Reclamation clearance officer: Marilyn Rehfeld 303–236–0305 extension 459

Dated: July 20, 1995.

Murlin Coffey,

Leader, Property and Office Services. [FR Doc. 95–21175 Filed 8–24–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–94–M

National Park Service

Niobrara National Scenic River Advisory Commission

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior. **ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice sets the schedule for the forthcoming meeting of the Niobrara Advisory Commission. Notice of this meeting is required under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463).

MEETING DATE AND TIME: Friday, Sept. 15, 1995; 1:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: Brown County Courthouse, Ainsworth, Nebraska.

Agenda topics include:

- 1. Review of latest draft of the Niobrara National Scenic River General Management Plan reflecting changes recommended at the June 29 meeting.
- 2. Review of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the General Management Plan.
- 3. The opportunity for public comment and proposed agenda, date, and time, of the next Advisory Group meeting.

The meeting is open to the public. Interested persons may make oral/written presentation to the Commission or file written statements. Requests for time for making presentations may be made to the Superintendent prior to the meeting or to the Chair at the beginning of the meeting. In order to accomplish the agenda for the meeting, the Chair may want to limit or schedule public presentations.

The meeting will be recorded for documentation and a summary in the form of minutes will be transcribed for dissemination. Minutes of the meeting will be made available to the public after approval by the Commission members. Copies of the minutes may be requested by contacting the Superintendent. An audio tape of the meeting will be available at the headquarters office of the Niobrara/Missouri National Scenic Riverways in O'Neill, Nebraska.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Warren Hill, Superintendent, Niobrara/ Missouri National Scenic Riverways, P.O. Box 591, O'Neill, Nebraska 68763– 0591, 402–336–3970.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Advisory Commission was established by the law that established the Niobrara National Scenic River, Public Law 102-50. The purpose of the group, according to its charter, is to advise the Secretary of the Interior on matters pertaining to the development of a management plan, and management and operation of the Scenic River. The Niobrara National Scenic River includes the 40-mile segment from Borman Bridge southeast of Valentine, Nebraska to its confluence with Chimney Creek; and the 30-mile segment from the confluence with Rock Creek downstream to State Highway

Dated: August 14, 1995.

William W. Schenk,

Field Director.

[FR Doc. 95–21087 Filed 8–24–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–70–P

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Finance Docket No. 32749]

Pine Belt Southern Railroad Company—Acquisition and Operation Exemption—Line of Central of Georgia Railroad Company

Pine Belt Southern Railroad Company (PBSR), a noncarrier, filed a notice of exemption on July 26, 1995 to acquire and operate approximately 42.4 miles of rail line owned and operated by Central of Georgia Railroad Company (CGA), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Norfolk Southern Railway Company. The involved rail lines, known as the Roanoke Junction-Lafayette Line and the Nuckols-Hurtsboro Line, are located in Chambers, Lee, and Russell Counties, AL. Specifically, (1) the Roanoke Jct. Lafayette Line runs between milepost T-322.3 at Roanoke Jct. and milepost T-339.7 at Lafayette, a distance of 17.4 miles; and (2) the Nuckols-Hurtsboro Line runs between milepost S-304.0 at Nuckols and milepost S-329.0 at Hurtsboro, a distance of 25 miles. The proposed transaction will be consummated after the July 26, 1995 notice takes effect and all conditions to closing have been satisfied.2

Any comments must be filed with the Commission and served on: Andrew C.

Rambo, P.O. Box 129, 104 Depot St., Shelbyville, TN 37160.

This notice is filed under 49 CFR 1150.31. If the notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*. Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10505(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the transaction.

Decided: August 21, 1995.

By the Commission, Joseph H. Dettmar, Acting Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–21190 Filed 8–24–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7035–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration [Docket No. 95–15]

Anthony E. Doss, M.D., Revocation of Registration

On December 12, 1994, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, (DEA) issued an Order to Show Cause to Anthony E. Doss, M.D., (Respondent), of 621 Commonwealth Avenue, Bristol, Virginia, proposing to revoke his DEA Certificate of Registration, AD7453537, and to deny any pending applications for renewal of such registration as a practitioner under 21 U.S.C. 823(f). The proposed action was predicated on Dr. Doss' lack of authorization to handle controlled substances in the Commonwealth of Virginia.

By letter dated January 16, 1995, Respondent requested a hearing on the issue raised in the Order to Show Cause and the matter was placed on the docket of Administrative Law Judge Paul A. Tenney. On January 30, 1995, Judge Tenney issued an order for prehearing statements. In lieu of filing a prehearing statement, Government counsel filed a motion for summary disposition on the ground that Respondent was no longer authorized to handle controlled substances in the Commonwealth of Virginia. Attached to the Government's motion were a copy of the Virginia Board of Medicine's order revoking Respondent's state medical license, and an affidavit from the Virginia Board of Pharmacy attesting that Respondent is not authorized to prescribe, distribute or manufacture Schedule I through V drugs in the Commonwealth of Virginia. On February 13, 1995, Judge Tenney issued an order permitting Respondent to file a response, on or before February 27,

¹ The acquisition includes the real estate together with all buildings, improvements, fixtures, appurtenances and all interests of CGA in and to any leases, easements, licenses, permits, agreements, sidetrack agreements and privileges pertaining to the real estate.

 $^{^{2}}$ The parties anticipated a closing date of July 28, 1995.

