

Total Burden Hours: 52.25 (estimated 3 minutes per response).

Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-21915 Filed 9-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-P

[Ex Parte No. 388 (Sub-No. 26)]

**Intrastate Rail Rate Authority—
Oklahoma**

AGENCY: Interstate Commerce Commission.

ACTION: Extension of certification.

SUMMARY: By decision served August 30, 1990, the State of Oklahoma, through the Oklahoma Corporation Commission, was certified to regulate intrastate rail rates, classifications, rules, and practices for the 5-year period ending August 30, 1995. Pursuant to a request from Oklahoma, the Commission extends certification for an additional 60 days so that Oklahoma can complete an application for recertification in compliance with *State Intrastate Rail Rate Authority*, 5 I.C.C.2d 680 (1989).

DATES: Oklahoma's certification is extended to October 30, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Elaine Sehrt-Green, (202) 927-5269 or Beryl Gordon, (202) 927-5610. [TDD for the hearing impaired: (202) 927-5721.]

Decided: August 29, 1995.

By the Commission, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-21913 Filed 9-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-P

[Finance Docket No. 32756]

**Union Pacific Railroad Company and
Burlington Northern Railroad
Company—Joint Relocation Project
Exemption—in Fort Collins, CO**

On August 2, 1995, Union Pacific Railroad Company (UP) and Burlington Northern Railroad Company (BN) jointly filed a notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(5) to relocate a line of railroad over the BN in Fort Collins, Larimer County, CO. The proposed transaction was expected to be consummated on, or soon after August 9, 1995.

UP and BN are class I rail carriers that own and operate separate lines of railroad in and near the City of Fort Collins, CO. UP's tracks are located on its line of railroad known as the Fort Collins Branch which extends from LaSalle, CO westward to Fort Collins.

Also, LaSalle at milepost 47.2, is a station on UP's mainline known as the Greeley Branch, which is generally a north-south route.

The rail lines located at Fort Collins between Mulberry Street and Lemay Avenue, are the subject of this joint relocation project. Both BN and UP have rail lines that extend past Mulberry Street to other points in Fort Collins and past Lemay Avenue, the BN line extending to Greeley, CO and the UP line extending to LaSalle.

Under the joint relocation project, UP and BN propose the following transactions: (1) BN will grant UP overhead trackage rights over BN's track between BN mileposts 75.48, near Mulberry Street, and BN milepost 76.04, near Lemay Avenue, a distance of 0.56 mile at Fort Collins; (2) UP's incidental abandonment and discontinuance of operation over a segment of UP's Fort Collins branch line between milepost 31.23, near Mulberry Street, and milepost 30.66, near Lemay Avenue, at Fort Collins, a distance of approximately 0.57 mile; and (3) the incidental construction of connecting tracks between existing UP and BN rail lines. One of the connections is located near Mulberry Street and the other connection near Lemay Avenue. The portion of the track to be constructed is located on the respective parties' property. The notice states that construction of the connector tracks are an important part of the relocation in order for UP to operate the trackage rights over BN.

The line relocation project is to improve operational and maintenance practices for UP and BN, and will benefit the City of Fort Collins. UP's two road crossings at the major streets of Mulberry Street and Lemay Avenue will be eliminated.

UP and BN state that there are no UP rail stations on the segment of the line in the incidental abandonment, no new traffic will be generated by the proposed transaction and there will be no extension of rail service into new territory. The notice also states that there will be no adverse effect on shippers and no impact or change in the competitive situation of rail carriers in the area.

The Commission will exercise jurisdiction over the abandonment or construction components of a relocation project, and require separate approval or exemption, only where the removal of track affects service to shippers or the construction of new track involves expansion into new territory. See *City of Detroit v. Canadian National Ry. Co., et al.*, 9 I.C.C.2d 1208 (1993). The Commission has determined that line

relocation projects may embrace trackage rights transactions such as the one involved here. See *D.T.&I.R.—Trackage Rights*, 363 I.C.C. 878 (1981). Under these standards, the embraced incidental abandonment, construction, and trackage rights components require no separate approval or exemption when the relocation project, as here, will not disrupt service to shippers and thus qualifies for the class exemption at 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(5).

As a condition to the use of this exemption, any employees affected by the trackage rights agreement will be protected by the conditions imposed in *Norfolk and Western Ry. Co.—Trackage Rights—BN*, 354 I.C.C. 605 (1978), as modified in *Mendocino Coast Ry., Inc.—Lease and Operate*, 360 I.C.C. 653 (1980).

Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10505(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not stay the transaction. Pleadings must be filed with the Commission and served on: Joseph D. Anthofer, 1416 Dodge Street, Room 830, Omaha, NE 68179.

Decided: August 28, 1995.

By the Commission, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-21914 Filed 9-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-P

**NATIONAL COMMUNICATIONS
SYSTEM**

**Industry Executive Subcommittee of
the National Security
Telecommunications Advisory
Committee**

AGENCY: National Communications Systems (NCS), Joint Secretariat.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: A meeting of the Industry Executive Subcommittee of the National Security Telecommunications Advisory Committee will be held on Friday, September 22, 1995, from 9 a.m. to 3 p.m. The meeting will be held at the Mitre-Hayes Building, 7525 Colshire Dr., McLean VA 27006. The agenda is as follows:

- A. Call to Order/Welcoming Remarks.
- B. National Information Infrastructure Task Force.
- C. Wireless Services Task Force.
- D. Legislative & Regulatory Working Group.
- E. Information Assurance Task Force.
- F. Network Security Group.

