Dated: August 25, 1995. **Wally Beyer**, *Administrator*. [FR Doc. 95–21651 Filed 8–30–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–15–M

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## International Trade Administration

#### [A-588-054]

Tapered Roller Bearings, Four Inches or Less In Outside Diameter, and Components Thereof, From Japan; Amendment to Affirmation of the Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand

**AGENCY:** Import Administration/ International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On January 18, 1995, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the affirmation of its redetermination on remand of the final results of administrative review of the antidumping finding on tapered roller bearings, four inches or less in outside diameter, and certain components thereof (TRBs) from Japan (56 FR 26054, June 6, 1991) (The Timken Company v. United States (Slip Op. 94–41 (March 7, 1994)) (Timken). The results covered the period August 1, 1987, through July 31, 1988, and TRBs produced by Koyo Seiko Co., Ltd., and distributed by its subsidiary, Koyo Corporation of U.S.A. (collectively, Koyo), and by NSK Ltd., and distributed by its subsidiary, NSK Corporation (collectively, NSK). Based on the correction of a ministerial error, we have changed the margin for Koyo from 49.63 percent to 47.39 percent.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 18, 1994.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Chip Hayes or John Kugelman, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–5253.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### Background

On January 18, 1995, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (60 FR 3624) the redetermination on remand of the final results of administrative review of the antidumping finding on TRBs from Japan pursuant to an affirmation from the Court of International Trade (CIT) in *Timken*. After publication of our redetermination of the final results, ministerial errors were discovered regarding a failure to include changes that had been implemented in the Koyo programming from an earlier remand order by the CIT. We have corrected these errors by reinserting those programming changes for this remand.

### Amended Redetermination of Final Results of Review

As a result of our corrections of the clerical errors, we have determined that a weighted-average margin of 47.39 percent exists for Koyo.

Given the fact that final margins have been published for subsequent administrative reviews of this proceeding, the dumping margins determined in this amended redetermination of final results notice will have no impact on the current cash deposit rates. The dumping margin for NSK, as stated in the January 18, 1995, redetermination on remand of the final results of this administrative review (60 FR 3624), remains in effect for assessment purposes.

Accordingly, the Department will continue the suspension of liquidation of the subject merchandise. Furthermore, absent an appeal, the Department will amend the final results of the administrative review of the antidumping finding on tapered roller bearings, four inches or less in outside diameter, and certain components thereof from Japan to reflect the amended margins of 47.39 percent for Koyo and 16.28 percent for NSK for the period August 1, 1987 through July 31, 1988, in the Department's redetermination on remand, as affirmed by the CIT.

Dated: May 5, 1995.

Susan G. Esserman,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

### Tapered Roller Bearings, Under 4 Inches, From Japan

1. Dated Decision is Due and Type of Deadline: None.

Oral Briefing: If Requested.
Type of Case: Antidumping

Administrative Review. 4. *Nature of Decision:* Amendment to Timken notice publishing CIT affirmation of Remand Determination (Slip Op. 94–95).

5. Petitioner and Respondent:

- Petitioner: The Timken Company, Counsel—Stewart & Stewart.
- Respondents: Koyo Seiko, Counsel— Powell Goldstein Frazer & Murphy.

6. *Brief Overview of Procedural History:* This is an amendment to a Timken notice that published January 18, 1995. That notice was published pursuant to a CIT affirmation of remand results of the administrative review for the 1987–1988 review period. Changes ordered in a subsequent remand of this review were not implemented in the recalculation of Koyo's margin in the January notice.

7. *Key Issues and Responses:* The only noteworthy issue at this stage of the proceeding is that, absent an appeal by either Timken or Koyo, we will issue liquidation instructions for this review period.

8. *Margins:* Koyo's margin is amended from 49.63% to 47.39%.

9. *Team Members:* H. Kuga, L. Lucksinger, J. Kugelman, C. Hayes, POL–L. Barden, LEG–J. MacKenzie.

[FR Doc. 95–21674 Filed 8–30–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

# Intent to Revoke Countervailing Duty Orders

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. **ACTION:** Notice of Intent To Revoke Countervailing Duty Orders.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is notifying the public of its intent to revoke the countervailing duty orders listed below. Domestic interested parties who object to revocation of these orders must submit their comments in writing not later than the last day of September 1995.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 31, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brian Albright or Cameron Cardozo, Office of Countervailing Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2786.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

The Department may revoke a countervailing duty order if the Secretary of Commerce concludes that it is no longer of interest to interested parties. Accordingly, as required by the Department's regulations (at 19 CFR 355.25(d)(4)), we are notifying the public of our intent to revoke the countervailing duty orders listed below, for which the Department has not received a request to conduct an administrative review for the most recent four consecutive annual anniversary months.

In accordance with § 355.25(d)(4)(iii) of the Department's regulations, if no domestic interested party (as defined in