1995, to the Government's motion. Respondent failed to file a response. On March 2, 1995, Judge Tenney issued his conclusions of law and recommended ruling, granting the Government's motion for summary disposition and recommending revocation of Respondent's DEA Certificate of Registration. No exceptions were filed and, on April 11, 1995, Judge Tenney transmitted the record of these proceedings to the Deputy Administrator. The Deputy Administrator, having considered the record in its entirety, hereby enters his final order in this matter pursuant to 21 CFR 1316.67.

The Deputy Administrator finds that on June 10, 1993, the Virginia Board of Medicine ("Board") revoked Respondent's license to practice medicine. The Board found, inter alia, that Respondent engaged in a sexual relationship with a patient and prescribed controlled substances to that patient. The Board further found that Respondent improperly and with the intent to evade established insurance reimbursement policies submitted, or caused to be submitted, claims to the patient's insurance carrier requesting reimbursement for psychotherapy services on dates when he had not met with the patient.

The Deputy Administrator further finds that the affidavit submitted on behalf of the Virginia Board of Pharmacy provided that Respondent's state controlled substance registrations expired on June 30, 1987 and June 30, 1994. The affidavit further provided that as of February 6, 1995, Respondent was not authorized to prescribed, distribute or manufacture Schedule I through V drugs in the Commonwealth of Virginia.

The DEA does not have the statutory authority under the Controlled Substances Act to issue or maintain a registration if the applicant or registrant is without state authority to handle controlled substances. See 21 U.S.C. 802(21), 21 U.S.C. 823(f) and 824(a)(3). This prerequisite has been consistently upheld. See Lawson A. Akpulonu, M.D., 60 FR 33434 (1995); Robert C. Davis, M.D., 59 FR 66049 (1994); Elliott F. Monroe, M.D., 57 FR 23246 (1992); Bobby Watts, M.D., 53 FR 11919 (1988); Avner Kauffman, M.D., 50 FR 34208 (1985)

The Deputy Administrator finds that Respondent is not currently licensed to practice medicine or authorized to handle controlled substances in the Commonwealth of Virginia. Therefore, his DEA registration must be revoked.

Accordingly, the Deputy Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration, pursuant to the authority vested in him by 21 U.S.C. 823 and 824 and 28 CFR 0.100(b) and 0.104, hereby orders that DEA Certificate of Registration AD7453537, previously issued to Anthony E. Doss, M.D., be, and it hereby is, revoked. The Deputy Administrator further orders that any pending applications for the renewal of such registration, be, and they hereby are, denied. This order is effective September 25, 1995.

Dated: August 18, 1995.

Stephen H. Greene,

Deputy Administrator. [FR Doc. 95–21102 Filed 8–24–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–09–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment Standards Administration Wage and Hour Division; Minimum Wages for Federal and Federally Assisted Construction; General Wage Determination Decisions

General wage determination decisions of the Secretary of Labor are issued in accordance with applicable law and are based on the information obtained by the Department of Labor from its study of local wage conditions and data made available from other sources. They specify the basic hourly wage rates and fringe benefits which are determined to be prevailing for the described classes of laborers and mechanics employed on construction projects of a similar character and in the localities specified therein.

The determinations in these decisions of prevailing rates and fringe benefits have been made in accordance with 29 CFR Part 1, by authority of the Secretary of Labor pursuant to the provisions of the Davis-Bacon Act of March 3, 1931, as amended (46 Stat. 1494, as amended, 40 U.S.C. 276a) and of other Federal statutes referred to in 29 CFR Part 1, Appendix, as well as such additional statutes as may from time to time be enacted containing provisions for the payment of wages determined to be prevailing by the Secretary of Labor in accordance with the Davis-Bacon Act. The prevailing rates and fringe benefits determined in these decisions shall, in accordance with the provisions of the foregoing statutes, constitute the minimum wages payable on Federal and federally assisted construction projects to laborers and mechanics of the specified classes engaged on contract work of the character and in the localities described therein.

Good cause is hereby found for not utilizing notice and public comment procedure thereon prior to the issuance of these determinations as prescribed in 5 U.S.C. 553 and not providing for delay in the effective date as prescribed in that section, because the necessity to issue current construction industry wage determinations frequently and in large volume causes procedures to be impractical and contrary to the public interest.

General wage determination decisions, and modifications and supersedeas decisions thereto, contain no expiration dates and are effective from their date of notice in the Federal **Register,** or on the date written notice is received by the agency, whichever is earlier. These decisions are to be used in accordance with the provisions of 29 CFR Parts 1 and 5. Accordingly, the applicable decision, together with any modifications issued, must be made a part of every contract for performance of the described work within the geographic area indicated as required by an applicable Federal prevailing wage law and 29 CFR Part 5. The wage rates and fringe benefits, notice of which is published herein, and which are contained in the Government Printing Office (GPO) document entitled "General Wage Determinations Issued Under the Davis-Bacon And Related Acts," shall be the minimum paid by contractors and subcontractors to laborers and mechanics.

Any person, organization, or governmental agency having an interest in the rates determined as prevailing is encouraged to submit wage rate and fringe benefit information for consideration by the Department. Further information and self-explanatory forms for the purpose of submitting this data may be obtained by writing to the U.S. Department of Labor, Employment Standards Administration, Wage and Hour Division, Division of Wage Determinations, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room S–3014, Washington, D.C. 20210.

New General Wage Determination Decisions

The number of the decisions added to the Government Printing Office document entitled "General Wage Determinations Issued Under the Davis-Bacon and related Acts" are listed by Volume and State:

Volume II Delaware DE950010 (Aug. 25, 1995) Maryland MD950054 (Aug. 25, 1995)

Modifications to General Wage Determination Decisions

The number of decisions listed in the Government Printing Office document