254,508	2,475	256,983
New Jersey	509,869	4,959	514,828
New Mexico	254,508	2,475	256,983
New York	1,387,387	13,494	1,400,881
North Carolina	635,915	6,185	642,100
North Dakota	254,508	2,475	256,983
Ohio	1,003,767	9,763	1,013,530
Oklahoma	306,350	2,980	309,330
Oregon	262,627	2,554	265,181
Pennsylvania	1,054,394	10,255	1,064,649
Rhode Island	254,508	2,475	256,983
South Carolina	364,760	3,548	368,308
South Dakota	254,508	2,475	256,983
Tennessee	496,219	4,826	501,045
Texas	1,497,963	14,569	1,512,532
Utah	254,508	2,475	256,983
Vermont	254,508	2,475	256,983
Virginia	497,694	4,841	502,535
Washington	384,506	3,740	388,246
West Virginia	275,658	2,681	278,339
Wisconsin	453,037	4,406	457,443
Wyoming	254,508	2,475	256,983
American Samoa	136,161	1,324	137,485
Guam	136,161	1,324	137,485
Puerto Rico	825,354	8,027	833,381
Virgin Islands	136,161	1,324	137,485
Northern Mariana Islands	136,161	1,324	137,485
Palau	136,161	1,324	137,485
AZ DNA People's Legal Services	136,161	1,324	137,485

* Includes the award of \$136,161 to an Indian Consortium in accordance with Section 142(b).

Dated: August 9, 1995.

Bob Williams,

*Commissioner, Administration on
Developmental Disabilities.*

[FR Doc. 95-20466 Filed 8-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4184-01-P

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[INFO-95-02]

Proposed Data Collections Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations

In compliance with the requirement of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 for opportunity for public comment on proposed data collection projects, the

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects. To request study materials on the proposed project, call the CDC Reports Clearance Officer on (404) 639-3453.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the