to provide foreign scheduled air transportation of persons property and mail between a point or points in Bulgaria and the coterminal points New York, New York, and Detroit, Michigan, United States of America, via Malta, with local traffic rights between Malta, on the one hand, and Detroit and New York, on the other hand.

Paulette V. Twine,

Chief, Documentary Services Division. [FR Doc. 95–22061 Filed 9–5–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–62–P

Aviation Proceedings; Agreements Filed During the Week Ended August 25, 1995

The following Agreements were filed with the Department of Transportation under the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 412 and 414. Answers may be filed within 21 days of date of filing.

Docket Number: OST-95-432.
Date filed: August 21, 1995.
Parties: Members of the International
Air Transport Association.

Subject: TC1 Reso/P 0456 dated August 18, 1995.

Expedited TC1 Longhaul Resos r-1 to r-12.

Proposed Effective Date: Expedited October 15, 1995.

Docket Number: OST-95-433. Date filed: August 21, 1995.

Parties: Members of the International Air Transport Association.

Subject: TC1 Reso/P 0457 dated August 18, 1995.

Within South America Expedited Reso 002e.

Proposed Effective Date: Expedited October 15, 1995.

Docket Number: OST-95-434. Date filed: August 21, 1995.

Parties: Members of the International Air Transport Association.

Subject: COMP Reso/P 1058 dated August 18, 1995.

Composite Expedited Resolutions r-1 to r-8.

Effective Date: Expedited October 1, 1995.

Paulette V. Twine,

Chief, Documentary Services Division. [FR Doc. 95–22062 Filed 9–5–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–62–P

Coast Guard

[CGD 95-064]

National Environmental Policy Act: Agency Procedures for Categorical Exclusions

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of agency policy.

summary: The Coast Guard is announcing a change to its policy concerning agency actions that are categorically excluded from additional environmental analysis under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). The change concerns operations to carry out maritime safety, maritime law enforcement, search and rescue, domestic ice breaking, and oil or hazardous substance removal programs.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. David Reese, Environmental Compliance and Restoration Branch, (202) 267–1942.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Under regulations implementing the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) (40 CFR parts 1500 through 1508), each Federal agency is required to adopt procedures to supplement those regulations (40 CFR 1507.3). The Coast Guard's procedures and policies are published as a Commandant instruction entitled, "National **Environmental Policy Act Implementing** Procedures and Policy for Considering Environmental Impacts'' (COMDTINST M16475.1B). On July 29, 1994, the Coast Guard published a notice in the Federal Register (59 FR 38654) announcing the revision of section 2.B.2 of the instruction. Section 2.B.2 lists the proposed agency actions that are categorically excluded from the requirement that the actions undergo the analysis that accompanies preparation of an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

Discussion of Change

The Coast Guard is amending section 2.B.2.e.(22) (the section) of COMDTINST M16475.1B, which categorically excludes operations to carry out maritime safety, maritime law enforcement, search and rescue, domestic ice breaking, and oil or hazardous substance removal programs that have been the subject of a programmatic NEPA analysis and documentation. It is being amended to remove the phase "that have been the subject of a programmatic NEPA analysis and documentation."

A review of the implementation of the section disclosed that the phrase "that have been the subject of a programmatic NEPA analysis and documentation" imposes a requirement for a specific level of NEPA analysis and documentation (i.e., a programmatic level) that may not be necessary or appropriate for the actions included in

the section. By removing the phrase, the Coast Guard can eliminate unnecessary documentation and provide itself flexibility in determining the appropriate means of complying with NEPA for the listed activities. By removing the phrase, the Coast Guard will have the flexibility to use the section, a programmatic EA or EIS, or an EA or EIS for the specific activity, depending on the nature of the activity being planned. This change also brings the section more in line with the stated purpose and intent of NEPA and the Council on Environmental Quality regulations, which include the requirement in 40 CFR part 1500.4(p) to reduce excessive paperwork by using categorical exclusions to define categories of actions which do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This change does not effect the Coast Guard's responsibility to comply fully with NEPA before engaging in an activity listed in the section. It can be applied only if there are no extraordinary circumstances, as described in section 2.B.2.b., that would limit its use.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, the Coast Guard announces the following amendment to section 2.B.2.e.(22) of COMDTINST M16475.1B:

2.B.2.e. Categorical Exclusion List

(22) Operations to carry out maritime safety, maritime law enforcement, search and rescue, domestic ice breaking, and oil or hazardous substance removal programs.

Dated: August 30, 1995.

RADM Edward J. Barrett,

Chief, Office of Engineering Logistics and Development.

[FR Doc. 95–22026 Filed 9–5–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–14–M

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent To Rule on Application To Use the Revenues From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Baltimore Washington International Airport, Baltimore, MD

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. ACTION: Notice of Intent To Rule on Application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to use the revenues from a PFC at Baltimore Washington International Airport under the