Bonneville Power Administration

Notice of Availability of Record of Decision for the Business Plan

AGENCY: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA), Department of Energy (DOE).

ACTION: Notice of availability of Record of Decision (ROD).

SUMMARY: The BPA has chosen to respond to the challenges of the dynamic electric utility industry by changing its business direction. As proposed in the Business Plan Final Environmental Impact Statement (BP EIS, DOE/EIS-0183), BPA has decided to pursue the basic business direction outlined in the Market-Driven alternative, including certain response strategies to adapt quickly to the evolving marketplace. BPA will accordingly take actions to transform itself into a highly efficient Federal enterprise that achieves its mission by being more competitive in the wholesale electric utility market. BPA will be a more active participant in the competitive market for power, transmission, and energy services, and will use its success in those markets to ensure the financial strength necessary to better produce the public benefits that BPA affords to the region.

The decision to select the Market-Driven alternative provides basic policy direction for BPA to decide a number of major issues related to products and services, rate designs, energy resources, and transmission. Before taking action on these issues, however, BPA will review the BP EIS to ensure that the impacts of the subsequent actions are adequately analyzed within the range of alternatives. Decisions on these specific issues will be the subject of subsequent RODs tiered to this BP EIS ROD.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the ROD and the EIS may be obtained by calling BPA's toll-free document request line: 1–800–622–4520. Copies may also be obtained from BPA's Public Involvement Office, P.O. Box 12999, Portland, Oregon, 97212.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Charles Alton, Manager for Policy and Strategic Planning—ECP, Bonneville Power Adminstration, P.O. Box 3621, Portland, Oregon, 97208–3621, phone number (503) 230–4628, fax number (503) 230–5699.

Public Availability: This ROD will be distributed to all interested and affected persons and agencies.

Issued in Portland, Oregon, on August 24, 1995.

Randall W. Hardy,

Administrator and Chief Executive Officer. [FR Doc. 95–21649 Filed 8–30–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP94-342-004, et al.]

Crossroads Pipeline Company, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

August 24, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Crossroads Pipeline Company

[Docket No. CP94-342-004]

Take notice that on August 21, 1995, Crossroads Pipeline Company (Crossroads), 801 East 86th Avenue, Merrillville, Indiana 46410, filed in Docket No. CP94–342–004 as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1, Substitute Original Tariff Sheet No. 6.

Crossroads states that Substitute Original Tariff Sheet No. 6 reflects the recalculation of certain cost-of-service items. In addition, Crossroads states that the tariff sheet reflects a reduction in Crossroads' proposed maximum commodity charge for firm service and a reduction in Crossroads' minimum reservation charge for firm service.

Comment date: September 14, 1995, in accordance with the first paragraph of Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

2. Paiute Pipeline Company

[Docket No. CP95-614-000]

Take notice that on July 13, 1995, Paiute Pipeline Company (Paiute), P.O. Box 94197, Las Vegas, Nevada 89193-4197, filed in Docket No. CP95-614-000, an application pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act and Part 157 of the Commission's Regulations requesting authorization to construct and operate truck unloading facilities at its Lovelock, Nevada liquefied natural gas (LNG) storage facility to permit the delivery for injection to the storage facility of LNG by truck, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Paiute states that the installation of the facilities will provide its LNG storage service customers with additional options for helping to meet their peak demand, emergency, or other requirements. Paiute further states that the truck unloading facilities will permit year-round deliveries to the LNG storage facility, irrespective of whether the plant itself is being operated in a liquefaction, vaporization, or holding mode. Paiute claims that its LNG storage facility, as it is presently constructed and operated, cannot be operated in a liquefaction mode and a vaporization mode simultaneously.

Paiute states that the estimated cost of the truck unloading facilities is \$238,500. Paiute intends to finance the cost of construction through ongoing regular financing programs and internally generated funds.

