			ı	
TA-W	Subject firm (petitioners)	Location	Date of petition	Product(s)
31,327	BJ Services Company (Comp)	Houston, TX	08/03/95	Provide Oil & Gas Well Services.
31,328	Genesis Knitting Inc. (ILGWU)	Perth Amboy, NJ	08/03/95	Men's & Ladies' Sweaters.
31,329	H.L. Brown, Jr. (Comp)	Midland, TX	07/31/95	Oil & Gas Production.
31,330	Hollingsworth & Vose Co. (Comp)	Fall River, MA	07/15/95	Filter Media.
31,331	Owens Brockway (IAM)	Auburn, NY	08/03/95	Glass Bottles.
31,332	Jakel, Inc. (Wkrs)	Ramer, TN	08/03/95	Electric Motors.
31,333	Total Petroleum Refinery (Wkrs)	Arkansas City, KS	07/28/95	Gasoline, Asphalt, Butane Propane.
31,334	Space Vector Corp. (Wkrs)	Chatsworth, CA	07/21/95	Missiles & Rockets for Defense Dept.
31,335	Polytech Industries (Wkrs)	Scottsboro, AL	08/01/95	Convenience & Restraint Nets for Auto.
31,336	Superior Toy & Novelty Co. (LGPN)	Kansas City, MO	08/02/95	Toy Finishing.
31,337	McGill Mfg. Co. (CO)	Valparaiso, IN	07/24/95	Switches & Other Electrical Apparatus.
31,338	Owens-Brockway (GPPA)	Atlanta, GA	08/04/95	Glass Bottles.
31,339	Taylor Wood Craft, Inc. (Wkrs)	Malta, OH	08/02/95	Consumer Furniture.
31,340	Kaiser Porcelain, Inc. (Wkrs)	Niagara Falls, NY	08/03/95	Warehouse—Giftware.
31,341	Morrison Hertling & Co. (ACTWU)	Brooklyn, NY	08/01/95	Men's Suits, Sportcoats & Slacks.
31,342	Fine Contract, Inc. (Wkrs)	Hialeah, FL	07/31/95	Ladies' Lingerie.
31,343	Hampco Apparel, Inc. (Wkrs)	Chase City, VA	08/01/95	Men's & Ladies' Knit & Woven Shirts.

APPENDIX—PETITIONS INSTITUTED ON 08/14/95—Continued

[FR Doc. 95-20897 Filed 8-22-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

Clint Hurt & Assoc., Inc. (Co)

31,344

Advisory Council on Unemployment Compensation; Meeting

SUMMARY: The Advisory Council on Unemployment Compensation (ACUC) was established in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act on January 24, 1992 (57 FR 4007, Feb. 3, 1992). Public Law 102-164, the Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1991, mandated the establishment of the Council to evaluate the overall unemployment insurance program, including the purpose, goals, counter-cyclical effectiveness, coverage, benefit adequacy, trust fund solvency, funding of State administrative costs, administrative efficiency, and other aspects of the program, and to make recommendations for improvement. TIME AND PLACE: The meeting will be 14, 1995 at The Mills House Hotel, 115

held from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. on September Meeting Street, Charleston, South Carolina.

AGENDA: The agenda for the meeting is as follows:

- (1) Discussion of administrative financing within the unemployment insurance system:
- (2) Discussion of performance measurement within the unemployment insurance system;
- (3) Discussion of data needs in the unemployment system; and
- (4) Discussion of the Council's findings and recommendations. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION: The meeting will be open to the public. Seating will be

available to the public on a first-come, first-served basis. Seats will be reserved for the media. Individuals with disabilities in need of special accommodations should contact the Designated Federal Official (DFO), listed below, at least 7 days prior to the meeting.

Charleston, WV

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Esther R. Johnson, DFO, Advisory Council on Unemployment Compensation, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room S-4231, Washington, DC 20210. (202) 219–7831. (This is not a toll-free number.)

Signed at Washington, DC, this 11 day of August 1995.

Timothy M. Barnicle,

Assistant Secretary of Labor. [FR Doc. 95-20899 Filed 8-22-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

[NAFTA-00521]

Crown Pacific LTD., Crown Pacific Plywood Division, Redmond, OR; Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103–182) concerning transitional adjustment assistance, hereinafter called (NAFTA-TAA), and in accordance with section 250(a), Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 USC 2273), an investigation was initiated on July 11, 1995 in response to a petition filed on behalf of workers at Crown Pacific LTD., Crown Pacific Plywood Division, Redmond, Oregon. Workers produce plywood.