Due to the requirement to discuss classified information, in conjunction

with the issues listed above, the meeting will be closed to the public in the interest of National Defense.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Telephone (703) 607-6221 or write the Manager, National Communications System, 701 S. Court House Rd., Arlington, VA 22204-2198.

Dennis Bodson,

Assistant Manager, Technology and Standards.

[FR Doc. 95-21902 Filed 9-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-03-M

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Collection of Information Submitted for OMB Review

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act and OMB Guidelines, the National Science Foundation is posting an expedited notice of information collection that will affect the public. Interested persons are invited to submit comments by September 15, 1995. Copies of materials may be obtained at the NSF address or telephone number shown below.

(A) *Agency Clearance Officer.* Herman G. Fleming, Division of Contracts, Policy, and Oversight, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230, or by telephone (703) 306-1243. Comments may also be submitted to:

(B) *OMB Desk Officer.* Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, ATTN: Jonathan Winer, Desk Officer, OMB, 722 Jackson Place, Room 3208, NEOB, Washington, DC 20503.

Title: Customer Service Satisfaction by Sponsored Research Offices at Academic Institutions.

Affected Public: Not-for-profit institutions.

Respondents/Reporting Burden: 150 respondents, 75 total burden hours.

Abstract: Purpose of the survey is to obtain views of officials in sponsored research offices at academic institutions about their satisfaction with critical aspects of the NSF proposal and award process, in particular, timeliness and clarity of information about programs and processes and responsiveness in processing proposals and awards. Method of obtaining is an e-mail survey questionnaire to individuals on the NSF electronic grants bulletin board and request responses from individuals in sponsored research offices. The bulletin board reaches the sponsored research offices of about 350 universities and colleges, with which NSF does the bulk of its proposal and award business.

Dated: August 30, 1995.

Herman G. Fleming,

Reports Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 95-21891 Filed 9-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-424]

Georgia Power Company, et al. (Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Unit 1); Exemption

I

Georgia Power Company, et al. (the licensee) is the holder of Facility Operating License No. NPR-68, which authorizes operation of the Vogtle Electric Generating Plant (VEGP), Unit 1. The license provides, among other things, that the licensee is subject to all rules, regulations, and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

The facility consists of a pressurized water reactor, VEGP Unit 1, at the licensee's site located near Waynesboro, Georgia.

II

Section III.D.1.(a) of Appendix J to 10 CFR Part 50 requires the performance of three Type A containment integrated leakage rate tests (ILRTs), at approximately equal intervals during each 10-year service period of the primary containment. The third test of each set shall be conducted when the plant is shutdown for the 10-year inservice inspection of the primary containment.

III

By letter dated May 12, 1995, as supplemented by letter dated June 6, 1995, the licensee requested temporary relief from the requirement to perform a set of three Type A tests at approximately equal intervals during each 10-year service period of the primary containment. The requested exemption would permit a one-time interval extension of the third Type A test by approximately 18 months (from the March, 1996, refueling outage, to the September, 1997, refueling outage) and would permit the third Type A test of the second 10-year inservice inspection period to not correspond with the end of the current American Society of Mechanical Engineers Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code (ASME Code) inservice inspection interval.

The licensee's request cites the special circumstances of 10 CFR 50.12, paragraph (a)(2) (ii), (iii) and (vi) as the basis for the exemption. They point out

that the existing Type B and C testing programs are not being modified by this request and will continue to effectively detect containment leakage caused by the degradation of active containment isolation components as well as containment penetrations. It has been the experience at Vogtle Unit 1 during the three Type A tests (one preoperational and two during the first 10 year inservice inspection period) conducted from 1986 to date, that any significant containment leakage paths are detected by the Type B and C testing. The Type A test results have only been confirmatory of the results of the Type B and C test results. Therefore, application of the regulation in this particular circumstance is not necessary to achieve the underlying purpose of the rule.

Additionally, the licensee stated that their exemption request meets the requirements of 10 CFR 50.12 for the following reasons:

10 CFR 50.12 Requirements

In accordance with 10 CFR 50.12, the Commission may grant an exemption to the requirements of the regulations of 10 CFR 50 if the exemption is authorized by law, will not present an undue risk to the public health and safety, is consistent with the common defense and security, and special circumstances are present.

The Requested Exemption is Authorized by Law

There is no known law that would be violated by the granting of the proposed exemption. 10 CFR 50.12 provides the basis for granting exemptions to the requirements of 10 CFR 50 regulations. The NRC has granted similar exemptions in the past. Therefore, the exemption is authorized by law.

The Requested Exemption Does Not Present an Undue Risk to the Public Health and Safety

10 CFR 50, Appendix J states that the purpose of the regulation is to assure that leakage through primary containment and systems and components penetrating containment does not exceed allowable values, as specified in the Technical Specifications or associated bases, and that proper maintenance and repair are performed throughout the service life of the containment boundary components. The ILRT history for VEGP, Unit 1 during the first 10 year service period inspection interval indicated that the containment structure has not experienced degradation. The NRC has conducted a detailed study of integrated leak rate tests performed from 1987 to 1993. That study, documented in draft NUREG-1493, determined that 97% of the leakage rate tests that exceed the acceptance criteria are identified by LLRT programs. The LLRT program at VEGP, Unit 1 has been successful in maintaining low Type B and C containment leakage. Since there has been no identified containment structural leakage, the