

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (percent)
Hitachi	112.68
Izumi	0.27
Pulton	10.01
Excel	0.10
All Others	15.92

¹No sales during the period. Rate is from the last period in which there were sales.

The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Individual differences between USP and FMV may vary from the percentages stated above. Upon completion of this administrative review, the Department will issue appraisal instructions on each exporter directly to the Customs Service. Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of roller chain, other than bicycle, from Japan, entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate will be that established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published in the final determination covering the most recent period review; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, previous reviews, or the original investigation, but its manufacturer is such a firm, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the manufacturer in the final results of the most recently completed review; and (4) for any future entries from all other manufacturers or exporters who are not covered in this or prior administrative reviews, and who are unrelated to any firms listed above, or any previously reviewed firm, the cash deposit rate will be the "new shipper" rate established in the first review conducted by the Department in which a "new shipper" rate was established, as discussed below.

On May 25, 1993, the Court of International Trade (CIT) in *Floral Trade Council v. United States*, 822 F. Supp. 766 (CIT 1993), and in *Federal-Mogul*, 822 F. Supp. 782 (CIT 1993), decided that once an "all others" rate is established for a company, it can only be changed through an administrative review. The Department has determined that in order to implement these decisions, it is appropriate to reinstate the original "all others" rate from the LTFV investigation (or that rate as amended for correction of clerical errors

or as a result of litigation) in proceedings governed by antidumping duty orders for the purposes of establishing cash deposits in all current and future administrative reviews. Because this proceeding is governed by an antidumping duty finding, and we are unable to ascertain the "all others" rate from the Treasury LTFV investigation, the "all others" rate for the purposes of this review would normally be the "new shipper" rate established in the first notice of final results of administrative review published by the Department (46 FR 44488, September 4, 1981). However, a "new shipper" rate was not established in that notice. Therefore, the "all others" rate of 15.92 percent is based on the first review conducted by the Department in which a "new shipper" rate was established in the final results of antidumping finding administrative review (48 FR 51801, November 14, 1983).

These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Public Comment

Interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room B-099, within ten days of publication. Requests should contain: (1) the party's name, address and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of the issues to be discussed.

In accordance with 19 CFR 353.38, case briefs or other written comments in at least ten copies must be submitted to the Assistant Secretary no later than September 18, 1995, and rebuttal briefs no later than September 20, 1995. A public hearing, if requested, will be held on September 22, 1995, at 10:00 am at the U.S. Department of Commerce, in Room 1410, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC. Parties should confirm by telephone the time, date, and place of the hearing 48 hours prior to the scheduled time. In accordance with 19 CFR 353.38(b), oral

presentations will be limited to issues raised in the briefs. The Department will publish a notice of final results of this administrative review, including an analysis of issues raised in any written comments.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and section 353.22 of the Department's regulations (19 CFR 353.22).

Dated: August 16, 1995.

Susan G. Esserman,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 95-20930 Filed 8-22-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-122-057]

Replacement Parts for Self-Propelled Bituminous Paving Equipment from Canada; Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Intent to Revoke Antidumping Duty Finding

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Intent to Revoke Antidumping Duty Finding.

SUMMARY: In response to requests from the Blaw-Knox Construction Equipment Corporation (Blaw-Knox), the petitioner in this proceeding, and the Road Machinery Division of Ingersoll-Rand Company (IR), the only respondent in the administrative reviews covering the periods September 1, 1991 through August 31, 1992 (1991-92) and September 1, 1992 through August 31, 1993 (1992-93), the Department of Commerce (the Department) is initiating a changed circumstances antidumping duty administrative review and issuing a notice of intent to revoke the antidumping duty finding. Blaw-Knox has filed a submission stating that it no longer has any interest in the antidumping finding. In addition, the petitioner has consulted with interested parties who are known to them to be involved in the U.S. production of replacement parts, Barber-Greene and Cedarapids, and did not find any opposition to the revocation of the finding. Blaw-Knox and IR also requested that this revocation be retroactive to the beginning of the 1991-92 administrative review period, September 1, 1991. Therefore, based on the fact that domestic interested parties

are no longer interested in the antidumping duty finding on self-propelled bituminous paving equipment from Canada, we intend to revoke the finding. The revocation will apply to all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after September 1, 1991. We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results and our intent to revoke the finding.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 23, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gayle Longest or Kelly Parkhill, Office of Countervailing Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2786.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Pursuant to section 751(d) and 782(h) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (1995) (the Act), the Department may revoke an antidumping duty order based on a review under section 751(b) of the Act (i.e., a changed circumstances review). Section 751(b)(1) of the Act requires a changed circumstances administrative review to be conducted upon receipt of a request containing sufficient information concerning changed circumstances.

