

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. TM96-1-1-000]

Alabama-Tennessee Natural Gas Company; Notice of Filing of Report of Refunds

August 18, 1995.

Take notice that on August 15, 1995, Alabama-Tennessee Natural Gas Company (Alabama-Tennessee), tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheet with a proposed effective date of October 1, 1995:

Ninth Revised Sheet No. 4

Alabama-Tennessee states that the purpose of this filing is to reflect a \$0.0001 per dekatherm decrease in Alabama-Tennessee's rates under its Annual Charge Adjustment (ACA) clause that results from a corresponding decrease in its annual charge accessed Alabama-Tennessee by the Commission.

Alabama-Tennessee requests any waiver that may be required in order to accept and approve this filing as submitted.

Alabama-Tennessee states that copies of the tariff filing have been served upon the Company's affected customers and interested public bodies.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with 18 CFR 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before August 25, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to the proceeding must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-20972 Filed 8-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP95-656-000]

Blue Lake Gas Storage Company; Notice of Application

August 18, 1995.

Take notice that on August 7, 1995, Blue Lake Gas Storage Company (Blue Lake), 500 Renaissance Center, Detroit,

Michigan 48423, filed in Docket No. CP95-656-000 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for authorization to increase the maximum volume of natural gas stored in its Northern Michigan storage field, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Blue Lake proposes to increase the maximum volume of gas authorized to be stored from 50,236 MMcf to 54,119 MMcf. It is stated that the increase would raise the inventory from the volume authorized by the Commission in Docket No. CP91-2704-000 to a level supported by actual operating experience. It is asserted that the increase would allow Blue Lake greater operational flexibility by allowing it to use the maximum storage capacity of the storage field. Blue Lake states that the increase in capacity would not require additional pressure.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before September 8, 1995, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be

unnecessary for Blue Lake to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-20973 Filed 8-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP95-669-000]

Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

August 18, 1995.

Take notice that on August 7, 1995, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia), 1700 MacCorkle Avenue, S.E. Charleston, West Virginia 25314-1599, filed in Docket No. CP95-669-000 a request pursuant to § 157.205 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) for authorization to modify an existing point of delivery and reassign and reduce Maximum Daily Delivery Obligations (MDDO) at another existing point to Baltimore Gas & Electric Company (BG&E) for firm Part 284 transportation service to BG&E, in Cecil County, Maryland, under Columbia's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP6-240-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Columbia states that the MDDO at the Conowingo delivery point would be increased from 1,249 Dth/day to 7,319 Dth/day. It is said that the increased deliveries to BG&E resulting from modifications and the reassignment of MDDO's are estimated to be 2,264 Dth/day and up to 826,360 Dth/annually.

Columbia states further that the estimated cost to modify the Conowingo delivery point would be approximately \$182,000 and that Columbia would pay for the cost of the modifications.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to § 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for

authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-20974 Filed 8-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP88-44-052]

El Paso Natural Gas Company; Notice of Tariff Filing

August 18, 1995.

Take notice that on August 14, 1995, El Paso Natural Gas Company (El Paso), tendered for filing pursuant to Part 154 of the Commission's Regulations Under the Natural Gas Act, and in compliance with the Commission's Order Accepting Tariff Sheets Subject to Conditions, Granting Request for Clarification, and Granting in Part and Denying in Part Rehearing issued July 14, 1995 at Docket Nos. RP88-44-50 and RP88-44-051, certain tariff sheets to its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1-A.

El Paso states that it is modifying Section 4.2(e) to its Capacity Allocation Procedure in compliance with the July 14, 1995 order in which the Commission ordered El Paso to revise its tariff to include provisions giving relief to any firm Shipper when that Shipper (Emergency Shipper) has exhausted all other self-help remedies in times of bona fide emergencies including minimum plant protection. El Paso states that it is modifying Section 4.2(e) to provide that the emergency capacity will be provided at a receipt point which causes the least amount of interruption among its Shippers.

El Paso states that a Shipper with a contract demand shall not be entitled to emergency service in excess of such contract demand. The emergency capacity available to a Shipper with a full requirements contract shall be determined to be that capacity required to serve a verifiable emergency in excess of the quantity initially scheduled by said Shipper. El Paso states that it has added a new Section 4.2(f) to provide a compensation plan to reimburse Shippers who receive less than their scheduled capacity due to emergency service being provided to an Emergency Shipper.

El Paso respectfully requests that the Commission accept the tendered tariff sheets for filing and permit it to become effective on January 4, 1995, the date on which the Commission's July 14, 1995 order made the tariff sheets effective, subject to conditions.

El Paso states that copies of the filing were served upon all of El Paso's interstate pipeline system transportation

customers and interested state regulatory commissions.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with § 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests should be filed on or before August 25, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-20975 Filed 8-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP95-373-001]

National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation; Notice of Compliance Filing

August 18, 1995.

Take notice that on August 15, 1995, National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation (National) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1, Substitute Fifth Revised Sheet Nos. 237A and 237B, to be effective August 1, 1995.

National states that these tariff sheets are submitted to reflect the recalculation of refunds of Account Nos. 191 and 186-related dollars received from certain of National's former upstream pipeline-suppliers, as required by the Commission's order issued July 31, 1995, in the above-captioned proceeding.

National further states that it is also submitting worksheets to clarify the calculations made in the tariff sheets, and to clarify the interest calculations contained in the filing.

National states that copies of this filing were served upon the company's jurisdictional customers and upon the Regulatory Commissions of the States of New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Delaware, Massachusetts, and New Jersey.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rule 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211). All such protest should be filed on or before August 25, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the

appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-20976 Filed 8-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP95-5-007]

Northwest Pipeline Corporation; Notice of Compliance Filing

August 18, 1995.

Take notice that on August 16, 1995, Northwest Pipeline Corporation (Northwest) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets with a proposed effective date of November 6, 1994:

Fourth Substitute Original Sheet No. 237-A
Second Revised Sheet No. 237-C

Northwest states that the purpose of this filing is to comply with the Commission's Order Following Technical Conference, Accepting Tariff Subject to Modification, Granting Waiver, and Denying Rehearing as Moot issued on June 23, 1995 in Docket Nos. RP-5-001, RP95-5-002, and RP95-5-004. (Northwest's July 10, 1995 compliance filing in this proceeding was rejected by the Commission.)

Northwest states that it has modified Section 15.6 of the General Terms and Conditions of its tariff to eliminate the language which allows volumization of penalty revenues for crediting to its firm Shippers as directed by the Commission.

Northwest also states that it has modified that tariff language in §§ 15.7 and 15.11 to toll the make-up period for Shipper Imbalances when Northwest is unable to accept a make-up nomination due to "operational conditions". However, it should be noted that Northwest has filed a Request for Rehearing on this issue.

Northwest states that a copy of this filing has been served upon all interveners in Docket No. RP95-5, Northwest's jurisdictional customers, and relevant state regulatory commissions.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with § 385.211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All such protests should be filed on or before August 25, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in