

will be analyzed for development on the Cajon Ranger District.

4. Establish Designated Routes outside of the "Potential Tie" planning areas identified in the LRMP. Linkages are within areas designated for motorized use in the LRMP. Use of lands other than Federal ownership is considered to complete trail linkages. This alternative proposes utilizing the current system mileage of 284 miles, plus construction of 2 miles of new 50" wide trail, designation of 11 miles of ML II roads, designation of 12 miles of ML III roads, designate 18 miles of roads that are not recognized as part of the Forest's road inventory, designate 7 miles of trails that are currently not recognized as part of the Forest's trail inventory, incorporates 3 miles of trail that are under other ownership, and remove 13 miles of OHV road from the designated trail system. This alternative proposes a total of 324 miles. An OHV staging area site will be analyzed for development on the Cajon Ranger District.

5. Establish Designated Routes by using areas within and outside of the "Potential Tie" planning areas identified in the LRMP to form trail loops. Linkages are within areas designated for motorized use in LRMP. Use of lands other than Federal ownership is considered to complete trail linkages. This alternative proposes utilizing the current system mileage of 284 miles, plus construction of 12 miles of new 50" wide trail, designation of 28 miles of ML II roads, designation of 10 miles of ML III roads, designate 18 miles of roads that are not recognized as part of the Forest's road inventory, designate 7 miles of trails that are currently not recognized as part of the Forest's trail inventory, and incorporate 3 miles of trail that are on non-Federal ownership for a total of 362 miles. An OHV staging area site will be analyzed for development on the Cajon Ranger District.

**LEAD AGENCY:** The lead agency for this proposal is the United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service.

**PERMITS OR LICENSES REQUIRED FOR IMPLEMENTATION:** Encroachment permits from the California Department of Transportation will need to be obtained to cross State Highway 18 near Cactus Flats and to construct two tunnel underpasses on State Highway 138 if these proposals are implemented.

If an alternative with trail linkage across state or private lands in or adjacent to the Silverwood Lake State Recreation Area is selected, additional agreements, easements, and right-of-

ways will be needed from these agencies.

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *City of Angoon v. Hodel*, 803 F. 2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris*, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings it is important those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45 day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement. To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft supplemental environmental impact statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3.

The Draft EIS is expected to be available for public review by January 1996. The comment period on the draft environmental impact statement will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes the notice of its availability in the **Federal Register**. The final environmental impact statement is expected to be available about March 1996.

**COMMENT PERIOD CONCERNING THIS NOTICE:** Comments concerning the scope of analysis of the draft EIS/EIR must be received by October 6, 1995. Submit written comments and suggestions

concerning the scope of the analysis for the Cleghorn to Cactus OHV Trail proposal to Gene Zimmerman, Forest Supervisor, San Bernardino National Forest, 1824 S. Commercetown Circle, San Bernardino, CA 92408-3430.

Dated: August 23, 1995.

**Gene Zimmerman,**

*Forest Supervisor.*

[FR Doc. 95-21640 Filed 8-30-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

## Rural Utilities Service

### Meeting on Proposed Electric Distribution Loan Contract

**AGENCY:** Rural Utilities Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Rural Utilities Service (RUS) will be meeting with representatives of the National Rural Electric Cooperative Association (NRECA), at their request, to answer questions and discuss comments by them on the proposed model form of loan contract published in the **Federal Register** on July 18, 1995 at 60 FR 36904.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held on September 21, 1995, starting at 9 a.m. eastern time, and will end no later than 1 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held in Room 1255, South Building, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 14th and Independence Ave., SW., Washington, DC.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Charles R. Miller, Assistant to the Administrator for Policy Analysis, Rural Utilities Service, 14th and Independence Ave., SW., Ag Box 1560, Washington, DC 20250-1500. Telephone: (202) 720-0424.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The meeting will be an informal work session to discuss questions and comments from NRECA representatives regarding the overall structure and specific provisions of the proposed electric distribution loan contract and related regulations. Emphasis will be mainly on technical discussions of individual issues. In addition to the NRECA and government representatives, the meeting room will accommodate 15 observers, who will be allowed entry to the room on a first-come first-served basis.

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 901 *et seq.*

Dated: August 25, 1995.