On September 7, 1977, the Department published the antidumping finding on replacement parts for self-propelled bituminous paving equipment from Canada. On August 1, 1995, Blaw-Knox submitted a letter to the Department stating that it has no further interest in the antidumping duty finding on replacement parts for self-propelled bituminous paving equipment from Canada and requested retroactive revocation for all entries of subject merchandise made on or after September 1, 1991. September 1, 1991, is the beginning of the review period for the earliest period for which there is no completed administrative review; there are pending reviews for the 1991-92 and 1992-93 review periods. The request is based on changed circumstances in accordance with 19 CFR 353.25(d)(1994). In addition, Blaw-Knox has consulted with the other major U.S. producers of replacement parts, Barber-Greene and Cedarapids, and did not find any opposition to the revocation of the finding. This changed circumstances administrative review covers all producers and/or exporters of the subject merchandise and all shipments of this merchandise to the United States.

Scope of the Reviews

Imports covered by this changed circumstances review are replacement parts for self-propelled bituminous paving equipment, excluding attachments and parts for attachments. This merchandise is currently classifiable under *Harmonized Tariff Schedule* (HTS) item numbers 4016.93.10, 7315.11.00, 7315.89.50, 7315.90.00, 8336.50.00, 8479.99.00, 8481.20.00, 8482.10.10, 8483.90.90, 8539.29.20, 8544.20.00, 8544.41.00, 8544.51.80, 8544.60.20, and 9015.30.40. The HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Intent To Revoke the Finding

The Department's regulations at 19 CFR 353.25(d)(2) require the Department to conduct a changed circumstances administrative review under section 353.22(f) based upon an affirmative statement of no interest from the petitioner in the proceeding. Section 353.25(d)(1)(i) further provides that the Department may revoke an order or revoke an order in part if it determines that the order under review is no longer of interest to interested parties. In addition, in the event that the Department concludes that expedited action is warranted, section 353.22(f)(4) of the regulations permit the Department to combine the notices of initiation and preliminary results.

Therefore, in accordance with sections 751(d) and 782(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 353.25(d) and 353.22(f), based on an affirmative statement of no interest in the proceeding by the petitioner Blaw-Knox, we are initiating this changed circumstances administrative review. Further, based on the petitioner's affirmative statement of no further interest in these proceedings, not opposed by statements of interest by other domestic interested parties, we determine that expedited action is warranted, and we preliminarily determine that the finding on replacement parts for self-propelled bituminous paving equipment from Canada is no longer of interest to domestic interested parties. Because we have concluded that expedited action is warranted, we are combining the notice of initiation and preliminary results.

We are hereby notifying the public of our preliminary determination to revoke this antidumping duty finding. If this preliminary determination to revoke this finding is made final, the effective

date of the revocation will be September 1, 1991, the beginning of the currently pending 1991-92 administrative review.

If final revocation occurs, we intend to instruct the U.S. Customs Service (Customs) to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties all entries of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the effective date of revocation in accordance with 19 CFR 353.25(d)(5). We also intend to instruct Customs to refund with interest any estimated antidumping duties collected with respect to entries made on or after September 1, 1991, in accordance with section 778 of the Act. The current requirement for a cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties will continue until publication of the final results of this changed circumstances administrative review.

Public Comment

Interested parties may request a hearing within 10 days of the date of publication of this notice. Case briefs and/or other written comments from interested parties may be submitted not later than 14 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to arguments raised in case briefs, may be submitted seven days after the time limit for filing case briefs. Copies of case briefs and rebuttal briefs must be served on interested parties in accordance with section 353.31(e) of the Department's regulations (1994). Persons interested in attending the hearing should contact the Department for the date and time of the hearing.