In addition, Paiute requests that the Commission grant temporary certificate authorization for the construction and operation of the proposed truck unloading facilities, due to the especially urgent need for such facilities, so that such facilities can be constructed and placed into service by November 1, 1995 or as soon thereafter as possible. Paiute indicates that because of a need to empty the storage tank for maintenance purposes, Paiute's LNG storage service customers likely will not have sufficient opportunity to fully replenish their LNG supplies before November 1, 1995. As an alternative to the temporary certificate authority, Paiute further requests that the Commission use expedited procedures leading to the issuance of a final certificate order by September 15, 1995 or earlier.

Paiute states that with the installation of the truck unloading facilities, Paiute's customers will be assured of having a means to replenish their supplies of LNG in storage during the winter heating season, when the plant is generally in a vaporization mode, and augment the quantities of gas being liquefied during the summer months, if necessary.

Comment date: September 14, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

3. Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company

[Docket No. CP95-696-000]

Take notice that on August 21, 1995, Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company (Williston Basin), Suite 300, 200 North Third Street, Bismarck, North Dakota 58501, filed in Docket No. CP95-696-000 a request pursuant to §§ 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211) for authorization to construct and operate a metering station under Williston Basin's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP83-1-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the

Commission and open to public inspection.

Williston Basin proposes to construct and operate a new metering station to provide transportation service gas to Rainbow Gas Company in Ramsey County, North Dakota. The station will consist of a tap, meter and regulator and miscellaneous gauges and valves.

Comment date: October 10, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

4. Northern Natural Gas Company

[Docket No. CP95-698-000]

Take notice that on August 21, 1995, Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern), 1111 South 103rd Street, Omaha, Nebraska 68124-1000, filed in Docket No. CP95-698-000 a request pursuant to §§ 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.212) for authorization to construct and operate a new delivery point under Northern's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-401-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.1

Northern proposes to install and operate a new delivery point (Al-Corn/ Al-Corn #1 TBS) in Dodge County, Minnesota, to accommodate natural gas deliveries to Al-Corn Clean Fuels, Inc. (Al-Corn), for use at their plant near Claremont, Minnesota. Northern states that service would be provided to Al-Corn under a transportation agreement pursuant to Northern's existing transportation schedules. It is stated that the proposal involves the delivery of up to 1,200 Mcf on a peak day and 438,000 Mcf on an annual basis. Northern estimates that the total cost of constructing this delivery point would be \$135,000.

Comment date: October 10, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

5. Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation

[Docket No. CP95-703-000]

Take notice that on August 22, 1995, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia), 1700 MacCorkle Avenue, S.E., Charleston, West Virginia 25314–1599, filed a request with the Commission in Docket No. CP95–703–000 pursuant to §§ 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under

the Natural Gas Act (NGA) to modify an existing point of delivery and reassign Maximum Daily Delivery Obligations (MDDOs) between points of delivery to Shenandoah Gas Company (SGC), a subsidiary of Washington Gas (WG) in Warren County, Virginia, authorized in blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP83–76–000, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Columbia proposes to make modifications to the existing Nineveh point of delivery at the request of SGC for additional firm transportation service for residential, commercial and industrial service. Columbia states that neither SGC nor WG has requested an increase in Peak Day Entitlements in conjunction with this request to modify the existing point of delivery so there would be no impact on Columbia's existing peak day obligations to its other customers as a result of the modifications. Columbia proposes, however, to reassign the MDDOs by amending WG's SST Agreement by reducing the MDDOs at the existing Dranesville delivery point by 12,300 Dth/day and reassigning the same volumes of gas to the existing Nineveh delivery point proposed to be modified. SGC has agreed to reimburse Columbia the cost of construction to modify this point which would be approximately \$23,969, including gross-up for income tax purposes. Columbia would pay for the install filter separators at an estimated cost of \$90,000.