In a letter dated August 8, 1995, the petitioner requested that the petition for NAFTA-TAA be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation on this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Oil & Gas Drilling.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 9th day of August 1995.

Arlene O'Connor,

08/03/95

Acting Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95-20898 Filed 8-22-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-395]

South Carolina Electric and Gas Company; Virgil C. Summer Nuclear Station, Unit 1, Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from certain requirements of its regulations for Facility Operating License No. NPF-12, issued to South Carolina Electric and Gas Company (the licensee), for operation of the Virgil C. Summer Nuclear Station (VCSNS), Unit 1, located in Fairfield County, South Carolina.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would allow implementation of a hand geometry biometric system of site access control such that photograph identification badges can be taken offsite.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application dated June 28, 1995, for exemption from certain requirements of 10 CFR 73.55, "Requirements for physical protection of licensed activities in nuclear power plant reactors against radiological sabotage."

The Need for the Proposed Action

Pursuant to 10 CFR 73.55, paragraph (a), the licensee shall establish and maintain an onsite physical protection system and security organization.

Paragraph (1) of 10 CFR 73.55(d), "Access Requirements," specifies that "The licensee shall control all points of personnel and vehicle access into a protected area. * * *" It is specified in 10 CFR 73.55(d)(5) that "A numbered picture badge identification system shall be used for all individuals who are authorized access to protected areas without escort." It also states that an individual not employed by the licensee (i.e., contractors) may be authorized access to protected areas without escort provided the individual "receives a picture badge upon entrance into the protected area which must be returned upon exit from the protected area.

Currently, unescorted access into protected areas of the VCSNS is controlled through the use of a photograph on a badge/keycard (hereafter, these are referred to as badges). The security officers at the entrance station use the badge number, name and photograph on the badge to identify the individual requesting access. Under the current system, badges are not taken offsite and are issued, stored, and retrieved at the entrance/exit location. In accordance with 10 CFR 73.55(d)(5), contractor individuals are not allowed to take badges offsite. In accordance with the plant's procedures, neither licensee employees nor contractors are currently allowed to take badges offsite.

The licensee proposes to implement alternative unescorted access control system which would eliminate the need to issue and retrieve badges at the entrance/exit location and would allow all individuals with unescorted access to keep their badges with them when departing the site.

An exemption from 10 CFR 73.55(d)(5) is required to permit contractors to take their badges offsite instead of returning them when exiting the site

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed action. Under the proposed system, each

individual who is authorized for unescorted entry into protected areas would have the physical characteristics of their hand (hand geometry) registered with their badge number in the access control system. When an individual enters the badge into the card reader and places the hand on the measuring surface, the system would record the individual's hand image. The unique characteristics of the extracted hand image would be compared with the previously stored template to verify authorization for entry. Individuals, including licensee employees and contractors, would be allowed to keep their badge with them when they depart the site.

Based on a Sandia National Laboratories report titled "A Performance Evaluation of Biometric Identification Devices," (SAND91-0276 UC—906 Unlimited Release, Printed June 1991), and on its experience with the current photoidentification system, the licensee stated that the false acceptance rate of the proposed hand geometry system is at least equal to that of the current system. The licensee stated that the use of the badges with hand geometry system would enhance access control capabilities at the protected area perimeter, reduce security force staffing requirements, and improve the emergency accountability process. Since both the badge and hand geometry would be necessary for access into the protected area, the proposed system would provide for a positive verification process. Potential loss of a badge by an individual, as a result of taking the badge offsite, would not enable an unauthorized entry into protected areas. The licensee will implement a process for testing the proposed system to ensure continued overall level of performance equivalent to that specified in the regulation. The Physical Security Plan will be revised to include implementation and testing of the hand geometry access control system.

The access process will continue to be under the observation of security personnel. A numbered picture badge identification system will continue to be used for all individuals who are authorized access to protected areas without escorts. Badges will continue to be displayed by all individuals while inside the protected area.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The change will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluent that may be released offsite, and there is no

significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action involves features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluent and has no other environmental impact.

Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the VCSNS.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on July 31, 1995, the staff consulted with the South Carolina State official, Mr. Virgil Autry of the Bureau of Solid and Hazardous Waste Management, Department of Health and Environmental Control, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated June 28, 1995, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the

Fairfield County Library, 300 Washington Street, Winnsboro, SC.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 16th day of August 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Frederick J. Hebdon,

Director, Project Directorate II-3, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95–20882 Filed 8–22–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

Privacy Act of 1974, As Amended; Revisions to Existing System of Records

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Proposed revisions to an existing system of records.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended (Privacy Act), the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing public notice of its intent to modify an existing system of records, NRC-40, "Facility Security Access Control Records-NRC," to revise a number of categories in the system notice, including individuals covered by the system, records contained in the system, record source categories, authority, retrievability, and safeguards. In addition, the list of NRC building locations contained in NRC's compilation of systems of records notices is being updated to reflect current addresses.

EFFECTIVE DATE: The revisions will become effective without further notice on October 2, 1995, unless comments received on or before that date cause a contrary decision. If, based on NRC's review of comments received, changes are made, NRC will publish a new final notice.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch. Hand deliver comments to 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, between 7:45 am and 4:15 pm Federal workdays. Copies of comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room at 2120 L Street, NW., Lower Level, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jona L. Souder, Freedom of Information/Local Public Document Room Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, telephone: 301–415–7170.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: NRC is proposing to amend the system notice for NRC-40, "Facility Security Access Control Records-NRC," to add "approved visitors" as a new category of individuals covered by the system. NRC-40 currently contains information on visitors in log entries and other written materials not retrieved by name or personal identifier. The records on visitors are time consuming to complete and review, and cumbersome to store. The overall process is outdated. An automated database for visitor registrations with an advance notice capability option available on NRC's local area network is being developed to alleviate this problem and to improve processing and monitoring capabilities of individuals entering NRC buildings. Information in the visitor database will be retrieved by the visitor's name, employer's name, date of visit, and sponsor's name.

The categories of records section in the system is also being revised to include information on approved visitors and to add visitors as a record source category. In addition, minor changes are being made to other sections of the system notice, including authority, retrievability, and safeguards, to make it more accurate and current.

A report on the proposed revisions to this system of records, required by 5 U.S.C. 552a(r) and Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular No. A–130, is being sent to the Committee on Governmental Affairs, U.S. Senate; the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight, U.S. House of Representatives; and OMB.

The list of NRC building locations contained in Addendum I to the NRC's compilation of systems of records notices that was published in the **Federal Register** on July 7, 1993 (58 FR 36455), is being updated to reflect current addresses.

Accordingly, the NRC proposes to amend NRC-40 and Addendum I to read as follows:

NRC-40

SYSTEM NAME:

Facility Security Access Control Records—NRC.

SYSTEM LOCATION:

Primary system—Division of Security, Office of Administration, NRC, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, and 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

Duplicate systems—Duplicate systems exist, in whole or in part, at the Technical Training Center, NRC, Osborne Office Center, 5700 Brainerd Road, Suite 200, Chattanooga, Tennessee 37411–4017, and the locations listed in Addendum I, Part 2.

CATEGORIES OF INDIVIDUALS COVERED BY THE SYSTEM:

Persons including current and former NRC employees, consultants, contractors, other Government agency personnel, and approved visitors.

CATEGORIES OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

These records include information regarding NRC personal identification badges for access to NRC-controlled space, which includes, but is not limited to, an individual's name, social security number, citizenship, employer, purpose of visit, person visited, and date and time of visit.

AUTHORITY FOR MAINTENANCE OF THE SYSTEM:

42 U.S.C. 2165 and 2101 (i), (k), and (p) (1988); Executive Order 9397, November 22, 1943; Executive Order 12958, April 20, 1995.

ROUTINE USES OF RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE SYSTEM, INCLUDING CATEGORIES OF USERS AND THE PURPOSES OF SUCH USES:

In addition to the disclosures permitted under subsection (b) of the Privacy Act, NRC may disclose information contained in a record in this system of records without the consent of the subject individual if the disclosure is compatible with the purpose for which the record was collected under any of the routine uses specified in the Prefatory Statement of General Routine Uses.

POLICIES AND PRACTICES FOR STORING, RETRIEVING, ACCESSING, RETAINING, AND DISPOSING OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

STORAGE:

Records are maintained in paper form in logs and files, and on computer media.

RETRIEVABILITY:

Information is indexed and accessed by an individual's name, identification badge number, employer's name, date of visit, or sponsor's name.

SAFEGUARDS:

All records are maintained in NRC-controlled space that is secured after normal duty hours or in security areas under guard presence. Automated records are protected by password.

RETENTION AND DISPOSAL:

a. Records and forms related to NRC identification badges are retained in files and destroyed when superseded or obsolete.

b. Manual visitor logs are retained in cabinets and destroyed 2 years after date of entry.