**Wally Beyer,**

*Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 95-21651 Filed 8-30-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-15-M

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-588-054]

#### **Tapered Roller Bearings, Four Inches or Less In Outside Diameter, and Components Thereof, From Japan; Amendment to Affirmation of the Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration/ International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On January 18, 1995, the Department published the affirmation of its redetermination on remand of the final results of administrative review of the antidumping finding on tapered roller bearings, four inches or less in outside diameter, and certain components thereof (TRBs) from Japan (56 FR 26054, June 6, 1991) (*The Timken Company v. United States* (Slip Op. 94-41 (March 7, 1994)) (*Timken*). The results covered the period August 1, 1987, through July 31, 1988, and TRBs produced by Koyo Seiko Co., Ltd., and distributed by its subsidiary, Koyo Corporation of U.S.A. (collectively, Koyo), and by NSK Ltd., and distributed by its subsidiary, NSK Corporation (collectively, NSK). Based on the correction of a ministerial error, we have changed the margin for Koyo from 49.63 percent to 47.39 percent.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** June 18, 1994.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Chip Hayes or John Kugelman, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-5253.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

On January 18, 1995, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (60 FR 3624) the redetermination on remand of the final results of administrative review of the antidumping finding on TRBs from Japan pursuant to an affirmation from the Court of International Trade (CIT) in *Timken*. After publication of our redetermination of the final results,

ministerial errors were discovered regarding a failure to include changes that had been implemented in the Koyo programming from an earlier remand order by the CIT. We have corrected these errors by reinserting those programming changes for this remand.

#### **Amended Redetermination of Final Results of Review**

As a result of our corrections of the clerical errors, we have determined that a weighted-average margin of 47.39 percent exists for Koyo.

Given the fact that final margins have been published for subsequent administrative reviews of this proceeding, the dumping margins determined in this amended redetermination of final results notice will have no impact on the current cash deposit rates. The dumping margin for NSK, as stated in the January 18, 1995, redetermination on remand of the final results of this administrative review (60 FR 3624), remains in effect for assessment purposes.

Accordingly, the Department will continue the suspension of liquidation of the subject merchandise. Furthermore, absent an appeal, the Department will amend the final results of the administrative review of the antidumping finding on tapered roller bearings, four inches or less in outside diameter, and certain components thereof from Japan to reflect the amended margins of 47.39 percent for Koyo and 16.28 percent for NSK for the period August 1, 1987 through July 31, 1988, in the Department's redetermination on remand, as affirmed by the CIT.

Dated: May 5, 1995.

**Susan G. Esserman,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

#### **Tapered Roller Bearings, Under 4 Inches, From Japan**

1. *Dated Decision is Due and Type of Deadline:* None.
2. *Oral Briefing:* If Requested.
3. *Type of Case:* Antidumping Administrative Review.
4. *Nature of Decision:* Amendment to Timken notice publishing CIT affirmation of Remand Determination (Slip Op. 94-95).
5. *Petitioner and Respondent:* Petitioner: The Timken Company, Counsel—Stewart & Stewart. Respondents: Koyo Seiko, Counsel—Powell Goldstein Frazer & Murphy.
6. *Brief Overview of Procedural History:* This is an amendment to a Timken notice that published January 18, 1995. That notice was published

pursuant to a CIT affirmation of remand results of the administrative review for the 1987-1988 review period. Changes ordered in a subsequent remand of this review were not implemented in the recalculation of Koyo's margin in the January notice.

7. *Key Issues and Responses:* The only noteworthy issue at this stage of the proceeding is that, absent an appeal by either Timken or Koyo, we will issue liquidation instructions for this review period.

8. *Margins:* Koyo's margin is amended from 49.63% to 47.39%.

9. *Team Members:* H. Kuga, L. Lucksinger, J. Kugelman, C. Hayes, POL-L. Barden, LEG-J. MacKenzie.

[FR Doc. 95-21674 Filed 8-30-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

#### **Intent to Revoke Countervailing Duty Orders**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent To Revoke Countervailing Duty Orders.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is notifying the public of its intent to revoke the countervailing duty orders listed below. Domestic interested parties who object to revocation of these orders must submit their comments in writing not later than the last day of September 1995.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 31, 1995.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Brian Albright or Cameron Cardozo, Office of Countervailing Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2786.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

The Department may revoke a countervailing duty order if the Secretary of Commerce concludes that it is no longer of interest to interested parties. Accordingly, as required by the Department's regulations (at 19 CFR 355.25(d)(4)), we are notifying the public of our intent to revoke the countervailing duty orders listed below, for which the Department has not received a request to conduct an administrative review for the most recent four consecutive annual anniversary months.

In accordance with § 355.25(d)(4)(iii) of the Department's regulations, if no domestic interested party (as defined in