Comment date: October 10, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

6. NorAm Gas Transmission Company

[Docket No. CP95-704-000]

Take notice that on August 23, 1995, NorAm Gas Transmission Company (NGT), 1600 Smith Street, Houston, Texas 77002, filed in Docket No. CP95-704-000 a request pursuant to §§ 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211) for authorization to construct and operate certain delivery facilities in Arkansas under NGT's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-384-000, et al., pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

NGT proposes to construct and operate the following facilities for deliveries of natural gas to ARKLA, a division of NorAm Energy Corp., to serve its new domestic and commercial customers: (a) One 2-inch delivery tap, first-cut regulator and 1-inch U-Shape meter station on NGT's Line TM-10 to serve ARKLA's Rural Extension No. 1344 in Arkansas County, Arkansas; (b) one 1-inch delivery tap and first cut regulator on NGT's Line B to serve ARKLA's Conway Cox Cove Rural Extension in Faulkner County, Arkansas. NGT estimates the volumes to be delivered through the facilities to be 1,805 MMBtu annually and 82 MMBtu on a peak day. In addition, NGT estimates the cost of construction to be \$5,144, of which ARKLA will reimburse NGT \$3,715.

Comment date: October 10, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

F. Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before the comment date, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate and/or permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

¹This filing supersedes the request filed in Docket No. CP95–629–000 in order to reflect a new location for the proposed delivery point. Under separate cover, Northern filed on August 21, 1995, to withdraw its application in Docket No. CP95–629–000.

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to § 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–21600 Filed 8–30–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

[Docket No. CP95-693-000]

Florida Gas Transmission Company, et al.; Notice of Application

August 25, 1995.

Take notice that on August 17, 1995, Florida Gas Transmission Company (FGT), P.O. Box 1188, Houston, Texas 77251-1188, and Texas Gas Transmission Corporation (Texas Gas) P.O. Box 1160, Owensboro, Kentucky 42302, filed in Docket No. CP95-693-000 a joint application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon two natural gas exchange services which were authorized in Docket Nos. CP73-33-000 and CP73-306-000, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The applicants propose to abandon the following two exchange services:

- 1. An exchange agreement under FGT's Rate Schedule E-4 and Texas Gas' Rate Schedule X-45, that involved the operation of facilities and exchange of gas on an emergency basis, during the period July 10 to November 21, 1972.
- 2. An exchange agreement under FGT's Rate Schedule E–5 and Texas Gas' Rate Schedule X–48 that authorized the exchange of gas, during emergencies, at the Eunice Compressor Station located in Louisiana.

FGT and Texas Gas state that they signed a letter agreement on May 30, 1995 that terminates the exchange agreements listed above, effective June 30, 1995.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said

application should on or before September 15, 1995, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for FGT and Texas Gas to appear or be represented at the hearing. Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–21601 Filed 8–30–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. CP95-697-000]

Northern Natural Gas Company; Application for Abandonment

August 25, 1995.

Take notice that on August 21, 1995, Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern), 1111 South 103rd Street, Omaha, Nebraska 68124–1000, filed, in Docket No. CP95–697–000, an application pursuant to section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) and part 157 of the Commission's Regulations for permission and approval to abandon service under an individually certificated transportation agreement, all as more fully set forth in the application

which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, Northern is requesting permission and approval to abandon service under its Rate Schedule T-51, a July 9, 1984, gas transportation agreement between Neches Gas Distribution Company (Neches) and Northern, which is contained in Northern's FERC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 2. Northern states that Rate Schedule T-51 was authorized in Docket No. CP84-565-000 for a period through June 30, 1986. However, Northern states, that authorization did not provide for pre-granted abandonment. Northern asserts that no service has been provided under Rate Schedule T-51 since June 30, 1986, and that both parties have mutually agreed to the termination of the service. Northern says that no facilities will be abandoned as a result of this requested abandonment of service. Northern relates that the receipt and delivery points used in this transportation service are located on its Matagorda Offshore Pipeline System (MOPS). Northern requests that this abandonment request be made effective the earlier of the date of an order approving the instant application or an order approving the abandonment of the MOPS facilities in Docket No. CP95-519 - 000.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before September 15, 1995, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 and 385.211) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party in any proceeding herein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that permission and approval for the