Representatives of parties to the proceeding may request disclosure of proprietary information under administrative protective order no later than 10 days after the representative's client or employer becomes a party to the proceeding, but in no event later than the date the case briefs, under 19 CFR 353.38(c)(1994), are due. The Department will publish the final results of this changed circumstances administrative review and its decision on revocation of this antidumping duty finding, as well as the results of its analysis of issues raised in any case or rebuttal brief.

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to

comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice of changed circumstances administrative review and intent to revoke are in accordance with sections 751 (b)(1) and (d) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675 (b)(1) and (d) (1995)) and 19 CFR 353.22(f) and 353.25(d) (1994).

Dated: August 16, 1995.

Susan G. Esserman,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 95-20928 Filed 8-22-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[C-549-501]

Certain Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes From Thailand: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: On June 8, 1995, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** its preliminary results of its administrative review of the countervailing duty order on certain circular welded carbon steel pipe and tubes from Thailand for the period January 1, 1993, through December 31, 1993. We have completed this review and determine the net subsidy to be 0.23 percent *ad valorem* for all companies during this review period. In accordance with 19 CFR 355.7, this rate is *de minimis*. Therefore, the Department intends to instruct the Customs Service to liquidate, without regard to countervailing duties, all shipments of the subject merchandise from Thailand exported on or after January 1, 1993 and on or before December 31, 1993.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 23, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tre Moore, Stephen Lebowitz or Kelly Parkhill, Office of Countervailing Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2786.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 8, 1995, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (60 FR 30284) the preliminary results of its administrative review of the

countervailing duty order on certain circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Thailand. The Department has now completed this review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

The review covers the period January 1, 1993 through December 31, 1993, one manufacturer/exporter, and the following nine programs:

- (A) Export Packing Credits
- (B) Tax Certificates for Exporters
- (C) Electricity Discounts for Exporters
- (D) Tax and Duty Exemptions Under Section 28 of the Investment Promotion Act
- (E) Repurchase of Industrial Bills
- (F) Export Processing Zones
- (G) International Trade Promotion Fund/Export Promotion Fund
- (H) Reduced Business Taxes for Producers of Intermediate Goods for Export Industries
- (I) Additional Incentives under the IPA

Applicable Statute and Regulations

The Department is conducting this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act. Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute and to the Department's regulations are in reference to the provisions as they existed on December 31, 1994.

Scope of Review

The Department clarified the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) numbers that were applicable to the subject merchandise (see Memorandum to Susan Esserman from Susan Kuhbach, dated March 29, 1994, regarding Change of Scope in Administrative Review of Certain Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Thailand which is on file in the Central Records Unit, Room B099, Main Commerce Building). This clarification was necessary because of annual changes in the HTS. The scope now reads:

Imports covered in this review are circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes (pipes and tubes) with an outside diameter of 0.375 inch or more but not over 16 inches, of any wall thickness. These products, commonly referred to in the industry as standard pipe or structural tubing, are produced to various ASTM specifications, most notably A-120, A-53 and A-135. During the review period, this merchandise was classified under item numbers 7306.30.10 and 7306.30.50 of the HTS. The HTS numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. We received no comments, and have made no changes to the preliminary results.

Final Results of Review

For the period January 1, 1993 through December 31, 1993, we determine the total net subsidy to be 0.23 percent *ad valorem*. In accordance with 19 CFR 355.7, any rate less than 0.5 percent *ad valorem* is *de minimis*.

As a result of this review, the Department will instruct the Customs Service to liquidate, without regard to countervailing duties, all shipments of the subject merchandise from Thailand, exported on or after January 1, 1993, and on or before December 31, 1993. Further, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act, the Department will instruct Customs to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties at a rate of zero percent of the f.o.b. invoice price on all shipments of the subject merchandise from Thailand entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review. These instructions shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 355.43(d). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 355.22.

Dated: August 16, 1995.

Susan G. Esserman,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 95-20933 Filed 8-22-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

North American Free Trade Agreement, Article 1904, Binational Panel Reviews: Notice of Completion of Panel Review

AGENCY: NAFTA Secretariat, United States Section, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.